



FOUNDATION
EARTH
SCIENCES

DETAILED SITE INVESTIGATION

Property Address

67-75 Lords Road, Leichhardt NSW

Prepared for

Platino Properties Pty Ltd

Date




July 2022

DOCUMENT CONTROL REGISTER

Document Information	
Job Number	E2843
Document Number	0
Report Title	Detailed Site Investigation
Site Address	67-75 Lords Road, Leichhardt NSW
Prepared for	Platino Properties Pty Ltd

Document Review			
Revision Number	Date Issued	Description	Issued By
0	28/07/2022	Initial Issue	Ben Buckley

Distribution Register		
Distribution Method	Custodian	Issued to
Electronic	B. Buckley	Foundation Earth Sciences Office
Electronic	P. Mottek	Platino Properties Pty Ltd

Authorisation and Release			
	Signature	Name	Date
Prepare		Ray Liu	04/07/2022
Reviewed		Michael Silk B.Env Sc (CEnvP General)	05/07/2022
Authorised		Benjamin Buckley- Director B.Env Sc., BSc (Forensics)	28/07/2022

ABBREVIATIONS

AIP	<i>Australian Institute of Petroleum Ltd</i>	QA/QC	<i>Quality Assurance, Quality Control</i>
ANZECC	<i>Australian and New Zealand Environment and Conservation Council</i>	RAC	<i>Remediation Acceptance Criteria</i>
AST	<i>Aboveground Storage Tank</i>	RAP	<i>Remediation Action Plan</i>
BGL	<i>Below Ground Level</i>	RPD	<i>Relative Percentage Difference</i>
BTEX	<i>Benzene, Toluene, Ethyl benzene and Xylene</i>	SAC	<i>Site Assessment Criteria</i>
COC	<i>Chain of Custody</i>	SVC	<i>Site Validation Criteria</i>
DA	<i>Development Approval</i>	TCLP	<i>Toxicity Characteristics Leaching Procedure</i>
DP	<i>Deposited Plan</i>	TPH	<i>Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons</i>
DQOs	<i>Data Quality Objectives</i>	UCL	<i>Upper Confidence Limit</i>
EPA	<i>Environment Protection Authority</i>	UST	<i>Underground Storage Tank</i>
ESA	<i>Environmental Site Assessment</i>	VHC	<i>Volatile Halogenated Compounds</i>
HIL	<i>Health-Based Soil Investigation Level</i>	VOC	<i>Volatile Organic Compounds</i>
LGA	<i>Local Government Area</i>	DPI	<i>Department of Primary Industries</i>
NEHF	<i>National Environmental Health Forum</i>	A1	<i>Detailed Site Investigation</i>
NEPC	<i>National Environmental Protection Council</i>		
NHMRC	<i>National Health and Medical Research Council</i>		
OCP	<i>Organochlorine Pesticides</i>		
OPP	<i>Organophosphate Pesticides</i>		
PAH	<i>Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbon</i>		
PCB	<i>Polychlorinated Biphenyl</i>		
PID	<i>Photo Ionisation Detector</i>		
PQL	<i>Practical Quantitation Limit</i>		

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	INTRODUCTION	11
2.0	OBJECTIVE	11
3.0	SCOPE OF WORKS	13
4.0	SITE IDENTIFICATION AND SITE HISTORY REVIEW	14
4.1	SITE IDENTIFICATION	14
4.2	REVIEW OF HISTORICAL MAPS	15
4.3	UNDERGROUND SERVICES	15
4.4	REVIEW OF AERIAL PHOTOGRAPHS	16
4.5	TITLE SEARCH	17
4.6	ANECDOTAL EVIDENCE	19
4.7	NSW EPA RECORDS	19
4.8	NSW EPA POEO REGISTER	20
4.9	NSW EPA NOTIFIED CONTAMINATED SITES	20
4.10	PFAS PRELIMINARY SCREEN	21
4.11	SAFework NSW	22
4.12	PREVIOUS INVESTIGATIONS	23
4.12.1	EMS DSI (DECEMBER 2006)	23
4.12.2	BENVIRON GROUP – DUE DILIGENCE LETTER (JULY 2018)	28
4.12.3	BENVIRON GROUP RAP (JULY 2018)	28
4.13	INTEGRITY ASSESSMENT	29
5.0	REVIEW OF ENVIRONMENTAL INFORMATION	31
6.0	REVIEW OF CONSTRUCTION AND SERVICE INFORMATION	34
6.1	PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT	34
7.0	SITE VISIT	35
7.1	GENERAL	35
7.2	SITE OBSERVATIONS	35
8.0	PRELIMINARY CONCEPTUAL SITE MODEL (CSM)	37
9.0	REVIEW OF DATA QUALITY OBJECTIVES	41
10.0	INTRUSIVE SOIL INVESTIGATION	46
10.1	SOIL ASSESSMENT	46
10.2	SAMPLING DENSITY AND RATIONALE	47
10.3	SAMPLING METHODOLOGY	47
11.0	GROUNDWATER INVESTIGATION	49
11.1	GROUNDWATER ASSESSMENT	49
11.2	GROUNDWATER METHODOLOGY	50
11.3	GROUNDWATER SAMPLE COLLECTION	51

11.4	GROUNDWATER OBSERVATIONS	52
11.5	CATCHMENT LOCATION.....	53
12.0	QUALITY ASSURANCE / QUALITY CONTROL.....	55
12.1	GENERAL QA/QC.....	55
12.2	SAMPLE CONTAINERS.....	55
12.3	DECONTAMINATION.....	56
12.4	SAMPLE TRACKING, IDENTIFICATION AND HOLDING TIMES	57
12.5	SAMPLE TRANSPORT.....	57
12.6	TRIP SPIKE	57
12.7	TRIP BLANK	58
12.8	FIELD DUPLICATE SAMPLES	58
12.9	TRIP SPIKE AND TRIP BLANK RESULTS.....	67
12.10	LABORATORY QA/QC	68
12.11	QA/QC RESULTS.....	69
12.12	QA/QC CONCLUSION.....	72
13.0	SITE ASSESSMENT CRITERIA.....	73
13.1	SOILS.....	73
13.1.1	HEALTH INVESTIGATION LEVELS (HILS)	73
13.1.2	HEALTH SCREENING LEVELS (HSLs).....	75
13.1.3	(EILS) AND (ESLS).....	76
13.2	ASBESTOS.....	80
13.3	AESTHETIC CONSIDERATIONS.....	80
13.4	GROUNDWATER	81
14.0	SOIL RESULTS.....	83
14.1	HEAVY METALS.....	83
14.1.1	HEALTH INVESTIGATION LEVELS	83
14.1.2	ECOLOGICAL INVESTIGATION LEVELS	83
14.2	TRH, BTEX, NAPHTHALENE &/OR BENZO (A) PYRENE	84
14.2.1	HEALTH SCREENING LEVELS & MANAGEMENT LIMITS.....	84
14.2.2	ECOLOGICAL SCREENING LEVELS.....	85
14.3	PAH, OCP, OPP, VOC, PFAS & PCB	85
14.3.1	HEALTH INVESTIGATION LEVELS	85
14.3.2	EILS & ESLS	86
14.4	ASBESTOS.....	87
15.0	GROUNDWATER RESULTS.....	87
15.1	HEAVY METALS.....	87
15.2	TRH & BTEXN	88
15.3	PAH.....	88
15.4	VOCS IN GROUNDWATER.....	88
16.0	PRELIMINARY SOIL CLASSIFICATION	89

17.0 DISCUSSION	90
17.1 SOILS.....	90
17.2 GROUNDWATER QUALITY.....	90
17.3 DATA GAPS	91
17.4 DUTY TO REPORT	92
18.0 CONCLUSION	93
19.0 LIMITATIONS	94

LIST OF FIGURES AND APPENDICES

Figure 1	Site Location
Figure 2	Site Features, Borehole Location Plan & Exceedance Plan
Appendix A	DBYD Plans
Appendix B	NSW EPA Records
Appendix C	Site Photographs
Appendix D	DPI (Office of Water) Database Records
Appendix E	Bureau of Meteorology
Appendix F	Concept Development Plans
Appendix G	Borehole Logs
Appendix H	NATA Accredited Laboratory Certificates
Appendix I	Field Record Forms
Appendix J	Aerial Photographs
Appendix K	Summary Tables
Appendix L	Calibration Certificate
Appendix M	Land Title Information
Appendix N	Safework Search Records
Appendix O	Statistical Analysis

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Foundation Earth Sciences was appointed by Platino Properties Pty Ltd to undertake an Detailed Site Investigation for the property situated at 67-75 Lords Road, Leichhardt NSW (“the site”).

The existing built form of the site consists of a series of brick warehouse style buildings with frontages to the east and west. A smaller building is located on the south east corner of the site facing Lords Road and Davies Lane. The site is proposed to be rezoned & redeveloped into four mixed use buildings including single level basement, commercial and retail space, a total of two hundred and twenty apartments including one hundred and sixty affordable housing dwellings and sixty seniors independent living units and communal open space area.

Soils sampled across the Site were assessed against the Site Acceptance Criteria (SAC) provided by the National Environment Protection (Assessment of Site Contamination) Measure (NEPM 2013) Table 1A - Residential B and Recreational C.

Soil

The soil data revealed the following:

- Boreholes KM08, KM12, KM13, KM17, BH4, BH6, BH10, BH13, BH15, BH18 & BH22 require remediation in regards to PAH impacted fill soils.
- Borehole KM18 requires remediation in regards to copper impacted fill soils.
- EMS DSI in 2006 completed Asbestos analysis on 14 soil samples, found that two contained asbestos. Sample KM01/0.1 was found to contain a fragment of Chrysotile asbestos, additionally, KM07/0.3 was found to contain loose fibre bundles of Chrysotile asbestos. The asbestos materials were found within the fill material on the Site.

The following lines of evidence support the low to medium risk conclusion in relation to groundwater and the proposed development:

- The groundwater monitoring has indicated levels of dissolved heavy metals detected above groundwater investigation levels for Cadmium, Chromium, Copper, Lead, Nickel &/or Zinc. The elevated heavy metals are considered to be related to offsite regional contaminant concentrations and/or background levels & therefore of limited concern in relation to the GILs.
- Results for groundwater samples did indicate that levels were above water quality guidelines for ecosystem protection but in compliance with guidelines related to the protection of human health.
- During construction of the proposed development, management of any water that seeps into the excavation will need to be considered as the quality of groundwater means it cannot be discharged directly to the stormwater system. It will need to be managed through treatment or via appropriate disposal techniques.
- It is noted that the groundwater at the site is likely to flow into the Parramatta River & Sydney Harbour Catchment area. This catchment is highly affected by urban development. Because of the extent of development, the waterways are affected by poor water quality and a changed flow regime. The waterways have been modified with creeks channelized or hard edged with concrete, wetland have been degraded or destroyed, infiltration of weeds and rubbish is also a significant issue. Therefore, the exceeded GILs will likely have minimal onsite and/or offsite ecological risk to the surrounding environmental and/or development.
- The inferred groundwater direction is to the west. The likely source based on current information is coming from offsite via groundwater migration.

Based on the historical review, environmental information, proposed development and laboratory results of the investigation, the site can be made **suitable** for the proposed rezoning and redevelopment subject to a full SEPP55 contamination assessment as part of the DA process which includes following the data gaps outlined in 17.3.

1.0 INTRODUCTION

Foundation Earth Sciences was appointed by Platino Properties Pty Ltd to undertake an Detailed Site Investigation for the property situated at 67-75 Lords Road, Leichhardt NSW ("the site").

Soils sampled across the Site were assessed against the Site Acceptance Criteria (SAC) provided by the National Environment Protection (Assessment of Site Contamination) Measure (NEPM 2013) Table 1A – Residential B & Recreational C.

2.0 OBJECTIVE

The format of this report closely follows that recommended in the NSW EPA "*Consultants Reporting on Contaminated Land*" dated 2020. The NSW Office of Environment and Heritage (OEH) indicates that an Environmental Investigation should provide comprehensive information on:

- Any issues raised in preliminary investigations;
- The type, extent and level of contamination;
- Contaminant dispersal in the air, surface water, soil and dust;
- The potential effects of contaminants on public health and the environment;
- Where applicable, off-site impacts on soil, sediment and biota; and
- The adequacy and completeness of all information available to be used in making decisions on remediation.

The project objectives of this Detailed Site Investigation (AI) are to satisfy the stated NSW EPA Detailed Site Investigation requirements in accordance with *NSW EPA, Consultants Reporting on Contaminated Land, 2020*. Specifically, this investigation will consider the potential for suspected historical activities to have caused contamination at the Site and determine land use suitability for the proposed land use.

The proposed investigation program are designed to assess the presence of any unacceptable on site or off-site risk to human health or the environment. The report will draw conclusions regarding the land use suitability of the Site for the proposed land use or provide recommendations to enable such conclusions and determine the need for a further assessment.

Another objective of the Detailed Site Investigation is to consider if the site can be made suitable for the proposed rezoning.

3.0 SCOPE OF WORKS

The scope of works for this Detailed Site Investigation (DSI) included:

- Collecting site information, review of historical information and past site practices, (site surveys, site records on waste management practices, NSW Land Titles Office records of ownership, aerial photographs obtained from the NSW Department of Lands, WorkCover NSW records and site interviews);
- A site inspection to identify areas of environmental concern, on-site waste disposal practices and location of sewers, drains, holding tanks, Underground Storage Tanks, Aboveground Storage Tanks and pits, spills and ground discolouration etc.;
- A targeted soil boring/sampling investigative study – formulating and conducting a sampling plan and borehole investigation; the soil samples are taken and submitted for analysis on particular contaminants;
- Groundwater monitoring, well installation and sampling program based on site access;
- Laboratory analysis and results from sample analysis – findings and comparison to regulatory guidelines;
- Quality Assurance/Quality Control (QA/QC) – all QA/QC procedures were undertaken in accordance with the Foundation Earth Sciences Quality Assurance/Quality Control manual;
- Interpretation of results and findings; and
- Recommendations and final conclusions drawn from interpretation of the results.

4.0 SITE IDENTIFICATION AND SITE HISTORY REVIEW

4.1 Site identification

The site is identified as follows:

Table 1: Site Identification Review

Site Identifier	Site Details	
Site Location	67-75 Lords Road, Leichhardt NSW	
Lot/DP	Lot 1 in DP940543 (67-73 Lords Road) Lot 1 in DP550608 (75 Lords Road)	
Site Coordinates #	NE Corner: Latitude -33.885088, Longitude: 151.146191	
Parish	Petersham	
County	Cumberland	
Site Area	Approximate 10,691m ²	
Local Government Area (LGA)	Inner West	
Zoning##	IN2 – Light Industrial	
Surrounding Land Uses	<i>North</i>	Lambert Park
	<i>South</i>	Lords Road then residential and commercial
	<i>East</i>	Laneway then residential
	<i>West</i>	Sydney Light Rail Corridor & Hawthorne Canal

Notes:

Six Maps

refer to NSW Planning Portal

<https://www.planningportal.nsw.gov.au/spatialviewer/#/find-a-property/address>

<https://www.planningportal.nsw.gov.au/find-a-property>

4.2 Review of Historical Maps

A review of the maps originally produced by Higinbotham & Robinson from late-nineteenth-century was undertaken. No relevant information was found as part of this assessment.

4.3 Underground Services

Dial Before You Dig' plans were requested and reviewed for the Site. Plans were provided by Jemena, NBN Co, Telstra & Sydney Water. The plans did not indicate the presence of any major underground services or utility easements at the site.

The Ausgrid plan indicated services along boundary of Lords Road with increase in density in the south western portion of the site. The inner west council plans indicate a storm water pipe along western boundary at 75 Lords Road. It is noted that these associated underground services are considered as a potential preferential pathway.

Refer to **Appendix A** – DBYD Plans.

4.4 Review of aerial photographs

The following information regarding the aerial photographs has been obtained from six maps and/or spatial services website and the summary of this review are presented in the following table:

Table 2 Review of Aerial Photographs

Year	Site		Surrounding areas
1930	Warehouse & industrial	The site appeared to be occupied by warehouse in the southwestern portion with some area paved at the back. However, the resolution was poor.	N: Vacant then road S: Road then Residential E: Residential W: Railway then Residential
1943	Warehouse & industrial	The site appeared to be occupied by warehouse in the southwestern portion with a few sheds around the main warehouse as well as some storage	No major changes with the exception of property to the west with the north looks like become sport field/ with maintained grass/turf.
1955	Warehouse & industrial	No major changes	No major changes
1971	Commercial/ industrial	A main new big building was built at the back/north portion of the site. With some extension of small sheds as well as likely new paved car park.	No major changes
1991	Commercial/ industrial	No major changes	No major changes

2005	Commercial/ industrial	No major changes	No major changes
Current	As per inspection	The site is as inspected (section 7.1)	As per inspection.

The aerial photographs indicate the site had been occupied by warehouse type building/ light industrial from at least 1930, the entire site has been slowly developed with more buildings/ sheds and paved outdoor carpark & driveway.

The surrounding land use appears to be no major changed since 1930 that vacant land/park or sport fieldwork to the north, road then residential to the south, railway then residential to the west and residential to the east.

Refer to **Appendix J** – Aerial Photographs

4.5 Title search

A review of historical documents held by Direct Info (approved LPI NSW Information broker) and/or previous EMS report was undertaken to characterise the previous land use and occupiers of the site.

Table 3 Historical land title data

Lot 1 in DP 550608 (75 Lords Rd , Leichhardt NSW)		
Year	Proprietor	Company/ Personal occupation
24/06/2003 – Current	Lord Sixty-Seven Pty Ltd	
28/04/2003	Dodaro Holdings Pty Ltd	
30/09/1985	State Rail Authority of NSW	

4/03/1932	Railway Commissioners for NSW	
24/10/1901	The Commissioner for Railways	
Missing ownership titles		
1/01/1810	William Thomas	

Lot 1 in DP 940543 (63-73 Lords Rd , Leichhardt NSW)		
Year	Proprietor	Company/ Personal occupation
24/12/2004 – Current	Lord Sixty-Seven Pty Ltd	
28/04/2003	Dodaro Holdings Pty Ltd	
2002-2003	Clywin Pty Ltd	
<i>1992 /2002-todate</i>	<i>Various commercial Leases</i>	
1992-2002	Trigamist Holdings Pty Ltd	
1988-1992	Amalgamated Wireless (Australasia) Limited	
<i>1988-1992</i>	<i>Lease to Westpac banking corporation</i>	
<i>1988-todate</i>	<i>Lease to Sydney County Council Substation</i>	
1964-1988	Amalgamated Wireless (Australasia) Limited	
<i>1986-1988</i>	<i>Lease to Sydney County Council Substation</i>	
<i>1983-1988</i>	<i>Lease to Westpac banking corporation</i>	
<i>1924-1932</i>	<i>Lease to the Aeolian Company (Australia) Limited of part</i>	
1924-1964	F Dickin Limited	
1920-1924	Octavius Charles Beale, Merchant	

The land title information indicates that majority of the site was owned by/ leased to different companies or corporations since early 1900s.

Refer to **Appendix M** – Land Title Information.

4.6 Anecdotal Evidence

During the fieldwork a tenant of the building adjacent to GW1 informed FES staff that his building is located on top of an old asbestos tip site. This is an assumption from the tenant.

4.7 NSW EPA Records

The NSW EPA publishes records of contaminated sites under Section 58 of the Contaminated Land Management (CLM) Act 1997. The notices relate to investigation and/or remediation of site contamination considered to pose a significant risk of harm under the definition in the CLM Act.

A search of the database revealed that the subject site is not listed but there are 3 sites within the suburb of Leichhardt. Two sites are within 500m of the site are summarized below:

Table 4 EPA Records

Address	Site Name	Appro Distance to Site	Notices Status
22 George St	Former Kolotex	380m SE	1 current & 8 former
30-40 George St	Former Labelcraft	315m SE	4 current & 3 former

It should be noted that the NSW EPA record of Notices for Contaminated Land does not provide a record of all contaminated land in NSW.

Refer to **Appendix B** – NSW EPA Records.

4.8 NSW EPA POEO Register

A search of the POEO Register revealed the subject site is not listed on the register. There are three registered properties within the suburb of Leichhardt but all located more than 500m away from site.

Refer to **Appendix B** – NSW EPA Records.

4.9 NSW EPA Notified Contaminated Sites

The NSW EPA publishes a list of notified contaminated sites each month. The list of notified sites contain land that has been notified to the EPA as being potentially contaminated.

A search of the list was completed on the 28th June 2022. The site was not listed; however, five other properties were listed within the suburb of Leichhardt and two properties are located within 500m (same as NSW EPA Contaminated link searches) summarised below:

- Former Kolotex Site
 - 22 George Street
 - Other Industry
 - Contamination currently regulated under CLM Act
- Former Labelcraft Site

- 30-40 George Street
- Other Industry
- Contamination currently regulated under CLM Act

Refer to **Appendix B** – NSW EPA Records.

4.10 PFAS Preliminary Screen

NSW EPA requires that PFAS is considered when investigating land contamination. The preliminary screen is based on guidelines from the PFAS National Environmental Management Plan (NEMP 2020). From this screen a decision can be made as to whether PFAS sampling of soil and groundwater is required.

Table 4: PFAS Preliminary Screen

Preliminary Screen	Risk of Occurrence
Any past or present site activity listed in NEMP 2020 as being activity associated with PFAS contamination?	L
Any past or present off-site activity up-gradient / adjacent to the site listed in NEMP 2020 as being activity associated with PFAS contamination?	L
Did fire training involving the use of suppressants occur from 1970 to 2010?	L
Have fuel fires ever occurred on site from 1970 to 2010?	L
Have PFAS been used in manufacturing or stored on site?	L

Could PFAS have been imported to the site in fill materials from a site activity listed in NEMP 2020?	L
Could PFAS contaminated groundwater or run-off migrated to the site	No
Is the site or adjacent site listed in the NSW EPA PFAS Investigation Program	No
If the risk is medium or high in any of the above, does the inclusion of preliminary sampling / testing of PFAS in soil (including ASLP) and water need to be included?	No

- Note 1
 - Risk: L – low (all necessary documentation has been reviewed and there is no recorded instance or compelling rationale),
 - M – medium/moderate (all necessary documentation has been reviewed and there is potential evidence of a recorded instance with compelling rationale);
 - H – high (all necessary documentation has been reviewed and there is evidence of a recorded instance with compelling rationale); r
 - Risk, N/A – not applicable (or “-“)].
 - No /Yes
- Note 2 Activities listed in Appendix B of the NEMP (2020).
- Note 3 Runoff from up-gradient PFAS use may impact surface water, soil, sediment and groundwater.
- Note 4 PFAS is used wide range of industrial processes and consumer products, including in the manufacture of non-stick cookware, specialised garments and textiles, ScotchguardTM and similar products (used to protect fabric, furniture, leather and carpets from oils and stains), metal plating and in some types of fire-fighting foam.
- Note 5 <https://www.epa.nsw.gov.au/your-environment/contaminated-land/pfas-investigation-program>

The potential for PFAS to be present on-site was low and subsequently PFAS sampling / analysis of soil and water was unwarranted. However, preliminary PFAS soil sampling was completed as part of this investigation.

4.11 SafeWork NSW

Safework NSW search has been submitted, the results were received on 04/07/2022 & reviewed/ Summarized as part of this investigation.

- Three locations/ areas of dangerous goods storage found
- Underground tank, Flammable liquids, 20,000 L maximum capacity

- Underground tank, Flammable liquids, 10,000 L maximum capacity
- Roofed store, Flammable liquids, 5,000 L maximum capacity
- The chemicals was vacated by AWA limited on 8 June 1991 and the property sold to Trigamist Holdings Pty Ltd in 1992

4.12 Previous Investigations

Three (3) previous investigations for the property are summarised below:

- Environmental Monitoring Services (2006) 'Detailed Site Investigation for proposed industrial redevelopment at 67-73 Lords Road, Leichhardt NSW', prepared for Platino Properties Pty Ltd, reference No EMS 04 3723, dated March 2006
- Benviron Group (2018), 'Due Diligence Letter for 67-73 Lords Road, Leichhardt NSW', prepared for Platino Properties Pty Ltd, reference No E1910, dated July 2018
- Benviron Group (2018), 'Remediation Action Plan for 67-73 Lords Road, Leichhardt NSW', prepared for Platino Properties Pty Ltd, reference No E1910-2, dated July 2018.

4.12.1 EMS DSI (December 2006)

Environmental Monitoring Services (EMS) was commissioned by Platino Properties Pty Limited to prepare a Detailed Site Investigation (DSI) for 67-73 Lords Rd, Leichhardt, NSW (the Site). It is understood that the DSI is required by Leichhardt Council to establish if the site is considered suitable for its proposed use.

It is understood that the current industrial site is proposed to be upgraded however the redevelopment works should have minimal impact on the underlying soils on the Site. The land-use of the Site is not proposed to change from industrial usage. Subsequently, the

adopted Soil Investigation Levels (SIL) (1999) for 'Commercial or Industrial' have been adopted as the site investigation and acceptance criteria (site criteria).

The historic aerial photographic search, Sands Directory and Land Title review all indicate that the site has been under light industrial/commercial land use since at least 1923.

The walkover site inspection undertaken as part of the DSI indicated that the surface of the site, with the exception of the building, consisted primarily of a concrete slab on the western portion of the site and a bitumen layer on the eastern portion of the site. No significant cracks or staining were noted across the surface. There was no evidence of significant surficial rubbish, stains, or odours indicative of potential soil contamination across the site. An earlier report conducted by Consulting Earth Scientists in 2002 stated that two abandoned Underground Storage Tanks (USTs) are located in the south-east portion of the site; it is unknown as to whether these have since been removed.

An electrical substation is located in the south-west portion of the site.

The limited vegetation on and adjacent to the site was noted to be healthy and free of phytotoxin effects.

Fill was encountered across the site to a maximum depth of 3.6m bgl and generally found to consist of a brown silt, which was mixed with various materials such as furnace slag, concrete, ash, blue metal, crushed brick, tiles, plastic, glass, and bitumen. Natural material was noted as brown, red, and grey clays with some outcrops of weathered sandstone. Groundwater was encountered in boreholes KM09 (3.6m bgl) and KM10 (2.4m bgl) during the course of this investigation.

RESULTS

Fill material at sampling location KM13/1.2 was found to have a Benzo(a)Pyrene concentration (8mg/kg) above the NSW EPA Soil Investigation Levels (1998) 'commercial

or industrial" criteria (5mg/kg). However, the soils in this sampling location can remain onsite because the result was below 250% greater than the threshold criteria for B(a)P. The 95% Upper Confidence Limit (UCL) was also calculated for B(a)P in the fill material. The 95% UCL defines a value that equals or exceeds the true mean, 95% of the time. It is a tool for acknowledging uncertainties and variability within an environmental data set without presenting an unacceptable risk to human health or the environment. The 95% UCL values for B(a)P was 2.87mg/kg which is below the adopted site criteria.

Fill material at sampling location KM17/1.0 was found to have a Benzo(a)Pyrene concentration (23mg/kg) which is above the adopted site criteria (5mg/kg). Furthermore, its Total PAH concentration (422mg/kg) was also above the adopted site criteria (100mg/kg). As these concentrations are greater than 250% over the adopted site criteria, statistical analysis cannot be employed to justify leaving the soils at this location in-situ.

All other chemical analysis undertaken on samples collected from the fill material including Heavy Metals, PAHs, TPH, BTEX, PCBs, and OCPs, returned results which were below the adopted site criteria.

All chemical analysis undertaken on underlying natural soils, including metals, PAHs, TPH, and BTEX were found to comply with the adopted site criteria and are thus suitable to remain onsite as part of the proposed industrial redevelopment of the site.

Asbestos analysis conducted on 14 soil samples, found that two contained asbestos. Sample KM01/0.1 was found to contain a fragment of Chrysotile asbestos, additionally, KM07/0.3 was found to contain loose fibre bundles of Chrysotile asbestos. The asbestos materials were found within the fill material on the Site.

RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on the historic desktop review, field observations, soil sampling and analysis, EMS have made the following recommendations for 67-73 Lords Rd, Leichhardt:

- The results of the DSI indicate that the imported fill material at 67-73 Lords Rd, Leichhardt has, to some extent, asbestos contamination, both in fragment and loose fibre form. No appropriate guidelines exist for assessing asbestos levels when undertaking a soil contamination assessment under the NSW EPA guidelines. Asbestos is not known to have a detrimental effect on the environment, and is therefore primarily considered to be a human health issue. It should be noted that there is currently a draft document enHealth “Guidelines for the Management of Asbestos in the Non-Occupational Environment”. The basic objective of this document is to ensure that the risk of exposure to asbestos fibres, which may be entrained into the air, is minimal. It is proposed to leave the fill material contaminated with asbestos in situ because it was concluded that it does not pose a significant risk to human health or the environment. A site management plan will be prepared for the Site that will ensure that the asbestos contaminated material is not disturbed in the future or if it is disturbed it will be done so under controlled conditions by an asbestos treatment Contractor.
- Based on the laboratory results of this DSI, soils in the vicinity of borehole location KM17 are deemed to be contaminated with elevated concentrations of Benzo(a)Pyrene and Total PAHs. These elevated concentrations of B(a)P and Total PAHs are greater than 250% above the adopted site criteria, subsequently, statistical analysis cannot be employed to justify that they remain in-situ. As a result, soils surrounding borehole location KM17 should be excavated in a five-metre radius and to a depth of 1.2m. Once these soils have been excavated and removed from site, the affected area will need to be validated through sampling and analysis. All other soils are deemed suitable to remain onsite. This conclusion is based on the NEHF (1998) SILs for ‘commercial or industrial’ criteria and ‘NSW

EPA (1994) threshold criteria.’ These guidelines were chosen based on the understanding that the current industrial site is to be modified and expanded upon through alterations and additions to the existing buildings.

- A Remedial Action Plan (RAP) should be prepared to ensure the removal of the PAH and B(a)P contaminated soils are managed in accordance with the NSW EPA SEPP 55 and Leichhardt Council requirements during the proposed site redevelopment.
- In the event that any material is to be imported onsite as part of the proposed developments, validation sampling and analysis will be required to ensure that the material is Virgin Excavated Natural Material (VENM) and complies with the NEHF criteria for ‘commercial or industrial’ sites.
- Any material that is to be excavated and removed from the site will have to be classified in accordance with the NSW EPA (1999) Environmental Guidelines; Assessment, Classification & Management of Liquid & Non-Liquid Wastes prior to being disposed of to an NSW EPA approved landfill.
- EMS recommends that exploratory excavations are conducted to determine the presence of Underground Storage Tanks (USTs) in the south east portion of the site. In the event that any underground storage tanks (USTs) are found to be located either in this south eastern portion and/or elsewhere beneath the site during the re-development works, they should be removed in accordance with the NSW EPA (1994) Contaminated Sites; Guidelines for Assessing Service Station Sites. Should elevated concentrations of TPH/BTEX and lead be found to be present within the backfill sands or soils, the material should be removed to an approved landfill in accordance with the NSW EPA (1999) Environmental Guidelines; Assessment, Classification & Management of Liquid & Non-liquid Wastes. Appropriate validation sampling and analysis, in accordance with current NSW EPA and SEPP 55 requirements, should be undertaken in association with these works.

4.12.2 Benviron Group – Due Diligence Letter (July 2018)

Benviron Group was engaged by Platino Properties Pty Ltd to prepare a review of the contamination status at the aforementioned site. This letter has been prepared to outline the results of the previous report and the remediation required on the site from those results.

Based on an assessment of the previous report the following remediation works are required to render the site suitable for its current usage.

- The proposed environmental reporting will include:
 - Supplementary Investigation
 - SAQP
 - RAP
 - Council may require all reports to be reviewed by NSW EPA Auditor.

Based on a walkover of the site and a review of the previous report several issues relating to contamination were identified. These contamination areas are required to be addressed in accordance with the NSW EPA and Council Guidance.

It was noted during this inspection that several site features may impede access in undertaking any remediation works within the site and need to be addressed in order to undertake effective remedial works within the site.

4.12.3 Benviron Group RAP (July 2018)

Benviron Group (Benviron) was appointed by Platino Properties Pty Ltd, to prepare a Remediation Action Plan (RAP) for the property located at 67-73 Lords Road, Leichhardt

NSW (“the site”). The site is currently occupied by multiple commercial properties. The site is proposed to be redeveloped into a multi storey residential building including a basement and commercial ground floor uses, car parking and landscape areas.

Benviron Group (Benviron) was appointed by Platino Properties Pty Ltd, to prepare a Remediation Action Plan (RAP) for the property located at 67-73 Lords Road, Leichhardt NSW (“the site”). The site is currently occupied by multiple commercial properties. The site is proposed to be redeveloped into a multi storey residential building including a basement and commercial ground floor uses, car parking and landscape areas.

Assuming appropriate permits have been granted, the remediation of the site is to take place in the following stages:

- Stage One -Site Preparation
- Stage Two – Supplementary Investigation: Data Gap Closure and Soil Classification
- Stage Three – Removal of EMS identified Hotspots areas:
- Stage Four – Soil Classification for offsite removal
- Stage Five – Validation Report Preparation

It is considered that the site will be suitable for the proposed multi-storey residential building including a level basement with commercial tenancy on the ground floor, car parking and landscape areas, subject to the implementation of remediation and validation works in accordance with this RAP.

4.13 Integrity Assessment

The information found in the historical sources has been found to be in general concurrence. It is therefore considered that accuracy of this data is acceptable for this investigation.

5.0 REVIEW OF ENVIRONMENTAL INFORMATION

Table 5: Site Condition and Surrounding Environment Review

Site Information	Descriptions
Sensitive Receivers	<p>The nearest sensitive human receptors are the current and future users of the site, construction workers during the site redevelopment and the general public.</p> <p>The nearest downgradient watercourse is Hawthorne Canal located approximate 60m west of the site.</p>
Soil Landscape <i>Review of NSW Soil and Land Information website ESPADE.</i>	<p>The Soil Landscape Map viewed on NSW ESPADE indicates that the site is Disturbed Terrain area. The soil is level plain to hummocky terrain, extensively disturbed by human activity, including complete disturbance, removal or burial of soil. Local relief <10 m, slopes <30%. Landfill includes soil, rock, building and waste materials. Original vegetation completely cleared, replaced with turf or grassland.</p>
Topography	<p>The topography viewed on NSW ESPADE indicated the following for the Disturbed Terrain area. Terrain disturbed by human activity. Local relief is usually <2 m, but occasionally up to 10 m. Most areas of disturbed ground have been levelled to slopes of <3%. In terraced cut and fill areas short rises may be steeper than 30%. Microtopography may be hummocky due to truck dumping of fill material.</p>
Geological Profile	<p>The Geological Map of Sydney (Geological Series Sheet 9130, Scale 1:100,000, 1983), published by the Department of Mineral</p>

	<p>Resources indicates the site is located at the vicinity of Mf, Qha & Rwa:</p> <p>Mf indicates man-made fill, dredged estuarine sand and mud, demolition rubble, industrial and household waste.</p> <p>Qha indicates the residual soils within the site to be underlain by Quaternary Age soils consisting of silty to peaty quartz sand, silt and clay. Ferruginous and humic cementation in places with common shell layers.</p> <p>Rwa indicates the residual soils within the site to be underlain by Triassic Age Shale of the Wianamatta Group, comprising black to dark grey shale and laminite.</p>					
<p>Presence of Acid Sulphate Soils</p> <p><i>Review of NSW Department of Land & Water Conservation (DLWC) Acid Sulphate Soil Risk Maps (Edition Two, December 1997, Scale 1:250,000).</i></p>	<p>A review of the “Botany Bay” map indicated that the site is located in “No known occurrences” of acid sulphate soil material within the soil profile.</p> <p>However, it is noted that the site is located in the vicinity of X2 - disturbed terrain area.</p>					
<p>Localised Hydrogeology</p> <p>Review of DPI (Office of Water) Database.</p> <p>Appendix D – DPI (Office of Water) Database Records.</p>	<p>Number</p>	<p>Location from Site</p>	<p>Depth (m BGL)</p>	<p>SWL (m BGL)</p>	<p>Use</p>	<p>Water Bearing Zones</p>
	GW113092	620m NW	5.0	-	Monitoring Bore	-
	GW113093	620m NW	5.6	-	Monitoring Bore	-
	GW113094	620m NW	4.85	-	Monitoring Bore	-

	GW113095	620m NW	5.0	-	Monitoring Bore	-
	GW113096	620m NW	5.6	-	Monitoring Bore	-
	GW113097	620m NW	6.0	-	Monitoring Bore	-
	GW113098	620m NW	6.0	-	Monitoring Bore	-
	GW113099	620m NW	6.0	-	Monitoring Bore	-
Nearest Surface Water Body	The nearest downgradient watercourse is Hawthorne Canal located approximate 60m west of the site.					
Local Meteorology (Bureau of Meteorology BOM website) Appendix E – BOM Data.	The monthly rainfall of the local surrounding area is represented by the data collected from the BOM rainfall gauge located in Marrickville Golf Club, which is located approximately 3.3km from Leichhardt. The records indicate that the annual mean rainfall recorded was 1010.8mm.					
Nearest Active Service Station (Google Maps Search)	335m southwest of the site.					
Nearest Dry Cleaner Shop (Google Maps Search)	430m northeast of the site					

6.0 REVIEW OF CONSTRUCTION AND SERVICE INFORMATION

6.1 Proposed Development

The existing buildings form of the site consists of a series of brick warehouse style buildings with sheds and/or extensions and paved outdoor carpark and driveway. A smaller building is located on the south east corner of the site facing Lords Road and Davies Lane. The site is proposed to be rezoned & redeveloped into four mixed use buildings including single level basement, commercial and retail space, a total of two hundred and twenty apartments including one hundred and sixty affordable housing dwellings and sixty seniors independent living units and communal open space area.

Refer to **Appendix F** - Concept Development Plans.

7.0 SITE VISIT

7.1 General

The site was visited on the 15th June 2022 by Foundation Earth Sciences Environmental Scientists to inspect the site for any potential sources of contamination.

The following items were considered as part of the site visit:

- Description of the building structures;
- Site surroundings;
- Present and past industrial processes and operations at the site;
- Surface water, groundwater, stormwater and sewer;
- Present and past storage of chemicals and wastes associated with site use and their on-site location;
- Waste management practices and management of hazardous materials;
- Presence of Underground Storage Tanks or Above Ground Storage Tanks;
- Odour; and
- Occupational health and safety.

7.2 Site observations

At the time of the site visit the following observations were made as per the following table:

Table 6: Site Inspection Review

Factors Considered	Description of Sites
Buildings & Structures on Site	The existing buildings form of the site consists of a series of brick warehouse style buildings with sheds and/or extensions and paved outdoor carpark and driveway. A smaller building is located on the south east corner of the site facing Lords Road and Davies Lane.
Percentage Hard-standing surface	Approximately 80-90 %
Concrete Condition	Average to poor
Chemical Storage	Chemical storage was not noted at the time of the site inspection in accessible areas.
Above and Underground Storage Tanks	No above storage tanks areas were noted at the time of the site inspection in accessible areas.
Trade Waste Pits	No trade waste pits were identified at the site.
Nearby Electrical Transformers	A electricity sub-station was observed within the property in the southwestern corner.
Asbestos	No fibro cement sheeting was identified within the boreholes and not observed in accessible areas during the inspection. However, one tenant mentioned the site may have been used for asbestos landfill purpose at the north western corner.
Site Vegetation	Appeared healthy.
Soil Staining and Odours	No odours were identified within the property. No significant soil staining was noted during the inspection.
Stormwater and Sewer	Stormwater was connected to the local utilities.

Refer to **Figure 2** - Site Features, Borehole Locations and Exceedance Plan and **Appendix C** – Site Photographs.

8.0 PRELIMINARY CONCEPTUAL SITE MODEL (CSM)

Based on the above information, site history and site walkover, the areas of potential concern and associated contaminants for the site CSM were identified. These are summarised in the following table.

Table 7: Areas and Contaminants of Concern

Known and potential contamination source	Associated Contaminants
<i>Historical Site Uses (commercial & industrial)</i>	Heavy Metals, TRH, BTEX, PAH, OCP, PCB, VOC & Asbestos
<i>Surrounding Land Use (railway)</i>	TRH, BTEX & PAH, VOC
<i>USTs</i>	TRH, BTEX, HM & PAH
<i>Potential Pesticides Use</i>	OCP, OPP
<i>Imported Fill</i>	Heavy Metals, TRH, BTEX, PAH, OCP, PCB & Asbestos
<i>Car parking Areas</i>	TRH, BTEX, PAH
<i>Building degradation/ Demolition</i>	Heavy Metals and Asbestos

Table 8: Potentially Contaminated Media

Known and potential contamination source	Associated Contaminants
<i>Fill Material</i>	There is the potential for contamination to be present in the upper fill material.
<i>Groundwater</i>	There is the potential for the leaching of contaminants into groundwater onsite and also migration of the contaminants.
<i>Soil Vapour</i>	Given the site history and surrounding land uses, soil vapour is considered to a potential contaminated media.

Potential for Migration

Contaminants generally migrate from site via a combination of windblown dusts, rainwater infiltration, groundwater migration and surface water runoff. The potential for contaminants to migrate is a combination of:

- The nature of the contaminants (solid/liquid and mobility characteristics);
- The extent of the contaminants (isolated or widespread);
- The location of the contaminants (surface soils or at depth); and
- The site topography, geology, hydrology and hydrogeology.

The potential contaminants identified as part of the site history review & site inspection are present in solid (e.g. impacted fill, asbestos), liquid (e.g. dissolved in water) and gaseous/ vapour forms.

Aerial photography has indicated that there were unsealed ground surfaces and therefore, there is the potential for migration of contaminants via wind-blown dust.

Rainfall infiltration at the site is expected to occur in unsealed areas. There is therefore the potential that soil contamination could result in impacts to groundwater.

Potential Exposure Pathways

Potential exposure pathways include:

- Dermal;
- Ingestion; and
- Inhalation.

Due to the presence of exposed potentially impacted soil/fill on ground surfaces, dermal and inhalation exposure is considered a potential exposure pathway.

The potential for ingestion of soil is considered as a potential exposure pathway. Although groundwater is not used at the site, there is the potential, for ingestion of contaminants via groundwater removed from monitoring wells.

A basement is proposed for the development. Because of this dermal and inhalation exposure pathway by potentially contaminated groundwater is considered a risk potential.

Receptors

Potential receptors of environmental impact present within the site which will be required to be addressed with respect to the suitability of the site for the proposed use include:

- Excavation/construction/maintenance workers conducting activities at the site, who may potentially be exposed to COPCs through direct contact with impacted soils, Vapour Intrusion and/or groundwater present within excavations and/or inhalation of dusts/fibres associated with impacted soils;
- Future occupants/users of the site may potentially be exposed to COPCs through direct contact with impacted soils and/or ingestion of impacted soils and/or inhalation of dusts/fibres associated with impacted soils and/or exposure to vapour; and/or
- Offsite sensitive receptors of groundwater; and/or
- Flora species to be established on vegetated areas of the site.

- Hawthorne Canal

Preferential Pathways

For the purpose of this assessment, preferential pathways have been identified as natural and/or man-made pathways that result in the preferential migration of COPCs as either liquids or gases.

Man-made preferential pathways are present throughout the site, generally associated with fill materials and services present beneath existing ground surface. Fill materials and service lines are anticipated to have a higher permeability than the underlying natural soil and/or bedrock.

The Ausgrid plan indicated services along boundary of Lords Road with increase in density in the south western portion of the site. The inner west council plans indicate a storm water pipe along western boundary at 75 Lords Road. It is noted that these associated underground services are considered as a potential preferential pathway.

9.0 REVIEW OF DATA QUALITY OBJECTIVES

The DQOs were also prepared using Appendix IV of the Site Auditor Guidelines. These require 7 steps. The steps being

- a. State the problem
- b. Identify the decisions
- c. Identify inputs to decision
- d. Define the study boundaries
- e. Develop a decision rule
- f. Specify limits on decision errors
- g. Optimise the design for obtaining data

9.1 State the Problem

The site requires to be confirmed suitable for the proposed development. The site is proposed to be redeveloped and has had some areas of potential concern, those being historical & current land uses, USTs, surrounding land use, possible areas of imported fill of unknown origin, degradation of the building materials and leakages from vehicles on site.

Technically defensible evidence needs to be provided so that the identified Site does not present an unacceptable risk to human health or the environment and is suitable for the intended land use.

9.2 Identify the Decisions

The decisions to be made on the contamination and the new environmental data required includes considering relevant site contamination criteria for each medium (fill, soil and sediment). A proposed use of the 95% UCL on the mean concentrations for all soil chemicals of potential concern must be less than the site criteria identified for the relevant land use suitability.

The decisions made in completing this assessment are as follows:

- Does the site or is the site likely to present a risk of harm to humans or the environment
- Is the site currently suitable for the proposed land use including residential and recreational?
- Is there a potential for soil and groundwater contamination?
- Is there a potential for offsite migration issues?
- Do the sampling results meet the site criteria proposed?
- If not, does the site require remediation works

9.3 Identify Inputs to Decision

This step requires the identification of the environmental variables/characteristics that need measuring, identification of which media (fill, soil etc.) need to be collected, identification of the site criteria for each medium of concern and appropriate analytical testing. Inputs include:

- Existing site information

- Site history
- Regional geology, topography and hydrogeology
- Potential contaminants
- Proposed Land Use
- Site assessment criteria
- Results as measured against criteria

9.4 Define the Study Boundaries

Specific spatial and temporal aspects must be provided to identify the boundaries of the investigation and to identify any restrictions that may hinder the assessment process. The site is located at 67-75 Lords Road, Leichhardt NSW. The site is approximately 10,691m² in area.

9.5 Develop a Decision Rule

The information obtained through this assessment will be used to characterise the soils and the groundwater on the site in terms of contamination issues and risks to human health and the environment. The decision rule in characterising the site will be as follows:

- Laboratory test results will be measured against the criteria provided within this report
- The site will be deemed suitable for the proposed use if the following criteria are fulfilled:
 - Soil and groundwater concentrations are within background levels
 - QA/QC shows data can be relied upon
 - Results generally meet regulatory criteria

- Results are from NATA accredited laboratories
- Detection limits are below assessment criteria
- Results can be shown to be of minimal concern

9.6 Specify Limits on Decision Errors

The limits on decision errors for this assessment are as follows:

- The assessment criteria adopted from the guidelines within this report have risk probabilities already incorporated.
- The acceptable limits for inter/intra laboratory duplicate sample comparisons are laid out within our protocols.
- The acceptable limits for laboratory QA/QC parameters are based upon the laboratory reported acceptable limits and those stated within the NEPM 2013 Guidelines.

9.7 Optimise the Design for Obtaining Data

A resource-effective sampling and analysis design was undertaken for data collection that satisfies the DQO's. The sampling and analytical plan is designed to avoid Type 1 and Type 2 errors and includes defining minimum sample numbers required to detect contamination as determined with procedures provided in the NSW EPA 1995 Sampling Design Guidelines and AS 4482.1 - 2005 and appropriate quality control procedures.

Furthermore, only laboratories accredited by NATA for the analysis undertaken were used. The laboratory data was assessed from quality data calculated during this assessment. Field QA/QC protocols adopted and incorporate traceable documentation

of procedures used in the sampling and analytical program and in data verification procedures.

10.0 INTRUSIVE SOIL INVESTIGATION

The intrusive soil investigation took place on the 15th June 2022 and was designed to meet the Data Quality Objectives.

10.1 Soil Assessment

Twenty four (24) primary soil samples were recovered from twenty three (23) borehole locations spread across the site and were labelled BH1 to BH23. These locations were selected to detect any contamination that may have originated from past and present activities, and due to potential excavation and future development in these areas.

Table 9: Sampling Information - Soil

Analyte / Analyte Group		SAMPLING DATE	DUPLICATE & SPLIT	pH/CEC/ %CLAY	HEAVY METALS (8)	TRH	BTEX	VOC	PFAS	OPP	Asbestos ID	Asbestos %w/w	TRH C6-C10 & BTEXN	OCP PCB	
Sample	Depth (m)														
FES Rem/Val 2022															
BH1	0.4-0.5	15.06.2022		x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x			x	
BH2	0.2-0.3	15.06.2022	D2/SS2	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x			x	
BH3	0.5-0.6	15.06.2022			x	x	x	x	x	x	x			x	
BH4	0.2-0.3	15.06.2022	D1/SS1		x	x	x	x	x	x	x			x	
BH5	0.4-0.5	15.06.2022			x	x	x				x			x	
BH6	0.3-0.4	15.06.2022			x	x	x				x			x	
BH7	0.2-0.3	15.06.2022			x	x	x				x			x	
BH8	0.5-0.6	15.06.2022			x	x	x				x			x	
BH9	0.4-0.5	15.06.2022			x	x	x				x			x	
BH10	0.7-0.8	15.06.2022			x	x	x				x			x	
BH11	0.5-0.6	15.06.2022			x	x	x				x			x	
BH12	0.2-0.3	15.06.2022			x	x	x				x			x	
BH13	0.3-0.4	15.06.2022			x	x	x	x	x	x	x			x	
BH14	2.0-2.1	15.06.2022			x	x	x								
BH15	0.6-0.7	15.06.2022			x	x	x				x			x	
BH16	0.5-0.6	15.06.2022			x	x	x				x			x	
BH17	0.3-0.4	15.06.2022			x	x	x				x			x	
BH18	0.5-0.6	15.06.2022			x	x	x				x			x	
BH19	0.7-0.8	15.06.2022			x	x	x				x			x	
BH20	0.2-0.3	15.06.2022			x	x	x				x			x	
BH21	0.3-0.4	15.06.2022			x	x	x				x			x	
BH22	0.6-0.7	15.06.2022			x	x	x				x			x	
BH23	0.5-0.6	15.06.2022			x	x	x				x			x	
BH24	0.4-0.5	15.06.2022			x	x	x				x			x	
TS1	-	15.06.2022											x		
TB1	-	15.06.2022											x		

The locations of the boreholes and samples are shown in **Figure 2** and details of the boreholes are presented in **Appendix G – Borehole Logs**.

Based on information from all boreholes, the surface and sub-surface profile across the site is generalised as follows:

- Fill: Silty Gravelly Clay, Silty Gravelly Sand, Silty Sand, Sandy Clay, Clayey Sand, Road Base/ Silty gravel,
- Natural: Silty CLAY;
- Bedrock: SANDSTONE, weathered.

10.2 Sampling Density and Rationale

The NSW EPA “Sampling Design Guidelines” (September 1995) requires a minimum sampling density of twenty-two (22) sampling points for a site approximately area of 10,691m².

Twenty-four (24) primary soil samples were recovered from Twenty-three (23) borehole locations via drill rig and/or hand auger spread across the site and were labelled BH1 to BH23. The locations were drilled by adopting a systematic grid-based sampling pattern across the site and to provide general site coverage with consideration given to accessibility and limitations in relation to underground services & access.

10.3 Sampling Methodology

In summary:

- Soil samples were collected directly from the push tube / split spoon sampler and/or directly from the excavator bucket.
- Soil samples were collected using a hand auger, DCP and U50 to collect undisturbed samples.
- Samples were transferred directly into appropriately labelled clean laboratory supplied containers;

- Samples were transferred into chilled eskies for sample preservation;
- A Chain of Custody was completed and forwarded to the laboratory. Sampling analysis was based on field observations and were in accordance to the schedule outlined in Section 12.
- Soil samples were submitted to their respective laboratories as specified in Section 12.4.

11.0 GROUNDWATER INVESTIGATION

11.1 Groundwater Assessment

Foundation Earth Sciences installed four groundwater monitoring wells on the 15th June 2022 as part of the Detailed Site Investigation. Samples were recovered from four (4) groundwater wells labelled as BH1/GW1 to BH4/GW4. The schedule of analysis is provided below:

Table 10: Sampling Information – Groundwater

SAMPLE ID	SAMPLING DATE	HEAVY METALS	TRH	BTEX	PAH	VOC	TRH C6-C10 & BTEXN
FES DSI							
GW1	21.06.2022	X	X	X	X	X	
GW2	21.06.2022	X	X	X	X	X	
GW3	21.06.2022	X	X	X	X	X	
GW4	21.06.2022	X	X	X	X	X	
GWD1	21.06.2022	X	X	X	X	X	
GWSS1	21.06.2022	X	X	X	X	X	
TS1	21.06.2022					X	X
TB1	21.06.2022					X	X

The location of the groundwater wells are shown in **Figure 2 – Site Features, Borehole Locations and Exceedance Plan** and details of the boreholes are presented in **Appendix G – Borehole Logs**.

Refer to **Appendix I – Field Record Forms & Appendix L – Calibration Certificate**.

11.2 Groundwater Methodology

Groundwater monitoring wells were constructed on the 15TH June 2022 by adopting the following methodology:

- 50mm diameter, Class 18PVC threaded and flush joined casing and 0.45 machine-slotted screens were used;
- Coarse, washed sand and gravel was placed in the annulus surrounding the piping to a height of the screen;
- Bentonite pellets were placed in the annulus to form an impermeable plug near the top of the well to prevent surface runoff from entering directly into the well;
- Bentonite pellets were placed in the annulus to form an impermeable plug near the top encountered bedrock;
- A PVC cap was placed on the casing;
- 100mm diameter stainless steel flushed covers were used for all well finishes and concreted onto the ground surface.

Table 11: Summary of Well Construction Details

Well ID	Total Depth (m)	Screening (m)	Surface Level (RL)	Water Bearing	Comment
BH1 /GW1	4.3	1.3-4.3	-	CLAY/SANDSTONE	Current
BH2 /GW2	4.9	1.9-4.9	-	CLAY/SANDSTONE	Current
BH3 /GW3	4.7	1.7-4.7	-	CLAY/SANDSTONE	Current
BH4 /GW4	4.0	1.0-4.0	-	CLAY/SANDSTONE	Current

The following works were carried out upon completion of the well installations:

- The wells were developed by removing at least three well volumes until groundwater parameters reached equilibrium and no further turbidity improvements were observed.

Drilling and installation of the monitoring wells was carried out on the 15th June 2022, using a combination of solid stem & hollow flight auguring, under supervision of Foundation Earth Sciences.

11.3 Groundwater Sample Collection

Groundwater sampling was undertaken on the 21st June 2022. Prior to sampling, the resting water level was recorded within the well while checking for the presence of phase separated hydrocarbon.

Sampling was completed using a low flow peristaltic pump – a low flow/minimum drawdown sampling technique used to minimise any disturbance to the aquifer.

Field measured parameters were collected using a certified and calibrated In-situ YSI water quality meter. Samples were collected when field measured parameters (pH, electrical conductivity, redox potential, dissolved oxygen and temperature) had stabilised. The samples were placed into appropriate laboratory supplied bottles and preserved on ice. The peri pump and other sampling equipment were decontaminated before and after use to avoid possible cross contamination. All samples collected were preserved on ice and couriered directly to the laboratory under COC documentation.

11.4 Groundwater Observations

Table 12: Groundwater Elevations & Observations

Well ID	Well Depth	Surface Level RL	Groundwater Depth Measured (m BGL)	Groundwater Level (RL)	PSH Depth
BH1 /GW1	4.3	-	1.0	-	None
BH2 /GW2	4.9	-	1.2	-	None
BH3 /GW3	4.7	-	4.0	-	None
BH4 /GW4	4.0	-	3.0	-	None

The inferred groundwater direction is to the southeast. The field measured parameters (pH), electrical conductivity (EC), redox potential, dissolved oxygen (DO) and temperature recorded during purging, were allowed to stabilise prior sampling. Final stabilised groundwater field parameters are summarised in below.

Table 13: Groundwater Field Results

Well ID	Date	pH	Electrical Conductivity (EC us/cm)	Redox Potential (ORP mV)	Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L)	Temperature (*C)
GW1	21.06.2022	5.43	511.7	71.8	3.98	14.0
GW2	21.06.2022	3.97	455.9	50.9	20.5	11.5
GW3	21.06.2022	7.84	892	60.6	4.25	12.8
GW4	21.06.2022	7.22	897	47.9	4.66	12.8

The results of the field parameters measured are summarised as follows:

- pH reading indicated the groundwater is acidic at GW1 & GW2 & slightly basic at GW3 & GW4;
- The EC reading indicated generally fresh water to brackish; and

- Redox reading indicated oxidised conditions.

11.5 Catchment Location

It is noted that the groundwater at the site is likely to flow into the Parramatta River & Sydney Harbour Catchment area. This catchment is highly affected by urban development. Because of the extent of development, the waterways are affected by poor water quality and a changed flow regime. The waterways have been modified, with creeks channelized or hard edged with concrete, wetlands have been degraded or destroyed, infiltration of weeds and rubbish is also a significant issue.

As the groundwater is expected to flow into Hawthorne Canal then Parramatta River, the water quality objectives that apply to the site are considered to reflect the objectives outlined in waterways affected by urban development (Upper Estuary). The WQO include:

- Maintaining healthy aquatic ecosystems and their riparian zones over the long term;
 - Some indicators include total phosphorus, total nitrogen, chlorophyll-a, turbidity, dissolved oxygen, ph., temperature, chemical toxicants, biological assessment
- Visual amenity and aesthetics.
 - Improved by protecting aquatic ecosystems and improving stormwater management;
 - Some indicators include visual clarity and colour, surface films / debris, nuisance organisms;
- Secondary contact recreation (boating / fishing);

- Objectives is based on maintaining / improving water quality for activities such as boating and wading, where there is a low probability of water being swallowed.
- Some indicators include faecal coliforms, algae, chemical contaminants, surface film, visual clarity / odour & nuisance organisms
- Primary contact recreation (swimming which is a longer-term objective)
 - Objectives is based on maintaining / improving water quality for activities where there is a high probability of water being swallowed.
 - Some indicators include faecal coliforms, algae, chemical contaminants, surface film, visual clarity / odour & nuisance organisms.
- Aquatic Foods (cooked)

As the groundwater is expected to flow into Parramatta River, the river flow objectives (RFO) that apply to the site are considered to reflect the objectives outlined in waterways affected by urban development (Upper Estuary). The RFO include:

- Manage Groundwater for Ecosystems;
- Maintain wetland and floodplain inundation;
- Minimise effects of weirs and other structures; and
- Maintain or rehabilitate estuarine processes and habitats.

It is noted that drinking water (disinfection only, or, clarification & disinfection and groundwater) is not considered a water quality objective for the Sydney Harbour and Parramatta River Catchments.

12.0 QUALITY ASSURANCE / QUALITY CONTROL

12.1 General QA/QC

The frequency required for each field quality assurance / quality control (QA/QC) sample is presented in the table below.

Table 14: QA/QCs Frequencies

	Intra Lab	Inter Lab	Rinsate	Spikes	Blanks
Sampling Frequency	1 in 20	1 in 20	1/day	1/day	1/day

During the contamination assessment the integrity of data collected is considered vital. With the assessment of the site, a number of measures were taken to ensure the quality of the data. These are as follows:

12.2 Sample Containers

Soil samples collected during the investigation were placed immediately into laboratory prepared glass jars with Teflon lid inserts. Standard identification labels were adhered to each individual container and labelled according to depth, date, sampling team and media collected.

12.3 Decontamination

All equipment used in the sampling program was decontaminated prior to use and between samples to prevent cross contamination. Decontamination of equipment involved the following procedures:

- Cleaning equipment in potable water to remove gross contamination;
- Cleaning in a solution of Decon 90;
- Rinsing in clean demineralised water then wiping with clean lint free cloths;

Foundation Earth Sciences also adopted a sampling gradient of lowest to highest potential contamination to minimise the impact of cross contamination. This gradient was determined from the historical review and the on-site inspection that was carried out prior to sampling.

Although Foundation Earth Sciences maintains consistent sampling procedures, a rinsate sample is obtained to ensure false positive samples are not generated and that decontamination procedures are effective in preventing cross contamination. The Rinsate water is collected after being in contact generally with the trowel used for sampling. Analytical results that target the contaminants of concern are compared to a blank sample, which is taken directly from the rinsate water container supplied by the laboratory.

A rinsate sample was not collected as the samples were taken either directly from the excavator bucket, push tube / split spoon sampler or U50 tube and therefore the chance for cross-contamination was minimal.

12.4 Sample Tracking, Identification and Holding Times

All samples were forwarded to Envirolab and Eurofins under recognised chain of custodies with clear identification outlining the date, location, sampler and sample ID. All samples were recorded by the laboratory as meeting their respective holding times. The sample tracking system is considered adequate for the purposes of sample collection.

12.5 Sample Transport

All samples were packed into an esky with ice from the time of collection. A trip blank and trip spike are collected where appropriate. These were transported under chain of custody from the site to Envirolab Pty Ltd and SGS, both NATA registered laboratories. During the project, the laboratory reported that all the samples arrived intact and were analysed within holding times for the respective analytes.

Samples were kept below 4°C at all times, soil samples submitted for asbestos analysis are not required to be kept below 4°C.

12.6 Trip Spike

Trip Spike samples were obtained from the laboratory prior to conducting field sampling where volatile substances are suspected. Foundation Earth Sciences QA/QC procedures

for the collection of environmental samples involves the collection of trip blanks, trip spikes and duplicate samples both intra and inter laboratory.

12.7 Trip Blank

A trip blank accompanied the sampling for the sampling process and is not separated from the sample collection and transportation process. The purpose of the trip blank is to identify whether cross-contamination is occurring during the sample collection and transport process.

12.8 Field Duplicate Samples

The tables below list the duplicate soil samples collected with their corresponding primary samples.

Table 15: Soil Field Duplicate Samples

Primary Sample	Sample Depth (m BGL)	Intra Duplicate	Inter Duplicate	Date Sampled
BH4	0.2-0.3	D1	SS1	15.06.2022
BH2	0.2-0.3	D2	SS2	15.06.2022

Table 16: Groundwater Field Duplicate Samples

Primary Sample	Screen Zone (m bgl)	Intra Duplicate	Inter Duplicate	Date Sampled
BH1/GW1	1.3-4.3m	GWD1	GWSS1	21.06.2022

Field duplicate samples for soil were prepared in the field through the following process:

- A larger than normal quantity of soil is recovered from the sample location selected for duplication.
- Two Portions of the sub-sample are immediately transferred, one for an intra-laboratory duplicate and another as a sample.
- Samples are placed into a labelled, laboratory supplied 250ml glass jar and sealed with an airtight, Teflon screw top lid. The fully filled jars are labelled as the sample and duplicate and immediately placed in a chilled esky.

Soil Intra-Laboratory duplicate samples were sent to Envirolab while Inter-Laboratory duplicate samples were sent to SGS.

A summary of the test results with the Relative Percentage Difference (RPD) is presented in the following tables.

The comparisons between the duplicates and original samples indicate acceptable RPDs when they comply with criteria which are commonly set at:

- less than 30% for inorganics and 50% for organics
- greater than five (5) times the laboratory limit of recording (LOR)
- greater than 50% of the relevant health investigation level (HIL) concentration.

The tables, below, give details of intra laboratory and inter laboratory duplicates.

Table 17a: Intra-lab Soil Sample D1 RPDs

ANALYTE	BH4 0.2-0.3 mg/kg	Envriolab D1 mg/kg	RELATIVE PERCENTAGE DIFFERENCE %
HEAVY METALS			
Arsenic	5	6	18
Cadmium	0.7	1	-
Chromium	10	10	0
Copper	94	67	34
Lead	95	140	38
Mercury	<0.1	0.1	-
Nickel	5	4	22
Zinc	160	210	27
TRH			
C10-C16	<50	<50	-
C16C34	<100	<100	-
C34-C40	<100	<100	-
BTEX			
Benzene	<0.2	<0.2	-
Toulene	<0.5	<0.5	-
Ethylbenzene	<1	<1	-
Xylenes - Total	<1	<1	-
POLYCYCLIC HYDROCARBONS (PAH)			
Benzo(a)pyrene	1.1	0.97	13
Total PAH	8.7	8.2	6
ORGANOCHLORINE PESTICIDES			
Heptachlor	<0.1	<0.1	-
Aldrin+Dieldrin	<0.1	<0.1	-
DDD	<0.1	<0.1	-
DDE	<0.1	<0.1	-
DDT	<0.1	<0.1	-
Chlordane (trans & cis)	<0.1	<0.1	-
POLYCHLORINATED BIPHENYLS			
Total PCB	<0.1	<0.1	-

Table 17b: Intra-lab Soil Sample D2 RPDs

ANALYTE	BH2 0.2-0.3 mg/kg	Envriolab D2 mg/kg	RELATIVE PERCENTAGE DIFFERENCE %
HEAVY METALS			
Arsenic	<4	<4	-
Cadmium	0.4	0.5	-
Chromium	12	18	40
Copper	56	76	30
Lead	7	8	13
Mercury	<0.1	<0.1	-
Nickel	41	35	16
Zinc	47	50	6
TRH			
C10-C16	<50	<50	-
C16C34	<100	<100	-
C34-C40	<100	180	-
BTEX			
Benzene	<0.2	<0.2	-
Toulene	<0.5	<0.5	-
Ethylbenzene	<1	<1	-
Xylenes - Total	<1	<1	-
POLYCYCLIC HYDROCARBONS (PAH)			
Benzo(a)pyrene	<0.05	<0.05	-
Total PAH	<0.05	<0.05	-
ORGANOCHLORINE PESTICIDES			
Heptachlor	<0.1	<0.1	-
Aldrin+Dieldrin	<0.1	<0.1	-
DDD	<0.1	<0.1	-
DDE	<0.1	<0.1	-
DDT	<0.1	<0.1	-
Chlordane (trans & cis)	<0.1	<0.1	-
POLYCHLORINATED BIPHENYLS			
Total PCB	<0.1	<0.1	-

Table 18: Intra-lab Groundwater Sample GWD1 RPDs

ANALYTE	Envirolab GW1 ug/l	Duplicate GWD1 ug/l	RELATIVE PERCENTAGE DIFFERENCE %
HEAVY METALS			
Arsenic	<1	<1	-
Cadmium	0.5	0.5	0
Chromium	<1	<1	-
Copper	<1	120	-
Lead	<1	<1	-
Mercury	<0.05	<0.05	-
Nickel	6	6	0
Zinc	33	30	10
TRH			
C6-C10 (F1)	<10	<10	-
C10-C16 (F2)	<50	<50	-
BTEX			
Benzene	<1	<1	-
Toulene	<1	<1	-
Ethylbenzene	<1	<1	-
Xylenes - Total	<3	<3	-
POLYCYCLIC HYDROCARBONS (PAH)			
Benzo(a)pyrene	<0.1	<0.1	-
Naphthalene	<0.2	<0.2	-
VOC			
VINYL CHLORIDE	<10	<10	-
TRICHLOROETHENE	<1	<1	-
CIS-1,2-DICHLOROETHENE	<1	<1	-
Chloroform	<1	<1	-

The comparisons between the intra-laboratory duplicates and corresponding original samples for soil and groundwater indicated generally acceptable RPD.

Table 19a: Inter-lab Soil Sample SS1 RPDs

ANALYTE	BH4 0.2-0.3 mg/kg	SGS SS1 mg/kg	RELATIVE PERCENTAGE DIFFERENCE %
HEAVY METALS			
Arsenic	5	9	57
Cadmium	0.7	1.2	-
Chromium	10	9.8	2
Copper	94	91	3
Lead	95	170	57
Mercury	<0.1	0.22	-
Nickel	5	4.3	15
Zinc	160	260	48
TRH			
C10-C16	<50	<25	-
C16C34	<100	<90	-
C34-C40	<100	<120	-
BTEX			
Benzene	<0.2	<0.1	-
Toulene	<0.5	<0.1	-
Ethylbenzene	<1	<0.1	-
Xylenes - Total	<1	<0.3	-
POLYCYCLIC HYDROCARBONS (PAH)			
Benzo(a)pyrene	1.1	1.1	0
Total PAH	8.7	11	23
ORGANOCHLORINE PESTICIDES			
Heptachlor	<0.1	<0.1	-
Aldrin+Dieldrin	<0.1	<0.2	-
DDD	<0.1	<0.1	-
DDE	<0.1	<0.1	-
DDT	<0.1	<0.1	-
Chlordane (trans & cis)	<0.1	<0.1	-
POLYCHLORINATED BIPHENYLS			
Total PCB	<0.1	<0.1	-

Table 19b: Inter-lab Soil Sample SS2 RPDs

ANALYTE	BH2 0.2-0.3 mg/kg	SGS SS2 mg/kg	RELATIVE PERCENTAGE DIFFERENCE %
HEAVY METALS			
Arsenic	<4	2	-
Cadmium	0.4	0.5	-
Chromium	12	10	18
Copper	56	42	29
Lead	7	7	0
Mercury	<0.1	<0.1	-
Nickel	41	35	16
Zinc	47	45	4
TRH			
C10-C16	<50	<25	-
C16C34	<100	<90	-
C34-C40	<100	<120	-
BTEX			
Benzene	<0.2	<0.1	-
Toulene	<0.5	<0.1	-
Ethylbenzene	<1	<0.1	-
Xylenes - Total	<1	<0.3	-
POLYCYCLIC HYDROCARBONS (PAH)			
Benzo(a)pyrene	<0.05	<0.1	-
Total PAH	<0.05	<0.8	-
ORGANOCHLORINE PESTICIDES			
Heptachlor	<0.1	<0.1	-
Aldrin+Dieldrin	<0.1	<0.2	-
DDD	<0.1	<0.1	-
DDE	<0.1	<0.1	-
DDT	<0.1	<0.1	-
Chlordane (trans & cis)	<0.1	<0.1	-
POLYCHLORINATED BIPHENYLS			
Total PCB	<0.1	<0.1	-

Table 20: Inter-lab Groundwater Sample GWSS1 RPDs

ANALYTE	Envirolab GW1 ug/l	Split GWSS1 ug/l	RELATIVE PERCENTAGE DIFFERENCE %
HEAVY METALS			
Arsenic	<1	1	-
Cadmium	0.5	0.4	22
Chromium	<1	<1	-
Copper	<1	<1	-
Lead	<1	<1	-
Mercury	<0.05	<0.1	-
Nickel	6	7	15
Zinc	33	38	14
TRH			
C6-C10 (F1)	<10	<50	-
C10-C16 (F2)	<50	<60	-
BTEX			
Benzene	<1	<0.5	-
Toulene	<1	<0.5	-
Ethylbenzene	<1	<0.5	-
Xylenes - Total	<3	<1.5	-
POLYCYCLIC HYDROCARBONS (PAH)			
Benzo(a)pyrene	<0.1	<0.1	-
Naphthalene	<0.2	<0.1	-
VOC			
VINYL CHLORIDE	<10	<0.5	-
TRICHLOROETHENE	<1	<0.5	-
CIS-1,2-DICHLOROETHENE	<1	<0.5	-
Chloroform	<1	<0.5	-

The comparisons between the inter-laboratory duplicates and corresponding original samples for soil and groundwater indicated generally acceptable RPD with the exception of a few heavy metal analytes in soil sample SS1 which exceeded the DQOs for this project, however, these exceedances are not considered significant because they are most likely due to the heterogeneity of the sample or low concentrations within the sample.

Field duplicates provide an indication of the whole investigation process, including the sampling process, sample preparation and analysis. The accuracy of the data is considered to be adequate due to the effect on confidence intervals with low concentrations in the samples and their duplicates.

12.9 Trip Spike and Trip Blank Results

Trip Spike samples were obtained from the laboratory prior to conducting field sampling where volatile substances are suspected. Trip spike and trip blank samples were collected to assess the effect of sample handling on volatile concentrations in the samples collected and the results are listed in the tables below:

Table 21: Trip Spike

ANALYTE	TS1 Trip Spike % Soil (mg/kg) 15.06.2022	ANALYTE	TS1 Trip Spike % water (ug/L) 21.06.2022
BTEX		BTEX	
Benzene	96%	Benzene	94%
Toluene	98%	Toluene	87%
Ethyl Benzene	98%	Ethyl Benzene	101%
O-Xylenes	98%	O-Xylenes	100%
M & P Xylenes	98%	M & P Xylenes	100%

Results discussed in Section 12.11

Table 22: Trip Blank

ANALYTE	Trip Blank Soil (TB1) mg/kg 15.06.2022	ANALYTE	Trip Blank water (TB1) ug/L 21.06.2022
TRH C6-C10	<25	TRH C6-C10	<10
BTEX Naphthalene	<1	BTEX Naphthalene	<1
Benzene	<0.2	Benzene	<1
Toluene	<0.5	Toluene	<1
Ethyl Benzene	<1	Ethyl Benzene	<1
Total Xylenes	<1	Total Xylenes	<3

Results discussed in Section 12.11

12.10 Laboratory QA/QC

The integrity of analytical data provides the second step in the QA/QC process for total data compliance. The data validation techniques adopted by Foundation Earth Sciences are based upon techniques published by the US EPA and in line with methods and guidelines adopted by the NSW EPA and outlined in the NEPM, 2013.

Descriptions are provided of the specific mechanisms used in the assessment of accuracy, precision and useability of analytical data within the project.

Refer to **Appendix H-** NATA Accredited Laboratory Certificates.

12.11 QA/QC Results

The QA/QC results for soil collected at the site are summarised in the table below:

Table 23: QA/QC Results Summary

Data Quality Indicator	Results	DQI Met
Completeness		
<i>Soil & Groundwater</i>		
Data from critical samples is considered valid	Data is considered valid	Yes
Satisfactory frequency / result for QC samples	The QC results are considered adequate for the purpose of the investigation.	Yes
Field documentation completed	Field records are complete	Yes
Boreholes logs & COCs completed and holding times complied with	Logs, COCs and holding times have been completed and complied.	Yes
Comparability		
<i>Soil & Groundwater</i>		
Standard operating procedures used	Yes	Yes
Consistent field conditions, sampling staff and laboratory analysis	Sampling was conducted by Foundation Earth Sciences scientists operating under the SOPs. The laboratories remained consistent throughout the investigation	Yes
Same analytical methods used	All analytical methods used between laboratories were based on the USEPA/APHA methods	Yes
Limit of reporting appropriate and consistent	The LORs were the same within each laboratory but differed between the primary and secondary laboratories. This is not considered as non-conformances.	Yes

Representativeness		
Soil & Groundwater		
Sampling appropriate for media and analytes	All sampling was conducted in accordance with Foundation Earth Sciences SOPs with the exception of <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In Laboratory certificate Envirolab 298140 R00, excessive sample volume was provided for asbestos analysis. A portion of the supplied sample was sub-sampled according to envirolab procedures. They cannot guarantee that this sub-sample is indicative of the entire sample. 	Partial
Samples adequately preserved	The majority of samples collected were received by laboratories at the correct temperature. Where relevant, samples were stored in acid-preserved containers supplied by laboratories.	Yes
Precision		
Soil & Groundwater		
SOPs appropriate and complied with in relation to field duplicates	The recovery of field duplicates was conducted in accordance with Foundation Earth Sciences SOPs to allow for the assessment of field precision.	Yes
RPDs of the field duplicates within control limits	The RPDs were <50%, the data set was considered to be adequately precise with the exceptions of a few heavy metals analytes exceeded the DQOs for this project, however, these exceedances are not considered significant because they	Partial

	are most likely due to the heterogeneity of the sample or low concentrations within the sample.	
RPDs of the laboratory duplicates within control limits	<p>RPDs of the laboratory duplicates within control limits with the exception of</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● In Laboratory Certificate Envirolab 294140 R00, the laboratory RPD acceptance criteria has been exceeded for for a few heavy metals. Therefore, the triplicate results have been issued as laboratory samples for a few samples. ● In Laboratory Certificate SGS SE233432 R0, the laboratory RPD for duplicate results is exceeded in VOCs & Petroleum Hydrocarbon due to sample heterogeneity. 	Partial
Accuracy		
Soil & Groundwater		
SOPs appropriate and complied with in relation to field blanks	Yes	Yes
Rinsate Blanks, trip blanks & laboratory blanks free of contaminants	Laboratory blanks & trip blanks were free of contaminants.	Yes
Surrogate spikes within control limits	RPDs of the laboratory samples within control limits.	Yes
Laboratory control spikes within control limits	<p>Laboratory Control Spike recoveries were within control limits with the expectation of</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● In Laboratory Certificate Envirolab 294140 R00, high spike 	Partial

	<p>recovery was obtained for one sample in CEC. Sample matrix interference is suspected. However, an acceptable recovery was obtained for the LCS.</p>	
<p>Matrix Spike recoveries within control limits</p>	<p>RPDs of the laboratory samples within control limits with the exception of</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> In Laboratory Certificate SGS SE233208 R0, the matrix spike for results is exceeded in HMs & TRHs due to matrix interference & sample heterogeneity. 	<p>Yes</p>
<p>Trip spike recoveries within control limits</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>Yes</p>

12.12QA/QC Conclusion

It is therefore considered that the data is sufficiently reliable and that the results can be used for the purpose of this project.

13.0 SITE ASSESSMENT CRITERIA

13.1 SOILS

13.1.1 Health Investigation Levels (HILs)

To assess the contamination status of soils at a site, the NSW EPA refers to the document entitled National Environmental Protection (Assessment of Site Contamination) Measure (NEPM) (Amendment 2013).

During any future soil investigations, the site will be assessed against the NEPM exposure scenario 'Residential B & Recreational C' Health Investigation Levels of the above-mentioned guidelines and specifically refers to the following:


HIL 'B' Residential with minimal opportunities for soil access: includes dwellings with fully and permanently paved yard space such as high-rise buildings and apartments.

Communal Open Space area will be assessed against

HIL 'C' Public open space such as parks, playgrounds, playing fields (e.g ovals), secondary schools and footpaths.

The soil regulatory guidelines are presented in the table below.

Table 24: Health Investigation Levels (HIL) Criteria for Soil Contaminants

 FOUNDATION EARTH SCIENCES	Residential B	Recreational C	Reference
Heavy Metals			
Arsenic	500	300	NEPM 2013 - Table 1(A)1 HILs
Beryllium	90	90	NEPM 2013 - Table 1(A)1 HILs
Boron	40000	20000	NEPM 2013 - Table 1(A)1 HILs
Cadmium	150	90	NEPM 2013 - Table 1(A)1 HILs
Chromium (VI)	500	300	NEPM 2013 - Table 1(A)1 HILs
Cobalt	600	300	NEPM 2013 - Table 1(A)1 HILs
Copper	30000	17000	NEPM 2013 - Table 1(A)1 HILs
Lead	1200	600	NEPM 2013 - Table 1(A)1 HILs
Manganese	14000	19000	NEPM 2013 - Table 1(A)1 HILs
Mercury (Inorganic)	120	80	NEPM 2013 - Table 1(A)1 HILs
Methyl Mercury	30	13	NEPM 2013 - Table 1(A)1 HILs
Nickel	1200	1200	NEPM 2013 - Table 1(A)1 HILs
Selenium	1400	700	NEPM 2013 - Table 1(A)1 HILs
Zinc	60000	30000	NEPM 2013 - Table 1(A)1 HILs
Cyanide (Free)	300	240	NEPM 2013 - Table 1(A)1 HILs
Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs)			
Carcinogenic PAHs (as Bap TEQ)	4	3	NEPM 2013 - Table 1(A)1 HILs
Total PAHs	400	300	NEPM 2013 - Table 1(A)1 HILs
Organochlorine Pesticides			
DDT + DDE + DDD	600	400	NEPM 2013 - Table 1(A)1 HILs
Aldrin + Dieldrin	10	10	NEPM 2013 - Table 1(A)1 HILs
Chlordane	90	70	NEPM 2013 - Table 1(A)1 HILs
Endosulfan	400	340	NEPM 2013 - Table 1(A)1 HILs
Heptachlor	10	10	NEPM 2013 - Table 1(A)1 HILs
HCB	15	10	NEPM 2013 - Table 1(A)1 HILs
Phenols			
Phenols	45000	40000	NEPM 2013 - Table 1(A)1 HILs
Pentachlorophenol	130	120	NEPM 2013 - Table 1(A)1 HILs
Cresols	4700	4000	NEPM 2013 - Table 1(A)1 HILs
Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCBs)			
PCBs	1	1	NEPM 2013 - Table 1(A)1 HILs
Other Pesticides			
Atrazine	470	400	NEPM 2013 - Table 1(A)1 HILs
Chlorpyrifos	340	250	NEPM 2013 - Table 1(A)1 HILs
Bifenthrin	840	730	NEPM 2013 - Table 1(A)1 HILs
Herbicides			
2,4,5-T	900	800	NEPM 2013 - Table 1(A)1 HILs
2,4-D	1600	1300	NEPM 2013 - Table 1(A)1 HILs
MCPA	900	800	NEPM 2013 - Table 1(A)1 HILs
MCPB	900	800	NEPM 2013 - Table 1(A)1 HILs
Mecoprop	900	800	NEPM 2013 - Table 1(A)1 HILs
Picloram	6600	5700	NEPM 2013 - Table 1(A)1 HILs
Other Organics			
PDBe (Br1-Br9)	2	2	NEPM 2013 - Table 1(A)1 HILs

Note - All values are in mg/kg

13.1.2 Health Screening Levels (HSLs)

The HSLs are applicable to generic land uses such as residential, commercial/industrial or recreational/public open space and different soil types between the ground surface and soils >4 metres below ground level. The HILs have been applied to assess human health risks via the inhalation and direct contact pathways of exposure. For selection of the health screening criteria an assessment of the in-situ soil profile should be undertaken.

The soil profile consisted of predominantly **Clay & Sand**

Table 25: Health Screening Levels (HSL) Criteria

FOUNDATION EARTH SCIENCES	HSL A & HSL B	HSL A & HSL B	HSL A & HSL B	HSL A & HSL B	HSL C	HSL C	HSL C	HSL C	Soil Saturation Concentration (C _{sat})	Reference
	0m to <1m	1m to <2m	2m to <4m	4m+	0m to <1m	1m to <2m	2m to <4m	4m+		
SAND										
Toluene	160	220	310	540	NL	NL	NL	NL	560	NEPM 2013 - Table 1(A) 3 HSLs
Ethylbenzene	55	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	64	NEPM 2013 - Table 1(A) 3 HSLs
Xylenes	40	60	95	170	NL	NL	NL	NL	300	NEPM 2013 - Table 1(A) 3 HSLs
Naphthalene	3	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	9	NEPM 2013 - Table 1(A) 3 HSLs
Benzene	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	NL	NL	NL	NL	360	NEPM 2013 - Table 1(A) 3 HSLs
F1	45	70	110	200	NL	NL	NL	NL	950	NEPM 2013 - Table 1(A) 3 HSLs
F2	110	240	440	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	560	NEPM 2013 - Table 1(A) 3 HSLs
SILT										
Toluene	480	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	640	NEPM 2013 - Table 1(A) 3 HSLs
Ethylbenzene	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	69	NEPM 2013 - Table 1(A) 3 HSLs
Xylenes	110	310	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	330	NEPM 2013 - Table 1(A) 3 HSLs
Naphthalene	5	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	10	NEPM 2013 - Table 1(A) 3 HSLs
Benzene	0.7	1	2	3	NL	NL	NL	NL	440	NEPM 2013 - Table 1(A) 3 HSLs
F1	50	90	150	290	NL	NL	NL	NL	910	NEPM 2013 - Table 1(A) 3 HSLs
F2	280	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	570	NEPM 2013 - Table 1(A) 3 HSLs
CLAY										
Toluene	480	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	630	NEPM 2013 - Table 1(A) 3 HSLs
Ethylbenzene	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	68	NEPM 2013 - Table 1(A) 3 HSLs
Xylenes	110	310	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	330	NEPM 2013 - Table 1(A) 3 HSLs
Naphthalene	5	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	10	NEPM 2013 - Table 1(A) 3 HSLs
Benzene	0.7	1	2	3	NL	NL	NL	NL	430	NEPM 2013 - Table 1(A) 3 HSLs
F1	50	90	150	290	NL	NL	NL	NL	850	NEPM 2013 - Table 1(A) 3 HSLs
F2	280	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	560	NEPM 2013 - Table 1(A) 3 HSLs

Note - All values are in mg/kg

HSL C will be applied to the Communal Open Space area.

13.1.3 (EILs) and (ESLs)

Ecological Investigation Levels (EILs) -

The NEPM 2013 states that “Ecological investigation levels” (EILs) for the protection of terrestrial ecosystems have been derived for common contaminants in soil based on a species sensitivity distribution (SSD) model developed for Australian conditions. EILs have been derived for As, Cu, CrIII, DDT, naphthalene, Ni, Pb and Zn

Insufficient data was available to derive ACLs for arsenic (As), DDT, lead (Pb) and naphthalene. As a result, the derived EILs are generic to all soils and are presented as total soil contaminant concentrations in Tables 1B (4) and 1B (5) within the NEPM 2013.

For the purposes of EIL derivation, a contaminant incorporated in soil for at least two years is considered to be aged for the purpose of EIL derivation. The majority of contaminated sites are likely to be affected by aged contamination. Fresh contamination is usually associated with current industrial activity and chemical spills.

The following process describes the method for calculation of site specific EILs.

A. EILs for Ni, Cr III, Cu, Zn and Pb aged contamination (>2 years)

Steps 1–4 below describe the process for deriving site-specific EILs for the above elements using Tables 1B (1) – 1B (4), which can be found at the end of the NEPM 2013.

1. Measure or analyse the soil properties relevant to the potential contaminant of concern (pH, CEC, organic carbon, clay content). Sufficient samples need to be taken for these determinations to obtain representative values for each soil type in which the contaminant occurs.

2. Establish the sample ACL for the appropriate land use and with consideration of the soil-specific pH, clay content or CEC. The ACL for Cu may be determined by pH or CEC and the lower of the determined values should be selected for EIL calculation. Note that the ACL for Pb is taken directly from Table 1(B) 4.
3. Calculate the contaminant ABC in soil for the particular contaminant and location from a suitable reference site measurement or other appropriate method.
4. Calculate the EIL by summing the ACL and ABC:

$$\text{EIL} = \text{ABC} + \text{ACL}$$

B. EILs for As, DDT and naphthalene

EILs for aged contamination for DDT and naphthalene are not available and the adopted EIL is based on fresh contamination taken directly from Table 1B (5). The EILs for As, DDT and naphthalene are generic i.e. they are not dependent on soil type and are taken directly from Table 1B (5). Only EILs for fresh contamination are available for As, DDT and naphthalene due to the absence of suitable data for aged contaminants.


Ecological Screening Levels (ESLs) -

Ecological screening levels (ESLs) are presented based on a review of Canadian guidance for petroleum hydrocarbons in soil and application of the Australian methodology (Schedule B5b) to derive Tier 1 ESLs for BTEX, benzo(a)pyrene and F1 and F2 (Warne 2010a, 2010b)

The Canadian Council of the Ministers of the Environment (CCME) has adopted risk-based TPH standards for human health and ecological aspects for various land uses in the *Canada-wide standard for petroleum hydrocarbons (PHC) in soil* (CCME 2008) (CWS PHC). The standards established soil values including ecologically based criteria for sites affected by TPH contamination for coarse- and fine-grained soil types.

Table 26: Ecological Investigation Levels (EIL) and Ecological Screening Levels (ESL)

Criteria

	Contaminant Age/Soil Texture	National parks and areas of high conservation value	Urban residential and open public spaces	Commercial and industrial	Reference
Ecological Investigation Levels (EILs)					
Heavy Metals					
Arsenic	Fresh	20	50	80	NEPM 2013 - Table 1(B) 1-5 EILs
	Aged	40	100	160	
Chromium (III)	Fresh	Site Specific Calculation Required			NEPM 2013 - Table 1(B) 1-5 EILs
	Aged	Site Specific Calculation Required			
Copper	Fresh	Site Specific Calculation Required			NEPM 2013 - Table 1(B) 1-5 EILs
	Aged	Site Specific Calculation Required			
Lead	Fresh	110	270	440	NEPM 2013 - Table 1(B) 1-5 EILs
	Aged	470	1100	1800	
Nickel	Fresh	Site Specific Calculation Required			NEPM 2013 - Table 1(B) 1-5 EILs
	Aged	Site Specific Calculation Required			
Zinc	Fresh	Site Specific Calculation Required			NEPM 2013 - Table 1(B) 1-5 EILs
	Aged	Site Specific Calculation Required			
Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs)					
Naphthalene	Fresh	10	170	370	NEPM 2013 - Table 1(B) 1-5 EILs
	Aged	10	170	370	
Organochlorine Pesticides					
Ecological Screening Levels (ESLs) and Management Limits					
F1 (C ₆ -C ₁₀)	Coarse				NEPM 2013 - Table 1(B) 6-7 EILs
	Fine	125*	180*	215*	
F1 (C ₆ -C ₁₀) (Management Limits)	Coarse		700	700	NEPM 2013 - Table 1(B) 6-7 EILs
	Fine	-	800	800	
F2 (>C ₁₀ -C ₁₆)	Coarse				NEPM 2013 - Table 1(B) 6-7 EILs
	Fine	25*	120*	170*	
F2 (>C ₁₀ -C ₁₆) (Management Limits)	Coarse		1000	1000	NEPM 2013 - Table 1(B) 6-7 EILs
	Fine	-	1000	1000	
F3 (>C ₁₆ -C ₃₄)	Coarse		300	1700	NEPM 2013 - Table 1(B) 6-7 EILs
	Fine	-	1300	2500	
F3 (>C ₁₆ -C ₃₄) (Management Limits)	Coarse		2500	3500	NEPM 2013 - Table 1(B) 6-7 EILs
	Fine	-	3500	5000	
F4 (>C ₃₄ -C ₄₀)	Coarse		2800	3300	NEPM 2013 - Table 1(B) 6-7 EILs
	Fine	-	5600	6600	
F4 (>C ₃₄ -C ₄₀) (Management Limits)	Coarse		10000	10000	NEPM 2013 - Table 1(B) 6-7 EILs
	Fine	-	10000	10000	
Benzene	Coarse	10	50	75	NEPM 2013 - Table 1(B) 6-7 EILs
	Fine	10	65	95	
Toluene	Coarse	10	85	135	NEPM 2013 - Table 1(B) 6-7 EILs
	Fine	65	105	135	
Ethylbenzene	Coarse	1.5	70	165	NEPM 2013 - Table 1(B) 6-7 EILs
	Fine	40	125	185	
Xylenes	Coarse	10	105	180	NEPM 2013 - Table 1(B) 6-7 EILs
	Fine	1.6	45	95	
Benzo(a)pyrene	Coarse	0.7	0.7	0.7	NEPM 2013 - Table 1(B) 6-7 EILs
	Fine	0.7	0.7	0.7	

Notes

- Urban residential/public open space is broadly equivalent to the HIL-A, HIL-B and HIL-C land use scenarios in Table 1A(1) Footnote 1 and as described in Schedule B7.
- Aged values are applicable to arsenic contamination present in soil for at least two years. For fresh contamination refer to Schedule B5c.
- Insufficient data was available to calculate aged values for DDT and naphthalene, consequently the values for fresh contamination should be used.
- Insufficient data was available to calculate ACLs for As, DDT and naphthalene. The EIL should be taken directly from Table 1B(5).
- ESLs are of low reliability except where indicated by * which indicates that the ESL is of moderate reliability.
- * indicates that insufficient data was available to derive a value.
- To obtain F1, subtract the sum of BTEX concentrations from C₆-C₁₀ fraction and subtract naphthalene from >C₁₀-C₁₆ to obtain F2.
- Management limits are applied after consideration of relevant ESLs and HSLs
- Separate management limits for BTEX and naphthalene are not available hence these should not be subtracted from the relevant fractions to obtain F1 and F2.

13.2 Asbestos

Health screening for asbestos in soil, which are based on scenario-specific likely exposure levels, are adopted from the WA DoH guidelines and are referred in Table 7 in Schedule B1. The following health screening levels for asbestos can be seen below:

Table 27: Health Screening Levels for Asbestos

Form of Asbestos	Health Screening Levels (w/w)			
	Residential A	Residential B	Recreational C	Commercial/Industrial D
Bonded ACM	0.01%	0.04%	0.02%	0.05%
FA and AF (Friable Asbestos)	0.001%			
All forms of asbestos	No visible asbestos for surface soil			

Residential B, FA & AF and no visible asbestos for surface soil is the adopted criteria for the majority of the site.

Recreational C, FA & AF and no visible asbestos for surface soil is the adopted criteria for the proposed communal open space area.

13.3 Aesthetic Considerations

Schedule B1 in NEPC (2013) requires the consideration of aesthetic issues arising from soils and groundwater within the site. The following assessment criteria were adopted when considering aesthetics:

- no persistently malodourous soils or extracted groundwater;

- no persistent hydrocarbon sheen on surface water;
- no staining or discolouration in soils, taking into consideration the natural state of the soil; and
- no large or frequently occurring anthropogenic materials present (to the extent practicable).

13.4 Groundwater

The NSW DECC has endorsed the use of the Groundwater Investigation Levels (GILs) given in the 1999 NEPM '*Schedule B(1) Guideline on the Investigation Levels for Soil and Groundwater*' (Amendment 2013) and the water quality trigger levels given in the *Australian and New Zealand Guidelines for Fresh and Marine Water Quality* (ANZECC & ARMCANZ, 2000) and recently updated to ANZG (2018). These Guidelines provide criteria for:

- Aquatic ecosystems – both marine and fresh waters

The NEPM advises that 'when assessing groundwater contamination, the GILs are to be applied at the point of extraction and as response levels at the point of use, or where there is a likelihood of an adverse environmental effect at the point of discharge'.

For assessing groundwater quality, it is first necessary to assess the potential uses of groundwater downgradient of the site being assessed.

Potential uses of groundwater downgradient of the site include:

- Discharge to water bodies sustaining aquatic ecosystems particularly Fresh Water.
- Extraction of groundwater by local users.

The threshold concentrations presented in the ANZG (2018) Fresh and Marine Waters Quality Guidelines are considered applicable for the protection of aquatic ecosystems of the receiving waters. As these guidelines apply to receiving waters, it is generally conservative to apply these to groundwater discharging to receiving waters. It is important to note that these are not threshold values at which an environmental problem is likely to occur if exceeded, rather, if the trigger values are exceeded, then further action is required which may include either further site-specific investigations to assess whether or not there is an actual problem or management / remedial action should be undertaken.

It is considered that **Marine Water trigger** values are applicable for investigating chemical concentrations in groundwater at the site. The nearest watercourse is Hawthorne Canal located along the western boundary of the site. It is understood that the NSW EPA policy is that the trigger values for the protection of 95% of aquatic ecosystems should be used as groundwater assessment criteria when considering moderately or highly disturbed receiving environments. The receiving waters for groundwater at the site are considered to be moderately disturbed ecosystems and the ANZG (2018) 95% protection values are therefore considered appropriate groundwater assessment criteria for the site.

14.0 SOIL RESULTS

The laboratory certificates are presented in **Appendix H** – NATA Accredited Laboratory Certificates.

A summary of the results together with the assessment criteria adopted are provided in **Appendix K** – Summary Tables.

14.1 HEAVY METALS

14.1.1 Heath Investigation Levels

As indicated in Table K1 all the heavy metals were below the respective LOR and/or the Health Investigation Level (HIL) for a residential development, that being the HIL 'B' and/or public open space, that being HIL 'C'.

14.1.2 Ecological Investigation Levels

The EILs for Copper, Zinc, Lead, Nickel and Chromium III were derived by adding the Ambient Background Concentration (ABC) to the Added Contaminant Limits (ACL), as per the following formula:

$$\text{EIL} = \text{ABC} + \text{ACL}$$

The ABC for the site has been determined by recovering a sample from an appropriate reference point, that being:

- BH1 (0.4-0.5m)

The soil samples collected from BH1 were analysed for pH, CEC & %CLAY to provide the background parameters for the soil on the site. As shown in Table K1 all of the locations were below the site derived EILs for a residential development with the exception of the following concentrations:

- In location KM18 (0.6m) has copper value of 1291mg/kg which exceeds the criteria of 270mg/kg & zinc value of 1200mg/kg which exceeds the EIL criteria of 1000 mg/kg
- However, as shown in Table K1, the 95% UCL of the mean concentrations of Zinc, for samples from the fill soil materials, were below the assessment criteria those being; the standard deviations were all less than 50% of the assessment criteria and no single concentration exceeded the assessment criteria by more than 250%. As such, the majority of the data set satisfies the criteria for stating that zinc contamination in fill is not likely to be an issue within the site at locations.

14.2 TRH, BTEX, NAPHTHALENE &/OR BENZO (A) PYRENE

14.2.1 Heath Screening Levels & Management Limits

As indicated in Table K1, the F1 (C₆-C₁₀), F2 (>C₁₀-C₁₆), benzene, toluene, ethyl benzene, xylenes and naphthalene concentrations were below the HSL 'B' and/or HSL 'C' for a Clay, Silt & Sand soil profile with a source depth of "0m to <4m".

As shown in Table K1, the F1 (C₆-C₁₀), F2 (>C₁₀-C₁₆), F3 (C₁₆-C₃₄), F4 (C₃₄-C₄₀), concentrations were below the Management Limits for fine-grained and/or coarse-grained soil in an urban residential and public open space development.

14.2.2 Ecological Screening Levels

As indicated in Table K1, the F1 (C₆-C₁₀), F2 (>C₁₀-C₁₆), F3 (C₁₆-C₃₄), F4 (C₃₄-C₄₀), benzene, toluene, ethyl benzene, xylenes and benzo(a)pyrene concentrations were below the ESLs for fine and coarse-grained soil in an urban residential and public open space development with the exception of the following concentrations:

- 0.9mg/kg of Benzo(a)pyrene in sample KM08 (0.8m), 1.2mg/kg of Benzo(a)pyrene in KM12 (1.2m), *8 mg/kg of Benzo(a)pyrene in KM13 (1.2m)*, 23 mg/kg of Benzo(a)pyrene in KM17 (1.0m), 5.6mg/kg of Benzo(a)pyrene in DUP05 (duplicate of KM13-1.2m), 1.1mg/kg of Benzo(a)pyrene in BH4 (0.2-0.3m), 0.85mg/kg of Benzo(a)pyrene in BH6 (0.3-0.4m) , 2.5mg/kg of Benzo(a)pyrene in BH10 (0.7-0.8m) , 2.2mg/kg of Benzo(a)pyrene in BH13 (2-2.1m), 1.5mg/kg of Benzo(a)pyrene in BH15 (0.5-0.6m) , *8.6mg/kg of Benzo(a)pyrene in BH18 (0.7-0.8m)* , 1.3mg/kg of Benzo(a)pyrene in BH22 (0.3-0.4m), and D1 (0.97mg/kg) & SS1 (1.1mg/kg) as duplicate sample of BH4 (0.2-0.3m), exceed the ESL criteria of 0.7 mg/kg.

14.3 PAH, OCP, OPP, VOC, PFAS & PCB

14.3.1 Heath Investigation Levels

As indicated in Table K1, the concentrations of the benzo(a)pyrene (as TEQ), PAH, OCP, OPP, VOC, PFAS & PCB were below the Health Investigation Level (HIL) for a residential, parkland and public open space development, that being the HIL 'B', HIL 'C' and/or the limit of reporting (LOR) with the exception of the following concentrations:

- 422mg/kg of Total PAHs in sample KM17 (1.0m) exceed the HIL B & HIL C criteria of 400 mg/kg & 300mg/kg.
 - However, as shown in Table K1, the 95% UCL of the mean concentrations of Total PAH, for samples from the fill soil materials, were below the assessment criteria those being; the standard deviations were all less than 50% of the assessment criteria and no single concentration exceeded the assessment criteria by more than 250%. As such, the majority of the data set satisfies the criteria for stating that Total PAH contamination in fill is not likely to be an issue within the site.
- 3.6mg/kg of Benzo(a)pyrene TEQ in sample BH10 (0.7-0.8m), 3.2mg/kg of Benzo(a)pyrene TEQ in BH13 (2-2.1m), 12mg/kg of Benzo(a)pyrene TEQ in BH18 (0.7-0.8m), exceed the HIL B & HIL C criteria of 4 mg/kg & 3mg/kg.
 - However, as shown in Table K1, the 95% UCL of the mean concentrations of benzo(a)pyrene TEQ, for samples from the fill soil materials, were below the assessment criteria those being; the standard deviations were all less than 50% of the assessment criteria and no single concentration exceeded the assessment criteria by more than 250%. As such, the majority of the data set satisfies the criteria for stating that benzo(a)pyrene TEQ contamination in fill is not likely to be an issue within the site. Borehole BH18 is considered a hotspot has been excluded from the data set.

14.3.2 EILs & ESLs

As indicated in Table K1, the concentrations of arsenic, naphthalene and DDT were below the EILs & ESLs for a residential, parkland and public open space development.

14.4 Asbestos

EMS DSI in 2006 completed Asbestos analysis on 14 soil samples, found that two contained asbestos. Sample KM01/0.1 was found to contain a fragment of Chrysotile asbestos, additionally, KM07/0.3 was found to contain loose fibre bundles of Chrysotile asbestos. The asbestos materials were found within the fill material on the Site.

As shown in Table K1, no asbestos was detected in the soil samples tested by Foundation Earth Sciences in 2022.

15.0 GROUNDWATER RESULTS

The laboratory certificates are presented in **Appendix H** – NATA Accredited Laboratory Certificates. A summary of the results together with the assessment criteria adopted are provided in **Appendix K** – Summary Tables.

15.1 HEAVY METALS

As indicated in Table K2, dissolved heavy metals were detected at concentrations below the laboratory limits of reporting (LOR) or their respective assessment criteria, such as the Groundwater Investigation Levels for Marine Waters with the exception of the following concentrations exceeding the criteria:

- Cadmium (3.5 ug/L), Chromium (28 ug/L), Copper (19 ug/L), Lead (3.5 ug/L), Nickel (180 ug/L) & Zinc (160 ug/L) in GW3
- Zinc in sample GW1(32 ug/L), GW2(28 ug/L), GW4(37 ug/L), and the associated duplicate sample GWD1(30 ug/L) & GWSS1(38 ug/L);
- Nickel in sample GW4 had a concentration of (62 ug/L)

15.2 TRH & BTEXN

As shown In Table K2, the BTEXN concentrations were seen to be less than the laboratory limit of reporting (LOR) and/or below the assessment criteria.

As indicated in Table K2, the TRH F1 (C₆-C₁₀), F2 (>C₁₀-C₁₆), benzene, toluene, ethyl benzene, xylenes and naphthalene concentrations were below the HSL 'B' for a Clay profile with a source depth of "2m to <4m" & "4m to <8m".

15.3 PAH

As indicated in Table K2, the PAH concentrations were either less than the laboratory limit of reporting (LOR) and/or below the assessment criteria.

15.4 VOCs in Groundwater

As indicated in Table K3, the VOC concentrations were either less than the laboratory limit of reporting (LOR) and/or below the adopted assessment criteria.

Refer to **Appendix K** – NATA Accredited Laboratory Certificates.

16.0 PRELIMINARY SOIL CLASSIFICATION

A preliminary soil classification on the sampling completed during the DSI has been completed by FES. This preliminary soil classification refers to twenty-three(23) boreholes designated as BH1 to BH23.

Based on the previous & current site uses the samples were analysed for a selection of Heavy Metals, Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (TRH), Benzene, Toluene, Ethylbenzene, Xylene (BTEX), Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAH), Organochlorine Pesticides (OC), OPP, Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCB), VOCs, PFAS & Asbestos.

With reference to the site figures, laboratory analysis, table K4 and with reference to NSW EPA guidelines, the insitu fill soil materials found within the site have been classified as follows;

- *Soil fill material located within the vicinity of boreholes BH3, BH5, BH7, BH8, BH9, BH13, BH17, BH19, BH20, BH21 & BH23 are classified as **General Solid Waste (non-putrescible)**;*
- *Soil fill material located within the vicinity of boreholes BH1, BH2 BH4, BH6, BH10, BH11, BH12, BH14, BH15, BH16 & BH22 are classified as **Restricted Solid Waste**.*
- *Soil fill material located within the vicinity of FES borehole location BH18 is classified as **Hazardous Waste**.*
- This soil classification is preliminary in nature and it is required that TCLPs and further sampling works are undertaken during the excavation phase of the building to classify soils for offsite disposal.

Refer to **Appendix K** – Summary Tables.

17.0 DISCUSSION

17.1 SOILS

The soil data revealed the following:

- Boreholes KM08, KM12, KM13, KM17, BH4, BH6, BH10, BH13, BH15, BH18 & BH22 require remediation in regards to PAH impacted fill soils.
- Borehole KM18 requires remediation in regards to copper impacted fill soils.
- EMS DSI in 2006 completed Asbestos analysis on 14 soil samples, found that two contained asbestos. Sample KM01/0.1 was found to contain a fragment of Chrysotile asbestos, additionally, KM07/0.3 was found to contain loose fibre bundles of Chrysotile asbestos. The asbestos materials were found within the fill material on the Site.

Reference should be made to **Figure 2** for a copy of the site plans & **Appendix F** – Concept Development Plans.

17.2 GROUNDWATER QUALITY

The following lines of evidence support the low risk conclusion in relation to groundwater and the proposed development:

- The groundwater monitoring has indicated levels of dissolved heavy metals detected above groundwater investigation levels for Cadmium, Chromium, Copper, Lead, Nickel &/or Zinc. The elevated heavy metals are considered to be

- related to offsite regional contaminant concentrations and/or background levels & therefore of limited concern in relation to the GILs.
- Results for groundwater samples did indicate that levels were above water quality guidelines for ecosystem protection but in compliance with guidelines related to the protection of human health.
 - During construction of the proposed development, management of any water that seeps into the excavation will need to be considered as the quality of groundwater means it cannot be discharged directly to the stormwater system. It will need to be managed through treatment or via appropriate disposal techniques.
 - It is noted that the groundwater at the site is likely to flow into the Parramatta River & Sydney Harbour Catchment area. This catchment is highly affected by urban development. Because of the extent of development, the waterways are affected by poor water quality and a changed flow regime. The waterways have been modified with creeks channelized or hard edged with concrete, wetland have been degraded or destroyed, infiltration of weeds and rubbish is also a significant issue. Therefore, the exceeded GILs will likely have minimal onsite and/or offsite ecological risk to the surrounding environmental and/or development.
 - The inferred groundwater direction is to the west. The likely source based on current information is coming from offsite via groundwater migration.

17.3 DATA GAPS

The following data gaps are noted:

- A supplementary assessment is recommended to be completed as part of the SEPP55 DA process to further assess the quality of the fill material across the site at depth. The results can be compiled with this investigation and compared to the DA approved plans to provide site specific conclusions.

- Following the supplementary assessment it is considered that the site would be deemed suitable for the proposed redevelopment subject to completion of a Remediation Action Plan (RAP) in order to manage the abovementioned environmental concerns, USTs and data gaps.

17.4 DUTY TO REPORT

Under Section 60 of the Contaminated Land Management Act 1997, the owner of the land is required to notify contamination in circumstances as indicated in the NSW EPA (2015) *Guidelines on Duty to Report Contamination under the Contaminated Land Management Act 1997*.

Sites that are significantly impacted by soil, groundwater and ground gases are likely to require notification to the NSW EPA under section 60 of the CLM Act. A decision process for use by site owners or responsible persons considering reporting contamination under section 60 is provided in Appendix 1 (Figure 1) of the aforementioned guidelines.

No notification to NSW EPA is recommended based on the sampling and investigation to date.

18.0 CONCLUSION

Based on the historical review, environmental information, proposed development and laboratory results of the investigation, the site can be made ***suitable*** for the proposed rezoning and redevelopment subject to a full SEPP55 contamination assessment as part of the DA process which includes following the data gaps outlined in 17.3.

Thank you for the opportunity of undertaking this work. We would be pleased to provide further information on any aspects of this report.

19.0 LIMITATIONS

To the best of our knowledge information contained in this report is accurate at the date of issue, however, subsurface conditions, including groundwater levels and contaminant concentrations, can change in a limited time. This should be borne in mind if the report is used after a protracted delay.

There is always some disparity in subsurface conditions across a site that cannot be fully defined by investigation. Hence it is unlikely that measurements and values obtained from sampling and testing during environmental works carried out at a site will characterise the extremes of conditions that exist within the site.


There is no investigation that is thorough enough to preclude the presence of material that presently or in the future, may be considered hazardous at the site. Since regulatory criteria are constantly changing, concentrations of contaminants presently considered low may, in the future, fall under different regulatory standards that require remediation.



Opinions expressed herein are judgements and are based on our understanding and interpretation of current regulatory standards and should not be construed as legal opinions.

REFERENCES

- ANZG (2018) “Australian and New Zealand Guidelines for Fresh and Marine Water Quality”, Australian and New Zealand Governments and Australian State and Territory Governments, Canberra ACT.
- Department of Urban Affairs and Planning – EPA (1998) “*Managing Land Contamination – Planning Guidelines – SEPP 55 – Remediation of Land*”.
- National Environmental Protection Council (NEPC) (1999) – *National Environmental Protection (Assessment of Site Contamination) Measure. Amendment 2013*
- National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC) & National Resource Management Ministerial Council (NRMCC) “National Water Quality Management Strategy, Australian Drinking Water Guidelines” (2011)
- NSW EPA (2014) “*Technical Note: Investigation of Service Station Sites*”.
- NSW EPA (2020), “Consultants Reporting on Contaminated Land”. NSW Environment Protection Authority, Parramatta
- NSW DEC “Guidelines for the NSW Site Auditor Scheme” (2017, 3rd edition). NSW Environment Protection Authority, Sydney.
- NSW EPA (2014) – “Waste Classification Guidelines, Part 1: Classifying Waste”;
- NSW EPA (2015) “Guidelines on the Duty to Report Contamination under the Contaminated Land Management Act 1997”;
- NSW EPA “Sampling Design Guidelines” (1995). NSW Environment Protection Authority, Sydney.
- US EPA “Regional Screening Level (RSL) Summary Tables” (2016). United States Environment Protection Authority.

FIGURE 1: SITE LOCATION



Key			DRAWN	SITE LOCATION
			RL	
			FIGURE	Platino Properties
Site Location			Job #	67-75 Lords Road, Leichhardt NSW 2040
			E2843	



GW1	GWD1	GWSS1
Zinc 33	30	38

Feature No	Details
a	Warehouse
b	Office
c	Gym
d	Outdoor Car Park

Soil Exceedance (mg/Kg)

GW Exceedance (ug/L)



GW2
Zinc 28

GW3
Cadmium 3.5
Chromium 28
Copper 19
Lead 11
Nickel 180
Zinc 160

GW4
Zinc 37

Key	
Site Location	
FES Soil Location 2022	
FES Soil & GW Location 2022	
EMS DSI Location	
Approx UST area	
Infreud GW Direction	



DRAWN	RL
Figure	2
Job #	
E2843	


Site Features, Borehole Locations & Exceedance Plan

Platino Properties


67-75 Lords Road, Leichhardt NSW 2040

APPENDIX A: DBYD PLANS

To: Ray Liu
Phone: Not Supplied
Fax: Not Supplied
Email: ray@foundationes.com.au

Dial before you dig Job #:	32094598	
Sequence #	212260931	
Issue Date:	07/06/2022	
Location:	67 Lords Road , Leichhardt , NSW , 2040	













Indicative Plans






LEGEND





	Parcel and the location
	Pit with size "5"
	Power Pit with size "2E". Valid PIT Size: e.g. 2E, 5E, 6E, 8E, 9E, E, null.
	Manhole
	Pillar
	Cable count of trench is 2. One "Other size" PVC conduit (PO) owned by Telstra (-T-), between pits of sizes, "5" and "9" are 25.0m apart. One 40mm PVC conduit (P40) owned by NBN, between pits of sizes, "5" and "9" are 20.0m apart.
	2 Direct buried cables between pits of sizes, "5" and "9" are 10.0m apart.
	Trench containing any INSERVICE/CONSTRUCTED (Copper/RF/Fibre) cables.
	Trench containing only DESIGNED/PLANNED (Copper/RF/Fibre/Power) cables.
	Trench containing any INSERVICE/CONSTRUCTED (Power) cables.
	Road and the street name "Broadway ST"
Scale	0 20 40 60 Meters 1:2000 1 cm equals 20 m 



Emergency Contacts

You must immediately report any damage to the **nbn™** network that you are/become aware of. Notification may be by telephone - 1800 626 329.

Mains Cable Plan



For all Telstra DBYD plan enquiries -
 email - Telstra.Plans@team.telstra.com
 For urgent onsite contact only - ph 1800 653 935 (bus hrs)

Sequence Number: 212260932

CAUTION: Fibre optic and/ or major network present in plot area. Please read the Duty of Care and contact Telstra Plan Services should you require any assistance.

TELSTRA CORPORATION LIMITED A.C.N. 051 775 556


Generated On 07/06/2022 11:24:03

WARNING - Due to the nature of Telstra underground plant and the age of some cables and records, it is impossible to ascertain the precise location of all Telstra plant from Telstra's plans. The accuracy and/or completeness of the information supplied can not be guaranteed as property boundaries, depths and other natural landscape features may change over time, and accordingly the plans are indicative only. Telstra does not warrant or hold out that its plans are accurate and accepts no responsibility for any inaccuracy shown on the plans.

It is your responsibility to locate Telstra's underground plant by careful hand pot-holing prior to any excavation in the vicinity and to exercise due care during that excavation.

Please read and understand the information supplied in the duty of care statement attached with the Telstra plans. TELSTRA WILL SEEK COMPENSATION FOR LOSS CAUSED BY DAMAGE TO ITS PLANT.

Telstra plans and information supplied are valid for 60 days from the date of issue. If this timeframe has elapsed, please reapply for plans.




- Legend**
- SW Pit
 - SW Pipe
 - Footpath
 - Kerb
 - Light Rail
 - Roads
 - Property
 - Suburb
 - Park
 - River



Scale: 1:2050
 Expires: 05 Jul 2022

DISCLAIMER: While reasonable measures have been taken to ensure the accuracy of the information contained in this plan response, neither Inner West Council nor PelicanCorp shall have any liability whatsoever in relation to any loss, damage, cost or expense arising from the use of this plan response or the information contained in it or the completeness or accuracy of such information. Use of such information is subject to and constitutes acceptance of these terms.

Overview



Legend


- SW Pit
- SW Pipe
- Footpath
- Kerb
- Light Rail
- Roads
- Property
- Suburb
- Park
- River



Scale: 1:1000
 Expires: 05 Jul 2022

DISCLAIMER: While reasonable measures have been taken to ensure the accuracy of the information contained in this plan response, neither Inner West Council nor PelicanCorp shall have any liability whatsoever in relation to any loss, damage, cost or expense arising from the use of this plan response or the information contained in it or the completeness or accuracy of such information. Use of such information is subject to and constitutes acceptance of these terms.

Tile No: 1



Legend


- SW Pit
- SW Pipe
- Footpath
- Kerb
- Light Rail
- Roads
- Property
- Suburb
- Park
- River




Scale: 1:1000
 Expires: 05 Jul 2022

DISCLAIMER: While reasonable measures have been taken to ensure the accuracy of the information contained in this plan response, neither Inner West Council nor PelicanCorp shall have any liability whatsoever in relation to any loss, damage, cost or expense arising from the use of this plan response or the information contained in it or the completeness or accuracy of such information. Use of such information is subject to and constitutes acceptance of these terms.

Tile No. 2




For legend details, please refer to the Coversheet attachment provided as part of this DBYD response.




Scale: 1:2101

Issue Date: 07/06/2022
 DBYD Seq No: 212260935
 DBYD Job No: 32094598
 Overview Page:

WARNING: This is a representation of Jemena Gas Networks underground assets only and may not indicate all assets in the area. It must not be used for the purpose of exact asset location in order to undertake any type of excavation. Please read all conditions and information on the attached information sheet. This extract is subject to those conditions. The information contained on this plan is only valid for 28 days from the date of issue.



For legend details, please refer to the Coversheet attachment provided as part of this DBYD response.




Scale: 1:2000

Issue Date: 07/06/2022
 DBYD Seq No: 212260935
 DBYD Job No: 32094598



WARNING: This is a representation of Jemena Gas Networks underground assets only and may not indicate all assets in the area. It must not be used for the purpose of exact asset location in order to undertake any type of excavation. This plan is diagrammatic only, and distances scaled from this plan may not be accurate. Please read all conditions and information on the attached information sheet. This extract is subject to those conditions. The information contained on this plan is only valid for 28 days from the date of issue.



DBYD Address:
67 Lords Road
Leichhardt NSW 2040


DBYD Job No: 32094598
DBYD Sequence No: 212260936


Copyright Reserved Sydney Water 2022
No warranty is given that the information shown is complete or accurate.

SYDNEY WATER CORPORATION

Scale: 1:1000

Date of Production: 07/06/2022
Plan 1 of 1





ISG GRID		
LE51423	LE51424	LE52313
LE51441	LE51442	LE52331
LE51443	LE51444	LE52333

Page 1 of 1

Scale 1:528

0 15 metres

Distribution - 212260934
GMLA3

INFORMATION ON THIS PLAN ONLY VALID FOR 30 DAYS FROM ISSUE DATE:

7 Jun 2022

W A R N I N G : Ausgrid's plans show the position of assets at the time of installation and may not account for subsequent changes to road alignments, fences or buildings. The plans show no more than the presence or absence of Ausgrid assets in the street. Persons working near electricity networks must exercise care and will be held responsible for any damage caused. You must excavate by hand or use vacuum excavation to establish the location of Ausgrid underground cables and associated assets. Underground: Working near a cable may result in electric shock even if no contact is made. Any work in the vicinity of any cable should only be performed using safe work methods developed in accordance with the recommendations included in Safework NSW Code of Practice for Excavation and Safework NSW Guide for Work Near Underground Assets as well as recommendations of Ausgrid's Network Standard NS156. Overhead: Do not excavate near poles or towers until the stability of the foundation has been assessed by Ausgrid. Cables or earth conductors may be present close to substations, poles or towers. Workers must maintain safe approach distances and follow applicable Safework NSW Codes of Practice. NOTE: 1. You must keep Ausgrid plans on site during excavation works. If the people actually performing the excavation works do not know how to read and interpret Ausgrid's plans, then the work must be directed by a person who knows how to read and interpret the plans. 2. This information includes data from the NSW Digital Cadastral Database by Land and Property Information (c) 2016, used under Creative Commons licence version 4.0.

APPENDIX B: NSW EPA RECORDS

Suburb	SiteName	Address	Contamination Activity Type	ManagementClass	Latitude	Longitude
LAVENDER BAY	SRA Land	French STREET	Unclassified	Regulation under CLM Act not required	-33.84560621	151.2030148
LAVINGTON	Former Caltex Service Station	373-375 Wagga ROAD	Service Station	Regulation under CLM Act not required	-36.04797551	146.9385325
LAVINGTON	Caltex Service Station	436 Wagga (corner Dick Road) ROAD	Service Station	Regulation under CLM Act not required	-36.04500034	146.9444932
LAVINGTON	Former ERS liquid waste treatment and storage facility	819 Knights ROAD	Other Industry	Regulation under CLM Act not required	-36.06763885	146.942143
LEETON	Former Mobil Depot	108 Calrose STREET	Other Petroleum	Regulation under CLM Act not required	-34.55813326	146.3921296
LEETON	Caltex Service Station	1 Belah STREET	Service Station	Regulation under CLM Act not required	-34.55421752	146.3998431
LEETON	Yenda Producers (formerly Incitec) Leeton	1 - 2 Canal STREET	Other Petroleum	Regulation under CLM Act not required	-34.55184684	146.3862573
LEETON	Former Fuel Depot, Leeton	1-3 Short STREET	Other Petroleum	Regulation under CLM Act not required	-34.55253237	146.3864507
LEETON	United Leeton Service Station	110 Kurrajong AVENUE	Service Station	Regulation under CLM Act not required	-34.55573364	146.4099077
LEICHHARDT	SRA Land	10-11 Balmain ROAD	Other Industry	Contamination formerly regulated under the CLM Act	-33.8776803	151.1591041
LEICHHARDT	Former Kolotex site	22 George STREET	Other Industry	Contamination currently regulated under CLM Act	-33.88855307	151.1482106
LEICHHARDT	Former Labelcraft Site	30-40 George STREET	Chemical Industry	Contamination currently regulated under CLM Act	-33.88778798	151.1484773
LEICHHARDT	Leichhardt Bus Depot Area E	240 Balmain Road, corner City West LINK	Other Industry	Regulation under CLM Act not required	-33.87589727	151.1598073
LEICHHARDT	RailCorp Leichhardt	7 Darley ROAD	Other Industry	Regulation under CLM Act not required	-33.87520846	151.1539012
LENNOX HEAD	Former Caltex Lennox Head	Byron STREET	Service Station	Regulation under CLM Act not required	-28.79189328	153.5883225

[Home](#) [Public registers](#) [Contaminated land record of notices](#)

Search results

Your search for: Suburb: LEICHHARDT

Matched 21 notices
relating to 3 sites.

[Search Again](#)

[Refine Search](#)

Suburb	Address	Site Name	Notices related to this site
LEICHHARDT	22 George STREET	Former Kolotex site	1 current and 8 former
LEICHHARDT	30-40 George STREET	Former Labelcraft Site	4 current and 3 former
LEICHHARDT	10-11 Balmain ROAD	SRA Land	5 former

Page 1 of 1

28 June 2022

For business and industry ^

For local government ^

Contact us

131 555 (tel:131555)

Online (<https://yoursay.epa.nsw.gov.au/epa-website-feedback>)

info@epa.nsw.gov.au (<mailto:info@epa.nsw.gov.au>)

EPA Office Locations (<https://www.epa.nsw.gov.au/about-us/contact-us/locations>)

[Accessibility \(https://www.epa.nsw.gov.au/about-us/contact-us/website-service-standards/help-index\)](https://www.epa.nsw.gov.au/about-us/contact-us/website-service-standards/help-index)

[Disclaimer \(https://www.epa.nsw.gov.au/about-us/contact-us/website-service-standards/disclaimer\)](https://www.epa.nsw.gov.au/about-us/contact-us/website-service-standards/disclaimer)

[Privacy \(https://www.epa.nsw.gov.au/about-us/contact-us/website-service-standards/privacy\)](https://www.epa.nsw.gov.au/about-us/contact-us/website-service-standards/privacy)

[Copyright \(https://www.epa.nsw.gov.au/about-us/contact-us/website-service-standards/copyright\)](https://www.epa.nsw.gov.au/about-us/contact-us/website-service-standards/copyright)

in
(<https://au.l>
environmer
protection-
authrity-
(<https://twitter.k>

Find us on

[Home](#) [Public registers](#) [POEO Public Register](#) [Licences, applications and notices search](#)

Search results

Your search for: **General Search** with the following criteria

Suburb - leichhardt

returned 7 results

[Export to excel](#)

1 of 1 Pages

[Search Again](#)

Number	Name	Location	Type	Status	Issued date
7244	APPAREL FITTINGS AUSTRALASIA PTY LTD C/- STAR DEAN-WILLCOCKS	67 JOHN STREET, LEICHHARDT, NSW 2040	POEO licence	No longer in force	11 Sep 2000
1044271	APPAREL FITTINGS AUSTRALASIA PTY LTD C/- STAR DEAN-WILLCOCKS	67 JOHN STREET, LEICHHARDT, NSW 2040	s.58 Licence Variation	Issued	08 Feb 2005
11495	STATE TRANSIT AUTHORITY OF NSW	Cnr William & Derbyshire Streets, LEICHHARDT, NSW 2040	POEO licence	No longer in force	20 Aug 2001
1038760	STATE TRANSIT AUTHORITY OF NSW	Cnr William & Derbyshire Streets, LEICHHARDT, NSW 2040	s.58 Licence Variation	Issued	05 Jul 2004
7125	SYDNEY SOUTH WEST AREA HEALTH SERVICE	CNR GLOVER & CHURCH STREETS, LEICHHARDT, NSW 2040	POEO licence	No longer in force	27 Mar 2000
1019144	SYDNEY SOUTH WEST AREA HEALTH SERVICE	CNR GLOVER & CHURCH STREETS, LEICHHARDT, NSW 2040	s.58 Licence Variation	Issued	25 Jul 2002
1044267	SYDNEY SOUTH WEST AREA HEALTH SERVICE	CNR GLOVER & CHURCH STREETS, LEICHHARDT, NSW 2040	s.58 Licence Variation	Issued	21 Sep 2005

28 June 2022

For business and industry ^

For local government ^

Contact us

131 555 (tel:131555)

Online (<https://yoursay.epa.nsw.gov.au/epa-website-feedback>)

info@epa.nsw.gov.au (<mailto:info@epa.nsw.gov.au>)

EPA Office Locations (<https://www.epa.nsw.gov.au/about-us/contact-us/locations>)

Accessibility (<https://www.epa.nsw.gov.au/about-us/contact-us/website-service-standards/help-index>)

Disclaimer (<https://www.epa.nsw.gov.au/about-us/contact-us/website-service-standards/disclaimer>)

Privacy (<https://www.epa.nsw.gov.au/about-us/contact-us/website-service-standards/privacy>)

Copyright (<https://www.epa.nsw.gov.au/about-us/contact-us/website-service-standards/copyright>)

in
 (https://au.l
 environmer
 protection-
 authority-
 (https://www.
 twitter.k

Find us on



- [Home \(/\)](#) > [Your environment \(/your-environment\)](#)
- > [Contaminated land \(/your-environment/contaminated-land\)](#)
- > **PFAS investigation program**

The NSW Government PFAS Investigation Program

View a map of the sites in NSW that may be contaminated with PFAS, learn how to reduce your exposure to these dangerous chemicals, and read about our investigation of the issue.

The EPA is leading an investigation program to assess the legacy of PFAS use across NSW. With the assistance of the NSW PFAS Technical Advisory Group, which includes NSW Health, Department of Primary Industries and the Office of Environment and Heritage, we provide impacted residents with tailored, precautionary dietary advice to help them reduce any exposure to PFAS.

Current investigations are focused on sites where it is likely that large quantities of PFAS have been used. The EPA is currently investigating PFAS at these sites:

[Map view](#)

[List view](#)

Clear filters

Only show sites within current map view

Showing 0 of 49 sites

	Organisation	Address	Status
	<input type="text" value="**filter by organisation**"/>	<input type="text" value="Leichhardt"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PFAS investigation site

Sampling and analysis

The EPA is collecting samples of soils and/or waters for analysis for PFAS. The EPA is also looking for exposure pathways that may increase people's contact with the chemicals, such as bore and surface water usage.

If significant levels are detected and human or ecological exposure is likely, a more detailed assessment will be undertaken.

The EPA will work with the occupiers and owners of these sites, or the responsible parties, to clean-up the site, where necessary.

Timeframes for the investigation

The initial investigations can take approximately six months, with further testing undertaken where required.

Test findings are made available throughout the investigations.

More information is available on the NSW EPA [PFAS investigation process \(/your-environment/contaminated-land/pfas-investigation-program/pfas-investigation-process\)](#) page.

Release of the National Environmental Management Plan for PFAS version 2

APPENDIX C: SITE PHOTOGRAPHS

SITE PHOTOGRAPHS

Client:	Platino Properties Pty Ltd
Project:	Detailed Site Investigation
Site Location:	67-75 Lords Road, Leichhardt NSW
Job No.:	E2843



Photo 1



View of electricity substation
Looking Southwest
Inspected 15.06.2022

Photo 2



View of the outdoor carpark/driveway
Looking North
Inspected 15.06.2022

Photo 3




View of inside the warehouse
Inspected 15.06.2022

Photo 4



View of monitoring well BH2/GW2
Looking South
Inspected 15.06.2022

Photo 5




View of possible UST area
Looking west
Inspected 15.06.2022

Photo 6



View of location at BH1/GW1
Looking west
Inspected 15.06.2022

APPENDIX D: DPI (OFFICE OF WATER) DATABASE RECORDS



GW113098 GW113097
GW113092 GW113099
GW113093

Lambert Park Sportsfield

Chemist Warehouse
Leichhardt

MarketPlace Leichhardt

Places within 500 metres of the selected point.
again.



Clark Rubber Haberfield

Reece Plumbing

A22

bp Truckstop

Petersham Park

Fort Street

Summer Hill



Scale = 1 : 14K

151.139, -33.880

327888, 6249591, 56

WaterNSW

Work Summary

GW113092

Licence: 10BL604579

Licence Status: ACTIVE

Authorised Purpose(s): MONITORING BORE

Intended Purpose(s): MONITORING BORE

Work Type: Bore

Work Status: Equipped

Construct.Method:

Owner Type: Private

Commenced Date:

Completion Date: 12/04/2011

Final Depth: 5.00 m

Drilled Depth: 5.00 m

Contractor Name: (None)

Driller: Unkown Unknown

Assistant Driller:

Property: B P HABERFIELD 95-97 Ramsay St
HABERFIELD 2045 NSW

GWMA: -

GW Zone: -

Standing Water Level (m):

Salinity Description:

Yield (L/s):

Site Details

Site Chosen By:

County
Form A: CUMBERLAND
Licensed: CUMBERLAND

Parish
CONCORD
CONCORD

Cadastre
1//180212
Whole Lot 1//180212

Region: 10 - Sydney South Coast

River Basin: - Unknown

Area/District:

CMA Map:

Grid Zone:

Scale:

Elevation: 0.00 m (A.H.D.)
Elevation Source: Unknown

Northing: 6249414.000
Easting: 327981.000

Latitude: 33°52'53.8"S
Longitude: 151°08'23.9"E

GS Map: -

MGA Zone: 56

Coordinate Source: Unknown

Remarks

29/07/2014: Nat Carling, 29-July-2014; Added status, drill method, depth & work name.

***** End of GW113092 *****

Warning To Clients: This raw data has been supplied to the WaterNSW by drillers, licensees and other sources. WaterNSW does not verify the accuracy of this data. The data is presented for use by you at your own risk. You should consider verifying this data before relying on it. Professional hydrogeological advice should be sought in interpreting and using this data.

WaterNSW

Work Summary

GW113093

Licence:

Licence Status:

Authorised Purpose(s):
Intended Purpose(s): MONITORING BORE

Work Type: Bore

Work Status: Equipped

Construct.Method:

Owner Type: Private

Commenced Date:

Completion Date: 12/04/2011

Final Depth: 5.60 m

Drilled Depth: 5.60 m

Contractor Name: (None)

Driller: Unkown Unknown

Assistant Driller:

Property:

GWMA:

GW Zone:

Standing Water Level (m):

Salinity Description:

Yield (L/s):

Site Details

Site Chosen By:

County
Form A: CUMBERLAND
Licensed:

Parish
CONCORD

Cadastre
1/180212

Region: 10 - Sydney South Coast

River Basin: - Unknown

Area/District:

CMA Map:

Grid Zone:

Scale:

Elevation: 0.00 m (A.H.D.)
Elevation Source: Unknown

Northing: 6249407.000
Easting: 327981.000

Latitude: 33°52'54.0"S
Longitude: 151°08'23.9"E

GS Map: -

MGA Zone: 56

Coordinate Source: Unknown

Remarks

29/07/2014: Nat Carling, 29-July-2014; Added status, drill method, depth & work name.

***** End of GW113093 *****

Warning To Clients: This raw data has been supplied to the WaterNSW by drillers, licensees and other sources. WaterNSW does not verify the accuracy of this data. The data is presented for use by you at your own risk. You should consider verifying this data before relying on it. Professional hydrogeological advice should be sought in interpreting and using this data.

WaterNSW

Work Summary

GW113094

Licence:

Licence Status:

Authorised Purpose(s):
Intended Purpose(s): MONITORING BORE

Work Type: Bore

Work Status: Equipped

Construct.Method:

Owner Type: Private

Commenced Date:

Completion Date: 12/04/2011

Final Depth: 4.85 m

Drilled Depth: 4.85 m

Contractor Name: (None)

Driller: Unkown Unknown

Assistant Driller:

Property:

GWMA:

GW Zone:

Standing Water Level (m):

Salinity Description:

Yield (L/s):

Site Details

Site Chosen By:

County
Form A: CUMBERLAND
Licensed:

Parish
CONCORD

Cadastre
1/180212

Region: 10 - Sydney South Coast

River Basin: - Unknown

Area/District:

CMA Map:

Grid Zone:

Scale:

Elevation: 0.00 m (A.H.D.)
Elevation Source: Unknown

Northing: 6249401.000
Easting: 327988.000

Latitude: 33°52'54.2"S
Longitude: 151°08'24.2"E

GS Map: -

MGA Zone: 56

Coordinate Source: Unknown

Remarks

29/07/2014: Nat Carling, 29-July-2014; Added status, drill method, depth & work name.

***** End of GW113094 *****

Warning To Clients: This raw data has been supplied to the WaterNSW by drillers, licensees and other sources. WaterNSW does not verify the accuracy of this data. The data is presented for use by you at your own risk. You should consider verifying this data before relying on it. Professional hydrogeological advice should be sought in interpreting and using this data.

WaterNSW

Work Summary

GW113095

Licence:

Licence Status:

Authorised Purpose(s):
Intended Purpose(s): MONITORING BORE

Work Type: Bore

Work Status: Equipped

Construct.Method:

Owner Type: Private

Commenced Date:

Completion Date: 13/04/2011

Final Depth: 5.00 m

Drilled Depth: 5.00 m

Contractor Name: (None)

Driller: Unkown Unknown

Assistant Driller:

Property:

GWMA:

GW Zone:

Standing Water Level (m):

Salinity Description:

Yield (L/s):

Site Details

Site Chosen By:

County
Form A: CUMBERLAND
Licensed:

Parish
CONCORD

Cadastre
1/180212

Region: 10 - Sydney South Coast

River Basin: - Unknown

Area/District:

CMA Map:

Grid Zone:

Scale:

Elevation: 0.00 m (A.H.D.)
Elevation Source: Unknown

Northing: 6249404.000
Easting: 327997.000

Latitude: 33°52'54.1"S
Longitude: 151°08'24.5"E

GS Map: -

MGA Zone: 56

Coordinate Source: Unknown

Remarks

29/07/2014: Nat Carling, 29-July-2014; Added status, drill method, depth & work name.

***** End of GW113095 *****

Warning To Clients: This raw data has been supplied to the WaterNSW by drillers, licensees and other sources. WaterNSW does not verify the accuracy of this data. The data is presented for use by you at your own risk. You should consider verifying this data before relying on it. Professional hydrogeological advice should be sought in interpreting and using this data.

WaterNSW

Work Summary

GW113096

Licence:

Licence Status:

Authorised Purpose(s):
Intended Purpose(s): MONITORING BORE

Work Type: Bore

Work Status: Equipped

Construct.Method:

Owner Type: Private

Commenced Date:

Completion Date: 13/04/2011

Final Depth: 5.60 m

Drilled Depth: 5.60 m

Contractor Name: (None)

Driller: Unkown Unknown

Assistant Driller:

Property:

GWMA:

GW Zone:

Standing Water Level (m):

Salinity Description:

Yield (L/s):

Site Details

Site Chosen By:

County
Form A: CUMBERLAND
Licensed:

Parish
CONCORD

Cadastre
1/180212

Region: 10 - Sydney South Coast

River Basin: - Unknown

Area/District:

CMA Map:

Grid Zone:

Scale:

Elevation: 0.00 m (A.H.D.)
Elevation Source: Unknown

Northing: 6249415.000
Easting: 328012.000

Latitude: 33°52'53.8"S
Longitude: 151°08'25.1"E

GS Map: -

MGA Zone: 56

Coordinate Source: Unknown

Remarks

29/07/2014: Nat Carling, 29-July-2014; Added status, drill method, depth & work name.

***** End of GW113096 *****

Warning To Clients: This raw data has been supplied to the WaterNSW by drillers, licensees and other sources. WaterNSW does not verify the accuracy of this data. The data is presented for use by you at your own risk. You should consider verifying this data before relying on it. Professional hydrogeological advice should be sought in interpreting and using this data.

WaterNSW

Work Summary

GW113097

Licence:

Licence Status:

Authorised Purpose(s):
Intended Purpose(s): MONITORING BORE

Work Type: Bore

Work Status: Equipped

Construct.Method:

Owner Type: Private

Commenced Date:

Completion Date: 11/04/2011

Final Depth: 6.00 m

Drilled Depth: 6.00 m

Contractor Name: (None)

Driller: Unkown Unknown

Assistant Driller:

Property:

GWMA:

GW Zone:

Standing Water Level (m):

Salinity Description:

Yield (L/s):

Site Details

Site Chosen By:

County
Form A: CUMBERLAND
Licensed:

Parish
CONCORD

Cadastre
1/180212

Region: 10 - Sydney South Coast

River Basin: - Unknown
Area/District:

CMA Map:

Grid Zone:

Scale:

Elevation: 0.00 m (A.H.D.)
Elevation Source: Unknown

Northing: 6249428.000
Easting: 328008.000

Latitude: 33°52'53.4"S
Longitude: 151°08'25.0"E

GS Map: -

MGA Zone: 56

Coordinate Source: Unknown

Remarks

29/07/2014: Nat Carling, 29-July-2014; Added status, drill method, depth & work name.

***** End of GW113097 *****

Warning To Clients: This raw data has been supplied to the WaterNSW by drillers, licensees and other sources. WaterNSW does not verify the accuracy of this data. The data is presented for use by you at your own risk. You should consider verifying this data before relying on it. Professional hydrogeological advice should be sought in interpreting and using this data.

WaterNSW

Work Summary

GW113098

Licence:

Licence Status:

Authorised Purpose(s):
Intended Purpose(s): MONITORING BORE

Work Type: Bore

Work Status: Equipped

Construct.Method:

Owner Type: Private

Commenced Date:

Completion Date: 12/04/2011

Final Depth: 6.00 m

Drilled Depth: 6.00 m

Contractor Name: (None)

Driller: Unkown Unknown

Assistant Driller:

Property:

GWMA:

GW Zone:

Standing Water Level (m):

Salinity Description:

Yield (L/s):

Site Details

Site Chosen By:

County
Form A: CUMBERLAND
Licensed:

Parish
CONCORD

Cadastre
1/180212

Region: 10 - Sydney South Coast

River Basin: - Unknown

Area/District:

CMA Map:

Grid Zone:

Scale:

Elevation: 0.00 m (A.H.D.)
Elevation Source: Unknown

Northing: 6249422.000
Easting: 327992.000

Latitude: 33°52'53.5"S
Longitude: 151°08'24.3"E

GS Map: -

MGA Zone: 56

Coordinate Source: Unknown

Remarks

29/07/2014: Nat Carling, 29-July-2014; Added status, drill method, depth & work name.

***** End of GW113098 *****

Warning To Clients: This raw data has been supplied to the WaterNSW by drillers, licensees and other sources. WaterNSW does not verify the accuracy of this data. The data is presented for use by you at your own risk. You should consider verifying this data before relying on it. Professional hydrogeological advice should be sought in interpreting and using this data.

WaterNSW

Work Summary

GW113099

Licence:

Licence Status:

Authorised Purpose(s):
Intended Purpose(s): MONITORING BORE

Work Type: Bore

Work Status: Equipped

Construct.Method:

Owner Type: Private

Commenced Date:

Completion Date: 13/04/2011

Final Depth: 6.00 m

Drilled Depth: 6.00 m

Contractor Name: (None)

Driller: Unkown Unknown

Assistant Driller:

Property:

GWMA:

GW Zone:

Standing Water Level (m):

Salinity Description:

Yield (L/s):

Site Details

Site Chosen By:

County
Form A: CUMBERLAND
Licensed:

Parish
CONCORD

Cadastre
1/180212

Region: 10 - Sydney South Coast

River Basin: - Unknown
Area/District:

CMA Map:

Grid Zone:

Scale:

Elevation: 0.00 m (A.H.D.)
Elevation Source: Unknown

Northing: 6249424.000
Easting: 328013.000

Latitude: 33°52'53.5"S
Longitude: 151°08'25.2"E

GS Map: -

MGA Zone: 56

Coordinate Source: Unknown

Remarks

29/07/2014: Nat Carling, 29-July-2014; Added status, drill method, depth & work name.

***** End of GW113099 *****

Warning To Clients: This raw data has been supplied to the WaterNSW by drillers, licensees and other sources. WaterNSW does not verify the accuracy of this data. The data is presented for use by you at your own risk. You should consider verifying this data before relying on it. Professional hydrogeological advice should be sought in interpreting and using this data.

APPENDIX E: BUREAU OF METEOROLOGY

Monthly Rainfall (millimetres)

MARRICKVILLE GOLF CLUB

Station Number: 066036 · State: NSW · Opened: 1904 · Status: Open · Latitude: 33.92°S · Longitude: 151.14°E · Elevation: 6 m

Year	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Annual
1904					87.3	0.5	303.1	37.3	26.7	38.6	0.0	25.4	
1905	42.0	68.1	227.2	151.1	162.3	52.6	9.1	7.6	35.5	46.3	6.4	77.9	886.1
1906	46.1	7.0	134.5	8.9	115.3	28.0	3.8	121.7	35.2	41.2	93.4	59.7	694.8
1907	67.9	84.5	192.6	29.7	31.8	195.5	4.5	6.3	5.1	7.2	26.6	50.7	702.4
1908	25.8	191.5	31.0	55.0	46.4	10.6	212.7	219.3	53.0	17.0	2.0	15.2	879.5
1909	17.8	148.0	19.1	30.5	20.6	110.0	15.1	29.6	104.0	35.8	72.8	91.5	694.8
1910	135.2	16.6	156.4	73.2	107.5	61.9	224.8	5.6	48.2	53.3	19.1	149.9	1051.7
1911	348.0	121.3	55.9	61.0	38.1	2.5	163.8	164.4	46.2	19.5	39.3	53.4	1113.4
1912	27.9	158.6	112.1	139.7	80.1	43.2	218.3	54.6	14.0	21.1	74.6	44.1	988.3
1913	13.8	34.3	257.1	173.1	411.2	303.0	199.7	0.0	42.6	34.3	10.9	8.9	1488.9
1914	14.4	25.0	203.7	40.1	96.8	131.1	220.8	54.4	97.1	148.9	76.1	157.6	1266.0
1915	23.4	26.8	92.2	222.6	96.8	26.2	122.0	26.7	28.6	16.7	0.0	63.8	745.8
1916	21.8	54.3	52.6	120.1	38.4	42.4	70.6	97.0	123.5	312.4	69.7	78.9	1081.7
1917	56.5	169.9	8.7	319.0	82.8	131.8	9.0	39.6	101.4	97.7	186.4	40.6	1243.4
1918	229.5	90.8	29.0	161.9	7.4	3.9	211.0	46.9	69.9	19.2	20.7	11.4	901.6
1919	28.9	96.1	84.8	57.4	416.7	32.4	31.7	4.6	79.8	46.6	80.1	63.6	1022.7
1920	137.5	32.3	27.7	59.3	5.1	57.4	125.9	24.7	29.4	24.3	42.2	341.0	906.8
1921	67.4	23.9	75.6	154.3	145.6	17.1	152.0	24.4	84.1	55.4	73.3	145.0	1018.1
1922	133.5	75.1	41.4	29.2	89.0	25.0	243.1	41.0	99.5	49.3	14.6	40.7	881.4
1923	51.6	13.8	20.0	150.7	26.7	101.2	174.2	139.4	42.5	33.2	26.7	41.4	821.4
1924	111.9	58.5	95.8	134.3	49.3	48.2	38.4	57.1	74.9	25.9	79.6	67.4	841.3
1925	70.7	41.3	44.1	29.4	430.8	154.0	4.1	86.4	18.0	16.3	99.7	16.0	1010.8
1926	84.6	9.1	247.4	75.4	71.9	31.5	57.7	27.4	41.7	5.6	1.0	142.2	795.5
1927	105.7	16.3	93.5	370.6	40.6	86.6	6.1	10.7	45.5	83.8	154.2	62.0	1075.6
1928	46.7	198.9	109.0	87.9	65.8	157.5	102.1	31.2	3.6	42.7	3.8	19.3	868.5
1929	3.8	254.8	107.7	121.9	175.3	77.7	65.0	75.2	41.7	185.2	75.4	31.8	1215.5
1930	105.9	16.5	101.6	172.5	95.0	194.1	77.7	18.3	7.4	53.6	10.4	125.0	978.0
1931	33.5	47.8	145.8	170.7	95.5	39.1	282.4	6.6	110.2	17.0	81.5	88.9	1119.0
1932	7.6	149.6	68.1	113.8	42.9	16.5	44.5	61.7	195.8	26.7	80.8	88.1	896.1
1933	218.4	3.3	48.0	195.1	132.1	44.5	71.4	3.3	70.1	74.2	99.3	70.1	1029.8
1934	44.5	227.1	48.5	191.8	134.9	85.1	194.8	125.7	223.8	46.5	81.5	55.1	1459.3
1935	53.8	91.7	41.1	23.4	54.9	89.4	40.9	3.3	69.9	74.9	35.6	114.6	693.5
1936	80.8	126.0	80.8	42.9	53.8	62.7	15.7	27.9	30.0	16.5	9.7	81.0	627.8
1937	68.6	31.8	204.7	123.7	22.4	342.9	62.7	89.9	7.9	73.2	114.0	39.4	1181.2
1938	189.7	75.4	25.9	33.5	92.5	5.6	87.6	204.0	33.5	68.1	28.2	6.1	850.1
1939	67.3	2.5	185.4	112.3	59.2	12.4	25.7	73.2	58.2	53.1	44.2	14.7	708.2
1940	13.2	7.4	12.2	145.0	98.8	43.4	72.6	27.7	77.0	44.5	58.4	202.7	802.9
1941	112.8	41.1	29.2	90.9	26.9	40.1	40.9	59.9	43.7	52.1	13.7	18.3	569.6
1942	4.8	37.1	384.3	14.2	38.6	121.7	28.2	16.5	21.8	140.2	112.5	92.5	1012.4
1943	42.2	14.2	40.4	44.7	411.7	26.9	6.9	191.8	117.3	46.0	149.1	57.4	1148.6
1944	55.4	65.8	48.8	62.0	62.0	53.8	67.1	86.6	37.1	19.8	11.4	19.6	589.4
1945	68.8	54.6	26.9	281.4	154.9	166.1	71.4	40.6	8.4	24.9	58.2	50.5	1006.7
1946	15.2	57.2	137.7	235.2	53.1	147.6	1.3	2.8	14.5	50.5	75.7	19.8	810.6
1947	42.7	87.6	61.0	126.7	92.7	40.6	12.2	35.8	12.2	46.2	103.4	213.9	875.0
1948	175.3	44.7	125.5	27.2	157.7	171.7	17.3	26.9	50.8	19.8	17.8	58.8	893.5
1949	228.3	113.3	101.6	27.2	83.8	312.7	48.0	117.9	197.4	38.6	90.2	41.1	1400.1
1950	100.1	151.6	142.2	146.8	140.0	573.5	290.3	78.0	99.3	107.2	94.7	21.8	1945.5
1951	267.7	46.7	116.3	40.6	135.1	293.4	19.8	172.0	83.3	55.9	9.1	28.4	1268.3

Quality control: 12.3 Done & acceptable, 12.3 Not completed or unknown



Monthly Rainfall (millimetres)

MARRICKVILLE GOLF CLUB

Station Number: 066036 · State: NSW · Opened: 1904 · Status: Open · Latitude: 33.92°S · Longitude: 151.14°E · Elevation: 6 m

Year	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Annual
1952	30.0	40.9	73.7	309.4	43.4	181.4	185.7	229.9	10.4	148.6	67.1	36.6	1357.1
1953	66.8	167.1	98.0	17.3	284.5	21.3	47.5	36.8	27.7	63.5	30.2	7.4	868.1
1954	98.0	274.6	19.6	16.3	27.4	8.6	90.9	42.7	67.6	188.2	86.9	103.9	1024.7
1955	222.3	287.0	221.2	100.8	297.4	93.0	27.2	10.7	30.5	39.1	260.6	188.0	1777.8
1956	100.1	543.3	323.3	21.8	104.6	200.9	46.2	79.2	24.1	48.8	5.8	25.7	1523.8
1957	57.4	85.9	167.9	23.6	3.3	33.0	146.8	148.8	15.0	9.7	21.3	44.7	757.4
1958	111.0	267.7	337.3	38.9	11.9	209.0	29.7	83.8	26.4	52.1	12.2	96.0	1276.0
1959	119.4	199.1	119.9	54.9	40.4	108.2	157.0	59.7	63.0	304.0	102.4	59.7	1387.7
1960	70.4	69.6	54.6	26.2	110.5	65.3	90.9	53.6	62.7	223.5	66.3	198.6	1092.2
1961	68.8	65.5	42.2	79.5	20.6	46.5	42.2	168.9	36.8	47.2	423.2	93.5	1134.9
1962	113.3	113.0	55.4	69.3	248.7	2.8	71.1	106.4	75.2	23.9	7.6	160.5	1047.2
1963	126.2	63.0	375.7	190.8	192.0	231.1	53.3	274.6	25.7	58.4	35.1	290.8	1916.7
1964	18.5	34.3	168.1	135.6	46.7	341.6	8.6	22.4	16.5	71.1	71.1	55.4	989.9
1965	24.9	12.4	15.0	131.3	33.5	193.8	97.3	23.4	87.9	157.2	13.7	48.0	838.4
1966	18.8	113.9	203.3	183.7	50.1	113.3	11.4	67.6	50.6	38.0	140.2	68.2	1059.1
1967	153.1	164.4	101.8	46.8	31.0	214.0	20.8	211.9	68.3	55.4	78.6	18.8	1164.9
1968	109.5	15.0	86.1	11.2	85.8	20.3	47.8	22.2	3.1	4.2	17.5	76.1	498.8
1969	51.7	195.6	104.0	169.7	44.2	149.3	29.8	155.4	45.0	49.0	255.5	37.4	1286.6
1970	94.1	60.4	140.1	58.7	15.2	27.2	0.0	31.9	132.9	18.1			
2001								39.0	57.0	29.0	74.0	18.0	
2002	67.0	313.0	33.0	26.0	75.0	18.0	9.0	13.0	20.0	19.0	19.0	88.0	700.0
2003	5.0	53.0	70.0	242.0	335.0	57.0	42.0	34.0	8.0	61.0	81.0	52.0	1040.0
2004	51.0	43.0	4.0	2.0	8.0	27.0	28.0	86.0	54.0	200.0	42.0	71.0	616.0
2005	60.0	95.0	45.0	17.0	29.0	64.0	61.0	0.0	40.0	56.0	92.0	37.0	596.0
2006	61.0	33.0	29.0	2.0	20.0	157.0	98.0	68.0	147.0	8.0	22.0	13.0	658.0
2007	10.0	105.0	49.0	113.0	12.0	333.0	31.0	99.0	48.0	33.0	122.0	77.0	1032.0
2008	53.0	325.0	64.0	155.0	4.0	109.0	55.0	34.0	73.0	44.0	44.0	73.0	1033.0
2009	18.0	125.0	17.0	50.0	115.0	75.0	48.0	4.0	17.0	158.0	24.0	54.0	705.0
2010	24.0	164.0	50.0	32.0	149.0	93.0	30.0	18.0	46.0	79.0	165.0	75.0	925.0
2011	29.0	16.0	153.0	216.0	112.0	63.0	264.0	38.0	71.0	32.0	169.0	154.0	1317.0
2012	112.0	140.0	221.0	163.0	23.0	211.0	52.0	8.0	20.0	24.0	48.0	38.0	1060.0
2013	133.0	169.0	71.0	109.0	2.0	308.0	30.0	14.0	47.0	18.0	183.0	31.0	1115.0
2014	7.0		117.0	61.0	9.0	84.0	12.0	243.0	48.0	131.0	18.0	161.0	
2015	159.0	101.0	49.0	413.0	102.0	90.0	54.0	60.0	56.0	31.0	81.0	69.0	1265.0
2016	251.0	29.0	117.0	86.0	13.0	300.0	108.0	82.0		27.0	34.0	66.0	
2017	48.0	177.0	267.0	71.0	19.0	116.0	11.0	21.0	0.0	59.0	40.0	52.0	881.0
2018	25.0	116.0	77.0	15.0	14.0	146.0	8.0	6.0	80.0	181.0	110.0	93.0	871.0
2019	69.0	88.0	170.0	16.0	9.0	146.0	43.0	53.0	103.0	27.0	25.0	1.0	750.0
2020	66.0	435.0	138.0	25.0	99.0	82.0	140.0	60.0	6.0		45.0	89.0	
2021	71.0	108.0	407.0	27.0	99.0	70.0	32.0	80.0	52.0	61.0	160.0	91.0	1258.0
2022	91.0	394.0	626.0	221.0	178.0	4.0							

Quality control: 12.3 Done & acceptable, 12.3 Not completed or unknown



Monthly Rainfall (millimetres)

MARRICKVILLE GOLF CLUB

Station Number: 066036 · State: NSW · Opened: 1904 · Status: Open · Latitude: 33.92°S · Longitude: 151.14°E · Elevation: 6 m

Statistics for this station calculated over all years of data

	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Annual
Mean	80.2	108.3	117.0	103.7	94.3	109.4	79.6	65.8	55.4	63.3	69.2	73.8	1010.8
Lowest	3.8	2.5	4.0	2.0	2.0	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.2	0.0	1.0	498.8
5th percentile	8.3	9.9	17.6	14.4	7.6	4.6	5.0	3.5	6.4	11.7	4.4	11.9	616.6
10th percentile	14.9	15.5	26.5	17.2	12.0	15.3	8.8	6.2	9.6	17.0	9.5	17.2	694.8
Median	66.8	79.9	92.2	75.4	68.8	79.8	48.0	41.8	46.2	46.3	58.4	59.7	1008.8
90th percentile	165.5	240.9	235.3	218.0	182.2	249.8	211.7	165.8	103.4	152.2	151.1	155.4	1353.1
95th percentile	226.5	306.5	333.1	269.6	321.8	311.1	237.6	209.1	130.1	187.3	178.8	195.4	1487.4
Highest	348.0	543.3	626.0	413.0	430.8	573.5	303.1	274.6	223.8	312.4	423.2	341.0	1945.5

1) Calculation of statistics

Summary statistics, other than the Highest and Lowest values, are only calculated if there are at least 20 years of data available.

2) Gaps and missing data

Gaps may be caused by a damaged instrument, a temporary change to the site operation, or due to the absence or illness of an observer.

3) Further information

<http://www.bom.gov.au/climate/cdo/about/about-rain-data.shtml>.

Product code: IDCJAC0001 reference: 87902372 Created on Thu 30 Jun 2022 14:45:33 PM AEST

© Copyright Commonwealth of Australia 2022, Bureau of Meteorology.

Prepared using Climate Data Online, Bureau of Meteorology <http://www.bom.gov.au/climate/data>.

Contact us using details on <http://www.bom.gov.au/climate/how/contacts.shtml>.

We have taken all due care but cannot provide any warranty nor accept any liability for this information.

<http://www.bom.gov.au/other/copyright.shtml>



APPENDIX F: CONCEPT DEVELOPMENT PLANS

4 Scoping proposal

SJB Architects has been engaged to undertake a peer review the previous proposals and prepare a new urban design study for the site. This will be supported by new and updated technical studies as outlined in Section 8 of this report.

An indicative proposal has been prepared to support this scoping report which will be reviewed and refined through the SJB Urban Design Study. The proposal seeks to deliver residential uses consistent with the recommendation of PRCUTS including private apartments, seniors housing and affordable housing. Non-residential uses are also proposed to form part of the proposal to retain employment on the site, provide local urban services, and the provide street activation and vibrancy to the area.

The indicative land use mix is as follows:

- Residential apartments including affordable housing: 16,658sqm / 172 dwellings
- Seniors independent living units: 7,500sqm / 63 dwellings
- Non-residential: 1,500sqm
- **Total floor space: 25,658sqm**

The proposal seeks to directly align with the recommendations of PRCUTS and would seek to amend the Leichhardt LEP as follows:

- Rezone the site from IN2 Light Industrial to R3 Medium Density Residential
- Increase the maximum FSR from 1:1 to 2.4
- Apply a maximum height of buildings of 30m.

The PRCUTS did not envisage retention of employment use on this site, and to enable this to occur it is proposed that a site specific planning control be applied to the site which require a minimum 1,500sqm of non-residential floor space and allow a mix of non-residential uses including recreation facility (indoor), office premises, business premises, light industry, industrial retail outlet, and restaurant or café.

A site specific DCP would be prepared to outline more detailed controls to guide future development on the site.




Figure 5: Indicative layout





Figure 6: Indicative cross sections

APPENDIX G: BOREHOLE LOGS



TEST BOREHOLE LOG

KM01

PROJECT: Detailed Site Investigation	BOREHOLE DEPTH: 3.1m
LOCATION: 67-73 Lords Road , Leichhardt	ELEVATION: -10 asl
CLIENT: Platino Properties Pty Ltd	WATER LEVEL: n/a
CONTRACT: C04 3460	DATE: 06/11/2004
DRILLING: Ute Mounted Hydraulic Push Tube	SHEET: 1 of 1

Depth (m)	Material Description & Observations	Sample	Asbestos sample	Well Construction Details			
0.0	Bitumen						
	Fill: Brown silt. Coal waste @ 0.2. Concrete fragments throughout. Crushed brick and tile	0.2	0.1				
1.0	Fill: Old bitumen road	0.9					
	Fill: Fine grain brown silt, loose structure						
	Natural: Clayey brown silt. Very compact and friable. Ironstone	1.3					
2.0	Natural: Weathered sandstone orange (fine-medium grain)						
	Natural: Silty white clay	2.4 +Dup01					
3.0							
	EOH @ 3.1 metres						
4.0							
5.0							

SAMPLING LEGEND

A - Auger drillings
HA - Hand auger
T - Test pit
S - SPT sample

W - Water sample
D - Duplicate
U - Undisturbed tube sample
C - Composite sample

B - Bag sample only
PID - Photoionisation detector result
Groundwater level (static) ▼
Groundwater seepage ◀

LOGGED BY: CC/AS

CHECKED:

DATE: 03/03/05



TEST BOREHOLE LOG

KM02

PROJECT: Detailed Site Investigation	BOREHOLE DEPTH: 2.4m
LOCATION: 67-73 Lords Road , Leichhardt	ELEVATION: ~10 asl
CLIENT: Platino Properties Pty Ltd	WATER LEVEL: n/a
CONTRACT: C04 3460	DATE: 06/11/2004
DRILLING: Ute Mounted Hydraulic Push Tube	SHEET: 1 of 1

Depth (m)	Material Description & Observations	Sample	Asbestos sample	Well Construction Details			
0.0	Bitumen						
	Fill: Brown clayey silt. Blue metal, Concrete fragments. Coal waste throughout. Crushed brick, tile and terracotta	0.2	0.3				
	Natural: Silt, brown; lighter with depth, loose structure	0.7					
1.0							
	Natural: Clayey silt. Compact and fragile. Ironstone Light yellow to dark red in column, less ironstone with depth						
2.0		2.0					
	EOH @ 2.4 metres Refusal on red sandstone						
3.0							
4.0							
5.0							

SAMPLING LEGEND			LOGGED BY: CC/AS
A - Auger drillings	W - Water sample	B - Bag sample only	CHECKED: <i>me</i>
HA - Hand auger	D - Duplicate	PID - Photoionisation detector result	DATE: 02/11/05
T - Test pit	U - Undisturbed tube sample	Groundwater level (static) ▼	
S - SPT sample	C - Composite sample	Groundwater seepage ◀	



TEST BOREHOLE LOG

KM03

PROJECT: Detailed Site Investigation	BOREHOLE DEPTH: 2.2m
LOCATION: 67-73 Lords Road , Leichhardt	ELEVATION: ~10m asl
CLIENT: Platino Properties Pty Ltd	WATER LEVEL: n/a
CONTRACT: C04 3460	DATE: 06/11/2004
DRILLING: Ute Mounted Hydraulic Push Tube	SHEET: 1 of 1

Depth (m)	Material Description & Observations	Sample	Asbestos sample	Well Construction Details			
0.0	Fill: Brown silt fine – medium grain. Light to dark in colour. Coal waste and ash throughout. Crushed brick and concrete pieces.	0.2	0.6				
		0.6					
1.0		Natural: Clayey silt - fine grain Some ironstone. Light brown in colour Loose structure, friable	0.9				
	Natural: Hand compact clays, yellow and red, friable. Ironstone fragments, friable						
2.0	Natural: White silt, fine grain, grey in colour	1.8 +Dup02					
	EOH @ 2.2 metres Refusal on natural orange sandstone						
3.0							
4.0							
5.0							

SAMPLING LEGEND			LOGGED BY: CC/AS
A - Auger drillings	W - Water sample	B - Bag sample only	CHECKED: <i>[Signature]</i>
HA - Hand auger	D - Duplicate	PiD - Photoionisation detector result	DATE: 03/13/05
T - Test pit	U - Undisturbed tube sample	Groundwater level (static) ▼	
S - SPT sample	C - Composite sample	Groundwater seepage ◀	



TEST BOREHOLE LOG

KM04

PROJECT: Detailed Site Investigation	BOREHOLE DEPTH: 2.2m
LOCATION: 67-73 Lords Road , Leichhardt	ELEVATION: ~10m asl
CLIENT: Platino Properties Pty Ltd	WATER LEVEL: n/a
CONTRACT: C04 3460	DATE: 08/11/2004
DRILLING: Ute Mounted Hydraulic Push Tube	SHEET: 1 of 1

Depth (m)	Material Description & Observations	Sample	Asbestos sample	Well Construction Details			
0.0	Bitumen Fill: Brown silt and aggregate Clays and furnace slag @ 0.1	0.1					
	Fill: Light brown slay with sandstone fragments - plastic	0.5					
	Natural: Red/yellow clay, very friable, weathered sands (fine-medium grain)	0.8					
1.0	Natural: Mottled clayey sands, very friable (fine-medium grain) Lighter with depth						
2.0		2.0					
	EOH @ 2.2 Refusal on natural red sandstone						
3.0							
4.0							
5.0							

SAMPLING LEGEND			LOGGED BY: CC/AS
A - Auger drillings	W - Water sample	B - Bag sample only	CHECKED: <i>[Signature]</i>
HA - Hand auger	D - Duplicate	PID - Photoionisation detector result	DATE: 02/03/05
T - Test pit	U - Undisturbed tube sample	Groundwater level (static) ▼	
S - SPT sample	C - Composite sample	Groundwater seepage ◀	



TEST BOREHOLE LOG

KM05

PROJECT: Detailed Site Investigation	BOREHOLE DEPTH: 3.3m
LOCATION: 67-73 Lords Road , Leichhardt	ELEVATION: ~10m asl
CLIENT: Platino Properties Pty Ltd	WATER LEVEL: n/a
CONTRACT: C04 3460	DATE: 08/11/2004
DRILLING: Ute Mounted Hydraulic Push Tube	SHEET: 1 of 1

Depth (m)	Material Description & Observations	Sample	Asbestos sample	Well Construction Details			
0.0	Bitumen Fill: Brown silt and bitumen Aggregate and displaced clay	0.1					
	Fill: Displaced clay and ash @ 0.8	0.8					
1.0	Natural: Light brown sandy clay, darker with depth. Ironstone fragments	1.2					
2.0	Natural: Ironstone deposit	2.0					
	Natural: Light grey sandy clay, friable	3.0					
	EOH @ 3.3 metres Refusal on natural sandstone						
4.0							
5.0							

SAMPLING LEGEND

A - Auger drillings
HA - Hand auger
T - Test pit
S - SPT sample

W - Water sample
D - Duplicate
U - Undisturbed tube sample
C - Composite sample

B - Bag sample only
PID - Photoionisation detector result
Groundwater level (static) ▼
Groundwater seepage ◀

LOGGED BY: CC/AS

CHECKED: *[Signature]*

DATE: 03/03/05



TEST BOREHOLE LOG

KM06

PROJECT: Detailed Site Investigation	BOREHOLE DEPTH: 0.5m
LOCATION: 67-73 Lords Road , Leichhardt	ELEVATION: ~10m asl
CLIENT: Platino Properties Pty Ltd	WATER LEVEL: n/a
CONTRACT: C04 3460	DATE: 08/11/2004
DRILLING: Ute Mounted Hydraulic Push Tube	SHEET: 1 of 1

Depth (m)	Material Description & Observations	Sample	Asbestos sample	Well Construction Details			
0.0	Bitumen Fill: Brown silt and aggregate	0.1					
	Fill: Ironstone fragments (red) and displaced clay	0.5					
	EOH @ 0.5 Refusal on natural orange sandstone No natural sample						
1.0							
2.0							
3.0							
4.0							
5.0							

SAMPLING LEGEND			LOGGED BY: CC/AS
A - Auger drillings	W - Water sample	B - Bag sample only	CHECKED: <i>[Signature]</i>
HA - Hand auger	D - Duplicate	PID - Photoionisation detector result	DATE: 08/11/2004
T - Test pit	U - Undisturbed tube sample	Groundwater level (static) ▼	
S - SPT sample	C - Composite sample	Groundwater seepage ◀	



TEST BOREHOLE LOG

KM07

PROJECT: Detailed Site Investigation	BOREHOLE DEPTH: 1.8m
LOCATION: 67-73 Lords Road , Leichhardt	ELEVATION: ~10m asl
CLIENT: Platino Properties Pty Ltd	WATER LEVEL: n/a
CONTRACT: C04 3460	DATE: 08/11/2004
DRILLING: Ute Mounted Hydraulic Push Tube	SHEET: 1 of 1

Depth (m)	Material Description & Observations	Sample	Asbestos sample	Well Construction Details			
0.0	Bitumen Fill: Brown silt/sand (fine-medium grain) Rock fragments Concrete fragments Broken tile	0.2	0.3				
1.0	Fill: Brown clayey sands and rock fragments	0.8					
	Natural: Ironstone and brown clays friable	1.2					
2.0	EOH @ 1.8 Refusal on natural sandstone						
3.0							
4.0							
5.0							

SAMPLING LEGEND			LOGGED BY: CC/AS
A - Auger drillings	W - Water sample	B - Bag sample only	CHECKED: <i>[Signature]</i>
HA - Hand auger	D - Duplicate	PID - Photoionisation detector result	DATE: 08/11/04
T - Test pit	U - Undisturbed tube sample	Groundwater level (static) ▼	
S - SPT sample	C - Composite sample	Groundwater seepage ◀	



TEST BOREHOLE LOG

KM08

PROJECT: Detailed Site Investigation	BOREHOLE DEPTH: 2.4m
LOCATION: 67-73 Lords Road , Leichhardt	ELEVATION: ~10m asl
CLIENT: Platino Properties Pty Ltd	WATER LEVEL: n/a
CONTRACT: C04 3460	DATE: 08/11/2004
DRILLING: Ute Mounted Hydraulic Push Tube	SHEET: 1 of 1

Depth (m)	Material Description & Observations	Sample	Asbestos sample	Well Construction Details			
0.0	Concrete Fill: Brown silt and aggregate Rock fragments Crushed bricks	0.2					
	Fill: Ash and coal waste @ 0.8	0.8					
1.0	Fill: Crushed sandstone and displaced mottled clays, very plastic	1.4					
2.0	Natural: Pink weathered sands (medium grain)	2.0					
	EOH @ 2.4 metres						
3.0							
4.0							
5.0							

SAMPLING LEGEND			LOGGED BY: CC/AS
A - Auger drillings	W - Water sample	B - Bag sample only	CHECKED: <i>[Signature]</i>
HA - Hand auger	D - Duplicate	PID - Photoionisation detector result	DATE: 08/11/05
T - Test pit	U - Undisturbed tube sample	Groundwater level (static) ▼	
S - SPT sample	C - Composite sample	Groundwater seepage ◀	



TEST BOREHOLE LOG

KM09

PROJECT: Detailed Site Investigation	BOREHOLE DEPTH: 3.6m
LOCATION: 67-73 Lords Road , Leichhardt	ELEVATION: ~10m asl
CLIENT: Platino Properties Pty Ltd	WATER LEVEL: 3.6m
CONTRACT: C04 3460	DATE: 08/11/2004
DRILLING: Ute Mounted Hydraulic Push Tube	SHEET: 1 of 1

Depth (m)	Material Description & Observations	Sample	Asbestos sample	Well Construction Details			
0.0	Concrete Fill: Brown silt/sand and aggregate Mottled displaced clays with rock fragments, plastic	0.2					
	Fill: Crushed sandstone and clay Rock fragments						
1.0		0.8					
	Fill: Grey clay (plastic) and displaced ironstone						
		1.5					
	Fill: Grey clay Organic odour						
2.0	Ash, coal waste and glass	2.0					
	Fill: Grey silt, moist debris Demolition waste Porcelain and glass						
3.0		3.0					
	Fill: Black organic silt, very moist Strong odour Rock fragments, organic material Glass fragments						
		3.6					
4.0	EOH @ 3.6 metres Unable to extend sample further No natural sample						
5.0							

SAMPLING LEGEND			LOGGED BY: CC/AS
A - Auger drillings	W - Water sample	B - Bag sample only	CHECKED: <i>[Signature]</i>
HA - Hand auger	D - Duplicate	PID - Photoionisation detector result	DATE: 08/11/05
T - Test pit	U - Undisturbed tube sample	Groundwater level (static) ↓	
S - SPT sample	C - Composite sample	Groundwater seepage ←	



TEST BOREHOLE LOG

KM10

PROJECT: Detailed Site Investigation	BOREHOLE DEPTH: 2.4m
LOCATION: 67-73 Lords Road , Leichhardt	ELEVATION: ~10m asl
CLIENT: Platino Properties Pty Ltd	WATER LEVEL: 2.4m
CONTRACT: C04 3460	DATE: 09/11/2004
DRILLING: Ute Mounted Hydraulic Push Tube	SHEET: 1 of 1

Depth (m)	Material Description & Observations	Sample	Asbestos sample	Well Construction Details			
0.0	Concrete Airspace (0.0-0.4)						
	Fill: sand (fine-medium grain), light brown ironstone Metal fragments Rocks	0.4	0.4				
1.0	Fill: Displaced clays, ash & glass	1.0					
2.0	Fill: Light brown plastic clays, rocks, very moist/sloppy						
		2.4					
3.0	EOH @ 2.4 No natural sample Sample very sloppy – falling at end of sample tube						
4.0							
5.0							

SAMPLING LEGEND			LOGGED BY: CC/AS
A - Auger drillings	W - Water sample	B - Bag sample only	CHECKED: <i>[Signature]</i>
HA - Hand auger	D - Duplicate	PID - Photoionisation detector result	DATE: 03/03/05
T - Test pit	U - Undisturbed tube sample	Groundwater level (static) ▼	
S - SPT sample	C - Composite sample	Groundwater seepage ◀	



TEST BOREHOLE LOG

KM11

PROJECT: Detailed Site Investigation	BOREHOLE DEPTH: 3.6m
LOCATION: 67-73 Lords Road , Leichhardt	ELEVATION: ~10m asl
CLIENT: Platino Properties Pty Ltd	WATER LEVEL: n/a
CONTRACT: C04 3460	DATE: 09/11/2004
DRILLING: Ute Mounted Hydraulic Push Tube	SHEET: 1 of 1

Depth (m)	Material Description & Observations	Sample	Asbestos sample	Well Construction Details			
0.0	Concrete slab						
	Fill: Concrete fragments Brown/black sands (fine-medium grain) Ash & coal waste Glass fragments	0.4					
	Fill: Mottled displaced clays (plastic) Ash	0.8 +Dup03	0.8				
1.0	Ironstone fragments						
	Fill: Mottled clays and ash Light brown sands (fine-medium grain) No odour Minimal moisture	2.0					
2.0							
	Fill: Displaced clays, strong organic odour Glass and porcelain fragments Ash, very dark in colour Pebbles, sands and organic material	3.0					
3.0							
	Natural: Mottled clays (organic odour)	3.6					
	EOH @ 3.6 metres						
4.0							
5.0							

SAMPLING LEGEND			LOGGED BY: CC/AS
A - Auger drillings	W - Water sample	B - Bag sample only	CHECKED: <i>[Signature]</i>
HA - Hand auger	D - Duplicate	PID - Photoionisation detector result	DATE: 03/10/05
T - Test pit	U - Undisturbed tube sample	Groundwater level (static) ▼	
S - SPT sample	C - Composite sample	Groundwater seepage ◀	



TEST BOREHOLE LOG

KM12

PROJECT: Detailed Site Investigation	BOREHOLE DEPTH: 2.4m
LOCATION: 67-73 Lords Road , Leichhardt	ELEVATION: ~10m asl
CLIENT: Platino Properties Pty Ltd	WATER LEVEL: n/a
CONTRACT: C04 3460	DATE: 09/11/2004
DRILLING: Ute Mounted Hydraulic Push Tube	SHEET: 1 of 1

Depth (m)	Material Description & Observations	Sample	Asbestos sample	Well Construction Details			
0.0	Concrete slab						
	Fill: Displaced clays (friable) Slate fragments Rocks, glass and electrical wire	0.3	0.4				
	Fill: Bitumen layer Organic material Ash Ironstone fragments	0.8					
1.0							
	Fill: Displaced mottled friable clays Ash & ironstone	1.2					
2.0	Natural: Grey clays (fine grain & plastic) with organic material and organic odour	2.0 +Dup04					
	EOH @ 2.4 metres						
3.0							
4.0							
5.0							

SAMPLING LEGEND			LOGGED BY: CC/AS
A - Auger drillings	W - Water sample	B - Bag sample only	CHECKED: <i>[Signature]</i>
HA - Hand auger	D - Duplicate	PID - Photoionisation detector result	DATE: 09/11/05
T - Test pit	U - Undisturbed tube sample	Groundwater level (static) ▼	
S - SPT sample	C - Composite sample	Groundwater seepage ◀	



TEST BOREHOLE LOG

KM13

PROJECT: Detailed Site Investigation	BOREHOLE DEPTH: 2.4m
LOCATION: 67-73 Lords Road , Leichhardt	ELEVATION: ~10m asl
CLIENT: Platino Properties Pty Ltd	WATER LEVEL: n/a
CONTRACT: C04 3460	DATE: 09/11/2004
DRILLING: Ute Mounted Hydraulic Push Tube	SHEET: 1 of 1

Depth (m)	Material Description & Observations	Sample	Asbestos sample	Well Construction Details			
0.0	Bitumen Fill: Brown silt and aggregate and glass	0.2					
	Fill: Mottled weathered sands (fine-medium grain) Rocks & ironstone (friable)	0.6					
1.0	Fill: Crushed white sandstone and brown clay, Ash and concrete	1.0					
	Natural: Dark grey/brown silty clay, slight organic odour, plastic, some small rocks mixed in clay	1.2 +Dup05					
2.0	Natural: Light grey sandy clay plastic – no odour	2.0					
	EOH @ 2.4						
3.0							
4.0							
5.0							

SAMPLING LEGEND			LOGGED BY: CC/AS
A - Auger drillings	W - Water sample	B - Bag sample only	CHECKED:
HA - Hand auger	D - Duplicate	PID - Photoionisation detector result	DATE: 23/03/05
T - Test pit	U - Undisturbed tube sample	Groundwater level (static) ▼	
S - SPT sample	C - Composite sample	Groundwater seepage ◀	



TEST BOREHOLE LOG

KM14

PROJECT: Detailed Site Investigation	BOREHOLE DEPTH: 2.4m
LOCATION: 67-73 Lords Road , Leichhardt	ELEVATION: ~10m asl
CLIENT: Platino Properties Pty Ltd	WATER LEVEL: n/a
CONTRACT: C04 3460	DATE: 09/11/2004
DRILLING: Ute Mounted Hydraulic Push Tube	SHEET: 1 of 1

Depth (m)	Material Description & Observations	Sample	Asbestos sample	Well Construction Details			
0.0	Bitumen	0.2					
	Fill: Brown silt Aggregate and rock fragments		0.4				
	Fill: Crushed sandstone Weathered sands (fine-medium grain) Displaced clays (plastic, grey in colour)	0.6					
1.0	Natural: Dark grey sandy clay, plastic Slight organic odour Organic material	1.0					
2.0	Natural: Light grey sandy clay (plastic) Organic material	2.0					
	EOH @ 2.4 metres						
3.0							
4.0							
5.0							

SAMPLING LEGEND			LOGGED BY: CC/AS
A - Auger drillings	W - Water sample	B - Bag sample only	CHECKED:
HA - Hand auger	D - Duplicate	PiD - Photoionisation detector result	DATE: 09/11/04
T - Test pit	U - Undisturbed tube sample	Groundwater level (static) ▼	
S - SPT sample	C - Composite sample	Groundwater seepage ◀	



TEST BOREHOLE LOG

KM15

PROJECT: Detailed Site Investigation	BOREHOLE DEPTH: 0.8m
LOCATION: 67-73 Lords Road , Leichhardt	ELEVATION: ~10m asl
CLIENT: Platino Properties Pty Ltd	WATER LEVEL: n/a
CONTRACT: C04 3460	DATE: 09/3/2004
DRILLING: Stainless Steel Hand Auger	SHEET: 1 of 1

Depth (m)	Material Description & Observations	Sample	Asbestos sample	Well Construction Details			
0.0	Concrete 150mm						
	Fill: Brown builder sand, loose (medium grain) underlain by soft red sandy clay. Ironstone present	0.2	0.2				
	Natural: White weathered sandy clay. Mottled orange. Loose	0.5 +Dup06	0.5				
1.0	EOH @ 0.8						
2.0							
3.0							
4.0							
5.0							

SAMPLING LEGEND			LOGGED BY: CC/AS
A - Auger drillings	W - Water sample	B - Bag sample only	CHECKED: <i>[Signature]</i>
HA - Hand auger	D - Duplicate	PID - Photoionisation detector result	DATE: 30/03/05
T - Test pit	U - Undisturbed tube sample	Groundwater level (static) ▼	
S - SPT sample	C - Composite sample	Groundwater seepage ◀	



TEST BOREHOLE LOG

KM16

PROJECT: Detailed Site Investigation	BOREHOLE DEPTH: 1.0m
LOCATION: 67-73 Lords Road . Leichhardt	ELEVATION: ~10m asl
CLIENT: Platino Properties Pty Ltd	WATER LEVEL: n/a
CONTRACT: C04 3460	DATE: 09/3/2004
DRILLING: Stainless Steel Hand Auger	SHEET: 1 of 1

Depth (m)	Material Description & Observations	Sample	Asbestos sample	Well Construction Details			
0.0	Concrete 550mm						
	Fill: Brown weathered clay sands; rock fragments and road base	0.6	0.6				
	Natural: Weathered sandy clay, soft, brown/orange in colour. Ironstone	0.8	0.8				
1.0	EOH @ 1.0						
2.0							
3.0							
4.0							
5.0							

SAMPLING LEGEND			LOGGED BY: CC/AS
A - Auger drillings	W - Water sample	B - Bag sample only	CHECKED: <i>[Signature]</i>
HA - Hand auger	D - Duplicate	PID - Photoionisation detector result	DATE: 30/03/05
T - Test pit	U - Undisturbed tube sample	Groundwater level (static) ▼	
S - SPT sample	C - Composite sample	Groundwater seepage ◀	



TEST BOREHOLE LOG

KM17

PROJECT: Detailed Site Investigation	BOREHOLE DEPTH: 1.2m
LOCATION: 67-73 Lords Road , Leichhardt	ELEVATION: ~10m asl
CLIENT: Platino Properties Pty Ltd	WATER LEVEL: n/a
CONTRACT: C04 3460	DATE: 09/03/2004
DRILLING: Stainless Steel Hand Auger	SHEET: 1 of 1

Depth (m)	Material Description & Observations	Sample	Asbestos sample	Well Construction Details			
0.0	Concrete						
	Fill: Weathered sandy clay. Mottled orange. Small rock fragments.	0.2	0.2				
		0.5	0.5				
	Fill: As above. Ash present						
1.0		1.0	1.0				
	Fill: Black silt (fine grain) with brown medium plasticity clays. Ash present.						
	Ceramic						
	Natural: Clay, white, high plasticity, moist.	1.2 +Dup07					
2.0							
3.0							
4.0							
5.0							

SAMPLING LEGEND

A - Auger drillings
 HA - Hand auger
 T - Test pit
 S - SPT sample

W - Water sample
 D - Duplicate
 U - Undisturbed tube sample
 C - Composite sample

B - Bag sample only
 PID - Photoionisation detector result
 Groundwater level (static) ▼
 Groundwater seepage ◀

LOGGED BY: CC/AS

CHECKED: *che*

DATE: 30/03/05



TEST BOREHOLE LOG

KM18

PROJECT: Detailed Site Investigation	BOREHOLE DEPTH: 0.6m
LOCATION: 67-73 Lords Road , Leichhardt	ELEVATION: ~10m asl
CLIENT: Platino Properties Pty Ltd	WATER LEVEL: n/a
CONTRACT: C04 3460	DATE: 09/03/2004
DRILLING: Stainless Steel Hand Auger	SHEET: 1 of 1

Depth (m)	Material Description & Observations	Sample	Asbestos sample	Well Construction Details			
0.0	Concrete 550mm						
	Fill: Brick fragments, brown sandy fill with ash	0.6	0.6				
1.0	EOH @ 0.6 Refusal on fill material No natural sample						
2.0							
3.0							
4.0							
5.0							

SAMPLING LEGEND			LOGGED BY: CC/AS
A - Auger drillings	W - Water sample	B - Bag sample only	CHECKED: <i>one</i>
HA - Hand auger	D - Duplicate	PID - Photoionisation detector result	DATE: <i>2004/03/09</i>
T - Test pit	U - Undisturbed tube sample	Groundwater level (static) ▼	
S - SPT sample	C - Composite sample	Groundwater seepage ◀	



TEST BOREHOLE LOG

KM18

PROJECT: Detailed Site Investigation	BOREHOLE DEPTH: 0.7m
LOCATION: 67-73 Lords Road , Leichhardt	ELEVATION: ~10m asl
CLIENT: Platino Properties Pty Ltd	WATER LEVEL: n/a
CONTRACT: C04 3460	DATE: 09/03/2004
DRILLING: Stainless Steel Hand Auger	SHEET: 1 of 1

Depth (m)	Material Description & Observations	Sample	Asbestos sample	Well Construction Details			
0.0	Concrete						
	Fill: Friable light brown clay. coal waste	0.4	0.4				
	Natural: Yellow clay sand mottled red in colour, weathered	0.7 +Dup08	0.7				
1.0	EOH @ 0.7						
2.0							
3.0							
4.0							
5.0							

SAMPLING LEGEND			LOGGED BY: CC/AS
A - Auger drillings	W - Water sample	B - Bag sample only	CHECKED: <i>[Signature]</i>
HA - Hand auger	D - Duplicate	PID - Photoionisation detector result	DATE: 20/03/05
T - Test pit	U - Undisturbed tube sample	Groundwater level (static) ▼	
S - SPT sample	C - Composite sample	Groundwater seepage ◀	



TEST BOREHOLE LOG

KM20

PROJECT: Detailed Site Investigation	BOREHOLE DEPTH: 0.8m
LOCATION: 67-73 Lords Road , Leichhardt	ELEVATION: ~10m asl
CLIENT: Platino Properties Pty Ltd	WATER LEVEL: n/a
CONTRACT: C04 3460	DATE: 09/3/2004
DRILLING: Stainless Steel Hand Auger	SHEET: 1 of 1

Depth (m)	Material Description & Observations	Sample	Asbestos sample	Well Construction Details			
0.0	Concrete						
	Fill: Brown clay, sand mix with ceramics	0.4	0.4				
	Natural: Yellow/brown mottled clay with weathered sand	0.6					
1.0	EOH @ 0.8						
2.0							
3.0							
4.0							
5.0							

SAMPLING LEGEND			LOGGED BY: CCI/AS
A - Auger drillings	W - Water sample	B - Bag sample only	CHECKED: <i>[Signature]</i>
HA - Hand auger	D - Duplicate	PID - Photoionisation detector result	DATE: 30/3/05
T - Test pit	U - Undisturbed tube sample	Groundwater level (static) ▼	
S - SPT sample	C - Composite sample	Groundwater seepage ◀	





CLIENT NAME: Platino Properties Pty Ltd **JOB NUMBER:** E2843
SITE ADDRESS: 67-75 Lords Road, Leichhardt NSW 2040 **PROJECT:** Detailed Site Investigation
Date Started : 15/06/2022 **Completed :** 15/06/2022 **Logged By :** EY **Checked By :** MS
Borehole Location : Refer to Site Plan **Surface RL :** --- **Datum :** m AHD
Equipment : Drilling Rig **Borehole Size :** 100mm **Slope :** -90°

Method	Water	Well Details	RL (m)	Depth (m)	Graphic Log	Classification Symbol	Material Description	Moisture	Consistence	Samples Tests Remarks	Additional Observations	Depth (m)
				0.20			Concrete Slab, approximately 200mm thick					
				0.5			FILL, silty gravelly sand, fine to medium grained, dark brown-dark grey, with gravels	M	L-MD	0.4-0.5	No HC smell, No Staining or No fibro fragments observed, PID = 0.6ppm	0.5
				1.20		CH	Sandy CLAY, medium to high plasticity, brown-grey, with orange mottling, trace of gravel	M-W	F-St			1.5
				3.30			SANDSTONE, fine to medium grained, extremely weathered, extremely low to low strength, brown-yellow	M				3.5
				4.30			Borehole BH1 terminated at 4.30m					4.5
				5.0								5.0

Comments:

D - Dry	VS - Very Soft	VL - Very Loose
M - Moist	S - Soft	L - Loose
W - Wet	F - Firm	MD - Medium Dense
	St - Stiff	D - Dense
	VSt - Very Stiff	VD - Very Dense
	H - Hard	




CLIENT NAME: Platino Properties Pty Ltd **JOB NUMBER:** E2843
SITE ADDRESS: 67-75 Lords Road, Leichhardt NSW 2040 **PROJECT:** Detailed Site Investigation
Date Started : 15/06/2022 **Completed :** 15/06/2022 **Logged By :** EY **Checked By :** MS
Borehole Location : Refer to Site Plan **Surface RL :** --- **Datum :** m AHD
Equipment : Drilling Rig **Borehole Size :** 100mm **Slope :** -90°

Method	Water	Well Details	RL (m)	Depth (m)	Graphic Log	Classification Symbol	Material Description	Moisture	Consistence	Samples Tests Remarks	Additional Observations	Depth (m)
ADT			0.02	0.02			Ashphalt Concrete, approximately 20mm thick FILL, silty gravelly clay, low to medium plasticity, dark brown, with gravel	M	F	0.2-0.3	D2/SS2, No HC smell, No Staining or No fibro fragments observed, PID = 1.1ppm	0.5
			0.80	1.0		CH	Silty CLAY, medium to high plasticity, brown, trace of gravel	M	F-St			1.0
			1.20	1.5			becoming reddish brown, trace of gravel					1.5
			2.00	2.0			becoming pale grey-yellow, trace of sand	M	VSt			2.0
			3.00	3.0			SANDSTONE, fine to medium grained, extremely weathered, extremely low to low strength, grey-yellow	M				3.0
			4.90	5.0			Borehole BH2 terminated at 4.90m					5.0
				5.5								5.5

Comments:

D - Dry	VS - Very Soft	VL - Very Loose
M - Moist	S - Soft	L - Loose
W - Wet	F - Firm	MD - Medium Dense
	St - Stiff	D - Dense
	VSt - Very Stiff	VD - Very Dense
	H - Hard	

CLIENT NAME: Platino Properties Pty Ltd **JOB NUMBER:** E2843
SITE ADDRESS: 67-75 Lords Road, Leichhardt NSW 2040 **PROJECT:** Detailed Site Investigation
Date Started : 15/06/2022 **Completed :** 15/06/2022 **Logged By :** EY **Checked By :** MS
Borehole Location : Refer to Site Plan **Surface RL :** --- **Datum :** m AHD
Equipment : Drilling Rig **Borehole Size :** 100mm **Slope :** -90°

Method	Water	Well Details	RL (m)	Depth (m)	Graphic Log	Classification Symbol	Material Description	Moisture	Consistence	Samples Tests Remarks	Additional Observations	Depth (m)		
ADT			0.02				Asphalt Concrete, approximately 20mm thick FILL, silty sand, fine to medium grained, brown-yellow, with gravel	M	D					
				0.5							0.5-0.6	No HC smell, No Staining or No fibro fragments observed, PID = 0.5ppm	0.5	
				1.0										1.0
				1.5				CH	Sandy CLAY, medium to high plasticity, brown-light brown, with ironstaining rock band	M	VSt			
				2.0					becoming reddish brown					2.0
			2.30									2.30		
			2.5									2.5		
			2.80				SANDSTONE, fine to medium grained, extremely weathered, extremely low to low strength, grey-brown-reddish brown	M						
			3.0									3.0		
			3.5									3.5		
			4.0									4.0		
			4.5									4.5		
			4.70				Borehole BH3 terminated at 4.70m							
			5.0									5.0		




Comments:

 D - Dry
M - Moist
W - Wet

 VS - Very Soft
S - Soft
F - Firm
St - Stiff
VSt - Very Stiff
H - Hard

 VL - Very Loose
L - Loose
MD - Medium Dense
D - Dense
VD - Very Dense



CLIENT NAME: Platino Properties Pty Ltd **JOB NUMBER:** E2843
SITE ADDRESS: 67-75 Lords Road, Leichhardt NSW 2040 **PROJECT:** Detailed Site Investigation
Date Started : 15/06/2022 **Completed :** 15/06/2022 **Logged By :** EY **Checked By :** MS
Borehole Location : Refer to Site Plan **Surface RL :** --- **Datum :** m AHD
Equipment : Drilling Rig **Borehole Size :** 100mm **Slope :** -90°

Method	Water	Well Details	RL (m)	Depth (m)	Graphic Log	Classification Symbol	Material Description	Moisture	Consistence	Samples Tests Remarks	Additional Observations	Depth (m)
ADT			0.02	0.02			Ashphalt Concrete, approximately 20mm thick FILL, silty sand, fine grained, dark brown, with gravel	M	MD	0.2-0.3	D1/SS1, No HC smell, No Staining or No fibro fragments observed, PID = 0.3ppm	0.5
			0.50	0.50		CH	Silty CLAY, medium to high plasticity, brown-yellow	M	St			0.5
			1.90	2.00			with ironstaining rock band					2.0
			2.30	2.50			SANDSTONE, fine to medium grained, extremely weathered, extremely low strength to low strength, brown-grey, with ironstaining rock band					2.5
			4.00	4.00			Borehole BH4 terminated at 4.00m					4.0
				4.5								4.5
				5.0								5.0

Comments:

D - Dry	VS - Very Soft	VL - Very Loose
M - Moist	S - Soft	L - Loose
W - Wet	F - Firm	MD - Medium Dense
	St - Stiff	D - Dense
	VSt - Very Stiff	VD - Very Dense
	H - Hard	

CLIENT NAME: Platino Properties Pty Ltd **JOB NUMBER:** E2843
SITE ADDRESS: 67-75 Lords Road, Leichhardt NSW 2040 **PROJECT:** Detailed Site Investigation
Date Started : 15/06/2022 **Completed :** 15/06/2022 **Logged By :** DG **Checked By :** MS
Borehole Location : Refer to Site Plan **Surface RL :** --- **Datum :** m AHD
Equipment : Hand Auger **Borehole Size :** 50mm **Slope :** -90°

Method	Water	RL (m)	Depth (m)	Graphic Log	Classification Symbol	Material Description	Moisture	Consistence	Samples Tests Remarks	Additional Observations	Depth (m)
DT						Concrete Slab, approximately 200mm thick					
HA			0.20			FILL, sandy clay, medium plasticity, light brown, with sandstone rocks, trace of sand	M	MD			
			0.5						0.4-0.5	No Staining or No fibro fragments observed, PID = 0.3ppm	
			0.50			Borehole BH5 terminated at 0.50m					0.5
			1.0								1.0
			1.5								1.5

Comments:

D - Dry	VS - Very Soft	VL - Very Loose
M - Moist	S - Soft	L - Loose
W - Wet	F - Firm	MD - Medium Dense
	St - Stiff	D - Dense
	VSt - Very Stiff	VD - Very Dense
	H - Hard	



CLIENT NAME: Platino Properties Pty Ltd JOB NUMBER: E2843

SITE ADDRESS: 67-75 Lords Road, Leichhardt NSW 2040 PROJECT: Detailed Site Investigation

Date Started : 15/06/2022 Completed : 15/06/2022 Logged By : DG Checked By : MS

Borehole Location : Refer to Site Plan Surface RL : --- Datum : m AHD



Equipment : Hand Auger Borehole Size : 50mm Slope : -90°

Method	Water	RL (m)	Depth (m)	Graphic Log	Classification Symbol	Material Description	Moisture	Consistence	Samples Tests Remarks	Additional Observations	Depth (m)
DT						Concrete Slab, approximately 200mm thick					
			0.20			FILL, sandy clay, medium to high plasticity, brown	M	F-St			
HA			0.50			becoming dark brown, with gravels			0.3-0.4	No Staining or No fibro fragments observed, PID = 0.2ppm	0.5
			0.90			Borehole BH6 terminated at 0.90m					
			1.0								1.0
			1.5								1.5

Comments:

D - Dry
M - Moist
W - Wet
VS - Very Soft
S - Soft
F - Firm
St - Stiff
VSt - Very Stiff
H - Hard
VL - Very Loose
L - Loose
MD - Medium Dense
D - Dense
VD - Very Dense

CLIENT NAME: Platino Properties Pty Ltd **JOB NUMBER:** E2843
SITE ADDRESS: 67-75 Lords Road, Leichhardt NSW 2040 **PROJECT:** Detailed Site Investigation
Date Started : 15/06/2022 **Completed :** 15/06/2022 **Logged By :** DG **Checked By :** MS
Borehole Location : Refer to Site Plan **Surface RL :** --- **Datum :** m AHD
Equipment : Hand Auger **Borehole Size :** 50mm **Slope :** -90°

Method	Water	RL (m)	Depth (m)	Graphic Log	Classification Symbol	Material Description	Moisture	Consistence	Samples Tests Remarks	Additional Observations	Depth (m)
DT						Concrete Slab, approximately 200mm thick	M				
HA			0.20			FILL, sandy clay, medium plasticity, dark brown	M	S-F	0.2-0.3	No Staining or No fibro fragments observed, PID = 0.2ppm	
			0.40			Borehole BH7 terminated at 0.40m					
			0.5								0.5
			1.0								1.0
			1.5								1.5

Comments:

D - Dry	VS - Very Soft	VL - Very Loose
M - Moist	S - Soft	L - Loose
W - Wet	F - Firm	MD - Medium Dense
	St - Stiff	D - Dense
	VSt - Very Stiff	VD - Very Dense
	H - Hard	



CLIENT NAME: Platino Properties Pty Ltd JOB NUMBER: E2843

SITE ADDRESS: 67-75 Lords Road, Leichhardt NSW 2040 PROJECT: Detailed Site Investigation

Date Started : 15/06/2022 Completed : 15/06/2022 Logged By : DG Checked By : MS

Borehole Location : Refer to Site Plan Surface RL : --- Datum : m AHD



Equipment : Hand Auger Borehole Size : 50mm Slope : -90°

Method	Water	RL (m)	Depth (m)	Graphic Log	Classification Symbol	Material Description	Moisture	Consistence	Samples Tests Remarks	Additional Observations	Depth (m)
DT						Concrete Slab, approximately 180mm thick	M				
			0.18			FILL, sandy clay, medium plasticity, light brown-red	M	S-F			
HA			0.5						0.5-0.6	No Staining or No fibro fragments observed, PID = 0.2ppm	0.5
			0.70			Borehole BH8 terminated at 0.70m					
			1.0								1.0
			1.5								1.5

Comments:

D - Dry
M - Moist
W - Wet
VS - Very Soft
S - Soft
F - Firm
St - Stiff
VSt - Very Stiff
H - Hard
VL - Very Loose
L - Loose
MD - Medium Dense
D - Dense
VD - Very Dense



CLIENT NAME: Platino Properties Pty Ltd **JOB NUMBER:** E2843
SITE ADDRESS: 67-75 Lords Road, Leichhardt NSW 2040 **PROJECT:** Detailed Site Investigation
Date Started : 15/06/2022 **Completed :** 15/06/2022 **Logged By :** DG **Checked By :** MS
Borehole Location : Refer to Site Plan **Surface RL :** --- **Datum :** m AHD
Equipment : Hand Auger **Borehole Size :** 50mm **Slope :** -90°

Method	Water	RL (m)	Depth (m)	Graphic Log	Classification Symbol	Material Description	Moisture	Consistence	Samples Tests Remarks	Additional Observations	Depth (m)
DT						Concrete Slab, approximately 350mm thick					
	HA		0.35			FILL, clayey sand, medium to coarse grained, light brown, with some gravels	M	MD	0.4-0.5	No Staining or No fibro fragments observed, PID = 0.1ppm	0.5
			0.55			Borehole BH9 terminated at 0.55m					1.5

Comments:

D - Dry	VS - Very Soft	VL - Very Loose
M - Moist	S - Soft	L - Loose
W - Wet	F - Firm	MD - Medium Dense
	St - Stiff	D - Dense
	VSt - Very Stiff	VD - Very Dense
	H - Hard	

CLIENT NAME: Platino Properties Pty Ltd **JOB NUMBER:** E2843
SITE ADDRESS: 67-75 Lords Road, Leichhardt NSW 2040 **PROJECT:** Detailed Site Investigation
Date Started : 15/06/2022 **Completed :** 15/06/2022 **Logged By :** DG **Checked By :** MS
Borehole Location : Refer to Site Plan **Surface RL :** --- **Datum :** m AHD
Equipment : Hand Auger **Borehole Size :** 50mm **Slope :** -90°

Method	Water	RL (m)	Depth (m)	Graphic Log	Classification Symbol	Material Description	Moisture	Consistence	Samples Tests Remarks	Additional Observations	Depth (m)
DT						Concrete Slab, approximately 500mm thick	M				
			0.50			FILL, silty clay, high plasticity, grey-light brown becoming dark brown, with some sand and gravel	M	F-St			
HA			0.60						0.7-0.8	No Staining or No fibro fragments observed, PID = 0.3ppm	
			0.90			Borehole BH10 terminated at 0.90m					
			1.0								
			1.5								

Comments:

D - Dry	VS - Very Soft	VL - Very Loose
M - Moist	S - Soft	L - Loose
W - Wet	F - Firm	MD - Medium Dense
	St - Stiff	D - Dense
	VSt - Very Stiff	VD - Very Dense
	H - Hard	



CLIENT NAME: Platino Properties Pty Ltd **JOB NUMBER:** E2843
SITE ADDRESS: 67-75 Lords Road, Leichhardt NSW 2040 **PROJECT:** Detailed Site Investigation
Date Started : 15/06/2022 **Completed :** 15/06/2022 **Logged By :** EY **Checked By :** MS
Borehole Location : Refer to Site Plan **Surface RL :** --- **Datum :** m AHD
Equipment : Drilling Rig **Borehole Size :** 100mm **Slope :** -90°

Method	Water	RL (m)	Depth (m)	Graphic Log	Classification Symbol	Material Description	Moisture	Consistence	Samples Tests Remarks	Additional Observations	Depth (m)
ADT			0.02			Asphalt Concrete, approximately 20mm thick	M	F-St			
			0.5			FILL, silty gravelly clay, low to medium plasticity, dark brown-brown					
			0.60		SC	Clayey SAND, fine to medium grained, brown-yellow	M	MD	0.5-0.6	No Staining or No fibro fragments observed, PID = 0.3ppm	0.5
		1.0									1.0
		1.00				Borehole BH11 terminated at 1.00m					
			1.5								1.5

Comments:

D - Dry	VS - Very Soft	VL - Very Loose
M - Moist	S - Soft	L - Loose
W - Wet	F - Firm	MD - Medium Dense
	St - Stiff	D - Dense
	VSt - Very Stiff	VD - Very Dense
	H - Hard	




CLIENT NAME: Platino Properties Pty Ltd **JOB NUMBER:** E2843
SITE ADDRESS: 67-75 Lords Road, Leichhardt NSW 2040 **PROJECT:** Detailed Site Investigation
Date Started : 15/06/2022 **Completed :** 15/06/2022 **Logged By :** DG **Checked By :** MS
Borehole Location : Refer to Site Plan **Surface RL :** --- **Datum :** m AHD
Equipment : Hand Auger **Borehole Size :** 50mm **Slope :** -90°

Method	Water	RL (m)	Depth (m)	Graphic Log	Classification Symbol	Material Description	Moisture	Consistence	Samples Tests Remarks	Additional Observations	Depth (m)
DT						Concrete Slab, approximately 15mm thick	M				
HA			0.15			FILL, sandy clay, medium plasticity, grey-brown, with gravel,	M	F-St	0.2-0.3	No Staining or No fibro fragments observed, PID = 0.2ppm	
			0.40			Borehole BH12 terminated at 0.40m					
			0.5								0.5
			1.0								1.0
			1.5								1.5

Comments:

D - Dry	VS - Very Soft	VL - Very Loose
M - Moist	S - Soft	L - Loose
W - Wet	F - Firm	MD - Medium Dense
	St - Stiff	D - Dense
	VSt - Very Stiff	VD - Very Dense
	H - Hard	



CLIENT NAME: Platino Properties Pty Ltd **JOB NUMBER:** E2843
SITE ADDRESS: 67-75 Lords Road, Leichhardt NSW 2040 **PROJECT:** Detailed Site Investigation
Date Started : 15/06/2022 **Completed :** 15/06/2022 **Logged By :** EY **Checked By :** MS
Borehole Location : Refer to Site Plan **Surface RL :** --- **Datum :** m AHD
Equipment : Drilling Rig **Borehole Size :** 100mm **Slope :** -90°

Method	Water	RL (m)	Depth (m)	Graphic Log	Classification Symbol	Material Description	Moisture	Consistence	Samples Tests Remarks	Additional Observations	Depth (m)
DT			0.10			Concrete Slab, approximately 100mm thick					
			0.5			FILL, silty clay, low to medium plasticity, dark brown, with gravel and sandstone fragments	M	F-St			
			0.3-0.4						0.3-0.4	No Staining or No fibro fragments observed, PID = 0.3ppm	0.5
			2.0-2.1						2.0-2.1	No Staining or No fibro fragments observed, minor hydrocarbon odour, PID = 0.4ppm	2.0
			3.20			SANDSTONE, fine to medium grained, extremely weathered, extremely low to low strength, grey-brown	M				
			3.50			Borehole BH13 terminated at 3.50m					
			4.0								

Comments:

D - Dry	VS - Very Soft	VL - Very Loose
M - Moist	S - Soft	L - Loose
W - Wet	F - Firm	MD - Medium Dense
	St - Stiff	D - Dense
	VSt - Very Stiff	VD - Very Dense
	H - Hard	

CLIENT NAME: Platino Properties Pty Ltd **JOB NUMBER:** E2843
SITE ADDRESS: 67-75 Lords Road, Leichhardt NSW 2040 **PROJECT:** Detailed Site Investigation
Date Started : 15/06/2022 **Completed :** 15/06/2022 **Logged By :** EY **Checked By :** MS
Borehole Location : Refer to Site Plan **Surface RL :** --- **Datum :** m AHD
Equipment : Drilling Rig **Borehole Size :** 100mm **Slope :** -90°

Method	Water	RL (m)	Depth (m)	Graphic Log	Classification Symbol	Material Description	Moisture	Consistence	Samples Tests Remarks	Additional Observations	Depth (m)
DT			0.10			Concrete Slab, approximately 100mm thick					
ADT			0.5			FILL, silty clay, low to medium plasticity, dark brown, with gravels	M	F-St			
			0.6-0.7							No Staining or No fibro fragments observed, PID = 0.3ppm	
			1.0			Borehole BH14 terminated at 1.00m					
			1.5								

Comments:

 D - Dry
M - Moist
W - Wet

 VS - Very Soft
S - Soft
F - Firm
St - Stiff
VSt - Very Stiff
H - Hard

 VL - Very Loose
L - Loose
MD - Medium Dense
D - Dense
VD - Very Dense

CLIENT NAME: Platino Properties Pty Ltd **JOB NUMBER:** E2843
SITE ADDRESS: 67-75 Lords Road, Leichhardt NSW 2040 **PROJECT:** Detailed Site Investigation
Date Started : 15/06/2022 **Completed :** 15/06/2022 **Logged By :** DG **Checked By :** MS
Borehole Location : Refer to Site Plan **Surface RL :** --- **Datum :** m AHD
Equipment : Hand Auger **Borehole Size :** 50mm **Slope :** -90°

Method	Water	RL (m)	Depth (m)	Graphic Log	Classification Symbol	Material Description	Moisture	Consistence	Samples Tests Remarks	Additional Observations	Depth (m)
DT						Asphalt Slab, approximately 100mm thick					
HA			0.10			FILL, road base, silty gravels	M	F-St			
			0.50			FILL, sandy clay, brown, medium plasticity, with some gravels	M	F-St	0.5-0.6	No Staining or No fibro fragments observed, PID = 0.2ppm	0.5
			0.60			Borehole BH15 terminated at 0.60m					1.0
			1.0								1.5

Comments:

D - Dry	VS - Very Soft	VL - Very Loose
M - Moist	S - Soft	L - Loose
W - Wet	F - Firm	MD - Medium Dense
	St - Stiff	D - Dense
	VSt - Very Stiff	VD - Very Dense
	H - Hard	



CLIENT NAME: Platino Properties Pty Ltd JOB NUMBER: E2843

SITE ADDRESS: 67-75 Lords Road, Leichhardt NSW 2040 PROJECT: Detailed Site Investigation

Date Started : 15/06/2022 Completed : 15/06/2022 Logged By : DG Checked By : MS

Borehole Location : Refer to Site Plan Surface RL : --- Datum : m AHD

Equipment : Hand Auger Borehole Size : 50mm Slope : -90°

Method	Water	RL (m)	Depth (m)	Graphic Log	Classification Symbol	Material Description	Moisture	Consistence	Samples Tests Remarks	Additional Observations	Depth (m)
DT						Asphalt Slab, approximately 100mm thick					
HA			0.10			FILL, road base, silty gravels	M	F-St			
			0.5						0.3-0.4	No Staining or No fibro fragments observed, PID = 0.3ppm	0.5
			0.60			Borehole BH16 terminated at 0.60m					1.0
			1.5								1.5

Comments:

D - Dry
M - Moist
W - Wet
VS - Very Soft
S - Soft
F - Firm
St - Stiff
VSt - Very Stiff
H - Hard
VL - Very Loose
L - Loose
MD - Medium Dense
D - Dense
VD - Very Dense

CLIENT NAME: Platino Properties Pty Ltd **JOB NUMBER:** E2843
SITE ADDRESS: 67-75 Lords Road, Leichhardt NSW 2040 **PROJECT:** Detailed Site Investigation
Date Started : 15/06/2022 **Completed :** 15/06/2022 **Logged By :** DG **Checked By :** MS
Borehole Location : Refer to Site Plan **Surface RL :** --- **Datum :** m AHD
Equipment : Hand Auger **Borehole Size :** 50mm **Slope :** -90°

Method	Water	RL (m)	Depth (m)	Graphic Log	Classification Symbol	Material Description	Moisture	Consistence	Samples Tests Remarks	Additional Observations	Depth (m)
DT						Asphalt Slab, approximately 100mm thick					
			0.10			FILL, road base, silty gravels	M	F-St			
HA			0.50			FILL, sandy clay, light brown, medium plasticity, with some gravels	M	F-St	0.5-0.6	No Staining or No fibro fragments observed, PID = 0.3ppm	0.5
			0.80			Borehole BH17 terminated at 0.80m					
			1.0								1.0
			1.5								1.5






Comments:

 D - Dry
M - Moist
W - Wet

 VS - Very Soft
S - Soft
F - Firm
St - Stiff
VSt - Very Stiff
H - Hard

 VL - Very Loose
L - Loose
MD - Medium Dense
D - Dense
VD - Very Dense





CLIENT NAME: Platino Properties Pty Ltd **JOB NUMBER:** E2843
SITE ADDRESS: 67-75 Lords Road, Leichhardt NSW 2040 **PROJECT:** Detailed Site Investigation
Date Started : 15/06/2022 **Completed :** 15/06/2022 **Logged By :** EY **Checked By :** MS
Borehole Location : Refer to Site Plan **Surface RL :** --- **Datum :** m AHD
Equipment : Drilling Rig **Borehole Size :** 100mm **Slope :** -90°

Method	Water	RL (m)	Depth (m)	Graphic Log	Classification Symbol	Material Description	Moisture	Consistence	Samples Tests Remarks	Additional Observations	Depth (m)
ADT			0.03			Asphalt Slab, approximately 30mm thick	M	F-St			
			0.5			FILL, silty gravelly clay, low to medium plasticity, dark brown-dark grey					0.5
			0.7-0.8						0.7-0.8	No Staining or No fibro fragments observed, PID = 0.2ppm	
			1.0								1.0
			1.10		CH	Silty CLAY, medium to high plasticity, grey with traces of gravels	M	F-St			
			1.5								1.5
			1.50			Borehole BH18 terminated at 1.50m					
			2.0								2.0

Comments:

D - Dry	VS - Very Soft	VL - Very Loose
M - Moist	S - Soft	L - Loose
W - Wet	F - Firm	MD - Medium Dense
	St - Stiff	D - Dense
	VSt - Very Stiff	VD - Very Dense
	H - Hard	

CLIENT NAME: Platino Properties Pty Ltd **JOB NUMBER:** E2843
SITE ADDRESS: 67-75 Lords Road, Leichhardt NSW 2040 **PROJECT:** Detailed Site Investigation
Date Started : 15/06/2022 **Completed :** 15/06/2022 **Logged By :** EY **Checked By :** MS
Borehole Location : Refer to Site Plan **Surface RL :** --- **Datum :** m AHD
Equipment : Drilling Rig **Borehole Size :** 100mm **Slope :** -90°

Method	Water	RL (m)	Depth (m)	Graphic Log	Classification Symbol	Material Description	Moisture	Consistence	Samples Tests Remarks	Additional Observations	Depth (m)
ADT			0.02			Asphalt Slab, approximately 20mm thick	M	F-St			
						FILL, silty gravelly clay, low to medium plasticity, dark brown-dark grey			0.2-0.3	No Staining or No fibro fragments observed, PID = 0.2ppm	0.5
			0.80		CH	Silty CLAY, medium to high plasticity, brown - orange	M	F-St			1.0
			1.50			Borehole BH19 terminated at 1.50m					1.5
			2.0								2.0




Comments:

 D - Dry
M - Moist
W - Wet

 VS - Very Soft
S - Soft
F - Firm
St - Stiff
VSt - Very Stiff
H - Hard

 VL - Very Loose
L - Loose
MD - Medium Dense
D - Dense
VD - Very Dense





CLIENT NAME: Platino Properties Pty Ltd **JOB NUMBER:** E2843
SITE ADDRESS: 67-75 Lords Road, Leichhardt NSW 2040 **PROJECT:** Detailed Site Investigation
Date Started : 15/06/2022 **Completed :** 15/06/2022 **Logged By :** EY **Checked By :** MS
Borehole Location : Refer to Site Plan **Surface RL :** --- **Datum :** m AHD
Equipment : Drilling Rig **Borehole Size :** 100mm **Slope :** -90°

Method	Water	RL (m)	Depth (m)	Graphic Log	Classification Symbol	Material Description	Moisture	Consistence	Samples Tests Remarks	Additional Observations	Depth (m)
ADT			0.02			Asphalt Slab, approximately 20mm thick	M	F-St			
						FILL, silty gravelly clay, low to medium plasticity, dark brown			0.3-0.4	No Staining or No fibro fragments observed, PID = 0.2ppm	0.5
			0.70		CH	Silty CLAY, medium to high plasticity, brown - orange with traces of rock	M	F-St			1.0
			1.50			Borehole BH20 terminated at 1.50m					1.5
			2.0								2.0

Comments:

D - Dry	VS - Very Soft	VL - Very Loose
M - Moist	S - Soft	L - Loose
W - Wet	F - Firm	MD - Medium Dense
	St - Stiff	D - Dense
	VSt - Very Stiff	VD - Very Dense
	H - Hard	




CLIENT NAME: Platino Properties Pty Ltd **JOB NUMBER:** E2843
SITE ADDRESS: 67-75 Lords Road, Leichhardt NSW 2040 **PROJECT:** Detailed Site Investigation
Date Started : 15/06/2022 **Completed :** 15/06/2022 **Logged By :** EY **Checked By :** MS
Borehole Location : Refer to Site Plan **Surface RL :** --- **Datum :** m AHD
Equipment : Drilling Rig **Borehole Size :** 100mm **Slope :** -90°

Method	Water	RL (m)	Depth (m)	Graphic Log	Classification Symbol	Material Description	Moisture	Consistence	Samples Tests Remarks	Additional Observations	Depth (m)
ADT			0.02			Asphalt Slab, approximately 20mm thick	M	F-St			
			0.5			FILL, silty gravelly clay, low to medium plasticity, dark brown					
			0.6-0.7						0.6-0.7	No Staining or No fibro fragments observed, PID = 0.2ppm	
			1.0		CH	Silty CLAY, medium to high plasticity, brown - orange with traces of ironstone	M	F-St			
			1.5			Borehole BH21 terminated at 1.50m					
			2.0								

Comments:

D - Dry	VS - Very Soft	VL - Very Loose
M - Moist	S - Soft	L - Loose
W - Wet	F - Firm	MD - Medium Dense
	St - Stiff	D - Dense
	VSt - Very Stiff	VD - Very Dense
	H - Hard	



CLIENT NAME: Platino Properties Pty Ltd **JOB NUMBER:** E2843
SITE ADDRESS: 67-75 Lords Road, Leichhardt NSW 2040 **PROJECT:** Detailed Site Investigation
Date Started : 15/06/2022 **Completed :** 15/06/2022 **Logged By :** DG **Checked By :** MS
Borehole Location : Refer to Site Plan **Surface RL :** --- **Datum :** m AHD
Equipment : Hand Auger **Borehole Size :** 50mm **Slope :** -90°

Method	Water	RL (m)	Depth (m)	Graphic Log	Classification Symbol	Material Description	Moisture	Consistence	Samples Tests Remarks	Additional Observations	Depth (m)
HA						Concrete Slab, approximately 180mm thick					
			0.18			FILL, sandy clay, low to medium plasticity, brown- white with some gravels	M	F-St			
			0.5						0.5-0.6	No Staining or No fibro fragments observed, PID = 0.2ppm	0.5
			0.70		CH	Silty CLAY, medium to high plasticity, grey- red	M	F-St			
		0.90				Borehole BH22 terminated at 0.90m					
			1.0								1.0
			1.5								1.5

Comments:

D - Dry	VS - Very Soft	VL - Very Loose
M - Moist	S - Soft	L - Loose
W - Wet	F - Firm	MD - Medium Dense
	St - Stiff	D - Dense
	VSt - Very Stiff	VD - Very Dense
	H - Hard	

CLIENT NAME: Platino Properties Pty Ltd **JOB NUMBER:** E2843
SITE ADDRESS: 67-75 Lords Road, Leichhardt NSW 2040 **PROJECT:** Detailed Site Investigation
Date Started : 15/06/2022 **Completed :** 15/06/2022 **Logged By :** DG **Checked By :** MS
Borehole Location : Refer to Site Plan **Surface RL :** --- **Datum :** m AHD
Equipment : Hand Auger **Borehole Size :** 50mm **Slope :** -90°

Method	Water	RL (m)	Depth (m)	Graphic Log	Classification Symbol	Material Description	Moisture	Consistence	Samples Tests Remarks	Additional Observations	Depth (m)
DT			0.26			Concrete Slab, approximately 260mm thick					
HA			0.5			FILL, sandy clay, low to medium plasticity, brown- white with some gravels	M	F-St		No Staining or No fibro fragments observed, PID = 0.3ppm	0.5
			0.60			Borehole BH23 terminated at 0.60m					1.5

Comments:

 D - Dry
M - Moist
W - Wet

 VS - Very Soft
S - Soft
F - Firm
St - Stiff
VSt - Very Stiff
H - Hard

 VL - Very Loose
L - Loose
MD - Medium Dense
D - Dense
VD - Very Dense

APPENDIX H: NATA ACCREDITED LABORATORY CERTIFICATES



CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS 298140

Client Details

Client	Foundation Earth Sciences Pty Ltd
Attention	Michael Silk
Address	PO Box 4405, East Gosford, NSW, 2250

Sample Details

Your Reference	<u>E2843, Leichhardt</u>
Number of Samples	28 Soil
Date samples received	16/06/2022
Date completed instructions received	16/06/2022

Analysis Details

Please refer to the following pages for results, methodology summary and quality control data.
Samples were analysed as received from the client. Results relate specifically to the samples as received.
Results are reported on a dry weight basis for solids and on an as received basis for other matrices.
Please refer to the last page of this report for any comments relating to the results.

Report Details

Date results requested by	23/06/2022
Date of Issue	23/06/2022

NATA Accreditation Number 2901. This document shall not be reproduced except in full.
Accredited for compliance with ISO/IEC 17025 - Testing. **Tests not covered by NATA are denoted with ***

Asbestos Approved By

Analysed by Asbestos Approved Analyst: Nyovan Moonean
Authorised by Asbestos Approved Signatory: Matt Mansfield

Results Approved By

Diego Bigolin, Inorganics Supervisor
Dragana Tomas, Senior Chemist
Giovanni Agosti, Group Technical Manager
Kyle Gavrily, Senior Chemist
Matt Mansfield, QHSE manager
Phalak Inthakesone, Organics Development Manager, Sydney
Priya Samarawickrama, Senior Chemist

Authorised By

Nancy Zhang, Laboratory Manager

VOCs in soil						
Our Reference		298140-1	298140-2	298140-3	298140-4	298140-13
Your Reference	UNITS	BH1	BH2	BH3	BH4	BH13
Depth		0.4-0.5	0.2-0.3	0.5-0.6	0.2-0.3	0.3-0.4
Date Sampled		15/06/2022	15/06/2022	15/06/2022	15/06/2022	15/06/2022
Type of sample		Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Date extracted	-	20/06/2022	20/06/2022	20/06/2022	20/06/2022	20/06/2022
Date analysed	-	21/06/2022	21/06/2022	21/06/2022	21/06/2022	21/06/2022
Dichlorodifluoromethane	mg/kg	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
Chloromethane	mg/kg	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
Vinyl Chloride	mg/kg	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
Bromomethane	mg/kg	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
Chloroethane	mg/kg	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
Trichlorofluoromethane	mg/kg	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
1,1-Dichloroethene	mg/kg	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
trans-1,2-dichloroethene	mg/kg	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
1,1-dichloroethane	mg/kg	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
cis-1,2-dichloroethene	mg/kg	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
bromochloromethane	mg/kg	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
chloroform	mg/kg	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
2,2-dichloropropane	mg/kg	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
1,2-dichloroethane	mg/kg	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
1,1,1-trichloroethane	mg/kg	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
1,1-dichloropropene	mg/kg	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
Cyclohexane	mg/kg	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
carbon tetrachloride	mg/kg	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
Benzene	mg/kg	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2
dibromomethane	mg/kg	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
1,2-dichloropropane	mg/kg	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
trichloroethene	mg/kg	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
bromodichloromethane	mg/kg	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
trans-1,3-dichloropropene	mg/kg	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
cis-1,3-dichloropropene	mg/kg	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
1,1,2-trichloroethane	mg/kg	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
Toluene	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
1,3-dichloropropane	mg/kg	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
dibromochloromethane	mg/kg	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
1,2-dibromoethane	mg/kg	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
tetrachloroethene	mg/kg	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
1,1,1,2-tetrachloroethane	mg/kg	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
chlorobenzene	mg/kg	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1

VOCs in soil						
Our Reference		298140-1	298140-2	298140-3	298140-4	298140-13
Your Reference	UNITS	BH1	BH2	BH3	BH4	BH13
Depth		0.4-0.5	0.2-0.3	0.5-0.6	0.2-0.3	0.3-0.4
Date Sampled		15/06/2022	15/06/2022	15/06/2022	15/06/2022	15/06/2022
Type of sample		Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Ethylbenzene	mg/kg	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
bromoform	mg/kg	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
m+p-xylene	mg/kg	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2
styrene	mg/kg	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
1,1,2,2-tetrachloroethane	mg/kg	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
o-Xylene	mg/kg	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
1,2,3-trichloropropane	mg/kg	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
isopropylbenzene	mg/kg	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
bromobenzene	mg/kg	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
n-propyl benzene	mg/kg	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
2-chlorotoluene	mg/kg	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
4-chlorotoluene	mg/kg	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
1,3,5-trimethyl benzene	mg/kg	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
tert-butyl benzene	mg/kg	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
1,2,4-trimethyl benzene	mg/kg	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
1,3-dichlorobenzene	mg/kg	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
sec-butyl benzene	mg/kg	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
1,4-dichlorobenzene	mg/kg	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
4-isopropyl toluene	mg/kg	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
1,2-dichlorobenzene	mg/kg	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
n-butyl benzene	mg/kg	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
1,2-dibromo-3-chloropropane	mg/kg	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
1,2,4-trichlorobenzene	mg/kg	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
hexachlorobutadiene	mg/kg	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
1,2,3-trichlorobenzene	mg/kg	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
Surrogate Dibromofluorometha	%	111	113	116	113	115
Surrogate aaa-Trifluorotoluene	%	79	80	95	86	87
Surrogate Toluene-d ₈	%	108	108	111	108	109
Surrogate 4-Bromofluorobenzene	%	100	100	99	98	98

VOCs in soil			
Our Reference		298140-25	298140-26
Your Reference	UNITS	D1	D2
Depth		-	-
Date Sampled		15/06/2022	15/06/2022
Type of sample		Soil	Soil
Date extracted	-	20/06/2022	20/06/2022
Date analysed	-	21/06/2022	21/06/2022
Dichlorodifluoromethane	mg/kg	<1	<1
Chloromethane	mg/kg	<1	<1
Vinyl Chloride	mg/kg	<1	<1
Bromomethane	mg/kg	<1	<1
Chloroethane	mg/kg	<1	<1
Trichlorofluoromethane	mg/kg	<1	<1
1,1-Dichloroethene	mg/kg	<1	<1
trans-1,2-dichloroethene	mg/kg	<1	<1
1,1-dichloroethane	mg/kg	<1	<1
cis-1,2-dichloroethene	mg/kg	<1	<1
bromochloromethane	mg/kg	<1	<1
chloroform	mg/kg	<1	<1
2,2-dichloropropane	mg/kg	<1	<1
1,2-dichloroethane	mg/kg	<1	<1
1,1,1-trichloroethane	mg/kg	<1	<1
1,1-dichloropropene	mg/kg	<1	<1
Cyclohexane	mg/kg	<1	<1
carbon tetrachloride	mg/kg	<1	<1
Benzene	mg/kg	<0.2	<0.2
dibromomethane	mg/kg	<1	<1
1,2-dichloropropane	mg/kg	<1	<1
trichloroethene	mg/kg	<1	<1
bromodichloromethane	mg/kg	<1	<1
trans-1,3-dichloropropene	mg/kg	<1	<1
cis-1,3-dichloropropene	mg/kg	<1	<1
1,1,2-trichloroethane	mg/kg	<1	<1
Toluene	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5
1,3-dichloropropane	mg/kg	<1	<1
dibromochloromethane	mg/kg	<1	<1
1,2-dibromoethane	mg/kg	<1	<1
tetrachloroethene	mg/kg	<1	<1
1,1,1,2-tetrachloroethane	mg/kg	<1	<1
chlorobenzene	mg/kg	<1	<1

VOCs in soil			
Our Reference		298140-25	298140-26
Your Reference	UNITS	D1	D2
Depth		-	-
Date Sampled		15/06/2022	15/06/2022
Type of sample		Soil	Soil
Ethylbenzene	mg/kg	<1	<1
bromoform	mg/kg	<1	<1
m+p-xylene	mg/kg	<2	<2
styrene	mg/kg	<1	<1
1,1,2,2-tetrachloroethane	mg/kg	<1	<1
o-Xylene	mg/kg	<1	<1
1,2,3-trichloropropane	mg/kg	<1	<1
isopropylbenzene	mg/kg	<1	<1
bromobenzene	mg/kg	<1	<1
n-propyl benzene	mg/kg	<1	<1
2-chlorotoluene	mg/kg	<1	<1
4-chlorotoluene	mg/kg	<1	<1
1,3,5-trimethyl benzene	mg/kg	<1	<1
tert-butyl benzene	mg/kg	<1	<1
1,2,4-trimethyl benzene	mg/kg	<1	<1
1,3-dichlorobenzene	mg/kg	<1	<1
sec-butyl benzene	mg/kg	<1	<1
1,4-dichlorobenzene	mg/kg	<1	<1
4-isopropyl toluene	mg/kg	<1	<1
1,2-dichlorobenzene	mg/kg	<1	<1
n-butyl benzene	mg/kg	<1	<1
1,2-dibromo-3-chloropropane	mg/kg	<1	<1
1,2,4-trichlorobenzene	mg/kg	<1	<1
hexachlorobutadiene	mg/kg	<1	<1
1,2,3-trichlorobenzene	mg/kg	<1	<1
Surrogate Dibromofluorometha	%	113	115
Surrogate aaa-Trifluorotoluene	%	83	76
Surrogate Toluene-d ₈	%	110	111
Surrogate 4-Bromofluorobenzene	%	99	100

PFAS in Soils Extended						
Our Reference		298140-1	298140-2	298140-3	298140-4	298140-13
Your Reference	UNITS	BH1	BH2	BH3	BH4	BH13
Depth		0.4-0.5	0.2-0.3	0.5-0.6	0.2-0.3	0.3-0.4
Date Sampled		15/06/2022	15/06/2022	15/06/2022	15/06/2022	15/06/2022
Type of sample		Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Date prepared	-	21/06/2022	21/06/2022	21/06/2022	21/06/2022	21/06/2022
Date analysed	-	21/06/2022	21/06/2022	21/06/2022	21/06/2022	21/06/2022
Perfluorobutanesulfonic acid	µg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Perfluoropentanesulfonic acid	µg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Perfluorohexanesulfonic acid - PFHxS	µg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Perfluoroheptanesulfonic acid	µg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Perfluorooctanesulfonic acid PFOS	µg/kg	<0.1	0.4	0.2	0.3	0.3
Perfluorodecanesulfonic acid	µg/kg	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2
Perfluorobutanoic acid	µg/kg	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2
Perfluoropentanoic acid	µg/kg	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2
Perfluorohexanoic acid	µg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Perfluoroheptanoic acid	µg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Perfluorooctanoic acid PFOA	µg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	0.2	<0.1
Perfluorononanoic acid	µg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Perfluorodecanoic acid	µg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
Perfluoroundecanoic acid	µg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
Perfluorododecanoic acid	µg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
Perfluorotridecanoic acid	µg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
Perfluorotetradecanoic acid	µg/kg	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5
4:2 FTS	µg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
6:2 FTS	µg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
8:2 FTS	µg/kg	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2
10:2 FTS	µg/kg	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2
Perfluorooctane sulfonamide	µg/kg	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
N-Methyl perfluorooctane sulfonamide	µg/kg	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
N-Ethyl perfluorooctanesulfonamide	µg/kg	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
N-Me perfluorooctanesulfonamid ethanol	µg/kg	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
N-Et perfluorooctanesulfonamid ethanol	µg/kg	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5
MePerfluorooctanesulf- amid oacetic acid	µg/kg	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2
EtPerfluorooctanesulf amid oacetic acid	µg/kg	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2
Surrogate ¹³ C ₈ PFOS	%	99	97	95	100	96
Surrogate ¹³ C ₂ PFOA	%	96	97	102	99	103
Extracted ISTD ¹³ C ₃ PFBS	%	98	100	97	96	91
Extracted ISTD ¹⁸ O ₂ PFHxS	%	96	96	96	89	91
Extracted ISTD ¹³ C ₄ PFOS	%	99	96	100	89	95

PFAS in Soils Extended						
Our Reference		298140-1	298140-2	298140-3	298140-4	298140-13
Your Reference	UNITS	BH1	BH2	BH3	BH4	BH13
Depth		0.4-0.5	0.2-0.3	0.5-0.6	0.2-0.3	0.3-0.4
Date Sampled		15/06/2022	15/06/2022	15/06/2022	15/06/2022	15/06/2022
Type of sample		Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Extracted ISTD ¹³ C ₄ PFBA	%	97	97	96	95	85
Extracted ISTD ¹³ C ₃ PFPeA	%	96	99	101	96	92
Extracted ISTD ¹³ C ₂ PFHxA	%	95	97	101	97	90
Extracted ISTD ¹³ C ₄ PFHpA	%	94	94	94	92	91
Extracted ISTD ¹³ C ₄ PFOA	%	102	100	99	101	93
Extracted ISTD ¹³ C ₅ PFNA	%	101	99	97	103	96
Extracted ISTD ¹³ C ₂ PFDA	%	113	96	104	105	106
Extracted ISTD ¹³ C ₂ PFUnDA	%	122	113	107	111	105
Extracted ISTD ¹³ C ₂ PFDoDA	%	133	120	125	118	110
Extracted ISTD ¹³ C ₂ PFTeDA	%	103	102	102	86	91
Extracted ISTD ¹³ C ₂ 4:2FTS	%	109	103	110	116	101
Extracted ISTD ¹³ C ₂ 6:2FTS	%	111	103	107	133	104
Extracted ISTD ¹³ C ₂ 8:2FTS	%	131	107	126	170	114
Extracted ISTD ¹³ C ₈ FOSA	%	104	103	105	108	104
Extracted ISTD d ₃ N MeFOSA	%	106	103	100	90	95
Extracted ISTD d ₅ N EtFOSA	%	100	99	100	86	93
Extracted ISTD d ₇ N MeFOSE	%	107	110	109	87	108
Extracted ISTD d ₉ N EtFOSE	%	101	107	101	86	93
Extracted ISTD d ₃ N MeFOSAA	%	114	94	109	138	94
Extracted ISTD d ₅ N EtFOSAA	%	122	110	121	143	95
Total Positive PFHxS & PFOS	µg/kg	<0.1	0.4	0.2	0.3	0.3
Total Positive PFOS & PFOA	µg/kg	<0.1	0.4	0.2	0.5	0.3
Total Positive PFAS	µg/kg	<0.1	0.4	0.2	0.5	0.3

PFAS in Soils Extended			
Our Reference		298140-25	298140-26
Your Reference	UNITS	D1	D2
Depth		-	-
Date Sampled		15/06/2022	15/06/2022
Type of sample		Soil	Soil
Date prepared	-	21/06/2022	21/06/2022
Date analysed	-	21/06/2022	21/06/2022
Perfluorobutanesulfonic acid	µg/kg	<0.1	<0.1
Perfluoropentanesulfonic acid	µg/kg	<0.1	<0.1
Perfluorohexanesulfonic acid - PFHxS	µg/kg	<0.1	<0.1
Perfluoroheptanesulfonic acid	µg/kg	<0.1	<0.1
Perfluorooctanesulfonic acid PFOS	µg/kg	0.5	0.3
Perfluorodecanesulfonic acid	µg/kg	<0.2	<0.2
Perfluorobutanoic acid	µg/kg	<0.2	<0.2
Perfluoropentanoic acid	µg/kg	<0.2	<0.2
Perfluorohexanoic acid	µg/kg	0.1	<0.1
Perfluoroheptanoic acid	µg/kg	0.1	<0.1
Perfluorooctanoic acid PFOA	µg/kg	0.2	0.1
Perfluorononanoic acid	µg/kg	<0.1	<0.1
Perfluorodecanoic acid	µg/kg	<0.5	<0.5
Perfluoroundecanoic acid	µg/kg	<0.5	<0.5
Perfluorododecanoic acid	µg/kg	<0.5	<0.5
Perfluorotridecanoic acid	µg/kg	<0.5	<0.5
Perfluorotetradecanoic acid	µg/kg	<5	<5
4:2 FTS	µg/kg	<0.1	<0.1
6:2 FTS	µg/kg	<0.1	<0.1
8:2 FTS	µg/kg	<0.2	<0.2
10:2 FTS	µg/kg	<0.2	<0.2
Perfluorooctane sulfonamide	µg/kg	<1	<1
N-Methyl perfluorooctane sulfonamide	µg/kg	<1	<1
N-Ethyl perfluorooctanesulfonamide	µg/kg	<1	<1
N-Me perfluorooctanesulfonamid oethanol	µg/kg	<1	<1
N-Et perfluorooctanesulfonamid oethanol	µg/kg	<5	<5
MePerfluorooctanesulf- amid oacetic acid	µg/kg	<0.2	<0.2
EtPerfluorooctanesulf amid oacetic acid	µg/kg	<0.2	<0.2
Surrogate ¹³ C ₈ PFOS	%	107	98
Surrogate ¹³ C ₂ PFOA	%	97	98
Extracted ISTD ¹³ C ₃ PFBS	%	98	101
Extracted ISTD ¹⁸ O ₂ PFHxS	%	93	93
Extracted ISTD ¹³ C ₄ PFOS	%	89	97

PFAS in Soils Extended			
Our Reference		298140-25	298140-26
Your Reference	UNITS	D1	D2
Depth		-	-
Date Sampled		15/06/2022	15/06/2022
Type of sample		Soil	Soil
Extracted ISTD ¹³ C ₄ PFBA	%	92	89
Extracted ISTD ¹³ C ₃ PFPeA	%	96	97
Extracted ISTD ¹³ C ₂ PFHxA	%	102	101
Extracted ISTD ¹³ C ₄ PFHpA	%	93	92
Extracted ISTD ¹³ C ₄ PFOA	%	102	102
Extracted ISTD ¹³ C ₅ PFNA	%	100	106
Extracted ISTD ¹³ C ₂ PFDA	%	103	102
Extracted ISTD ¹³ C ₂ PFUnDA	%	102	122
Extracted ISTD ¹³ C ₂ PFDoDA	%	113	125
Extracted ISTD ¹³ C ₂ PFTeDA	%	89	91
Extracted ISTD ¹³ C ₂ 4:2FTS	%	114	109
Extracted ISTD ¹³ C ₂ 6:2FTS	%	119	120
Extracted ISTD ¹³ C ₂ 8:2FTS	%	143	146
Extracted ISTD ¹³ C ₈ FOSA	%	108	110
Extracted ISTD d ₃ N MeFOSA	%	93	98
Extracted ISTD d ₅ N EtFOSA	%	95	100
Extracted ISTD d ₇ N MeFOSE	%	101	100
Extracted ISTD d ₉ N EtFOSE	%	95	100
Extracted ISTD d ₃ N MeFOSAA	%	115	118
Extracted ISTD d ₅ N EtFOSAA	%	123	126
Total Positive PFHxS & PFOS	µg/kg	0.5	0.3
Total Positive PFOS & PFOA	µg/kg	0.6	0.4
Total Positive PFAS	µg/kg	0.9	0.4

vTRH(C6-C10)/BTEXN in Soil						
Our Reference		298140-1	298140-2	298140-3	298140-4	298140-5
Your Reference	UNITS	BH1	BH2	BH3	BH4	BH5
Depth		0.4-0.5	0.2-0.3	0.5-0.6	0.2-0.3	0.4-0.5
Date Sampled		15/06/2022	15/06/2022	15/06/2022	15/06/2022	15/06/2022
Type of sample		Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Date extracted	-	20/06/2022	20/06/2022	20/06/2022	20/06/2022	20/06/2022
Date analysed	-	21/06/2022	21/06/2022	21/06/2022	21/06/2022	21/06/2022
TRH C ₆ - C ₉	mg/kg	<25	<25	<25	<25	<25
TRH C ₆ - C ₁₀	mg/kg	<25	<25	<25	<25	<25
vTPH C ₆ - C ₁₀ less BTEX (F1)	mg/kg	<25	<25	<25	<25	<25
Benzene	mg/kg	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2
Toluene	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
Ethylbenzene	mg/kg	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
m+p-xylene	mg/kg	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2
o-Xylene	mg/kg	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
Naphthalene	mg/kg	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
Total +ve Xylenes	mg/kg	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
Surrogate aaa-Trifluorotoluene	%	79	80	95	86	86

vTRH(C6-C10)/BTEXN in Soil						
Our Reference		298140-6	298140-7	298140-8	298140-9	298140-10
Your Reference	UNITS	BH6	BH7	BH8	BH9	BH10
Depth		0.3-0.4	0.2-0.6	0.5-0.6	0.4-0.5	0.7-0.8
Date Sampled		15/06/2022	15/06/2022	15/06/2022	15/06/2022	15/06/2022
Type of sample		Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Date extracted	-	20/06/2022	20/06/2022	20/06/2022	20/06/2022	20/06/2022
Date analysed	-	21/06/2022	21/06/2022	21/06/2022	21/06/2022	21/06/2022
TRH C ₆ - C ₉	mg/kg	<25	<25	<25	<25	<25
TRH C ₆ - C ₁₀	mg/kg	<25	<25	<25	<25	<25
vTPH C ₆ - C ₁₀ less BTEX (F1)	mg/kg	<25	<25	<25	<25	<25
Benzene	mg/kg	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2
Toluene	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
Ethylbenzene	mg/kg	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
m+p-xylene	mg/kg	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2
o-Xylene	mg/kg	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
Naphthalene	mg/kg	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
Total +ve Xylenes	mg/kg	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
Surrogate aaa-Trifluorotoluene	%	91	87	86	79	85

vTRH(C6-C10)/BTEXN in Soil						
Our Reference		298140-11	298140-12	298140-13	298140-14	298140-15
Your Reference	UNITS	BH11	BH12	BH13	BH13	BH14
Depth		0.5-0.6	0.2-0.3	0.3-0.4	2.0-2.1	0.6-0.7
Date Sampled		15/06/2022	15/06/2022	15/06/2022	15/06/2022	15/06/2022
Type of sample		Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Date extracted	-	20/06/2022	20/06/2022	20/06/2022	20/06/2022	20/06/2022
Date analysed	-	21/06/2022	21/06/2022	21/06/2022	21/06/2022	21/06/2022
TRH C ₆ - C ₉	mg/kg	<25	<25	<25	<25	<25
TRH C ₆ - C ₁₀	mg/kg	<25	<25	<25	<25	<25
vTPH C ₆ - C ₁₀ less BTEX (F1)	mg/kg	<25	<25	<25	<25	<25
Benzene	mg/kg	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2
Toluene	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
Ethylbenzene	mg/kg	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
m+p-xylene	mg/kg	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2
o-Xylene	mg/kg	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
Naphthalene	mg/kg	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
Total +ve Xylenes	mg/kg	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
Surrogate aaa-Trifluorotoluene	%	85	82	87	87	82

vTRH(C6-C10)/BTEXN in Soil						
Our Reference		298140-16	298140-17	298140-18	298140-19	298140-20
Your Reference	UNITS	BH15	BH16	BH17	BH18	BH19
Depth		0.5-0.6	0.3-0.4	0.5-0.6	0.7-0.8	0.2-0.3
Date Sampled		15/06/2022	15/06/2022	15/06/2022	15/06/2022	15/06/2022
Type of sample		Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Date extracted	-	20/06/2022	20/06/2022	20/06/2022	20/06/2022	20/06/2022
Date analysed	-	21/06/2022	21/06/2022	21/06/2022	21/06/2022	21/06/2022
TRH C ₆ - C ₉	mg/kg	<25	<25	<25	<25	<25
TRH C ₆ - C ₁₀	mg/kg	<25	<25	<25	<25	<25
vTPH C ₆ - C ₁₀ less BTEX (F1)	mg/kg	<25	<25	<25	<25	<25
Benzene	mg/kg	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2
Toluene	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
Ethylbenzene	mg/kg	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
m+p-xylene	mg/kg	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2
o-Xylene	mg/kg	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
Naphthalene	mg/kg	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
Total +ve Xylenes	mg/kg	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
Surrogate aaa-Trifluorotoluene	%	92	86	87	88	83

vTRH(C6-C10)/BTEXN in Soil						
Our Reference		298140-21	298140-22	298140-23	298140-24	298140-25
Your Reference	UNITS	BH20	BH21	BH22	BH23	D1
Depth		0.3-0.4	0.6-0.7	0.5-0.6	0.4-0.5	-
Date Sampled		15/06/2022	15/06/2022	15/06/2022	15/06/2022	15/06/2022
Type of sample		Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Date extracted	-	20/06/2022	20/06/2022	20/06/2022	20/06/2022	20/06/2022
Date analysed	-	21/06/2022	21/06/2022	21/06/2022	21/06/2022	21/06/2022
TRH C ₆ - C ₉	mg/kg	<25	<25	<25	<25	<25
TRH C ₆ - C ₁₀	mg/kg	<25	<25	<25	<25	<25
vTPH C ₆ - C ₁₀ less BTEX (F1)	mg/kg	<25	<25	<25	<25	<25
Benzene	mg/kg	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2
Toluene	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
Ethylbenzene	mg/kg	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
m+p-xylene	mg/kg	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2
o-Xylene	mg/kg	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
Naphthalene	mg/kg	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
Total +ve Xylenes	mg/kg	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
Surrogate aaa-Trifluorotoluene	%	72	89	77	83	83

vTRH(C6-C10)/BTEXN in Soil				
Our Reference		298140-26	298140-27	298140-28
Your Reference	UNITS	D2	TS1	TB1
Depth		-	-	-
Date Sampled		15/06/2022	15/06/2022	15/06/2022
Type of sample		Soil	Soil	Soil
Date extracted	-	20/06/2022	20/06/2022	20/06/2022
Date analysed	-	21/06/2022	21/06/2022	21/06/2022
TRH C ₆ - C ₉	mg/kg	<25	[NA]	<25
TRH C ₆ - C ₁₀	mg/kg	<25	[NA]	<25
vTPH C ₆ - C ₁₀ less BTEX (F1)	mg/kg	<25	[NA]	<25
Benzene	mg/kg	<0.2	96%	<0.2
Toluene	mg/kg	<0.5	98%	<0.5
Ethylbenzene	mg/kg	<1	98%	<1
m+p-xylene	mg/kg	<2	98%	<2
o-Xylene	mg/kg	<1	98%	<1
Naphthalene	mg/kg	<1	[NA]	<1
Total +ve Xylenes	mg/kg	<1	[NA]	<1
Surrogate aaa-Trifluorotoluene	%	76	93	92

svTRH (C10-C40) in Soil						
Our Reference		298140-1	298140-2	298140-3	298140-4	298140-5
Your Reference	UNITS	BH1	BH2	BH3	BH4	BH5
Depth		0.4-0.5	0.2-0.3	0.5-0.6	0.2-0.3	0.4-0.5
Date Sampled		15/06/2022	15/06/2022	15/06/2022	15/06/2022	15/06/2022
Type of sample		Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Date extracted	-	20/06/2022	20/06/2022	20/06/2022	20/06/2022	20/06/2022
Date analysed	-	20/06/2022	20/06/2022	20/06/2022	20/06/2022	20/06/2022
TRH C ₁₀ - C ₁₄	mg/kg	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50
TRH C ₁₅ - C ₂₈	mg/kg	<100	<100	<100	<100	<100
TRH C ₂₉ - C ₃₆	mg/kg	240	<100	<100	<100	<100
Total +ve TRH (C10-C36)	mg/kg	240	<50	<50	<50	<50
TRH >C ₁₀ -C ₁₆	mg/kg	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50
TRH >C ₁₀ - C ₁₆ less Naphthalene (F2)	mg/kg	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50
TRH >C ₁₆ -C ₃₄	mg/kg	220	<100	<100	<100	<100
TRH >C ₃₄ -C ₄₀	mg/kg	320	<100	<100	<100	<100
Total +ve TRH (>C10-C40)	mg/kg	540	<50	<50	<50	<50
Surrogate o-Terphenyl	%	96	80	80	89	88

svTRH (C10-C40) in Soil						
Our Reference		298140-6	298140-7	298140-8	298140-9	298140-10
Your Reference	UNITS	BH6	BH7	BH8	BH9	BH10
Depth		0.3-0.4	0.2-0.6	0.5-0.6	0.4-0.5	0.7-0.8
Date Sampled		15/06/2022	15/06/2022	15/06/2022	15/06/2022	15/06/2022
Type of sample		Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Date extracted	-	20/06/2022	20/06/2022	20/06/2022	20/06/2022	20/06/2022
Date analysed	-	20/06/2022	20/06/2022	20/06/2022	20/06/2022	20/06/2022
TRH C ₁₀ - C ₁₄	mg/kg	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50
TRH C ₁₅ - C ₂₈	mg/kg	<100	<100	<100	<100	460
TRH C ₂₉ - C ₃₆	mg/kg	<100	<100	<100	<100	930
Total +ve TRH (C10-C36)	mg/kg	<50	<50	<50	<50	1,400
TRH >C ₁₀ -C ₁₆	mg/kg	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50
TRH >C ₁₀ - C ₁₆ less Naphthalene (F2)	mg/kg	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50
TRH >C ₁₆ -C ₃₄	mg/kg	<100	<100	<100	<100	1,200
TRH >C ₃₄ -C ₄₀	mg/kg	<100	<100	<100	<100	730
Total +ve TRH (>C10-C40)	mg/kg	<50	<50	<50	<50	1,900
Surrogate o-Terphenyl	%	85	81	82	80	97

svTRH (C10-C40) in Soil						
Our Reference		298140-11	298140-12	298140-13	298140-14	298140-15
Your Reference	UNITS	BH11	BH12	BH13	BH13	BH14
Depth		0.5-0.6	0.2-0.3	0.3-0.4	2.0-2.1	0.6-0.7
Date Sampled		15/06/2022	15/06/2022	15/06/2022	15/06/2022	15/06/2022
Type of sample		Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Date extracted	-	20/06/2022	20/06/2022	20/06/2022	20/06/2022	20/06/2022
Date analysed	-	20/06/2022	21/06/2022	21/06/2022	21/06/2022	21/06/2022
TRH C ₁₀ - C ₁₄	mg/kg	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50
TRH C ₁₅ - C ₂₈	mg/kg	<100	<100	<100	<100	100
TRH C ₂₉ - C ₃₆	mg/kg	<100	<100	<100	<100	220
Total +ve TRH (C10-C36)	mg/kg	<50	<50	<50	<50	330
TRH >C ₁₀ -C ₁₆	mg/kg	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50
TRH >C ₁₀ - C ₁₆ less Naphthalene (F2)	mg/kg	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50
TRH >C ₁₆ -C ₃₄	mg/kg	<100	<100	<100	160	240
TRH >C ₃₄ -C ₄₀	mg/kg	<100	<100	<100	<100	310
Total +ve TRH (>C10-C40)	mg/kg	<50	<50	<50	160	550
Surrogate o-Terphenyl	%	83	81	80	92	111

svTRH (C10-C40) in Soil						
Our Reference		298140-16	298140-17	298140-18	298140-19	298140-20
Your Reference	UNITS	BH15	BH16	BH17	BH18	BH19
Depth		0.5-0.6	0.3-0.4	0.5-0.6	0.7-0.8	0.2-0.3
Date Sampled		15/06/2022	15/06/2022	15/06/2022	15/06/2022	15/06/2022
Type of sample		Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Date extracted	-	20/06/2022	20/06/2022	20/06/2022	20/06/2022	20/06/2022
Date analysed	-	21/06/2022	21/06/2022	21/06/2022	21/06/2022	21/06/2022
TRH C ₁₀ - C ₁₄	mg/kg	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50
TRH C ₁₅ - C ₂₈	mg/kg	<100	<100	<100	200	<100
TRH C ₂₉ - C ₃₆	mg/kg	<100	<100	<100	200	<100
Total +ve TRH (C10-C36)	mg/kg	<50	<50	<50	390	<50
TRH >C ₁₀ -C ₁₆	mg/kg	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50
TRH >C ₁₀ - C ₁₆ less Naphthalene (F2)	mg/kg	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50
TRH >C ₁₆ -C ₃₄	mg/kg	<100	<100	<100	340	<100
TRH >C ₃₄ -C ₄₀	mg/kg	<100	<100	<100	120	<100
Total +ve TRH (>C10-C40)	mg/kg	<50	<50	<50	460	<50
Surrogate o-Terphenyl	%	85	79	79	95	82

svTRH (C10-C40) in Soil						
Our Reference		298140-21	298140-22	298140-23	298140-24	298140-25
Your Reference	UNITS	BH20	BH21	BH22	BH23	D1
Depth		0.3-0.4	0.6-0.7	0.5-0.6	0.4-0.5	-
Date Sampled		15/06/2022	15/06/2022	15/06/2022	15/06/2022	15/06/2022
Type of sample		Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Date extracted	-	20/06/2022	20/06/2022	20/06/2022	20/06/2022	20/06/2022
Date analysed	-	21/06/2022	21/06/2022	21/06/2022	21/06/2022	21/06/2022
TRH C ₁₀ - C ₁₄	mg/kg	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50
TRH C ₁₅ - C ₂₈	mg/kg	<100	<100	<100	<100	<100
TRH C ₂₉ - C ₃₆	mg/kg	<100	300	<100	<100	<100
Total +ve TRH (C10-C36)	mg/kg	<50	300	<50	<50	<50
TRH >C ₁₀ -C ₁₆	mg/kg	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50
TRH >C ₁₀ - C ₁₆ less Naphthalene (F2)	mg/kg	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50
TRH >C ₁₆ -C ₃₄	mg/kg	<100	230	<100	<100	<100
TRH >C ₃₄ -C ₄₀	mg/kg	<100	480	<100	<100	<100
Total +ve TRH (>C10-C40)	mg/kg	<50	720	<50	<50	<50
Surrogate o-Terphenyl	%	80	83	91	79	84

svTRH (C10-C40) in Soil		
Our Reference		298140-26
Your Reference	UNITS	D2
Depth		-
Date Sampled		15/06/2022
Type of sample		Soil
Date extracted	-	20/06/2022
Date analysed	-	21/06/2022
TRH C ₁₀ - C ₁₄	mg/kg	<50
TRH C ₁₅ - C ₂₈	mg/kg	<100
TRH C ₂₉ - C ₃₆	mg/kg	<100
Total +ve TRH (C10-C36)	mg/kg	<50
TRH >C ₁₀ -C ₁₆	mg/kg	<50
TRH >C ₁₀ - C ₁₆ less Naphthalene (F2)	mg/kg	<50
TRH >C ₁₆ -C ₃₄	mg/kg	<100
TRH >C ₃₄ -C ₄₀	mg/kg	180
Total +ve TRH (>C10-C40)	mg/kg	180
Surrogate o-Terphenyl	%	91

PAHs in Soil						
Our Reference		298140-1	298140-2	298140-3	298140-4	298140-5
Your Reference	UNITS	BH1	BH2	BH3	BH4	BH5
Depth		0.4-0.5	0.2-0.3	0.5-0.6	0.2-0.3	0.4-0.5
Date Sampled		15/06/2022	15/06/2022	15/06/2022	15/06/2022	15/06/2022
Type of sample		Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Date extracted	-	20/06/2022	20/06/2022	20/06/2022	20/06/2022	20/06/2022
Date analysed	-	21/06/2022	21/06/2022	21/06/2022	21/06/2022	21/06/2022
Naphthalene	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Acenaphthylene	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	0.1	<0.1
Acenaphthene	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Fluorene	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Phenanthrene	mg/kg	0.2	<0.1	0.3	0.4	0.4
Anthracene	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	0.1	0.1
Fluoranthene	mg/kg	0.5	<0.1	0.4	1.2	0.9
Pyrene	mg/kg	0.5	<0.1	0.5	1.4	0.9
Benzo(a)anthracene	mg/kg	0.3	<0.1	0.3	0.9	0.6
Chrysene	mg/kg	0.2	<0.1	0.2	0.7	0.4
Benzo(b,j+k)fluoranthene	mg/kg	0.5	<0.2	0.4	2	0.9
Benzo(a)pyrene	mg/kg	0.4	<0.05	0.3	1.1	0.61
Indeno(1,2,3-c,d)pyrene	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	0.4	0.2
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	0.1	<0.1
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	mg/kg	0.2	<0.1	0.2	0.7	0.4
Total +ve PAH's	mg/kg	2.9	<0.05	2.5	8.7	5.4
Benzo(a)pyrene TEQ calc (zero)	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	1.5	0.8
Benzo(a)pyrene TEQ calc(half)	mg/kg	0.5	<0.5	<0.5	1.5	0.8
Benzo(a)pyrene TEQ calc(PQL)	mg/kg	0.6	<0.5	<0.5	1.5	0.9
Surrogate <i>p</i> -Terphenyl-d14	%	87	89	86	80	86

PAHs in Soil						
Our Reference		298140-6	298140-7	298140-8	298140-9	298140-10
Your Reference	UNITS	BH6	BH7	BH8	BH9	BH10
Depth		0.3-0.4	0.2-0.6	0.5-0.6	0.4-0.5	0.7-0.8
Date Sampled		15/06/2022	15/06/2022	15/06/2022	15/06/2022	15/06/2022
Type of sample		Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Date extracted	-	20/06/2022	20/06/2022	20/06/2022	20/06/2022	20/06/2022
Date analysed	-	21/06/2022	21/06/2022	21/06/2022	21/06/2022	21/06/2022
Naphthalene	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	0.1
Acenaphthylene	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	0.3
Acenaphthene	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Fluorene	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Phenanthrene	mg/kg	0.4	0.3	<0.1	<0.1	1.4
Anthracene	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	0.4
Fluoranthene	mg/kg	1.1	0.6	<0.1	0.2	3.3
Pyrene	mg/kg	1.2	0.7	<0.1	0.2	3.4
Benzo(a)anthracene	mg/kg	0.8	0.4	<0.1	0.1	2.4
Chrysene	mg/kg	0.5	0.3	<0.1	<0.1	1.5
Benzo(b,j+k)fluoranthene	mg/kg	1	0.7	<0.2	0.2	3.6
Benzo(a)pyrene	mg/kg	0.85	0.5	<0.05	0.1	2.5
Indeno(1,2,3-c,d)pyrene	mg/kg	0.3	0.2	<0.1	<0.1	0.9
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	0.3
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	mg/kg	0.5	0.3	<0.1	<0.1	1.6
Total +ve PAH's	mg/kg	7.1	3.9	<0.05	0.78	22
Benzo(a)pyrene TEQ calc (zero)	mg/kg	1.1	0.6	<0.5	<0.5	3.6
Benzo(a)pyrene TEQ calc(half)	mg/kg	1.1	0.7	<0.5	<0.5	3.6
Benzo(a)pyrene TEQ calc(PQL)	mg/kg	1.2	0.7	<0.5	<0.5	3.6
Surrogate <i>p</i> -Terphenyl-d14	%	98	87	89	87	89

PAHs in Soil						
Our Reference		298140-11	298140-12	298140-13	298140-14	298140-15
Your Reference	UNITS	BH11	BH12	BH13	BH13	BH14
Depth		0.5-0.6	0.2-0.3	0.3-0.4	2.0-2.1	0.6-0.7
Date Sampled		15/06/2022	15/06/2022	15/06/2022	15/06/2022	15/06/2022
Type of sample		Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Date extracted	-	20/06/2022	20/06/2022	20/06/2022	20/06/2022	20/06/2022
Date analysed	-	21/06/2022	21/06/2022	21/06/2022	21/06/2022	21/06/2022
Naphthalene	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	0.1	<0.1
Acenaphthylene	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	0.3	<0.1
Acenaphthene	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Fluorene	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	0.2	<0.1
Phenanthrene	mg/kg	0.2	<0.1	<0.1	2.1	<0.1
Anthracene	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	0.5	<0.1
Fluoranthene	mg/kg	0.3	0.1	<0.1	3.5	0.2
Pyrene	mg/kg	0.3	0.1	<0.1	3.6	0.2
Benzo(a)anthracene	mg/kg	0.2	0.1	<0.1	2.1	0.1
Chrysene	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	1.5	0.1
Benzo(b,j+k)fluoranthene	mg/kg	0.3	<0.2	<0.2	3.1	0.2
Benzo(a)pyrene	mg/kg	0.2	0.1	<0.05	2.2	0.2
Indeno(1,2,3-c,d)pyrene	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	0.7	<0.1
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	0.3	<0.1
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	1.3	0.1
Total +ve PAH's	mg/kg	1.7	0.5	<0.05	22	1.1
Benzo(a)pyrene TEQ calc (zero)	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	3.2	<0.5
Benzo(a)pyrene TEQ calc(half)	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	3.2	<0.5
Benzo(a)pyrene TEQ calc(PQL)	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	3.2	<0.5
Surrogate <i>p</i> -Terphenyl-d14	%	87	90	86	78	75

PAHs in Soil						
Our Reference		298140-16	298140-17	298140-18	298140-19	298140-20
Your Reference	UNITS	BH15	BH16	BH17	BH18	BH19
Depth		0.5-0.6	0.3-0.4	0.5-0.6	0.7-0.8	0.2-0.3
Date Sampled		15/06/2022	15/06/2022	15/06/2022	15/06/2022	15/06/2022
Type of sample		Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Date extracted	-	20/06/2022	20/06/2022	20/06/2022	20/06/2022	20/06/2022
Date analysed	-	21/06/2022	21/06/2022	21/06/2022	21/06/2022	21/06/2022
Naphthalene	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	0.1	<0.1
Acenaphthylene	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	0.6	<0.1
Acenaphthene	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Fluorene	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	0.2	<0.1
Phenanthrene	mg/kg	0.3	<0.1	<0.1	3.8	<0.1
Anthracene	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	1.1	<0.1
Fluoranthene	mg/kg	1.3	0.1	0.2	11	0.3
Pyrene	mg/kg	1.5	0.1	0.2	11	0.3
Benzo(a)anthracene	mg/kg	1.2	<0.1	0.1	7.1	0.2
Chrysene	mg/kg	0.8	<0.1	0.1	5.2	0.2
Benzo(b,j+k)fluoranthene	mg/kg	2.1	<0.2	0.2	12	0.4
Benzo(a)pyrene	mg/kg	1.5	0.1	0.2	8.6	0.3
Indeno(1,2,3-c,d)pyrene	mg/kg	0.6	<0.1	<0.1	2.7	0.1
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	mg/kg	0.2	<0.1	<0.1	1.1	<0.1
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	mg/kg	1.1	<0.1	0.1	5.0	0.2
Total +ve PAH's	mg/kg	11	0.4	1.1	70	2.0
Benzo(a)pyrene TEQ calc (zero)	mg/kg	2.1	<0.5	<0.5	12	<0.5
Benzo(a)pyrene TEQ calc(half)	mg/kg	2.1	<0.5	<0.5	12	<0.5
Benzo(a)pyrene TEQ calc(PQL)	mg/kg	2.1	<0.5	<0.5	12	<0.5
Surrogate <i>p</i> -Terphenyl-d14	%	91	87	86	96	93

PAHs in Soil						
Our Reference		298140-21	298140-22	298140-23	298140-24	298140-25
Your Reference	UNITS	BH20	BH21	BH22	BH23	D1
Depth		0.3-0.4	0.6-0.7	0.5-0.6	0.4-0.5	-
Date Sampled		15/06/2022	15/06/2022	15/06/2022	15/06/2022	15/06/2022
Type of sample		Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Date extracted	-	20/06/2022	20/06/2022	20/06/2022	20/06/2022	20/06/2022
Date analysed	-	21/06/2022	21/06/2022	21/06/2022	21/06/2022	21/06/2022
Naphthalene	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Acenaphthylene	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	0.1	<0.1	0.1
Acenaphthene	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Fluorene	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Phenanthrene	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	1.1	<0.1	0.4
Anthracene	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	0.3	<0.1	0.1
Fluoranthene	mg/kg	<0.1	0.2	2.1	<0.1	1.2
Pyrene	mg/kg	<0.1	0.3	2.0	<0.1	1.3
Benzo(a)anthracene	mg/kg	<0.1	0.2	1.3	<0.1	0.9
Chrysene	mg/kg	<0.1	0.1	0.9	<0.1	0.7
Benzo(b,j+k)fluoranthene	mg/kg	<0.2	0.3	2	<0.2	1
Benzo(a)pyrene	mg/kg	0.07	0.2	1.3	<0.05	0.97
Indeno(1,2,3-c,d)pyrene	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	0.4	<0.1	0.3
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	0.2	<0.1	<0.1
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	mg/kg	<0.1	0.1	0.7	<0.1	0.6
Total +ve PAH's	mg/kg	0.07	1.5	12	<0.05	8.2
Benzo(a)pyrene TEQ calc (zero)	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	1.8	<0.5	1.3
Benzo(a)pyrene TEQ calc(half)	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	1.8	<0.5	1.3
Benzo(a)pyrene TEQ calc(PQL)	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	1.8	<0.5	1.4
Surrogate <i>p</i> -Terphenyl-d14	%	84	89	86	89	84

PAHs in Soil		
Our Reference		298140-26
Your Reference	UNITS	D2
Depth		-
Date Sampled		15/06/2022
Type of sample		Soil
Date extracted	-	20/06/2022
Date analysed	-	21/06/2022
Naphthalene	mg/kg	<0.1
Acenaphthylene	mg/kg	<0.1
Acenaphthene	mg/kg	<0.1
Fluorene	mg/kg	<0.1
Phenanthrene	mg/kg	<0.1
Anthracene	mg/kg	<0.1
Fluoranthene	mg/kg	<0.1
Pyrene	mg/kg	<0.1
Benzo(a)anthracene	mg/kg	<0.1
Chrysene	mg/kg	<0.1
Benzo(b,j+k)fluoranthene	mg/kg	<0.2
Benzo(a)pyrene	mg/kg	<0.05
Indeno(1,2,3-c,d)pyrene	mg/kg	<0.1
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	mg/kg	<0.1
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	mg/kg	<0.1
Total +ve PAH's	mg/kg	<0.05
Benzo(a)pyrene TEQ calc (zero)	mg/kg	<0.5
Benzo(a)pyrene TEQ calc(half)	mg/kg	<0.5
Benzo(a)pyrene TEQ calc(PQL)	mg/kg	<0.5
Surrogate <i>p</i> -Terphenyl-d14	%	82

Organochlorine Pesticides in soil						
Our Reference		298140-1	298140-2	298140-3	298140-4	298140-5
Your Reference	UNITS	BH1	BH2	BH3	BH4	BH5
Depth		0.4-0.5	0.2-0.3	0.5-0.6	0.2-0.3	0.4-0.5
Date Sampled		15/06/2022	15/06/2022	15/06/2022	15/06/2022	15/06/2022
Type of sample		Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Date extracted	-	20/06/2022	20/06/2022	20/06/2022	20/06/2022	20/06/2022
Date analysed	-	21/06/2022	21/06/2022	21/06/2022	21/06/2022	21/06/2022
alpha-BHC	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
HCB	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
beta-BHC	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
gamma-BHC	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Heptachlor	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
delta-BHC	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Aldrin	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Heptachlor Epoxide	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
gamma-Chlordane	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
alpha-chlordane	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Endosulfan I	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
pp-DDE	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Dieldrin	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Endrin	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Endosulfan II	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
pp-DDD	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Endrin Aldehyde	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
pp-DDT	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Endosulfan Sulphate	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Methoxychlor	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Total +ve DDT+DDD+DDE	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Surrogate TCMX	%	84	80	86	81	81

Organochlorine Pesticides in soil						
Our Reference		298140-6	298140-7	298140-8	298140-9	298140-10
Your Reference	UNITS	BH6	BH7	BH8	BH9	BH10
Depth		0.3-0.4	0.2-0.6	0.5-0.6	0.4-0.5	0.7-0.8
Date Sampled		15/06/2022	15/06/2022	15/06/2022	15/06/2022	15/06/2022
Type of sample		Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Date extracted	-	20/06/2022	20/06/2022	20/06/2022	20/06/2022	20/06/2022
Date analysed	-	21/06/2022	21/06/2022	21/06/2022	21/06/2022	21/06/2022
alpha-BHC	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
HCB	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
beta-BHC	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
gamma-BHC	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Heptachlor	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
delta-BHC	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Aldrin	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Heptachlor Epoxide	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
gamma-Chlordane	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
alpha-chlordane	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Endosulfan I	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
pp-DDE	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Dieldrin	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	0.4
Endrin	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Endosulfan II	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
pp-DDD	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Endrin Aldehyde	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
pp-DDT	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Endosulfan Sulphate	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Methoxychlor	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Total +ve DDT+DDD+DDE	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Surrogate TCMX	%	85	82	87	81	82

Organochlorine Pesticides in soil						
Our Reference		298140-11	298140-12	298140-13	298140-15	298140-16
Your Reference	UNITS	BH11	BH12	BH13	BH14	BH15
Depth		0.5-0.6	0.2-0.3	0.3-0.4	0.6-0.7	0.5-0.6
Date Sampled		15/06/2022	15/06/2022	15/06/2022	15/06/2022	15/06/2022
Type of sample		Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Date extracted	-	20/06/2022	20/06/2022	20/06/2022	20/06/2022	20/06/2022
Date analysed	-	21/06/2022	21/06/2022	21/06/2022	21/06/2022	21/06/2022
alpha-BHC	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
HCB	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
beta-BHC	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
gamma-BHC	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Heptachlor	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
delta-BHC	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Aldrin	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Heptachlor Epoxide	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
gamma-Chlordane	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
alpha-chlordane	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Endosulfan I	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
pp-DDE	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Dieldrin	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Endrin	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Endosulfan II	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
pp-DDD	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Endrin Aldehyde	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
pp-DDT	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Endosulfan Sulphate	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Methoxychlor	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Total +ve DDT+DDD+DDE	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Surrogate TCMX	%	84	84	81	78	83

Organochlorine Pesticides in soil						
Our Reference		298140-17	298140-18	298140-19	298140-20	298140-21
Your Reference	UNITS	BH16	BH17	BH18	BH19	BH20
Depth		0.3-0.4	0.5-0.6	0.7-0.8	0.2-0.3	0.3-0.4
Date Sampled		15/06/2022	15/06/2022	15/06/2022	15/06/2022	15/06/2022
Type of sample		Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Date extracted	-	20/06/2022	20/06/2022	20/06/2022	20/06/2022	20/06/2022
Date analysed	-	21/06/2022	21/06/2022	21/06/2022	21/06/2022	21/06/2022
alpha-BHC	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
HCB	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
beta-BHC	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
gamma-BHC	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Heptachlor	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
delta-BHC	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Aldrin	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Heptachlor Epoxide	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
gamma-Chlordane	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
alpha-chlordane	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Endosulfan I	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
pp-DDE	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Dieldrin	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Endrin	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Endosulfan II	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
pp-DDD	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Endrin Aldehyde	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
pp-DDT	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Endosulfan Sulphate	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Methoxychlor	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Total +ve DDT+DDD+DDE	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Surrogate TCMX	%	84	80	80	84	81

Organochlorine Pesticides in soil						
Our Reference		298140-22	298140-23	298140-24	298140-25	298140-26
Your Reference	UNITS	BH21	BH22	BH23	D1	D2
Depth		0.6-0.7	0.5-0.6	0.4-0.5	-	-
Date Sampled		15/06/2022	15/06/2022	15/06/2022	15/06/2022	15/06/2022
Type of sample		Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Date extracted	-	20/06/2022	20/06/2022	20/06/2022	20/06/2022	20/06/2022
Date analysed	-	21/06/2022	21/06/2022	21/06/2022	21/06/2022	21/06/2022
alpha-BHC	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
HCB	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
beta-BHC	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
gamma-BHC	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Heptachlor	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
delta-BHC	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Aldrin	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Heptachlor Epoxide	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
gamma-Chlordane	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
alpha-chlordane	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Endosulfan I	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
pp-DDE	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Dieldrin	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Endrin	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Endosulfan II	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
pp-DDD	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Endrin Aldehyde	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
pp-DDT	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Endosulfan Sulphate	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Methoxychlor	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Total +ve DDT+DDD+DDE	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Surrogate TCMX	%	86	81	81	79	79

Organophosphorus Pesticides in Soil						
Our Reference		298140-1	298140-2	298140-3	298140-4	298140-13
Your Reference	UNITS	BH1	BH2	BH3	BH4	BH13
Depth		0.4-0.5	0.2-0.3	0.5-0.6	0.2-0.3	0.3-0.4
Date Sampled		15/06/2022	15/06/2022	15/06/2022	15/06/2022	15/06/2022
Type of sample		Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Date extracted	-	20/06/2022	20/06/2022	20/06/2022	20/06/2022	20/06/2022
Date analysed	-	21/06/2022	21/06/2022	21/06/2022	21/06/2022	21/06/2022
Dichlorvos	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Dimethoate	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Diazinon	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Chlorpyrifos-methyl	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Ronnel	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Fenitrothion	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Malathion	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Chlorpyrifos	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Parathion	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Bromophos-ethyl	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Ethion	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Azinphos-methyl (Guthion)	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Surrogate TCMX	%	84	80	86	81	81

Organophosphorus Pesticides in Soil			
Our Reference		298140-25	298140-26
Your Reference	UNITS	D1	D2
Depth		-	-
Date Sampled		15/06/2022	15/06/2022
Type of sample		Soil	Soil
Date extracted	-	20/06/2022	20/06/2022
Date analysed	-	21/06/2022	21/06/2022
Dichlorvos	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1
Dimethoate	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1
Diazinon	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1
Chlorpyrifos-methyl	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1
Ronnel	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1
Fenitrothion	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1
Malathion	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1
Chlorpyrifos	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1
Parathion	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1
Bromophos-ethyl	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1
Ethion	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1
Azinphos-methyl (Guthion)	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1
Surrogate TCMX	%	79	79

PCBs in Soil						
Our Reference		298140-1	298140-2	298140-3	298140-4	298140-5
Your Reference	UNITS	BH1	BH2	BH3	BH4	BH5
Depth		0.4-0.5	0.2-0.3	0.5-0.6	0.2-0.3	0.4-0.5
Date Sampled		15/06/2022	15/06/2022	15/06/2022	15/06/2022	15/06/2022
Type of sample		Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Date extracted	-	20/06/2022	20/06/2022	20/06/2022	20/06/2022	20/06/2022
Date analysed	-	21/06/2022	21/06/2022	21/06/2022	21/06/2022	21/06/2022
Aroclor 1016	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Aroclor 1221	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Aroclor 1232	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Aroclor 1242	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Aroclor 1248	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Aroclor 1254	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Aroclor 1260	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Total +ve PCBs (1016-1260)	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Surrogate TCMX	%	84	80	86	81	81

PCBs in Soil						
Our Reference		298140-6	298140-7	298140-8	298140-9	298140-10
Your Reference	UNITS	BH6	BH7	BH8	BH9	BH10
Depth		0.3-0.4	0.2-0.6	0.5-0.6	0.4-0.5	0.7-0.8
Date Sampled		15/06/2022	15/06/2022	15/06/2022	15/06/2022	15/06/2022
Type of sample		Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Date extracted	-	20/06/2022	20/06/2022	20/06/2022	20/06/2022	20/06/2022
Date analysed	-	21/06/2022	21/06/2022	21/06/2022	21/06/2022	21/06/2022
Aroclor 1016	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Aroclor 1221	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Aroclor 1232	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Aroclor 1242	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Aroclor 1248	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Aroclor 1254	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Aroclor 1260	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Total +ve PCBs (1016-1260)	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Surrogate TCMX	%	85	82	87	81	82

PCBs in Soil						
Our Reference		298140-11	298140-12	298140-13	298140-15	298140-16
Your Reference	UNITS	BH11	BH12	BH13	BH14	BH15
Depth		0.5-0.6	0.2-0.3	0.3-0.4	0.6-0.7	0.5-0.6
Date Sampled		15/06/2022	15/06/2022	15/06/2022	15/06/2022	15/06/2022
Type of sample		Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Date extracted	-	20/06/2022	20/06/2022	20/06/2022	20/06/2022	20/06/2022
Date analysed	-	21/06/2022	21/06/2022	21/06/2022	21/06/2022	21/06/2022
Aroclor 1016	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Aroclor 1221	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Aroclor 1232	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Aroclor 1242	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Aroclor 1248	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Aroclor 1254	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Aroclor 1260	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Total +ve PCBs (1016-1260)	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Surrogate TCMX	%	84	84	81	78	83

PCBs in Soil						
Our Reference		298140-17	298140-18	298140-19	298140-20	298140-21
Your Reference	UNITS	BH16	BH17	BH18	BH19	BH20
Depth		0.3-0.4	0.5-0.6	0.7-0.8	0.2-0.3	0.3-0.4
Date Sampled		15/06/2022	15/06/2022	15/06/2022	15/06/2022	15/06/2022
Type of sample		Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Date extracted	-	20/06/2022	20/06/2022	20/06/2022	20/06/2022	20/06/2022
Date analysed	-	21/06/2022	21/06/2022	21/06/2022	21/06/2022	21/06/2022
Aroclor 1016	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Aroclor 1221	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Aroclor 1232	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Aroclor 1242	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Aroclor 1248	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Aroclor 1254	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Aroclor 1260	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Total +ve PCBs (1016-1260)	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Surrogate TCMX	%	84	80	80	84	81

Client Reference: E2843, Leichhardt

PCBs in Soil						
Our Reference		298140-22	298140-23	298140-24	298140-25	298140-26
Your Reference	UNITS	BH21	BH22	BH23	D1	D2
Depth		0.6-0.7	0.5-0.6	0.4-0.5	-	-
Date Sampled		15/06/2022	15/06/2022	15/06/2022	15/06/2022	15/06/2022
Type of sample		Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Date extracted	-	20/06/2022	20/06/2022	20/06/2022	20/06/2022	20/06/2022
Date analysed	-	21/06/2022	21/06/2022	21/06/2022	21/06/2022	21/06/2022
Aroclor 1016	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Aroclor 1221	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Aroclor 1232	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Aroclor 1242	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Aroclor 1248	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Aroclor 1254	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Aroclor 1260	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Total +ve PCBs (1016-1260)	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Surrogate TCMX	%	86	81	81	79	79

Acid Extractable metals in soil						
Our Reference		298140-1	298140-2	298140-3	298140-4	298140-5
Your Reference	UNITS	BH1	BH2	BH3	BH4	BH5
Depth		0.4-0.5	0.2-0.3	0.5-0.6	0.2-0.3	0.4-0.5
Date Sampled		15/06/2022	15/06/2022	15/06/2022	15/06/2022	15/06/2022
Type of sample		Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Date prepared	-	20/06/2022	20/06/2022	20/06/2022	20/06/2022	20/06/2022
Date analysed	-	23/06/2022	23/06/2022	23/06/2022	23/06/2022	23/06/2022
Arsenic	mg/kg	<4	<4	<4	5	10
Cadmium	mg/kg	<0.4	0.4	<0.4	0.7	6.0
Chromium	mg/kg	20	12	6	10	15
Copper	mg/kg	50	56	9	94	32
Lead	mg/kg	18	7	17	95	92
Mercury	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	0.1
Nickel	mg/kg	62	41	2	5	4
Zinc	mg/kg	40	47	22	160	92

Acid Extractable metals in soil						
Our Reference		298140-6	298140-7	298140-8	298140-9	298140-10
Your Reference	UNITS	BH6	BH7	BH8	BH9	BH10
Depth		0.3-0.4	0.2-0.6	0.5-0.6	0.4-0.5	0.7-0.8
Date Sampled		15/06/2022	15/06/2022	15/06/2022	15/06/2022	15/06/2022
Type of sample		Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Date prepared	-	20/06/2022	20/06/2022	20/06/2022	20/06/2022	20/06/2022
Date analysed	-	23/06/2022	23/06/2022	23/06/2022	23/06/2022	23/06/2022
Arsenic	mg/kg	13	8	<4	<4	16
Cadmium	mg/kg	12	11	<0.4	<0.4	4.6
Chromium	mg/kg	18	12	9	2	15
Copper	mg/kg	67	15	1	8	39
Lead	mg/kg	76	44	5	25	120
Mercury	mg/kg	0.2	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	0.4
Nickel	mg/kg	4	2	<1	1	8
Zinc	mg/kg	120	72	17	18	260

Acid Extractable metals in soil						
Our Reference		298140-11	298140-12	298140-13	298140-14	298140-15
Your Reference	UNITS	BH11	BH12	BH13	BH13	BH14
Depth		0.5-0.6	0.2-0.3	0.3-0.4	2.0-2.1	0.6-0.7
Date Sampled		15/06/2022	15/06/2022	15/06/2022	15/06/2022	15/06/2022
Type of sample		Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Date prepared	-	20/06/2022	20/06/2022	20/06/2022	20/06/2022	20/06/2022
Date analysed	-	23/06/2022	23/06/2022	23/06/2022	23/06/2022	23/06/2022
Arsenic	mg/kg	<4	34	<4	10	<4
Cadmium	mg/kg	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	5.9	<0.4
Chromium	mg/kg	21	9	9	14	22
Copper	mg/kg	43	18	9	28	60
Lead	mg/kg	18	100	16	76	34
Mercury	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Nickel	mg/kg	48	2	11	5	63
Zinc	mg/kg	37	32	27	120	98

Acid Extractable metals in soil						
Our Reference		298140-16	298140-17	298140-18	298140-19	298140-20
Your Reference	UNITS	BH15	BH16	BH17	BH18	BH19
Depth		0.5-0.6	0.3-0.4	0.5-0.6	0.7-0.8	0.2-0.3
Date Sampled		15/06/2022	15/06/2022	15/06/2022	15/06/2022	15/06/2022
Type of sample		Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Date prepared	-	20/06/2022	20/06/2022	20/06/2022	20/06/2022	20/06/2022
Date analysed	-	23/06/2022	23/06/2022	23/06/2022	23/06/2022	23/06/2022
Arsenic	mg/kg	6	<4	6	<4	6
Cadmium	mg/kg	1	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4
Chromium	mg/kg	27	13	18	14	25
Copper	mg/kg	47	210	7	73	15
Lead	mg/kg	190	8	25	250	27
Mercury	mg/kg	0.5	<0.1	0.1	1.1	<0.1
Nickel	mg/kg	7	55	2	21	7
Zinc	mg/kg	440	52	45	310	16

Acid Extractable metals in soil						
Our Reference		298140-21	298140-22	298140-23	298140-24	298140-25
Your Reference	UNITS	BH20	BH21	BH22	BH23	D1
Depth		0.3-0.4	0.6-0.7	0.5-0.6	0.4-0.5	-
Date Sampled		15/06/2022	15/06/2022	15/06/2022	15/06/2022	15/06/2022
Type of sample		Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Date prepared	-	20/06/2022	20/06/2022	20/06/2022	20/06/2022	20/06/2022
Date analysed	-	23/06/2022	23/06/2022	23/06/2022	23/06/2022	23/06/2022
Arsenic	mg/kg	8	<4	<4	<4	6
Cadmium	mg/kg	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	1
Chromium	mg/kg	28	18	3	12	10
Copper	mg/kg	2	35	5	<1	67
Lead	mg/kg	10	14	33	4	140
Mercury	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	0.1
Nickel	mg/kg	2	20	2	<1	4
Zinc	mg/kg	12	24	44	2	210

Acid Extractable metals in soil					
Our Reference		298140-26	298140-29	298140-30	298140-31
Your Reference	UNITS	D2	BH1 - [TRIPLICATE]	BH13 - [TRIPLICATE]	BH22 - [TRIPLICATE]
Depth		-	0.4-0.5	0.3-0.4	0.5-0.6
Date Sampled		15/06/2022	15/06/2022	15/06/2022	15/06/2022
Type of sample		Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Date prepared	-	20/06/2022	20/06/2022	20/06/2022	20/06/2022
Date analysed	-	23/06/2022	23/06/2022	23/06/2022	23/06/2022
Arsenic	mg/kg	<4	<4	<4	<4
Cadmium	mg/kg	0.5	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4
Chromium	mg/kg	18	19	10	6
Copper	mg/kg	76	50	16	12
Lead	mg/kg	8	13	60	170
Mercury	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	0.1
Nickel	mg/kg	35	62	16	3
Zinc	mg/kg	50	33	68	88

Client Reference: E2843, Leichhardt

Moisture						
Our Reference		298140-1	298140-2	298140-3	298140-4	298140-5
Your Reference	UNITS	BH1	BH2	BH3	BH4	BH5
Depth		0.4-0.5	0.2-0.3	0.5-0.6	0.2-0.3	0.4-0.5
Date Sampled		15/06/2022	15/06/2022	15/06/2022	15/06/2022	15/06/2022
Type of sample		Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Date prepared	-	20/06/2022	20/06/2022	20/06/2022	20/06/2022	20/06/2022
Date analysed	-	21/06/2022	21/06/2022	21/06/2022	21/06/2022	21/06/2022
Moisture	%	5.5	7.1	4.4	9.4	10

Moisture						
Our Reference		298140-6	298140-7	298140-8	298140-9	298140-10
Your Reference	UNITS	BH6	BH7	BH8	BH9	BH10
Depth		0.3-0.4	0.2-0.6	0.5-0.6	0.4-0.5	0.7-0.8
Date Sampled		15/06/2022	15/06/2022	15/06/2022	15/06/2022	15/06/2022
Type of sample		Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Date prepared	-	20/06/2022	20/06/2022	20/06/2022	20/06/2022	20/06/2022
Date analysed	-	21/06/2022	21/06/2022	21/06/2022	21/06/2022	21/06/2022
Moisture	%	10	11	16	12	15

Moisture						
Our Reference		298140-11	298140-12	298140-13	298140-14	298140-15
Your Reference	UNITS	BH11	BH12	BH13	BH13	BH14
Depth		0.5-0.6	0.2-0.3	0.3-0.4	2.0-2.1	0.6-0.7
Date Sampled		15/06/2022	15/06/2022	15/06/2022	15/06/2022	15/06/2022
Type of sample		Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Date prepared	-	20/06/2022	20/06/2022	20/06/2022	20/06/2022	20/06/2022
Date analysed	-	21/06/2022	21/06/2022	21/06/2022	21/06/2022	21/06/2022
Moisture	%	6.8	19	1.3	3.7	13

Moisture						
Our Reference		298140-16	298140-17	298140-18	298140-19	298140-20
Your Reference	UNITS	BH15	BH16	BH17	BH18	BH19
Depth		0.5-0.6	0.3-0.4	0.5-0.6	0.7-0.8	0.2-0.3
Date Sampled		15/06/2022	15/06/2022	15/06/2022	15/06/2022	15/06/2022
Type of sample		Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Date prepared	-	20/06/2022	20/06/2022	20/06/2022	20/06/2022	20/06/2022
Date analysed	-	21/06/2022	21/06/2022	21/06/2022	21/06/2022	21/06/2022
Moisture	%	15	7.6	14	12	7.7

Client Reference: E2843, Leichhardt

Moisture						
Our Reference		298140-21	298140-22	298140-23	298140-24	298140-25
Your Reference	UNITS	BH20	BH21	BH22	BH23	D1
Depth		0.3-0.4	0.6-0.7	0.5-0.6	0.4-0.5	-
Date Sampled		15/06/2022	15/06/2022	15/06/2022	15/06/2022	15/06/2022
Type of sample		Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Date prepared	-	20/06/2022	20/06/2022	20/06/2022	20/06/2022	20/06/2022
Date analysed	-	21/06/2022	21/06/2022	21/06/2022	21/06/2022	21/06/2022
Moisture	%	15	14	19	1.1	9.8

Moisture		
Our Reference		298140-26
Your Reference	UNITS	D2
Depth		-
Date Sampled		15/06/2022
Type of sample		Soil
Date prepared	-	20/06/2022
Date analysed	-	21/06/2022
Moisture	%	6.6

Asbestos ID - soils						
Our Reference		298140-1	298140-2	298140-3	298140-4	298140-5
Your Reference	UNITS	BH1	BH2	BH3	BH4	BH5
Depth		0.4-0.5	0.2-0.3	0.5-0.6	0.2-0.3	0.4-0.5
Date Sampled		15/06/2022	15/06/2022	15/06/2022	15/06/2022	15/06/2022
Type of sample		Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Date analysed	-	23/06/2022	23/06/2022	23/06/2022	23/06/2022	23/06/2022
Sample mass tested	g	Approx. 65g	Approx. 10g	Approx. 25g	Approx. 5g	Approx. 20g
Sample Description	-	Brown coarse-grained soil & rocks	Brown coarse-grained soil & rocks	Brown sandy soil & rocks	Brown fine-grained soil & rocks	Brown fine-grained soil & rocks
Asbestos ID in soil	-	No asbestos detected at reporting limit of 0.1g/kg Organic fibres detected	No asbestos detected at reporting limit of 0.1g/kg Organic fibres detected	No asbestos detected at reporting limit of 0.1g/kg Organic fibres detected	No asbestos detected at reporting limit of 0.1g/kg Organic fibres detected	No asbestos detected at reporting limit of 0.1g/kg Organic fibres detected
Trace Analysis	-	No asbestos detected	No asbestos detected	No asbestos detected	No asbestos detected	No asbestos detected

Asbestos ID - soils						
Our Reference		298140-6	298140-7	298140-8	298140-9	298140-10
Your Reference	UNITS	BH6	BH7	BH8	BH9	BH10
Depth		0.3-0.4	0.2-0.6	0.5-0.6	0.4-0.5	0.7-0.8
Date Sampled		15/06/2022	15/06/2022	15/06/2022	15/06/2022	15/06/2022
Type of sample		Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Date analysed	-	23/06/2022	23/06/2022	23/06/2022	23/06/2022	23/06/2022
Sample mass tested	g	Approx. 45g	Approx. 40g	Approx. 35g	Approx. 30g	Approx. 30g
Sample Description	-	Brown coarse-grained soil & rocks	Brown coarse-grained soil & rocks	Brown fine-grained soil & rocks	Brown sandy soil & rocks	Brown coarse-grained soil & rocks
Asbestos ID in soil	-	No asbestos detected at reporting limit of 0.1g/kg Organic fibres detected	No asbestos detected at reporting limit of 0.1g/kg Organic fibres detected	No asbestos detected at reporting limit of 0.1g/kg Organic fibres detected	No asbestos detected at reporting limit of 0.1g/kg Organic fibres detected	No asbestos detected at reporting limit of 0.1g/kg Organic fibres detected
Trace Analysis	-	No asbestos detected	No asbestos detected	No asbestos detected	No asbestos detected	No asbestos detected

Client Reference: E2843, Leichhardt

Asbestos ID - soils						
Our Reference		298140-11	298140-12	298140-13	298140-15	298140-16
Your Reference	UNITS	BH11	BH12	BH13	BH14	BH15
Depth		0.5-0.6	0.2-0.3	0.3-0.4	0.6-0.7	0.5-0.6
Date Sampled		15/06/2022	15/06/2022	15/06/2022	15/06/2022	15/06/2022
Type of sample		Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Date analysed	-	23/06/2022	23/06/2022	23/06/2022	23/06/2022	23/06/2022
Sample mass tested	g	Approx. 30g	Approx. 20g	Approx. 30g	Approx. 20g	Approx. 40g
Sample Description	-	Brown coarse-grained soil & rocks	Brown clayey soil & rocks	Brown coarse-grained soil & rocks	Brown coarse-grained soil & rocks	Brown coarse-grained soil & rocks
Asbestos ID in soil	-	No asbestos detected at reporting limit of 0.1g/kg Organic fibres detected	No asbestos detected at reporting limit of 0.1g/kg Organic fibres detected	No asbestos detected at reporting limit of 0.1g/kg Organic fibres detected	No asbestos detected at reporting limit of 0.1g/kg Organic fibres detected	No asbestos detected at reporting limit of 0.1g/kg Organic fibres detected
Trace Analysis	-	No asbestos detected	No asbestos detected	No asbestos detected	No asbestos detected	No asbestos detected

Asbestos ID - soils						
Our Reference		298140-17	298140-18	298140-19	298140-20	298140-21
Your Reference	UNITS	BH16	BH17	BH18	BH19	BH20
Depth		0.3-0.4	0.5-0.6	0.7-0.8	0.2-0.3	0.3-0.4
Date Sampled		15/06/2022	15/06/2022	15/06/2022	15/06/2022	15/06/2022
Type of sample		Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Date analysed	-	23/06/2022	23/06/2022	23/06/2022	23/06/2022	23/06/2022
Sample mass tested	g	Approx. 55g	Approx. 25g	Approx. 10g	Approx. 10g	Approx. 15g
Sample Description	-	Brown coarse-grained soil & rocks	Brown fine-grained soil & rocks	Brown coarse-grained soil & rocks	Brown coarse-grained soil & rocks	Brown fine-grained soil & rocks
Asbestos ID in soil	-	No asbestos detected at reporting limit of 0.1g/kg Organic fibres detected	No asbestos detected at reporting limit of 0.1g/kg Organic fibres detected	No asbestos detected at reporting limit of 0.1g/kg Organic fibres detected	No asbestos detected at reporting limit of 0.1g/kg Organic fibres detected	No asbestos detected at reporting limit of 0.1g/kg Organic fibres detected
Trace Analysis	-	No asbestos detected	No asbestos detected	No asbestos detected	No asbestos detected	No asbestos detected

Client Reference: E2843, Leichhardt

Asbestos ID - soils				
Our Reference		298140-22	298140-23	298140-24
Your Reference	UNITS	BH21	BH22	BH23
Depth		0.6-0.7	0.5-0.6	0.4-0.5
Date Sampled		15/06/2022	15/06/2022	15/06/2022
Type of sample		Soil	Soil	Soil
Date analysed	-	23/06/2022	23/06/2022	23/06/2022
Sample mass tested	g	Approx. 10g	Approx. 30g	Approx. 30g
Sample Description	-	Brown fine-grained soil & rocks	Brown coarse-grained soil & rocks	Brown fine-grained soil & rocks
Asbestos ID in soil	-	No asbestos detected at reporting limit of 0.1g/kg Organic fibres detected	No asbestos detected at reporting limit of 0.1g/kg Organic fibres detected	No asbestos detected at reporting limit of 0.1g/kg Organic fibres detected
Trace Analysis	-	No asbestos detected	No asbestos detected	No asbestos detected

Misc Inorg - Soil		
Our Reference		298140-1
Your Reference	UNITS	BH1
Depth		0.4-0.5
Date Sampled		15/06/2022
Type of sample		Soil
Date prepared	-	22/06/2022
Date analysed	-	22/06/2022
pH 1:5 soil:water	pH Units	7.7

Clay 50-120g		
Our Reference		298140-1
Your Reference	UNITS	BH1
Depth		0.4-0.5
Date Sampled		15/06/2022
Type of sample		Soil
Date prepared	-	21/06/2022
Date analysed	-	22/06/2022
Clay in soils <2µm	% (w/w)	12

CEC		
Our Reference		298140-1
Your Reference	UNITS	BH1
Depth		0.4-0.5
Date Sampled		15/06/2022
Type of sample		Soil
Date prepared	-	23/06/2022
Date analysed	-	23/06/2022
Exchangeable Ca	meq/100g	18
Exchangeable K	meq/100g	0.3
Exchangeable Mg	meq/100g	6.9
Exchangeable Na	meq/100g	0.3
Cation Exchange Capacity	meq/100g	26

Method ID	Methodology Summary
AS1289.3.6.3	Particle Size Distribution using in house method INORG-107 by way of sieving and/or hydrometer sedimentation testing. Clay fraction at <2µm reported.
ASB-001	Asbestos ID - Qualitative identification of asbestos in bulk samples using Polarised Light Microscopy and Dispersion Staining Techniques including Synthetic Mineral Fibre and Organic Fibre as per Australian Standard 4964-2004.
Inorg-001	pH - Measured using pH meter and electrode in accordance with APHA latest edition, 4500-H+. Please note that the results for water analyses are indicative only, as analysis outside of the APHA storage times.
Inorg-008	Moisture content determined by heating at 105+/-5 °C for a minimum of 12 hours.
Metals-020	Determination of various metals by ICP-AES.
Metals-020	Determination of exchangeable cations and cation exchange capacity in soils using 1M Ammonium Chloride exchange and ICP-OES analytical finish.
Metals-021	Determination of Mercury by Cold Vapour AAS.
Org-020	Soil samples are extracted with Dichloromethane/Acetone and waters with Dichloromethane and analysed by GC-FID. F2 = (>C10-C16)-Naphthalene as per NEPM B1 Guideline on Investigation Levels for Soil and Groundwater (HSLs Tables 1A (3, 4)). Note Naphthalene is determined from the VOC analysis.
Org-020	Soil samples are extracted with Dichloromethane/Acetone and waters with Dichloromethane and analysed by GC-FID. F2 = (>C10-C16)-Naphthalene as per NEPM B1 Guideline on Investigation Levels for Soil and Groundwater (HSLs Tables 1A (3, 4)). Note Naphthalene is determined from the VOC analysis. Note, the Total +ve TRH PQL is reflective of the lowest individual PQL and is therefore "Total +ve TRH" is simply a sum of the positive individual TRH fractions (>C10-C40).
Org-021	Soil samples are extracted with dichloromethane/acetone and waters with dichloromethane and analysed by GC-ECD.
Org-021	Soil samples are extracted with dichloromethane/acetone and waters with dichloromethane and analysed by GC-ECD. Note, the Total +ve PCBs PQL is reflective of the lowest individual PQL and is therefore "Total +ve PCBs" is simply a sum of the positive individual PCBs.
Org-022	Determination of VOCs sampled onto coconut shell charcoal sorbent tubes, that can be desorbed using carbon disulphide, and analysed by GC-MS.
Org-022/025	Soil samples are extracted with Dichloromethane/Acetone and waters with Dichloromethane and analysed by GC-MS/GC-MSMS.
Org-022/025	Soil samples are extracted with dichloromethane/acetone and waters with dichloromethane and analysed by GC-MS/GC-MSMS. Note, the Total +ve reported DDD+DDE+DDT PQL is reflective of the lowest individual PQL and is therefore simply a sum of the positive individually report DDD+DDE+DDT.

Method ID	Methodology Summary
Org-022/025	<p>Soil samples are extracted with Dichloromethane/Acetone and waters with Dichloromethane and analysed by GC-MS and/or GC-MS/MS. Benzo(a)pyrene TEQ as per NEPM B1 Guideline on Investigation Levels for Soil and Groundwater - 2013.</p> <p>For soil results:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. 'EQ PQL' values are assuming all contributing PAHs reported as <PQL are actually at the PQL. This is the most conservative approach and can give false positive TEQs given that PAHs that contribute to the TEQ calculation may not be present. 2. 'EQ zero' values are assuming all contributing PAHs reported as <PQL are zero. This is the least conservative approach and is more susceptible to false negative TEQs when PAHs that contribute to the TEQ calculation are present but below PQL. 3. 'EQ half PQL' values are assuming all contributing PAHs reported as <PQL are half the stipulated PQL. Hence a mid-point between the most and least conservative approaches above. <p>Note, the Total +ve PAHs PQL is reflective of the lowest individual PQL and is therefore "Total +ve PAHs" is simply a sum of the positive individual PAHs.</p>
Org-023	<p>Soil samples are extracted with methanol and spiked into water prior to analysing by purge and trap GC-MS.</p>
Org-023	<p>Soil samples are extracted with methanol and spiked into water prior to analysing by purge and trap GC-MS. Water samples are analysed directly by purge and trap GC-MS. F1 = (C6-C10)-BTEX as per NEPM B1 Guideline on Investigation Levels for Soil and Groundwater.</p>
Org-023	<p>Soil samples are extracted with methanol and spiked into water prior to analysing by purge and trap GC-MS. Water samples are analysed directly by purge and trap GC-MS. F1 = (C6-C10)-BTEX as per NEPM B1 Guideline on Investigation Levels for Soil and Groundwater.</p> <p>Note, the Total +ve Xylene PQL is reflective of the lowest individual PQL and is therefore "Total +ve Xylenes" is simply a sum of the positive individual Xylenes.</p>
Org-029	<p>Soil samples are extracted with basified Methanol. Waters and soil extracts are directly injected and/or concentrated/extracted using SPE. TCLPs/ASLP leachates are centrifuged, the supernatant is then analysed (including amendment with solvent) - as per the option in AS4439.3.</p> <p>Analysis is undertaken with LC-MS/MS.</p> <p>PFAS results include the sum of branched and linear isomers where applicable.</p> <p>Please note that PFAS results are corrected for Extracted Internal Standards (QSM 5.3 Table B-15 terminology), which are mass labelled analytes added prior to sample preparation to assess matrix effects and verify processing of the sample. PFAS analytes without a commercially available mass labelled analogue are corrected vs a closely eluting mass labelled PFAS compound. Surrogates are also reported, in this context they are mass labelled PFAS compounds added prior to extraction but are used as monitoring compounds only (not used for result correction). Envicarb (or similar) is used discretionally to remove interfering matrix components.</p> <p>Please contact the laboratory if estimates of Measurement Uncertainty are required as per WA DER.</p>

Client Reference: E2843, Leichhardt

QUALITY CONTROL: VOCs in soil				Duplicate				Spike Recovery %		
Test Description	Units	PQL	Method	Blank	#	Base	Dup.	RPD	LCS-3	298140-2
Date extracted	-			20/06/2022	1	20/06/2022	20/06/2022		20/06/2022	20/06/2022
Date analysed	-			21/06/2022	1	21/06/2022	21/06/2022		21/06/2022	21/06/2022
Dichlorodifluoromethane	mg/kg	1	Org-023	<1	1	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Chloromethane	mg/kg	1	Org-023	<1	1	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Vinyl Chloride	mg/kg	1	Org-023	<1	1	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Bromomethane	mg/kg	1	Org-023	<1	1	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Chloroethane	mg/kg	1	Org-023	<1	1	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Trichlorofluoromethane	mg/kg	1	Org-023	<1	1	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
1,1-Dichloroethene	mg/kg	1	Org-023	<1	1	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
trans-1,2-dichloroethene	mg/kg	1	Org-023	<1	1	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
1,1-dichloroethane	mg/kg	1	Org-023	<1	1	<1	<1	0	92	98
cis-1,2-dichloroethene	mg/kg	1	Org-023	<1	1	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
bromochloromethane	mg/kg	1	Org-023	<1	1	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
chloroform	mg/kg	1	Org-023	<1	1	<1	<1	0	94	102
2,2-dichloropropane	mg/kg	1	Org-023	<1	1	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
1,2-dichloroethane	mg/kg	1	Org-023	<1	1	<1	<1	0	78	85
1,1,1-trichloroethane	mg/kg	1	Org-023	<1	1	<1	<1	0	97	104
1,1-dichloropropene	mg/kg	1	Org-023	<1	1	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Cyclohexane	mg/kg	1	Org-023	<1	1	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
carbon tetrachloride	mg/kg	1	Org-023	<1	1	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Benzene	mg/kg	0.2	Org-023	<0.2	1	<0.2	<0.2	0	[NT]	[NT]
dibromomethane	mg/kg	1	Org-023	<1	1	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
1,2-dichloropropane	mg/kg	1	Org-023	<1	1	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
trichloroethene	mg/kg	1	Org-023	<1	1	<1	<1	0	95	97
bromodichloromethane	mg/kg	1	Org-023	<1	1	<1	<1	0	83	90
trans-1,3-dichloropropene	mg/kg	1	Org-023	<1	1	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
cis-1,3-dichloropropene	mg/kg	1	Org-023	<1	1	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
1,1,2-trichloroethane	mg/kg	1	Org-023	<1	1	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Toluene	mg/kg	0.5	Org-023	<0.5	1	<0.5	<0.5	0	[NT]	[NT]
1,3-dichloropropane	mg/kg	1	Org-023	<1	1	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
dibromochloromethane	mg/kg	1	Org-023	<1	1	<1	<1	0	103	112
1,2-dibromoethane	mg/kg	1	Org-023	<1	1	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
tetrachloroethene	mg/kg	1	Org-023	<1	1	<1	<1	0	[NT]	135
1,1,1,2-tetrachloroethane	mg/kg	1	Org-023	<1	1	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
chlorobenzene	mg/kg	1	Org-023	<1	1	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Ethylbenzene	mg/kg	1	Org-023	<1	1	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
bromoform	mg/kg	1	Org-023	<1	1	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
m+p-xylene	mg/kg	2	Org-023	<2	1	<2	<2	0	[NT]	[NT]
styrene	mg/kg	1	Org-023	<1	1	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
1,1,2,2-tetrachloroethane	mg/kg	1	Org-023	<1	1	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]

Client Reference: E2843, Leichhardt

QUALITY CONTROL: VOCs in soil						Duplicate		Spike Recovery %		
Test Description	Units	PQL	Method	Blank	#	Base	Dup.	RPD	LCS-3	298140-2
o-Xylene	mg/kg	1	Org-023	<1	1	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
1,2,3-trichloropropane	mg/kg	1	Org-023	<1	1	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
isopropylbenzene	mg/kg	1	Org-023	<1	1	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
bromobenzene	mg/kg	1	Org-023	<1	1	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
n-propyl benzene	mg/kg	1	Org-023	<1	1	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
2-chlorotoluene	mg/kg	1	Org-023	<1	1	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
4-chlorotoluene	mg/kg	1	Org-023	<1	1	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
1,3,5-trimethyl benzene	mg/kg	1	Org-023	<1	1	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
tert-butyl benzene	mg/kg	1	Org-023	<1	1	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
1,2,4-trimethyl benzene	mg/kg	1	Org-023	<1	1	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
1,3-dichlorobenzene	mg/kg	1	Org-023	<1	1	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
sec-butyl benzene	mg/kg	1	Org-023	<1	1	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
1,4-dichlorobenzene	mg/kg	1	Org-023	<1	1	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
4-isopropyl toluene	mg/kg	1	Org-023	<1	1	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
1,2-dichlorobenzene	mg/kg	1	Org-023	<1	1	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
n-butyl benzene	mg/kg	1	Org-023	<1	1	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
1,2-dibromo-3-chloropropane	mg/kg	1	Org-023	<1	1	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
1,2,4-trichlorobenzene	mg/kg	1	Org-023	<1	1	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
hexachlorobutadiene	mg/kg	1	Org-023	<1	1	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
1,2,3-trichlorobenzene	mg/kg	1	Org-023	<1	1	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
<i>Surrogate</i> Dibromofluorometha	%		Org-023	115	1	111	112	1	107	108
<i>Surrogate</i> aaa-Trifluorotoluene	%		Org-023	92	1	79	82	4	87	87
<i>Surrogate</i> Toluene-d ₈	%		Org-023	110	1	108	108	0	101	102
<i>Surrogate</i> 4-Bromofluorobenzene	%		Org-023	98	1	100	100	0	100	101

Client Reference: E2843, Leichhardt

QUALITY CONTROL: VOCs in soil					Duplicate			Spike Recovery %		
Test Description	Units	PQL	Method	Blank	#	Base	Dup.	RPD	[NT]	[NT]
Date extracted	-			[NT]	13	20/06/2022	20/06/2022		[NT]	[NT]
Date analysed	-			[NT]	13	21/06/2022	21/06/2022		[NT]	[NT]
Dichlorodifluoromethane	mg/kg	1	Org-023	[NT]	13	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Chloromethane	mg/kg	1	Org-023	[NT]	13	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Vinyl Chloride	mg/kg	1	Org-023	[NT]	13	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Bromomethane	mg/kg	1	Org-023	[NT]	13	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Chloroethane	mg/kg	1	Org-023	[NT]	13	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Trichlorofluoromethane	mg/kg	1	Org-023	[NT]	13	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
1,1-Dichloroethene	mg/kg	1	Org-023	[NT]	13	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
trans-1,2-dichloroethene	mg/kg	1	Org-023	[NT]	13	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
1,1-dichloroethane	mg/kg	1	Org-023	[NT]	13	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
cis-1,2-dichloroethene	mg/kg	1	Org-023	[NT]	13	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
bromochloromethane	mg/kg	1	Org-023	[NT]	13	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
chloroform	mg/kg	1	Org-023	[NT]	13	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
2,2-dichloropropane	mg/kg	1	Org-023	[NT]	13	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
1,2-dichloroethane	mg/kg	1	Org-023	[NT]	13	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
1,1,1-trichloroethane	mg/kg	1	Org-023	[NT]	13	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
1,1-dichloropropene	mg/kg	1	Org-023	[NT]	13	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Cyclohexane	mg/kg	1	Org-023	[NT]	13	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
carbon tetrachloride	mg/kg	1	Org-023	[NT]	13	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Benzene	mg/kg	0.2	Org-023	[NT]	13	<0.2	<0.2	0	[NT]	[NT]
dibromomethane	mg/kg	1	Org-023	[NT]	13	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
1,2-dichloropropane	mg/kg	1	Org-023	[NT]	13	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
trichloroethene	mg/kg	1	Org-023	[NT]	13	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
bromodichloromethane	mg/kg	1	Org-023	[NT]	13	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
trans-1,3-dichloropropene	mg/kg	1	Org-023	[NT]	13	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
cis-1,3-dichloropropene	mg/kg	1	Org-023	[NT]	13	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
1,1,2-trichloroethane	mg/kg	1	Org-023	[NT]	13	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Toluene	mg/kg	0.5	Org-023	[NT]	13	<0.5	<0.5	0	[NT]	[NT]
1,3-dichloropropane	mg/kg	1	Org-023	[NT]	13	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
dibromochloromethane	mg/kg	1	Org-023	[NT]	13	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
1,2-dibromoethane	mg/kg	1	Org-023	[NT]	13	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
tetrachloroethene	mg/kg	1	Org-023	[NT]	13	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
1,1,1,2-tetrachloroethane	mg/kg	1	Org-023	[NT]	13	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
chlorobenzene	mg/kg	1	Org-023	[NT]	13	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Ethylbenzene	mg/kg	1	Org-023	[NT]	13	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
bromoform	mg/kg	1	Org-023	[NT]	13	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
m+p-xylene	mg/kg	2	Org-023	[NT]	13	<2	<2	0	[NT]	[NT]
styrene	mg/kg	1	Org-023	[NT]	13	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
1,1,2,2-tetrachloroethane	mg/kg	1	Org-023	[NT]	13	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]

Client Reference: E2843, Leichhardt

QUALITY CONTROL: VOCs in soil						Duplicate		Spike Recovery %		
Test Description	Units	PQL	Method	Blank	#	Base	Dup.	RPD	[NT]	[NT]
o-Xylene	mg/kg	1	Org-023	[NT]	13	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
1,2,3-trichloropropane	mg/kg	1	Org-023	[NT]	13	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
isopropylbenzene	mg/kg	1	Org-023	[NT]	13	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
bromobenzene	mg/kg	1	Org-023	[NT]	13	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
n-propyl benzene	mg/kg	1	Org-023	[NT]	13	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
2-chlorotoluene	mg/kg	1	Org-023	[NT]	13	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
4-chlorotoluene	mg/kg	1	Org-023	[NT]	13	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
1,3,5-trimethyl benzene	mg/kg	1	Org-023	[NT]	13	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
tert-butyl benzene	mg/kg	1	Org-023	[NT]	13	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
1,2,4-trimethyl benzene	mg/kg	1	Org-023	[NT]	13	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
1,3-dichlorobenzene	mg/kg	1	Org-023	[NT]	13	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
sec-butyl benzene	mg/kg	1	Org-023	[NT]	13	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
1,4-dichlorobenzene	mg/kg	1	Org-023	[NT]	13	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
4-isopropyl toluene	mg/kg	1	Org-023	[NT]	13	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
1,2-dichlorobenzene	mg/kg	1	Org-023	[NT]	13	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
n-butyl benzene	mg/kg	1	Org-023	[NT]	13	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
1,2-dibromo-3-chloropropane	mg/kg	1	Org-023	[NT]	13	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
1,2,4-trichlorobenzene	mg/kg	1	Org-023	[NT]	13	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
hexachlorobutadiene	mg/kg	1	Org-023	[NT]	13	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
1,2,3-trichlorobenzene	mg/kg	1	Org-023	[NT]	13	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
<i>Surrogate</i> Dibromofluorometha	%		Org-023	[NT]	13	115	116	1	[NT]	[NT]
<i>Surrogate</i> aaa-Trifluorotoluene	%		Org-023	[NT]	13	87	86	1	[NT]	[NT]
<i>Surrogate</i> Toluene-d ₈	%		Org-023	[NT]	13	109	108	1	[NT]	[NT]
<i>Surrogate</i> 4-Bromofluorobenzene	%		Org-023	[NT]	13	98	98	0	[NT]	[NT]

Client Reference: E2843, Leichhardt

QUALITY CONTROL: PFAS in Soils Extended				Duplicate				Spike Recovery %		
Test Description	Units	PQL	Method	Blank	#	Base	Dup.	RPD	LCS-3	298140-2
Date prepared	-			21/06/2022	1	21/06/2022	21/06/2022		21/06/2022	21/06/2022
Date analysed	-			21/06/2022	1	21/06/2022	21/06/2022		21/06/2022	21/06/2022
Perfluorobutanesulfonic acid	µg/kg	0.1	Org-029	<0.1	1	<0.1	<0.1	0	107	107
Perfluoropentanesulfonic acid	µg/kg	0.1	Org-029	<0.1	1	<0.1	<0.1	0	94	98
Perfluorohexanesulfonic acid - PFHxS	µg/kg	0.1	Org-029	<0.1	1	<0.1	<0.1	0	100	107
Perfluoroheptanesulfonic acid	µg/kg	0.1	Org-029	<0.1	1	<0.1	<0.1	0	104	107
Perfluorooctanesulfonic acid PFOS	µg/kg	0.1	Org-029	<0.1	1	<0.1	<0.1	0	100	101
Perfluorodecanesulfonic acid	µg/kg	0.2	Org-029	<0.2	1	<0.2	<0.2	0	109	108
Perfluorobutanoic acid	µg/kg	0.2	Org-029	<0.2	1	<0.2	<0.2	0	107	108
Perfluoropentanoic acid	µg/kg	0.2	Org-029	<0.2	1	<0.2	<0.2	0	110	112
Perfluorohexanoic acid	µg/kg	0.1	Org-029	<0.1	1	<0.1	<0.1	0	103	103
Perfluoroheptanoic acid	µg/kg	0.1	Org-029	<0.1	1	<0.1	<0.1	0	112	115
Perfluorooctanoic acid PFOA	µg/kg	0.1	Org-029	<0.1	1	<0.1	<0.1	0	102	102
Perfluorononanoic acid	µg/kg	0.1	Org-029	<0.1	1	<0.1	<0.1	0	101	103
Perfluorodecanoic acid	µg/kg	0.5	Org-029	<0.5	1	<0.5	<0.5	0	114	125
Perfluoroundecanoic acid	µg/kg	0.5	Org-029	<0.5	1	<0.5	<0.5	0	105	118
Perfluorododecanoic acid	µg/kg	0.5	Org-029	<0.5	1	<0.5	<0.5	0	115	117
Perfluorotridecanoic acid	µg/kg	0.5	Org-029	<0.5	1	<0.5	<0.5	0	118	120
Perfluorotetradecanoic acid	µg/kg	5	Org-029	<5	1	<5	<5	0	110	118
4:2 FTS	µg/kg	0.1	Org-029	<0.1	1	<0.1	<0.1	0	97	98
6:2 FTS	µg/kg	0.1	Org-029	<0.1	1	<0.1	<0.1	0	115	113
8:2 FTS	µg/kg	0.2	Org-029	<0.2	1	<0.2	<0.2	0	98	106
10:2 FTS	µg/kg	0.2	Org-029	<0.2	1	<0.2	<0.2	0	109	107
Perfluorooctane sulfonamide	µg/kg	1	Org-029	<1	1	<1	<1	0	103	104
N-Methyl perfluorooctane sulfonamide	µg/kg	1	Org-029	<1	1	<1	<1	0	117	114
N-Ethyl perfluorooctanesulfonamide	µg/kg	1	Org-029	<1	1	<1	<1	0	103	103
N-Me perfluorooctanesulfonamidethanol	µg/kg	1	Org-029	<1	1	<1	<1	0	108	114
N-Et perfluorooctanesulfonamidethanol	µg/kg	5	Org-029	<5	1	<5	<5	0	122	123
MePerfluorooctanesulfonamidacetic acid	µg/kg	0.2	Org-029	<0.2	1	<0.2	<0.2	0	100	110
EtPerfluorooctanesulfonamidacetic acid	µg/kg	0.2	Org-029	<0.2	1	<0.2	<0.2	0	99	101
Surrogate ¹³ C ₈ PFOS	%		Org-029	101	1	99	94	5	103	97
Surrogate ¹³ C ₂ PFOA	%		Org-029	103	1	96	101	5	97	99

Client Reference: E2843, Leichhardt

QUALITY CONTROL: PFAS in Soils Extended						Duplicate		Spike Recovery %		
Test Description	Units	PQL	Method	Blank	#	Base	Dup.	RPD	LCS-3	298140-2
Extracted ISTD ¹³ C ₃ PFBS	%		Org-029	102	1	98	101	3	98	95
Extracted ISTD ¹⁸ O ₂ PFHxS	%		Org-029	100	1	96	97	1	100	94
Extracted ISTD ¹³ C ₄ PFOS	%		Org-029	101	1	99	100	1	99	98
Extracted ISTD ¹³ C ₄ PFBA	%		Org-029	101	1	97	97	0	99	95
Extracted ISTD ¹³ C ₃ PFPeA	%		Org-029	103	1	96	99	3	97	95
Extracted ISTD ¹³ C ₂ PFHxA	%		Org-029	102	1	95	98	3	98	95
Extracted ISTD ¹³ C ₄ PFHpA	%		Org-029	99	1	94	96	2	101	95
Extracted ISTD ¹³ C ₄ PFOA	%		Org-029	100	1	102	102	0	100	98
Extracted ISTD ¹³ C ₅ PFNA	%		Org-029	102	1	101	102	1	98	97
Extracted ISTD ¹³ C ₂ PFDA	%		Org-029	102	1	113	110	3	98	93
Extracted ISTD ¹³ C ₂ PFUnDA	%		Org-029	99	1	122	119	2	101	103
Extracted ISTD ¹³ C ₂ PFDoDA	%		Org-029	103	1	133	136	2	97	106
Extracted ISTD ¹³ C ₂ PFTeDA	%		Org-029	99	1	103	102	1	101	97
Extracted ISTD ¹³ C ₂ 4:2FTS	%		Org-029	103	1	109	107	2	97	100
Extracted ISTD ¹³ C ₂ 6:2FTS	%		Org-029	100	1	111	111	0	100	100
Extracted ISTD ¹³ C ₂ 8:2FTS	%		Org-029	97	1	131	157	18	103	107
Extracted ISTD ¹³ C ₈ FOSA	%		Org-029	100	1	104	107	3	100	100
Extracted ISTD d ₃ N MeFOSA	%		Org-029	101	1	106	106	0	99	98
Extracted ISTD d ₅ N EtFOSA	%		Org-029	104	1	100	104	4	96	98
Extracted ISTD d ₇ N MeFOSE	%		Org-029	98	1	107	107	0	102	100

Client Reference: E2843, Leichhardt

QUALITY CONTROL: PFAS in Soils Extended						Duplicate		Spike Recovery %		
Test Description	Units	PQL	Method	Blank	#	Base	Dup.	RPD	LCS-3	298140-2
<i>Extracted ISTD d₉ N EtFOSE</i>	%		Org-029	100	1	101	102	1	100	100
<i>Extracted ISTD d₃ N MeFOSAA</i>	%		Org-029	99	1	114	123	8	101	99
<i>Extracted ISTD d₅ N EtFOSAA</i>	%		Org-029	102	1	122	140	14	98	103

Client Reference: E2843, Leichhardt

QUALITY CONTROL: PFAS in Soils Extended				Duplicate				Spike Recovery %		
Test Description	Units	PQL	Method	Blank	#	Base	Dup.	RPD	[NT]	[NT]
Date prepared	-			[NT]	13	21/06/2022	21/06/2022		[NT]	[NT]
Date analysed	-			[NT]	13	21/06/2022	21/06/2022		[NT]	[NT]
Perfluorobutanesulfonic acid	µg/kg	0.1	Org-029	[NT]	13	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Perfluoropentanesulfonic acid	µg/kg	0.1	Org-029	[NT]	13	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Perfluorohexanesulfonic acid - PFHxS	µg/kg	0.1	Org-029	[NT]	13	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Perfluoroheptanesulfonic acid	µg/kg	0.1	Org-029	[NT]	13	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Perfluorooctanesulfonic acid PFOS	µg/kg	0.1	Org-029	[NT]	13	0.3	0.3	0	[NT]	[NT]
Perfluorodecanesulfonic acid	µg/kg	0.2	Org-029	[NT]	13	<0.2	<0.2	0	[NT]	[NT]
Perfluorobutanoic acid	µg/kg	0.2	Org-029	[NT]	13	<0.2	<0.2	0	[NT]	[NT]
Perfluoropentanoic acid	µg/kg	0.2	Org-029	[NT]	13	<0.2	<0.2	0	[NT]	[NT]
Perfluorohexanoic acid	µg/kg	0.1	Org-029	[NT]	13	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Perfluoroheptanoic acid	µg/kg	0.1	Org-029	[NT]	13	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Perfluorooctanoic acid PFOA	µg/kg	0.1	Org-029	[NT]	13	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Perfluorononanoic acid	µg/kg	0.1	Org-029	[NT]	13	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Perfluorodecanoic acid	µg/kg	0.5	Org-029	[NT]	13	<0.5	<0.5	0	[NT]	[NT]
Perfluoroundecanoic acid	µg/kg	0.5	Org-029	[NT]	13	<0.5	<0.5	0	[NT]	[NT]
Perfluorododecanoic acid	µg/kg	0.5	Org-029	[NT]	13	<0.5	<0.5	0	[NT]	[NT]
Perfluorotridecanoic acid	µg/kg	0.5	Org-029	[NT]	13	<0.5	<0.5	0	[NT]	[NT]
Perfluorotetradecanoic acid	µg/kg	5	Org-029	[NT]	13	<5	<5	0	[NT]	[NT]
4:2 FTS	µg/kg	0.1	Org-029	[NT]	13	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
6:2 FTS	µg/kg	0.1	Org-029	[NT]	13	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
8:2 FTS	µg/kg	0.2	Org-029	[NT]	13	<0.2	<0.2	0	[NT]	[NT]
10:2 FTS	µg/kg	0.2	Org-029	[NT]	13	<0.2	<0.2	0	[NT]	[NT]
Perfluorooctane sulfonamide	µg/kg	1	Org-029	[NT]	13	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
N-Methyl perfluorooctane sulfonamide	µg/kg	1	Org-029	[NT]	13	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
N-Ethyl perfluorooctanesulfonamide	µg/kg	1	Org-029	[NT]	13	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
N-Me perfluorooctanesulfonamidethanol	µg/kg	1	Org-029	[NT]	13	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
N-Et perfluorooctanesulfonamidethanol	µg/kg	5	Org-029	[NT]	13	<5	<5	0	[NT]	[NT]
MePerfluorooctanesulfonamidacetic acid	µg/kg	0.2	Org-029	[NT]	13	<0.2	<0.2	0	[NT]	[NT]
EtPerfluorooctanesulfonamidacetic acid	µg/kg	0.2	Org-029	[NT]	13	<0.2	<0.2	0	[NT]	[NT]
Surrogate ¹³ C ₈ PFOS	%		Org-029	[NT]	13	96	102	6	[NT]	[NT]
Surrogate ¹³ C ₂ PFOA	%		Org-029	[NT]	13	103	96	7	[NT]	[NT]

Client Reference: E2843, Leichhardt

QUALITY CONTROL: PFAS in Soils Extended						Duplicate		Spike Recovery %		
Test Description	Units	PQL	Method	Blank	#	Base	Dup.	RPD	[NT]	[NT]
Extracted ISTD ¹³ C ₃ PFBS	%		Org-029	[NT]	13	91	91	0	[NT]	[NT]
Extracted ISTD ¹⁸ O ₂ PFHxS	%		Org-029	[NT]	13	91	89	2	[NT]	[NT]
Extracted ISTD ¹³ C ₄ PFOS	%		Org-029	[NT]	13	95	88	8	[NT]	[NT]
Extracted ISTD ¹³ C ₄ PFBA	%		Org-029	[NT]	13	85	91	7	[NT]	[NT]
Extracted ISTD ¹³ C ₃ PFPeA	%		Org-029	[NT]	13	92	95	3	[NT]	[NT]
Extracted ISTD ¹³ C ₂ PFHxA	%		Org-029	[NT]	13	90	93	3	[NT]	[NT]
Extracted ISTD ¹³ C ₄ PFHpA	%		Org-029	[NT]	13	91	89	2	[NT]	[NT]
Extracted ISTD ¹³ C ₄ PFOA	%		Org-029	[NT]	13	93	100	7	[NT]	[NT]
Extracted ISTD ¹³ C ₅ PFNA	%		Org-029	[NT]	13	96	99	3	[NT]	[NT]
Extracted ISTD ¹³ C ₂ PFDA	%		Org-029	[NT]	13	106	94	12	[NT]	[NT]
Extracted ISTD ¹³ C ₂ PFUnDA	%		Org-029	[NT]	13	105	101	4	[NT]	[NT]
Extracted ISTD ¹³ C ₂ PFDoDA	%		Org-029	[NT]	13	110	105	5	[NT]	[NT]
Extracted ISTD ¹³ C ₂ PFTeDA	%		Org-029	[NT]	13	91	91	0	[NT]	[NT]
Extracted ISTD ¹³ C ₂ 4:2FTS	%		Org-029	[NT]	13	101	104	3	[NT]	[NT]
Extracted ISTD ¹³ C ₂ 6:2FTS	%		Org-029	[NT]	13	104	104	0	[NT]	[NT]
Extracted ISTD ¹³ C ₂ 8:2FTS	%		Org-029	[NT]	13	114	117	3	[NT]	[NT]
Extracted ISTD ¹³ C ₈ FOSA	%		Org-029	[NT]	13	104	104	0	[NT]	[NT]
Extracted ISTD d ₃ N MeFOSA	%		Org-029	[NT]	13	95	95	0	[NT]	[NT]
Extracted ISTD d ₅ N EtFOSA	%		Org-029	[NT]	13	93	93	0	[NT]	[NT]
Extracted ISTD d ₇ N MeFOSE	%		Org-029	[NT]	13	108	101	7	[NT]	[NT]

Client Reference: E2843, Leichhardt

QUALITY CONTROL: PFAS in Soils Extended					Duplicate			Spike Recovery %		
Test Description	Units	PQL	Method	Blank	#	Base	Dup.	RPD	[NT]	[NT]
<i>Extracted ISTD d₉ N EtFOSE</i>	%		Org-029	[NT]	13	93	96	3	[NT]	[NT]
<i>Extracted ISTD d₃ N MeFOSAA</i>	%		Org-029	[NT]	13	94	95	1	[NT]	[NT]
<i>Extracted ISTD d₅ N EtFOSAA</i>	%		Org-029	[NT]	13	95	93	2	[NT]	[NT]

Client Reference: E2843, Leichhardt

QUALITY CONTROL: vTRH(C6-C10)/BTEXN in Soil				Duplicate				Spike Recovery %		
Test Description	Units	PQL	Method	Blank	#	Base	Dup.	RPD	LCS-3	298140-2
Date extracted	-			20/06/2022	1	20/06/2022	20/06/2022		20/06/2022	20/06/2022
Date analysed	-			21/06/2022	1	21/06/2022	21/06/2022		21/06/2022	21/06/2022
TRH C ₆ - C ₉	mg/kg	25	Org-023	<25	1	<25	<25	0	89	94
TRH C ₆ - C ₁₀	mg/kg	25	Org-023	<25	1	<25	<25	0	89	94
Benzene	mg/kg	0.2	Org-023	<0.2	1	<0.2	<0.2	0	82	87
Toluene	mg/kg	0.5	Org-023	<0.5	1	<0.5	<0.5	0	92	98
Ethylbenzene	mg/kg	1	Org-023	<1	1	<1	<1	0	86	91
m+p-xylene	mg/kg	2	Org-023	<2	1	<2	<2	0	92	98
o-Xylene	mg/kg	1	Org-023	<1	1	<1	<1	0	90	97
Naphthalene	mg/kg	1	Org-023	<1	1	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Surrogate aaa-Trifluorotoluene	%		Org-023	92	1	79	82	4	87	87

QUALITY CONTROL: vTRH(C6-C10)/BTEXN in Soil				Duplicate				Spike Recovery %		
Test Description	Units	PQL	Method	Blank	#	Base	Dup.	RPD	LCS-4	298140-24
Date extracted	-			[NT]	13	20/06/2022	20/06/2022		20/06/2022	20/06/2022
Date analysed	-			[NT]	13	21/06/2022	21/06/2022		21/06/2022	21/06/2022
TRH C ₆ - C ₉	mg/kg	25	Org-023	[NT]	13	<25	<25	0	98	90
TRH C ₆ - C ₁₀	mg/kg	25	Org-023	[NT]	13	<25	<25	0	98	90
Benzene	mg/kg	0.2	Org-023	[NT]	13	<0.2	<0.2	0	92	83
Toluene	mg/kg	0.5	Org-023	[NT]	13	<0.5	<0.5	0	103	96
Ethylbenzene	mg/kg	1	Org-023	[NT]	13	<1	<1	0	93	85
m+p-xylene	mg/kg	2	Org-023	[NT]	13	<2	<2	0	102	94
o-Xylene	mg/kg	1	Org-023	[NT]	13	<1	<1	0	100	92
Naphthalene	mg/kg	1	Org-023	[NT]	13	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Surrogate aaa-Trifluorotoluene	%		Org-023	[NT]	13	87	86	1	94	83

QUALITY CONTROL: vTRH(C6-C10)/BTEXN in Soil				Duplicate				Spike Recovery %		
Test Description	Units	PQL	Method	Blank	#	Base	Dup.	RPD	[NT]	[NT]
Date extracted	-			[NT]	23	20/06/2022	20/06/2022		[NT]	[NT]
Date analysed	-			[NT]	23	21/06/2022	21/06/2022		[NT]	[NT]
TRH C ₆ - C ₉	mg/kg	25	Org-023	[NT]	23	<25	<25	0	[NT]	[NT]
TRH C ₆ - C ₁₀	mg/kg	25	Org-023	[NT]	23	<25	<25	0	[NT]	[NT]
Benzene	mg/kg	0.2	Org-023	[NT]	23	<0.2	<0.2	0	[NT]	[NT]
Toluene	mg/kg	0.5	Org-023	[NT]	23	<0.5	<0.5	0	[NT]	[NT]
Ethylbenzene	mg/kg	1	Org-023	[NT]	23	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
m+p-xylene	mg/kg	2	Org-023	[NT]	23	<2	<2	0	[NT]	[NT]
o-Xylene	mg/kg	1	Org-023	[NT]	23	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Naphthalene	mg/kg	1	Org-023	[NT]	23	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Surrogate aaa-Trifluorotoluene	%		Org-023	[NT]	23	77	83	8	[NT]	[NT]

Client Reference: E2843, Leichhardt

QUALITY CONTROL: svTRH (C10-C40) in Soil					Duplicate			Spike Recovery %		
Test Description	Units	PQL	Method	Blank	#	Base	Dup.	RPD	LCS-3	298140-2
Date extracted	-			20/06/2022	1	20/06/2022	20/06/2022		20/06/2022	20/06/2022
Date analysed	-			21/06/2022	1	20/06/2022	20/06/2022		20/06/2022	20/06/2022
TRH C ₁₀ - C ₁₄	mg/kg	50	Org-020	<50	1	<50	<50	0	124	113
TRH C ₁₅ - C ₂₈	mg/kg	100	Org-020	<100	1	<100	<100	0	86	84
TRH C ₂₉ - C ₃₆	mg/kg	100	Org-020	<100	1	240	240	0	100	93
TRH >C ₁₀ -C ₁₆	mg/kg	50	Org-020	<50	1	<50	<50	0	124	113
TRH >C ₁₆ -C ₃₄	mg/kg	100	Org-020	<100	1	220	180	20	86	84
TRH >C ₃₄ -C ₄₀	mg/kg	100	Org-020	<100	1	320	390	20	100	93
Surrogate o-Terphenyl	%		Org-020	79	1	96	92	4	91	93

QUALITY CONTROL: svTRH (C10-C40) in Soil					Duplicate			Spike Recovery %		
Test Description	Units	PQL	Method	Blank	#	Base	Dup.	RPD	LCS-4	298140-24
Date extracted	-			[NT]	13	20/06/2022	20/06/2022		20/06/2022	20/06/2022
Date analysed	-			[NT]	13	21/06/2022	21/06/2022		21/06/2022	21/06/2022
TRH C ₁₀ - C ₁₄	mg/kg	50	Org-020	[NT]	13	<50	<50	0	122	117
TRH C ₁₅ - C ₂₈	mg/kg	100	Org-020	[NT]	13	<100	<100	0	89	93
TRH C ₂₉ - C ₃₆	mg/kg	100	Org-020	[NT]	13	<100	<100	0	111	118
TRH >C ₁₀ -C ₁₆	mg/kg	50	Org-020	[NT]	13	<50	<50	0	122	117
TRH >C ₁₆ -C ₃₄	mg/kg	100	Org-020	[NT]	13	<100	<100	0	89	93
TRH >C ₃₄ -C ₄₀	mg/kg	100	Org-020	[NT]	13	<100	<100	0	111	118
Surrogate o-Terphenyl	%		Org-020	[NT]	13	80	81	1	92	91

QUALITY CONTROL: svTRH (C10-C40) in Soil					Duplicate			Spike Recovery %		
Test Description	Units	PQL	Method	Blank	#	Base	Dup.	RPD	[NT]	[NT]
Date extracted	-			[NT]	23	20/06/2022	20/06/2022		[NT]	[NT]
Date analysed	-			[NT]	23	21/06/2022	21/06/2022		[NT]	[NT]
TRH C ₁₀ - C ₁₄	mg/kg	50	Org-020	[NT]	23	<50	<50	0	[NT]	[NT]
TRH C ₁₅ - C ₂₈	mg/kg	100	Org-020	[NT]	23	<100	<100	0	[NT]	[NT]
TRH C ₂₉ - C ₃₆	mg/kg	100	Org-020	[NT]	23	<100	<100	0	[NT]	[NT]
TRH >C ₁₀ -C ₁₆	mg/kg	50	Org-020	[NT]	23	<50	<50	0	[NT]	[NT]
TRH >C ₁₆ -C ₃₄	mg/kg	100	Org-020	[NT]	23	<100	<100	0	[NT]	[NT]
TRH >C ₃₄ -C ₄₀	mg/kg	100	Org-020	[NT]	23	<100	<100	0	[NT]	[NT]
Surrogate o-Terphenyl	%		Org-020	[NT]	23	91	85	7	[NT]	[NT]

Client Reference: E2843, Leichhardt

QUALITY CONTROL: PAHs in Soil				Duplicate				Spike Recovery %		
Test Description	Units	PQL	Method	Blank	#	Base	Dup.	RPD	LCS-3	298140-2
Date extracted	-			20/06/2022	1	20/06/2022	20/06/2022		20/06/2022	20/06/2022
Date analysed	-			21/06/2022	1	21/06/2022	21/06/2022		21/06/2022	21/06/2022
Naphthalene	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	<0.1	1	<0.1	<0.1	0	90	90
Acenaphthylene	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	<0.1	1	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Acenaphthene	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	<0.1	1	<0.1	<0.1	0	91	83
Fluorene	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	<0.1	1	<0.1	<0.1	0	88	86
Phenanthrene	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	<0.1	1	0.2	<0.1	67	82	84
Anthracene	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	<0.1	1	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Fluoranthene	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	<0.1	1	0.5	0.1	133	86	88
Pyrene	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	<0.1	1	0.5	0.1	133	93	93
Benzo(a)anthracene	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	<0.1	1	0.3	<0.1	100	[NT]	[NT]
Chrysene	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	<0.1	1	0.2	<0.1	67	79	79
Benzo(b,j+k)fluoranthene	mg/kg	0.2	Org-022/025	<0.2	1	0.5	<0.2	86	[NT]	[NT]
Benzo(a)pyrene	mg/kg	0.05	Org-022/025	<0.05	1	0.4	0.1	120	102	104
Indeno(1,2,3-c,d)pyrene	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	<0.1	1	0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	<0.1	1	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	<0.1	1	0.2	<0.1	67	[NT]	[NT]
Surrogate p-Terphenyl-d14	%		Org-022/025	80	1	87	83	5	86	88

QUALITY CONTROL: PAHs in Soil				Duplicate				Spike Recovery %		
Test Description	Units	PQL	Method	Blank	#	Base	Dup.	RPD	LCS-4	298140-24
Date extracted	-			[NT]	13	20/06/2022	20/06/2022		20/06/2022	20/06/2022
Date analysed	-			[NT]	13	21/06/2022	21/06/2022		21/06/2022	21/06/2022
Naphthalene	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	[NT]	13	<0.1	<0.1	0	90	86
Acenaphthylene	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	[NT]	13	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Acenaphthene	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	[NT]	13	<0.1	<0.1	0	87	83
Fluorene	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	[NT]	13	<0.1	<0.1	0	86	80
Phenanthrene	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	[NT]	13	<0.1	<0.1	0	86	80
Anthracene	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	[NT]	13	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Fluoranthene	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	[NT]	13	<0.1	<0.1	0	88	78
Pyrene	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	[NT]	13	<0.1	<0.1	0	93	83
Benzo(a)anthracene	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	[NT]	13	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Chrysene	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	[NT]	13	<0.1	<0.1	0	75	63
Benzo(b,j+k)fluoranthene	mg/kg	0.2	Org-022/025	[NT]	13	<0.2	<0.2	0	[NT]	[NT]
Benzo(a)pyrene	mg/kg	0.05	Org-022/025	[NT]	13	<0.05	<0.05	0	98	90
Indeno(1,2,3-c,d)pyrene	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	[NT]	13	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	[NT]	13	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	[NT]	13	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Surrogate p-Terphenyl-d14	%		Org-022/025	[NT]	13	86	86	0	92	80

Client Reference: E2843, Leichhardt

QUALITY CONTROL: PAHs in Soil					Duplicate			Spike Recovery %		
Test Description	Units	PQL	Method	Blank	#	Base	Dup.	RPD	[NT]	[NT]
Date extracted	-			[NT]	23	20/06/2022	20/06/2022		[NT]	[NT]
Date analysed	-			[NT]	23	21/06/2022	21/06/2022		[NT]	[NT]
Naphthalene	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	[NT]	23	<0.1	0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Acenaphthylene	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	[NT]	23	0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Acenaphthene	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	[NT]	23	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Fluorene	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	[NT]	23	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Phenanthrene	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	[NT]	23	1.1	0.7	44	[NT]	[NT]
Anthracene	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	[NT]	23	0.3	0.2	40	[NT]	[NT]
Fluoranthene	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	[NT]	23	2.1	1.7	21	[NT]	[NT]
Pyrene	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	[NT]	23	2.0	1.6	22	[NT]	[NT]
Benzo(a)anthracene	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	[NT]	23	1.3	1.1	17	[NT]	[NT]
Chrysene	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	[NT]	23	0.9	0.9	0	[NT]	[NT]
Benzo(b,j+k)fluoranthene	mg/kg	0.2	Org-022/025	[NT]	23	2	2	0	[NT]	[NT]
Benzo(a)pyrene	mg/kg	0.05	Org-022/025	[NT]	23	1.3	1.1	17	[NT]	[NT]
Indeno(1,2,3-c,d)pyrene	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	[NT]	23	0.4	0.4	0	[NT]	[NT]
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	[NT]	23	0.2	0.1	67	[NT]	[NT]
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	[NT]	23	0.7	0.6	15	[NT]	[NT]
Surrogate p-Terphenyl-d14	%		Org-022/025	[NT]	23	86	90	5	[NT]	[NT]

Client Reference: E2843, Leichhardt

QUALITY CONTROL: Organochlorine Pesticides in soil				Duplicate				Spike Recovery %		
Test Description	Units	PQL	Method	Blank	#	Base	Dup.	RPD	LCS-3	298140-2
Date extracted	-			20/06/2022	1	20/06/2022	20/06/2022		20/06/2022	20/06/2022
Date analysed	-			21/06/2022	1	21/06/2022	21/06/2022		21/06/2022	21/06/2022
alpha-BHC	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	<0.1	1	<0.1	<0.1	0	86	82
HCB	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	<0.1	1	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
beta-BHC	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	<0.1	1	<0.1	<0.1	0	92	75
gamma-BHC	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	<0.1	1	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Heptachlor	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	<0.1	1	<0.1	<0.1	0	87	87
delta-BHC	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	<0.1	1	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Aldrin	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	<0.1	1	<0.1	<0.1	0	91	89
Heptachlor Epoxide	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	<0.1	1	<0.1	<0.1	0	90	86
gamma-Chlordane	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	<0.1	1	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
alpha-chlordane	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	<0.1	1	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Endosulfan I	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	<0.1	1	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
pp-DDE	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	<0.1	1	<0.1	<0.1	0	90	90
Dieldrin	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	<0.1	1	<0.1	<0.1	0	90	86
Endrin	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	<0.1	1	<0.1	<0.1	0	96	103
Endosulfan II	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	<0.1	1	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
pp-DDD	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	<0.1	1	<0.1	<0.1	0	98	100
Endrin Aldehyde	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	<0.1	1	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
pp-DDT	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	<0.1	1	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Endosulfan Sulphate	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	<0.1	1	<0.1	<0.1	0	98	96
Methoxychlor	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	<0.1	1	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Surrogate TCMX	%		Org-022/025	67	1	84	81	4	83	84

Client Reference: E2843, Leichhardt

QUALITY CONTROL: Organochlorine Pesticides in soil				Duplicate				Spike Recovery %		
Test Description	Units	PQL	Method	Blank	#	Base	Dup.	RPD	LCS-4	298140-24
Date extracted	-			[NT]	10	20/06/2022	20/06/2022		20/06/2022	20/06/2022
Date analysed	-			[NT]	10	21/06/2022	21/06/2022		21/06/2022	21/06/2022
alpha-BHC	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	[NT]	10	<0.1	<0.1	0	74	70
HCB	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	[NT]	10	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
beta-BHC	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	[NT]	10	<0.1	<0.1	0	82	74
gamma-BHC	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	[NT]	10	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Heptachlor	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	[NT]	10	<0.1	<0.1	0	71	75
delta-BHC	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	[NT]	10	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Aldrin	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	[NT]	10	<0.1	<0.1	0	91	83
Heptachlor Epoxide	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	[NT]	10	<0.1	<0.1	0	88	90
gamma-Chlordane	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	[NT]	10	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
alpha-chlordane	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	[NT]	10	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Endosulfan I	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	[NT]	10	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
pp-DDE	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	[NT]	10	<0.1	<0.1	0	88	86
Dieldrin	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	[NT]	10	0.4	0.4	0	92	90
Endrin	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	[NT]	10	<0.1	<0.1	0	88	90
Endosulfan II	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	[NT]	10	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
pp-DDD	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	[NT]	10	<0.1	<0.1	0	86	80
Endrin Aldehyde	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	[NT]	10	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
pp-DDT	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	[NT]	10	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Endosulfan Sulphate	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	[NT]	10	<0.1	<0.1	0	76	75
Methoxychlor	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	[NT]	10	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Surrogate TCMX	%		Org-022/025	[NT]	10	82	68	19	85	81

Client Reference: E2843, Leichhardt

QUALITY CONTROL: Organochlorine Pesticides in soil					Duplicate			Spike Recovery %		
Test Description	Units	PQL	Method	Blank	#	Base	Dup.	RPD	[NT]	[NT]
Date extracted	-			[NT]	13	20/06/2022	20/06/2022		[NT]	[NT]
Date analysed	-			[NT]	13	21/06/2022	21/06/2022		[NT]	[NT]
alpha-BHC	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	[NT]	13	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
HCB	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	[NT]	13	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
beta-BHC	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	[NT]	13	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
gamma-BHC	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	[NT]	13	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Heptachlor	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	[NT]	13	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
delta-BHC	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	[NT]	13	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Aldrin	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	[NT]	13	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Heptachlor Epoxide	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	[NT]	13	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
gamma-Chlordane	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	[NT]	13	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
alpha-chlordane	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	[NT]	13	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Endosulfan I	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	[NT]	13	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
pp-DDE	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	[NT]	13	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Dieldrin	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	[NT]	13	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Endrin	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	[NT]	13	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Endosulfan II	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	[NT]	13	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
pp-DDD	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	[NT]	13	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Endrin Aldehyde	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	[NT]	13	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
pp-DDT	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	[NT]	13	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Endosulfan Sulphate	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	[NT]	13	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Methoxychlor	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	[NT]	13	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Surrogate TCMX	%		Org-022/025	[NT]	13	81	83	2	[NT]	[NT]

Client Reference: E2843, Leichhardt

QUALITY CONTROL: Organochlorine Pesticides in soil					Duplicate			Spike Recovery %		
Test Description	Units	PQL	Method	Blank	#	Base	Dup.	RPD	[NT]	[NT]
Date extracted	-			[NT]	23	20/06/2022	20/06/2022		[NT]	[NT]
Date analysed	-			[NT]	23	21/06/2022	21/06/2022		[NT]	[NT]
alpha-BHC	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	[NT]	23	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
HCB	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	[NT]	23	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
beta-BHC	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	[NT]	23	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
gamma-BHC	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	[NT]	23	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Heptachlor	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	[NT]	23	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
delta-BHC	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	[NT]	23	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Aldrin	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	[NT]	23	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Heptachlor Epoxide	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	[NT]	23	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
gamma-Chlordane	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	[NT]	23	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
alpha-chlordane	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	[NT]	23	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Endosulfan I	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	[NT]	23	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
pp-DDE	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	[NT]	23	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Dieldrin	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	[NT]	23	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Endrin	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	[NT]	23	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Endosulfan II	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	[NT]	23	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
pp-DDD	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	[NT]	23	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Endrin Aldehyde	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	[NT]	23	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
pp-DDT	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	[NT]	23	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Endosulfan Sulphate	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	[NT]	23	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Methoxychlor	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	[NT]	23	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Surrogate TCMX	%		Org-022/025	[NT]	23	81	84	4	[NT]	[NT]

Client Reference: E2843, Leichhardt

QUALITY CONTROL: Organophosphorus Pesticides in Soil				Duplicate				Spike Recovery %		
Test Description	Units	PQL	Method	Blank	#	Base	Dup.	RPD	LCS-3	298140-2
Date extracted	-			20/06/2022	1	20/06/2022	20/06/2022		20/06/2022	20/06/2022
Date analysed	-			21/06/2022	1	21/06/2022	21/06/2022		21/06/2022	21/06/2022
Dichlorvos	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	<0.1	1	<0.1	<0.1	0	128	128
Dimethoate	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	<0.1	1	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Diazinon	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	<0.1	1	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Chlorpyrifos-methyl	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	<0.1	1	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Ronnel	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	<0.1	1	<0.1	<0.1	0	85	81
Fenitrothion	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	<0.1	1	<0.1	<0.1	0	123	113
Malathion	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	<0.1	1	<0.1	<0.1	0	124	116
Chlorpyrifos	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	<0.1	1	<0.1	<0.1	0	98	94
Parathion	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	<0.1	1	<0.1	<0.1	0	109	109
Bromophos-ethyl	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022	<0.1	1	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Ethion	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	<0.1	1	<0.1	<0.1	0	119	121
Azinphos-methyl (Guthion)	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	<0.1	1	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Surrogate TCMX	%		Org-022/025	74	1	84	81	4	83	84

QUALITY CONTROL: Organophosphorus Pesticides in Soil				Duplicate				Spike Recovery %		
Test Description	Units	PQL	Method	Blank	#	Base	Dup.	RPD	LCS-4	[NT]
Date extracted	-			[NT]	13	20/06/2022	20/06/2022		20/06/2022	[NT]
Date analysed	-			[NT]	13	21/06/2022	21/06/2022		21/06/2022	[NT]
Dichlorvos	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	[NT]	13	<0.1	<0.1	0	72	[NT]
Dimethoate	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	[NT]	13	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Diazinon	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	[NT]	13	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Chlorpyrifos-methyl	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	[NT]	13	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Ronnel	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	[NT]	13	<0.1	<0.1	0	76	[NT]
Fenitrothion	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	[NT]	13	<0.1	<0.1	0	89	[NT]
Malathion	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	[NT]	13	<0.1	<0.1	0	89	[NT]
Chlorpyrifos	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	[NT]	13	<0.1	<0.1	0	80	[NT]
Parathion	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	[NT]	13	<0.1	<0.1	0	84	[NT]
Bromophos-ethyl	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022	[NT]	13	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Ethion	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	[NT]	13	<0.1	<0.1	0	102	[NT]
Azinphos-methyl (Guthion)	mg/kg	0.1	Org-022/025	[NT]	13	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Surrogate TCMX	%		Org-022/025	[NT]	13	81	83	2	85	[NT]

Client Reference: E2843, Leichhardt

QUALITY CONTROL: PCBs in Soil					Duplicate			Spike Recovery %		
Test Description	Units	PQL	Method	Blank	#	Base	Dup.	RPD	LCS-3	298140-2
Date extracted	-			20/06/2022	1	20/06/2022	20/06/2022		20/06/2022	20/06/2022
Date analysed	-			21/06/2022	1	21/06/2022	21/06/2022		21/06/2022	21/06/2022
Aroclor 1016	mg/kg	0.1	Org-021	<0.1	1	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Aroclor 1221	mg/kg	0.1	Org-021	<0.1	1	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Aroclor 1232	mg/kg	0.1	Org-021	<0.1	1	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Aroclor 1242	mg/kg	0.1	Org-021	<0.1	1	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Aroclor 1248	mg/kg	0.1	Org-021	<0.1	1	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Aroclor 1254	mg/kg	0.1	Org-021	<0.1	1	<0.1	<0.1	0	126	120
Aroclor 1260	mg/kg	0.1	Org-021	<0.1	1	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Surrogate TCMX	%		Org-021	74	1	84	81	4	83	84

QUALITY CONTROL: PCBs in Soil					Duplicate			Spike Recovery %		
Test Description	Units	PQL	Method	Blank	#	Base	Dup.	RPD	LCS-4	298140-24
Date extracted	-			[NT]	13	20/06/2022	20/06/2022		20/06/2022	20/06/2022
Date analysed	-			[NT]	13	21/06/2022	21/06/2022		21/06/2022	21/06/2022
Aroclor 1016	mg/kg	0.1	Org-021	[NT]	13	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Aroclor 1221	mg/kg	0.1	Org-021	[NT]	13	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Aroclor 1232	mg/kg	0.1	Org-021	[NT]	13	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Aroclor 1242	mg/kg	0.1	Org-021	[NT]	13	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Aroclor 1248	mg/kg	0.1	Org-021	[NT]	13	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Aroclor 1254	mg/kg	0.1	Org-021	[NT]	13	<0.1	<0.1	0	128	120
Aroclor 1260	mg/kg	0.1	Org-021	[NT]	13	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Surrogate TCMX	%		Org-021	[NT]	13	81	83	2	85	81

QUALITY CONTROL: PCBs in Soil					Duplicate			Spike Recovery %		
Test Description	Units	PQL	Method	Blank	#	Base	Dup.	RPD	[NT]	[NT]
Date extracted	-			[NT]	23	20/06/2022	20/06/2022		[NT]	[NT]
Date analysed	-			[NT]	23	21/06/2022	21/06/2022		[NT]	[NT]
Aroclor 1016	mg/kg	0.1	Org-021	[NT]	23	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Aroclor 1221	mg/kg	0.1	Org-021	[NT]	23	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Aroclor 1232	mg/kg	0.1	Org-021	[NT]	23	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Aroclor 1242	mg/kg	0.1	Org-021	[NT]	23	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Aroclor 1248	mg/kg	0.1	Org-021	[NT]	23	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Aroclor 1254	mg/kg	0.1	Org-021	[NT]	23	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Aroclor 1260	mg/kg	0.1	Org-021	[NT]	23	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Surrogate TCMX	%		Org-021	[NT]	23	81	84	4	[NT]	[NT]

Client Reference: E2843, Leichhardt

QUALITY CONTROL: Acid Extractable metals in soil				Duplicate				Spike Recovery %		
Test Description	Units	PQL	Method	Blank	#	Base	Dup.	RPD	LCS-3	298140-2
Date prepared	-			20/06/2022	1	20/06/2022	20/06/2022		20/06/2022	20/06/2022
Date analysed	-			23/06/2022	1	23/06/2022	23/06/2022		23/06/2022	23/06/2022
Arsenic	mg/kg	4	Metals-020	<4	1	<4	<4	0	89	74
Cadmium	mg/kg	0.4	Metals-020	<0.4	1	<0.4	<0.4	0	86	73
Chromium	mg/kg	1	Metals-020	<1	1	20	18	11	88	86
Copper	mg/kg	1	Metals-020	<1	1	50	36	33	86	101
Lead	mg/kg	1	Metals-020	<1	1	18	7	88	87	81
Mercury	mg/kg	0.1	Metals-021	<0.1	1	<0.1	<0.1	0	95	109
Nickel	mg/kg	1	Metals-020	<1	1	62	39	46	88	73
Zinc	mg/kg	1	Metals-020	<1	1	40	21	62	87	73

QUALITY CONTROL: Acid Extractable metals in soil				Duplicate				Spike Recovery %		
Test Description	Units	PQL	Method	Blank	#	Base	Dup.	RPD	LCS-4	298140-24
Date prepared	-			[NT]	13	20/06/2022	20/06/2022		20/06/2022	20/06/2022
Date analysed	-			[NT]	13	23/06/2022	23/06/2022		23/06/2022	23/06/2022
Arsenic	mg/kg	4	Metals-020	[NT]	13	<4	<4	0	78	95
Cadmium	mg/kg	0.4	Metals-020	[NT]	13	<0.4	<0.4	0	78	91
Chromium	mg/kg	1	Metals-020	[NT]	13	9	14	43	83	100
Copper	mg/kg	1	Metals-020	[NT]	13	9	19	71	79	104
Lead	mg/kg	1	Metals-020	[NT]	13	16	36	77	82	94
Mercury	mg/kg	0.1	Metals-021	[NT]	13	<0.1	<0.1	0	103	106
Nickel	mg/kg	1	Metals-020	[NT]	13	11	26	81	81	95
Zinc	mg/kg	1	Metals-020	[NT]	13	27	78	97	80	88

QUALITY CONTROL: Acid Extractable metals in soil				Duplicate				Spike Recovery %		
Test Description	Units	PQL	Method	Blank	#	Base	Dup.	RPD	[NT]	[NT]
Date prepared	-			[NT]	23	20/06/2022	20/06/2022		[NT]	[NT]
Date analysed	-			[NT]	23	23/06/2022	23/06/2022		[NT]	[NT]
Arsenic	mg/kg	4	Metals-020	[NT]	23	<4	<4	0	[NT]	[NT]
Cadmium	mg/kg	0.4	Metals-020	[NT]	23	<0.4	<0.4	0	[NT]	[NT]
Chromium	mg/kg	1	Metals-020	[NT]	23	3	4	29	[NT]	[NT]
Copper	mg/kg	1	Metals-020	[NT]	23	5	17	109	[NT]	[NT]
Lead	mg/kg	1	Metals-020	[NT]	23	33	100	101	[NT]	[NT]
Mercury	mg/kg	0.1	Metals-021	[NT]	23	<0.1	0.2	67	[NT]	[NT]
Nickel	mg/kg	1	Metals-020	[NT]	23	2	3	40	[NT]	[NT]
Zinc	mg/kg	1	Metals-020	[NT]	23	44	91	70	[NT]	[NT]

Client Reference: E2843, Leichhardt

QUALITY CONTROL: Misc Inorg - Soil				Duplicate				Spike Recovery %		
Test Description	Units	PQL	Method	Blank	#	Base	Dup.	RPD	LCS-3	[NT]
Date prepared	-			22/06/2022	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	22/06/2022	[NT]
Date analysed	-			22/06/2022	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	22/06/2022	[NT]
pH 1:5 soil:water	pH Units		Inorg-001	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	100	[NT]

Client Reference: E2843, Leichhardt

QUALITY CONTROL: CEC				Duplicate				Spike Recovery %		
Test Description	Units	PQL	Method	Blank	#	Base	Dup.	RPD	LCS-3	298140-1
Date prepared	-			23/06/2022	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	23/06/2022	23/06/2022
Date analysed	-			23/06/2022	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	23/06/2022	23/06/2022
Exchangeable Ca	meq/100g	0.1	Metals-020	<0.1	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	107	#
Exchangeable K	meq/100g	0.1	Metals-020	<0.1	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	100	91
Exchangeable Mg	meq/100g	0.1	Metals-020	<0.1	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	96	120
Exchangeable Na	meq/100g	0.1	Metals-020	<0.1	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	108	104

Result Definitions

NT	Not tested
NA	Test not required
INS	Insufficient sample for this test
PQL	Practical Quantitation Limit
<	Less than
>	Greater than
RPD	Relative Percent Difference
LCS	Laboratory Control Sample
NS	Not specified
NEPM	National Environmental Protection Measure
NR	Not Reported

Quality Control Definitions

Blank	This is the component of the analytical signal which is not derived from the sample but from reagents, glassware etc, can be determined by processing solvents and reagents in exactly the same manner as for samples.
Duplicate	This is the complete duplicate analysis of a sample from the process batch. If possible, the sample selected should be one where the analyte concentration is easily measurable.
Matrix Spike	A portion of the sample is spiked with a known concentration of target analyte. The purpose of the matrix spike is to monitor the performance of the analytical method used and to determine whether matrix interferences exist.
LCS (Laboratory Control Sample)	This comprises either a standard reference material or a control matrix (such as a blank sand or water) fortified with analytes representative of the analyte class. It is simply a check sample.
Surrogate Spike	Surrogates are known additions to each sample, blank, matrix spike and LCS in a batch, of compounds which are similar to the analyte of interest, however are not expected to be found in real samples.
Australian Drinking Water Guidelines recommend that Thermotolerant Coliform, Faecal Enterococci, & E.Coli levels are less than 1cfu/100mL. The recommended maximums are taken from "Australian Drinking Water Guidelines", published by NHMRC & ARMC 2011.	
The recommended maximums for analytes in urine are taken from "2018 TLVs and BEIs", as published by ACGIH (where available). Limit provided for Nickel is a precautionary guideline as per Position Paper prepared by AIOH Exposure Standards Committee, 2016.	
Guideline limits for Rinse Water Quality reported as per analytical requirements and specifications of AS 4187, Amdt 2 2019, Table 7.2	

Laboratory Acceptance Criteria

Duplicate sample and matrix spike recoveries may not be reported on smaller jobs, however, were analysed at a frequency to meet or exceed NEPM requirements. All samples are tested in batches of 20. The duplicate sample RPD and matrix spike recoveries for the batch were within the laboratory acceptance criteria.

Filters, swabs, wipes, tubes and badges will not have duplicate data as the whole sample is generally extracted during sample extraction.

Spikes for Physical and Aggregate Tests are not applicable.

For VOCs in water samples, three vials are required for duplicate or spike analysis.

Duplicates: >10xPQL - RPD acceptance criteria will vary depending on the analytes and the analytical techniques but is typically in the range 20%-50% – see ELN-P05 QA/QC tables for details; <10xPQL - RPD are higher as the results approach PQL and the estimated measurement uncertainty will statistically increase.

Matrix Spikes, LCS and Surrogate recoveries: Generally 70-130% for inorganics/metals (not SPOCAS); 60-140% for organics/SPOCAS (+/-50% surrogates) and 10-140% for labile SVOCs (including labile surrogates), ultra trace organics and speciated phenols is acceptable.

In circumstances where no duplicate and/or sample spike has been reported at 1 in 10 and/or 1 in 20 samples respectively, the sample volume submitted was insufficient in order to satisfy laboratory QA/QC protocols.

When samples are received where certain analytes are outside of recommended technical holding times (THTs), the analysis has proceeded. Where analytes are on the verge of breaching THTs, every effort will be made to analyse within the THT or as soon as practicable.

Where sampling dates are not provided, Envirolab are not in a position to comment on the validity of the analysis where recommended technical holding times may have been breached.

Measurement Uncertainty estimates are available for most tests upon request.

Analysis of aqueous samples typically involves the extraction/digestion and/or analysis of the liquid phase only (i.e. NOT any settled sediment phase but inclusive of suspended particles if present), unless stipulated on the Envirolab COC and/or by correspondence. Notable exceptions include certain Physical Tests (pH/EC/BOD/COD/Apparent Colour etc.), Solids testing, total recoverable metals and PFAS where solids are included by default.

Samples for Microbiological analysis (not Amoeba forms) received outside of the 2-8°C temperature range do not meet the ideal cooling conditions as stated in AS2031-2012.

Report Comments

For PFAS Extracted Internal Standards denoted with # or outside the 50-150% acceptance range, the respective target analyte results may be unaffected, in other circumstances the PQL has been raised to accommodate the outlier(s).

Asbestos: A portion of the supplied sample was sub-sampled for asbestos according to ASB-001 asbestos subsampling procedure. We cannot guarantee that this sub-sample is indicative of the entire sample. Envirolab/MPL recommends supplying 40-60g or 500ml of sample in its own container.

Note: Samples 298140-1,6,7 were sub-sampled from jars provided by the client.

CEC - # High spike recovery was obtained for this sample. Sample matrix interference is suspected. However, an acceptable recovery was obtained for the LCS

Acid Extractable Metals in Soil:

- The laboratory RPD acceptance criteria has been exceeded for 298140-1 for Ni, Pb and Zn. Therefore a triplicate result has been issued as laboratory sample number 298140-29.
- The laboratory RPD acceptance criteria has been exceeded for 298140-13 for Cr, Cu, Ni, Pb and Zn. Therefore a triplicate result has been issued as laboratory sample number 298140-30.
- The laboratory RPD acceptance criteria has been exceeded for 298140-23 for Cu, Pb and Zn. Therefore a triplicate result has been issued as laboratory sample number 298140-31.



Chain of Custody Record

Client Details:
 Foundation Earth Sciences
 PO Box 4405, East Gosford NSW 2250
 email: ben@foundations.com.au
 michael@foundations.com.au; ray@foundations.com.au
 ph: +61 466 385 221

Delivery Details:
 Envirolab Pty Ltd
 12 Ashley Street, Chatswood NSW 2067
 email: ahie@envirolab.com.au
 ph: +612 9910 6200

Project Manager: Michael Silk
Sampled By: EY/DG
Purchase Order #: N/A
Page #: 1 of 1

Project #: E2B43
Project Name: Leichhardt
Quote #:
Turnaround: Standard

#	Sample ID	Depth	Date Sampled	Matrix	ph	CEC	%CLAY	Heavy Metals (8)	TRH	BTEXN	PAH	VOC	PFAS	OPP	Asbestos ID	Asbestos %w/w (NEPM /WA)	TRH C6-C10 & BTEXN	OCP PCB	Envirolab Suites	Sample Comments
1	BH1	0.4-0.5	15.6.2022	Soil	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x			Combo 6a	Keep	
2	BH2	0.2-0.3	15.6.2022	Soil															Combo 6a	Keep
3	BH3	0.5-0.6	15.6.2022	Soil															Combo 6a	Keep
4	BH4	0.2-0.3	15.6.2022	Soil															Combo 6a	Keep
5	BH5	0.4-0.5	15.6.2022	Soil															Combo 5a	Keep
6	BH6	0.3-0.4	15.6.2022	Soil															Combo 5a	Keep
7	BH7	0.2-0.3	15.6.2022	Soil															Combo 5a	Keep
8	BH8	0.5-0.6	15.6.2022	Soil															Combo 5a	Keep
9	BH9	0.4-0.5	15.6.2022	Soil															Combo 5a	Keep
10	BH10	0.7-0.8	15.6.2022	Soil															Combo 5a	Keep
11	BH11	0.5-0.6	15.6.2022	Soil															Combo 5a	Keep
12	BH12	0.2-0.3	15.6.2022	Soil															Combo 5a	Keep
13	BH13	0.3-0.4	15.6.2022	Soil															Combo 5a	Keep
14	BH13	2.0-2.1	15.6.2022	Soil															Combo 3	Keep
15	BH14	0.6-0.7	15.6.2022	Soil															Combo 5a	Keep
16	BH15	0.5-0.6	15.6.2022	Soil															Combo 5a	Keep
17	BH16	0.3-0.4	15.6.2022	Soil															Combo 5a	Keep
18	BH17	0.5-0.6	15.6.2022	Soil															Combo 5a	Keep
19	BH18	0.7-0.8	15.6.2022	Soil															Combo 5a	Keep
20	BH19	0.2-0.3	15.6.2022	Soil															Combo 5a	Keep
21	BH20	0.3-0.4	15.6.2022	Soil															Combo 5a	Keep
22	BH21	0.6-0.7	15.6.2022	Soil															Combo 5a	Keep
23	BH22	0.5-0.6	15.6.2022	Soil															Combo 5a	Keep
24	BH23	0.4-0.5	15.6.2022	Soil															Combo 5a	Keep
25	D1	-	-	-															Combo 6	Keep
26	D2	-	-	-															Combo 6	Keep
27	T51	-	-	-															Combo 6	Keep
28	T81	-	-	-															Combo 6	Keep

Special Directions and Comments:

Relinquished by: EY
 Signature: *Katy Wayne*
 Date: 16.6.2022

Received By: *Katy Wayne*
 Signature: *[Signature]*
 Date: 16/6/22 1700

SAMPLE RECEIPT ADVICE

Client Details

Client	Foundation Earth Sciences Pty Ltd
Attention	Michael Silk

Sample Login Details

Your reference	E2843, Leichhardt
Envirolab Reference	298140
Date Sample Received	16/06/2022
Date Instructions Received	16/06/2022
Date Results Expected to be Reported	23/06/2022

Sample Condition

Samples received in appropriate condition for analysis	Yes
No. of Samples Provided	28 Soil
Turnaround Time Requested	Standard
Temperature on Receipt (°C)	14
Cooling Method	Ice
Sampling Date Provided	YES

Comments

Nil

Please direct any queries to:

Aileen Hie

Phone: 02 9910 6200
Fax: 02 9910 6201
Email: ahie@envirolab.com.au

Jacinta Hurst

Phone: 02 9910 6200
Fax: 02 9910 6201
Email: jhurst@envirolab.com.au

Analysis Underway, details on the following page:



Sample ID	VOCs in soil	PFAS in Soils Extended	vTRH(C6-C10)/BTEXN in Soil	svTRH (C10-C40) in Soil	PAHs in Soil	Organochlorine Pesticides in soil	Organophosphorus Pesticides in Soil	PCBs in Soil	Acid Extractable metals in soil	Asbestos ID - soils	Misc Inorg - Soil	Clay 50-120g	CEC
BH1-0.4-0.5	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
BH2-0.2-0.3	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓			
BH3-0.5-0.6	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓			
BH4-0.2-0.3	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓			
BH5-0.4-0.5			✓	✓	✓	✓		✓	✓	✓			
BH6-0.3-0.4			✓	✓	✓	✓		✓	✓	✓			
BH7-0.2-0.6			✓	✓	✓	✓		✓	✓	✓			
BH8-0.5-0.6			✓	✓	✓	✓		✓	✓	✓			
BH9-0.4-0.5			✓	✓	✓	✓		✓	✓	✓			
BH10-0.7-0.8			✓	✓	✓	✓		✓	✓	✓			
BH11-0.5-0.6			✓	✓	✓	✓		✓	✓	✓			
BH12-0.2-0.3			✓	✓	✓	✓		✓	✓	✓			
BH13-0.3-0.4	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓			
BH13-2.0-2.1			✓	✓	✓				✓				
BH14-0.6-0.7			✓	✓	✓	✓		✓	✓	✓			
BH15-0.5-0.6			✓	✓	✓	✓		✓	✓	✓			
BH16-0.3-0.4			✓	✓	✓	✓		✓	✓	✓			
BH17-0.5-0.6			✓	✓	✓	✓		✓	✓	✓			
BH18-0.7-0.8			✓	✓	✓	✓		✓	✓	✓			
BH19-0..2-0.3			✓	✓	✓	✓		✓	✓	✓			
BH20-0.3-0.4			✓	✓	✓	✓		✓	✓	✓			
BH21-0.6-0.7			✓	✓	✓	✓		✓	✓	✓			
BH22-0.5-0.6			✓	✓	✓	✓		✓	✓	✓			
BH23-0.4-0.5			✓	✓	✓	✓		✓	✓	✓			
D1	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓				
D2	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓				
TS1			✓										
TB1			✓										

The '✓' indicates the testing you have requested. **THIS IS NOT A REPORT OF THE RESULTS.**



Envirolab Services Pty Ltd

ABN 37 112 535 645

12 Ashley St Chatswood NSW 2067

ph 02 9910 6200 fax 02 9910 6201

customerservice@envirolab.com.au

www.envirolab.com.au

Additional Info

Sample storage - Waters are routinely disposed of approximately 1 month and soils approximately 2 months from receipt.

Requests for longer term sample storage must be received in writing.

Please contact the laboratory immediately if observed settled sediment present in water samples is to be included in the extraction and/or analysis (exceptions include certain Physical Tests (pH/EC/BOD/COD/Apparent Colour etc.), Solids testing, Total Recoverable metals and PFAS analysis where solids are included by default.

TAT for Micro is dependent on incubation. This varies from 3 to 6 days.

CLIENT DETAILS

Contact Ben Buckley
 Client FOUNDATION EARTH SCIENCES PTY LTD
 Address UNIT 119/14 LOYALTY ROAD
 NORTH ROCKS NSW 2151

Telephone (Not specified)
 Facsimile (Not specified)
 Email ben@foundations.com.au

Project **E2843 Leichhardt**
 Order Number **E2843**
 Samples 2

LABORATORY DETAILS

Manager Huong Crawford
 Laboratory SGS Alexandria Environmental
 Address Unit 16, 33 Maddox St
 Alexandria NSW 2015

Telephone +61 2 8594 0400
 Facsimile +61 2 8594 0499
 Email au.environmental.sydney@sgs.com

SGS Reference **SE233208 R0**
 Date Received 16/6/2022
 Date Reported 23/6/2022

COMMENTS

Accredited for compliance with ISO/IEC 17025 - Testing. NATA accredited laboratory 2562(4354).

PFAS subcontracted to SGS Melbourne, 10/585 Blackburn Road, Notting Hill, VIC, NATA Accreditation Numbe. 2562/14420. Report No. ME327481.

MA-1523: Majority of surrogate and spike recoveries within acceptance criteria.

SIGNATORIES



Akheevar BENIAMREEN
 Chemist



Bennet LO
 Senior Chemist



Dong LIANG
 Metals/Inorganics Team Leader



Shane MCDERMOTT
 Inorganic/Metals Chemist



Teresa NGUYEN
 Organic Chemist

VOC's in Soil [AN433] Tested: 20/6/2022

PARAMETER	UOM	LOR	SS1	SS2
			SOIL - 15/6/2022 SE233208.001	SOIL - 15/6/2022 SE233208.002
Dichlorodifluoromethane (CFC-12)	mg/kg	1	<1	<1
Chloromethane	mg/kg	1	<1	<1
Vinyl chloride (Chloroethene)	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Bromomethane	mg/kg	1	<1	<1
Chloroethane	mg/kg	1	<1	<1
Trichlorofluoromethane	mg/kg	1	<1	<1
Acetone (2-propanone)	mg/kg	10	<10	<10
Iodomethane	mg/kg	5	<5	<5
1,1-dichloroethene	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Acrylonitrile	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Dichloromethane (Methylene chloride)	mg/kg	0.5	<0.5	<0.5
Allyl chloride	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Carbon disulfide	mg/kg	0.5	<0.5	<0.5
trans-1,2-dichloroethene	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1
MtBE (Methyl-tert-butyl ether)	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1
1,1-dichloroethane	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Vinyl acetate	mg/kg	10	<10	<10
MEK (2-butanone)	mg/kg	10	<10	<10
cis-1,2-dichloroethene	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Bromochloromethane	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Chloroform	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1
2,2-dichloropropane	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1
1,2-dichloroethane	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1
1,1,1-trichloroethane	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1
1,1-dichloropropene	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Carbon tetrachloride	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Benzene	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Dibromomethane	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1
1,2-dichloropropane	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Trichloroethene (Trichloroethylene -TCE)	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1
2-nitropropane	mg/kg	10	<10	<10
Bromodichloromethane	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1
MIBK (4-methyl-2-pentanone)	mg/kg	1	<1	<1
cis-1,3-dichloropropene	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1
trans-1,3-dichloropropene	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1
1,1,2-trichloroethane	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Toluene	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1
1,3-dichloropropane	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Chlorodibromomethane	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1
2-hexanone (MBK)	mg/kg	5	<5	<5
1,2-dibromoethane (EDB)	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Tetrachloroethene (Perchloroethylene,PCE)	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1
1,1,1,2-tetrachloroethane	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Chlorobenzene	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Ethylbenzene	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Bromoform	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1
m/p-xylene	mg/kg	0.2	<0.2	<0.2
cis-1,4-dichloro-2-butene	mg/kg	1	<1	<1
Styrene (Vinyl benzene)	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1
1,1,2,2-tetrachloroethane	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1
o-xylene	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Total Xylenes	mg/kg	0.3	<0.3	<0.3
1,2,3-trichloropropane	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1
trans-1,4-dichloro-2-butene	mg/kg	1	<1	<1
Isopropylbenzene (Cumene)	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Bromobenzene	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1

VOC's in Soil [AN433] Tested: 20/6/2022 (continued)

PARAMETER	UOM	LOR	SS1	SS2
			SOIL - 15/6/2022 SE233208.001	SOIL - 15/6/2022 SE233208.002
n-propylbenzene	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1
2-chlorotoluene	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1
4-chlorotoluene	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1
1,3,5-trimethylbenzene	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1
tert-butylbenzene	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1
1,2,4-trimethylbenzene	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1
sec-butylbenzene	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1
1,3-dichlorobenzene	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1
1,4-dichlorobenzene	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1
p-isopropyltoluene	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1
1,2-dichlorobenzene	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1
n-butylbenzene	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1
1,2-dibromo-3-chloropropane	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1
1,2,4-trichlorobenzene	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Naphthalene (VOC)	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Hexachlorobutadiene	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1
1,2,3-trichlorobenzene	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Total BTEX	mg/kg	0.6	<0.6	<0.6
Total VOC*	mg/kg	24	<24	<24
Total Volatile Chlorinated Hydrocarbons*	mg/kg	3	<3.0	<3.0
Total Chlorinated Hydrocarbons VIC EPA*	mg/kg	1.8	<1.8	<1.8
Total Other Chlorinated Hydrocarbons VIC EPA*	mg/kg	1.8	<1.8	<1.8

Volatile Petroleum Hydrocarbons in Soil [AN433] Tested: 20/6/2022

PARAMETER	UOM	LOR	SS1	SS2
			SOIL - 15/6/2022 SE233208.001	SOIL - 15/6/2022 SE233208.002
TRH C6-C9	mg/kg	20	<20	<20
Benzene (F0)	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1
TRH C6-C10	mg/kg	25	<25	<25
TRH C6-C10 minus BTEX (F1)	mg/kg	25	<25	<25

TRH (Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons) in Soil [AN403] Tested: 20/6/2022

PARAMETER	UOM	LOR	SS1	SS2
			SOIL - 15/6/2022 SE233208.001	SOIL - 15/6/2022 SE233208.002
TRH C10-C14	mg/kg	20	<20	<20
TRH C15-C28	mg/kg	45	<45	<45
TRH C29-C36	mg/kg	45	<45	<45
TRH C37-C40	mg/kg	100	<100	<100
TRH >C10-C16	mg/kg	25	<25	<25
TRH >C10-C16 - Naphthalene (F2)	mg/kg	25	<25	<25
TRH >C16-C34 (F3)	mg/kg	90	<90	<90
TRH >C34-C40 (F4)	mg/kg	120	<120	<120
TRH C10-C36 Total	mg/kg	110	<110	<110
TRH >C10-C40 Total (F bands)	mg/kg	210	<210	<210

PAH (Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons) in Soil [AN420] Tested: 20/6/2022

PARAMETER	UOM	LOR	SS1	SS2
			SOIL - 15/6/2022 SE233208.001	SOIL - 15/6/2022 SE233208.002
Naphthalene	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1
2-methylnaphthalene	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1
1-methylnaphthalene	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Acenaphthylene	mg/kg	0.1	0.2	<0.1
Acenaphthene	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Fluorene	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Phenanthrene	mg/kg	0.1	0.5	<0.1
Anthracene	mg/kg	0.1	0.2	<0.1
Fluoranthene	mg/kg	0.1	1.6	<0.1
Pyrene	mg/kg	0.1	1.6	<0.1
Benzo(a)anthracene	mg/kg	0.1	0.9	<0.1
Chrysene	mg/kg	0.1	0.9	<0.1
Benzo(b&j)fluoranthene	mg/kg	0.1	1.4	<0.1
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	mg/kg	0.1	0.6	<0.1
Benzo(a)pyrene	mg/kg	0.1	1.1	<0.1
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	mg/kg	0.1	0.8	<0.1
Dibenzo(ah)anthracene	mg/kg	0.1	0.2	<0.1
Benzo(ghi)perylene	mg/kg	0.1	0.8	<0.1
Carcinogenic PAHs, BaP TEQ <LOR=0	TEQ (mg/kg)	0.2	1.7	<0.2
Carcinogenic PAHs, BaP TEQ <LOR=LOR	TEQ (mg/kg)	0.3	1.7	<0.3
Carcinogenic PAHs, BaP TEQ <LOR=LOR/2	TEQ (mg/kg)	0.2	1.7	<0.2
Total PAH (18)	mg/kg	0.8	11	<0.8
Total PAH (NEPM/WHO 16)	mg/kg	0.8	11	<0.8

OC Pesticides in Soil [AN420] Tested: 20/6/2022

PARAMETER	UOM	LOR	SS1	SS2
			SOIL - 15/6/2022 SE233208.001	SOIL - 15/6/2022 SE233208.002
Hexachlorobenzene (HCB)	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Alpha BHC	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Lindane	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Heptachlor	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Aldrin	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Beta BHC	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Delta BHC	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Heptachlor epoxide	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1
o,p'-DDE	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Alpha Endosulfan	mg/kg	0.2	<0.2	<0.2
Gamma Chlordane	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Alpha Chlordane	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1
trans-Nonachlor	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1
p,p'-DDE	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Dieldrin	mg/kg	0.2	<0.2	<0.2
Endrin	mg/kg	0.2	<0.2	<0.2
o,p'-DDD	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1
o,p'-DDT	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Beta Endosulfan	mg/kg	0.2	<0.2	<0.2
p,p'-DDD	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1
p,p'-DDT	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Endosulfan sulphate	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Endrin Aldehyde	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Methoxychlor	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Endrin Ketone	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Isodrin	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Mirex	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Total CLP OC Pesticides	mg/kg	1	<1	<1
Total OC VIC EPA	mg/kg	1	<1	<1

OP Pesticides in Soil [AN420] Tested: 20/6/2022

PARAMETER	UOM	LOR	SS1	SS2
			SOIL - 15/6/2022 SE233208.001	SOIL - 15/6/2022 SE233208.002
Dichlorvos	mg/kg	0.5	<0.5	<0.5
Dimethoate	mg/kg	0.5	<0.5	<0.5
Diazinon (Dimpylate)	mg/kg	0.5	<0.5	<0.5
Fenitrothion	mg/kg	0.2	<0.2	<0.2
Malathion	mg/kg	0.2	<0.2	<0.2
Chlorpyrifos (Chlorpyrifos Ethyl)	mg/kg	0.2	<0.2	<0.2
Parathion-ethyl (Parathion)	mg/kg	0.2	<0.2	<0.2
Bromophos Ethyl	mg/kg	0.2	<0.2	<0.2
Methidathion	mg/kg	0.5	<0.5	<0.5
Ethion	mg/kg	0.2	<0.2	<0.2
Azinphos-methyl (Guthion)	mg/kg	0.2	<0.2	<0.2
Total OP Pesticides*	mg/kg	1.7	<1.7	<1.7

PCBs in Soil [AN420] Tested: 20/6/2022

PARAMETER	UOM	LOR	SS1	SS2
			SOIL - 15/6/2022 SE233208.001	SOIL - 15/6/2022 SE233208.002
Arochlor 1016	mg/kg	0.2	<0.2	<0.2
Arochlor 1221	mg/kg	0.2	<0.2	<0.2
Arochlor 1232	mg/kg	0.2	<0.2	<0.2
Arochlor 1242	mg/kg	0.2	<0.2	<0.2
Arochlor 1248	mg/kg	0.2	<0.2	<0.2
Arochlor 1254	mg/kg	0.2	<0.2	<0.2
Arochlor 1260	mg/kg	0.2	<0.2	<0.2
Arochlor 1262	mg/kg	0.2	<0.2	<0.2
Arochlor 1268	mg/kg	0.2	<0.2	<0.2
Total PCBs (Arochlors)	mg/kg	1	<1	<1

Total Recoverable Elements in Soil/Waste Solids/Materials by ICPOES [AN040/AN320] Tested: 22/6/2022

PARAMETER	UOM	LOR	SS1	SS2
			SOIL - 15/6/2022 SE233208.001	SOIL - 15/6/2022 SE233208.002
Arsenic, As	mg/kg	1	9	2
Cadmium, Cd	mg/kg	0.3	1.2	0.5
Chromium, Cr	mg/kg	0.5	9.8	10
Copper, Cu	mg/kg	0.5	91	42
Lead, Pb	mg/kg	1	170	7
Nickel, Ni	mg/kg	0.5	4.3	35
Zinc, Zn	mg/kg	2	260	45

Mercury in Soil [AN312] Tested: 22/6/2022

PARAMETER	UOM	LOR	SS1	SS2
			SOIL - 15/6/2022 SE233208.001	SOIL - 15/6/2022 SE233208.002
Mercury	mg/kg	0.05	0.22	<0.05

Moisture Content [AN002] Tested: 20/6/2022

PARAMETER	UOM	LOR	SS1	SS2
			SOIL - 15/6/2022 SE233208.001	SOIL - 15/6/2022 SE233208.002
% Moisture	%w/w	1	48.5	7.5

Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances (PFAS) in Solid Samples [MA-1523] Tested: 22/6/2022

PARAMETER	UOM	LOR	SS1	SS2
			SOIL - 15/6/2022 SE233208.001	SOIL - 15/6/2022 SE233208.002
Perfluorobutanoic acid (PFBA)	mg/kg	0.0016	<0.0016	<0.0016
Perfluoropentanoic acid (PFPeA)	mg/kg	0.0016	<0.0016	<0.0016
Perfluorohexanoic acid (PFHxA)	mg/kg	0.0016	<0.0016	<0.0016
Perfluoroheptanoic acid (PFHpA)	mg/kg	0.0016	<0.0016	<0.0016
Perfluorooctanoic Acid (PFOA)	mg/kg	0.0008	<0.0008	<0.0008
Perfluorononanoic acid (PFNA)	mg/kg	0.0016	<0.0016	<0.0016
Perfluorodecanoic acid (PFDA)	mg/kg	0.0016	<0.0016	<0.0016
Perfluoroundecanoic acid (PFUnA)	mg/kg	0.0016	<0.0016	<0.0016
Perfluorododecanoic acid (PFDoA)	mg/kg	0.0016	<0.0016	<0.0016
Perfluorotridecanoic acid (PFTrDA)	mg/kg	0.0016	<0.0016	<0.0016
Perfluorotetradecanoic acid (PFTeDA)	mg/kg	0.0016	<0.0016	<0.0016
Perfluorohexadecanoic acid (PFHxDA)	mg/kg	0.0032	<0.0032	<0.0032
Perfluorobutane sulfonate (PFBS)	mg/kg	0.0016	<0.0016	<0.0016
Perfluoropentane sulfonate (PFPeS)	mg/kg	0.0016	<0.0016	<0.0016
Perfluorohexane sulfonate (PFHxS)	mg/kg	0.0016	<0.0016	<0.0016
Perfluoroheptane sulfonate (PFHpS)	mg/kg	0.0016	<0.0016	<0.0016
Perfluorooctane sulfonate (PFOS)	mg/kg	0.0016	<0.0016	<0.0016
Sum PFOS and PFHXS	mg/kg	0.0016	<0.0016	<0.0016
Perfluorononane sulfonate (PFNS)	mg/kg	0.0016	<0.0016	<0.0016
Perfluorodecane sulfonate (PFDS)	mg/kg	0.0016	<0.0016	<0.0016
Perfluorododecane sulfonate (PFDoS)	mg/kg	0.0016	<0.0016	<0.0016
1H,1H,2H,2H-Perfluorohexane sulfonate (4:2) (4:2 FTS)	mg/kg	0.0016	<0.0016	<0.0016
1H,1H,2H,2H-Perfluorooctane sulfonate (6:2) (6:2 FTS)	mg/kg	0.0016	<0.0016	<0.0016
1H,1H,2H,2H-Perfluorodecane sulfonate (8:2) (8:2 FTS)	mg/kg	0.0016	<0.0016	<0.0016
Perfluorooctane sulfonamide (PFOSA)	mg/kg	0.0016	<0.0016	<0.0016
N-Methylperfluorooctane sulfonamide (N-MeFOSA)	mg/kg	0.008	<0.008	<0.008
N-Ethylperfluorooctane sulfonamide (N-EtFOSA)	mg/kg	0.008	<0.008	<0.008
2-(N-Methylperfluorooctane sulfonamido)-ethanol	mg/kg	0.016	<0.016	<0.016
2-(N-Ethylperfluorooctane sulfonamido)-ethanol	mg/kg	0.016	<0.016	<0.016
N-Methylperfluorooctanesulfonamidoacetic acid	mg/kg	0.008	<0.008	<0.008
N-Ethylperfluorooctanesulfonamidoacetic Acid	mg/kg	0.008	<0.008	<0.008
Total of PFAS (n=30)	mg/kg	0.08	<0.08	<0.08

METHOD

METHODOLOGY SUMMARY

- AN002** The test is carried out by drying (at either 40°C or 105°C) a known mass of sample in a weighed evaporating basin. After fully dry the sample is re-weighed. Samples such as sludge and sediment having high percentages of moisture will take some time in a drying oven for complete removal of water.
- AN040/AN320** A portion of sample is digested with nitric acid to decompose organic matter and hydrochloric acid to complete the digestion of metals. The digest is then analysed by ICP OES with metals results reported on the dried sample basis. Based on USEPA method 200.8 and 6010C.
- AN040** A portion of sample is digested with Nitric acid to decompose organic matter and Hydrochloric acid to complete the digestion of metals and then filtered for analysis by ASS or ICP as per USEPA Method 200.8.
- AN312** Mercury by Cold Vapour AAS in Soils: After digestion with nitric acid, hydrogen peroxide and hydrochloric acid, mercury ions are reduced by stannous chloride reagent in acidic solution to elemental mercury. This mercury vapour is purged by nitrogen into a cold cell in an atomic absorption spectrometer or mercury analyser. Quantification is made by comparing absorbances to those of the calibration standards. Reference APHA 3112/3500
- AN403** Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons: Determination of Hydrocarbons by gas chromatography after a solvent extraction. Detection is by flame ionisation detector (FID) that produces an electronic signal in proportion to the combustible matter passing through it. Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons (TRH) are routinely reported as four alkane groupings based on the carbon chain length of the compounds: C6-C9, C10-C14, C15-C28 and C29-C36 and in recognition of the NEPM 1999 (2013), >C10-C16 (F2), >C16-C34 (F3) and >C34-C40 (F4). F2 is reported directly and also corrected by subtracting Naphthalene (from VOC method AN433) where available.
- AN403** Additionally, the volatile C6-C9 fraction may be determined by a purge and trap technique and GC/MS because of the potential for volatiles loss. Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - Silica (TRH-Si) follows the same method of analysis after silica gel cleanup of the solvent extract. Aliphatic/Aromatic Speciation follows the same method of analysis after fractionation of the solvent extract over silica with differential polarity of the eluent solvents.
- AN403** The GC/FID method is not well suited to the analysis of refined high boiling point materials (ie lubricating oils or greases) but is particularly suited for measuring diesel, kerosene and petrol if care to control volatility is taken. This method will detect naturally occurring hydrocarbons, lipids, animal fats, phenols and PAHs if they are present at sufficient levels, dependent on the use of specific cleanup/fractionation techniques. Reference USEPA 3510B, 8015B.
- AN420** (SVOCs) including OC, OP, PCB, Herbicides, PAH, Phthalates and Speciated Phenols (etc) in soils, sediments and waters are determined by GCMS/ECD technique following appropriate solvent extraction process (Based on USEPA 3500C and 8270D).
- AN420** SVOC Compounds: Semi-Volatile Organic Compounds (SVOCs) including OC, OP, PCB, Herbicides, PAH, Phthalates and Speciated Phenols in soils, sediments and waters are determined by GCMS/ECD technique following appropriate solvent extraction process (Based on USEPA 3500C and 8270D).
- AN433** VOCs and C6-C9 Hydrocarbons by GC-MS P&T: VOC's are volatile organic compounds. The sample is presented to a gas chromatograph via a purge and trap (P&T) concentrator and autosampler and is detected with a Mass Spectrometer (MSD). Solid samples are initially extracted with methanol whilst liquid samples are processed directly. References: USEPA 5030B, 8020A, 8260.
- MA-1523** This method covers the analysis of per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS) in aqueous, solid and biosolid samples and solvent extracts, determined as the total of linear and branched isomers. After spiking with isotopically labelled quantification surrogates and clean-up via SPE cartridges sample extracts are analysed by liquid chromatography/mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS). PFAS concentrations are determined by isotope dilution quantification.

FOOTNOTES

*	NATA accreditation does not cover the performance of this service.	-	Not analysed.	UOM	Unit of Measure.
**	Indicative data, theoretical holding time exceeded.	NVL	Not validated.	LOR	Limit of Reporting.
***	Indicates that both * and ** apply.	IS	Insufficient sample for analysis.	↑↓	Raised/lowered Limit of Reporting.
		LNR	Sample listed, but not received.		

Unless it is reported that sampling has been performed by SGS, the samples have been analysed as received. Solid samples expressed on a dry weight basis.

Where "Total" analyte groups are reported (for example, Total PAHs, Total OC Pesticides) the total will be calculated as the sum of the individual analytes, with those analytes that are reported as <LOR being assumed to be zero. The summed (Total) limit of reporting is calculated by summing the individual analyte LORs and dividing by two. For example, where 16 individual analytes are being summed and each has an LOR of 0.1 mg/kg, the "Totals" LOR will be 1.6 / 2 (0.8 mg/kg). Where only 2 analytes are being summed, the "Total" LOR will be the sum of those two LORs.

Some totals may not appear to add up because the total is rounded after adding up the raw values.

If reported, measurement uncertainty follow the ± sign after the analytical result and is expressed as the expanded uncertainty calculated using a coverage factor of 2, providing a level of confidence of approximately 95%, unless stated otherwise in the comments section of this report.

Results reported for samples tested under test methods with codes starting with ARS-SOP, radionuclide or gross radioactivity concentrations are expressed in becquerel (Bq) per unit of mass or volume or per wipe as stated on the report. Becquerel is the SI unit for activity and equals one nuclear transformation per second.

Note that in terms of units of radioactivity:

- a. 1 Bq is equivalent to 27 pCi
- b. 37 MBq is equivalent to 1 mCi

For results reported for samples tested under test methods with codes starting with ARS-SOP, less than (<) values indicate the detection limit for each radionuclide or parameter for the measurement system used. The respective detection limits have been calculated in accordance with ISO 11929.

The QC and MU criteria are subject to internal review according to the SGS QAQC plan and may be provided on request or alternatively can be found here: www.sgs.com.au/en-gb/environment-health-and-safety.

This document is issued by the Company under its General Conditions of Service accessible at www.sgs.com/en/Terms-and-Conditions.aspx. Attention is drawn to the limitation of liability, indemnification and jurisdiction issues defined therein.

Any holder of this document is advised that information contained hereon reflects the Company's findings at the time of its intervention only and within the limits of Client's instructions, if any. The Company's sole responsibility is to its Client only. Any unauthorized alteration, forgery or

CLIENT DETAILS

Contact **Ben Buckley**
 Client **FOUNDATION EARTH SCIENCES PTY LTD**
 Address **UNIT 119/14 LOYALTY ROAD
 NORTH ROCKS NSW 2151**

Telephone **(Not specified)**
 Facsimile **(Not specified)**
 Email **ben@foundations.com.au**

Project **E2843 Leichhardt**
 Order Number **E2843**
 Samples **2**

LABORATORY DETAILS

Manager **Huong Crawford**
 Laboratory **SGS Alexandria Environmental**
 Address **Unit 16, 33 Maddox St
 Alexandria NSW 2015**

Telephone **+61 2 8594 0400**
 Facsimile **+61 2 8594 0499**
 Email **au.environmental.sydney@sgs.com**

SGS Reference **SE233208 R0**
 Date Received **16 Jun 2022**
 Date Reported **23 Jun 2022**

COMMENTS

All the laboratory data for each environmental matrix was compared to SGS' stated Data Quality Objectives (DQO). Comments arising from the comparison were made and are reported below.

The data relating to sampling was taken from the Chain of Custody document.
 This QA/QC Statement must be read in conjunction with the referenced Analytical Report.
 The Statement and the Analytical Report must not be reproduced except in full.

All Data Quality Objectives were met with the exception of the following:

Duplicate	Total Recoverable Elements in Soil/Waste Solids/Materials by ICPOES	2 items
Matrix Spike	Total Recoverable Elements in Soil/Waste Solids/Materials by ICPOES	3 items
	TRH (Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons) in Soil	3 items

SAMPLE SUMMARY

Sample cooling method	Ice Bricks	Sample counts by matrix	2 Soil
Date documentation received	16/6/2022	Samples received in good order	Yes
Samples received without headspace	Yes	Sample temperature upon receipt	10°C
Turnaround time requested	Standard		

SGS holding time criteria are drawn from current regulations and are highly dependent on sample container preservation as specified in the SGS "Field Sampling Guide for Containers and Holding Time" (ref: GU-(AU)-ENV.001). Soil samples guidelines are derived from NEPM "Schedule B(3) Guideline on Laboratory Analysis of Potentially Contaminated Soils". Water sample guidelines are derived from "AS/NZS 5667.1 : 1998 Water Quality - sampling part 1" and APHA "Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater" 21st edition 2005.

Extraction and analysis holding time due dates listed are calculated from the date sampled, although holding times may be extended after laboratory extraction for some analytes. The due dates are the suggested dates that samples may be held before extraction or analysis and still be considered valid.

Extraction and analysis dates are shown in **Green** when within suggested criteria or **Red** with an appended dagger symbol (†) when outside suggested criteria. If the

Mercury in Soil

Method: ME-(AU)-[ENV]AN312

Sample Name	Sample No.	QC Ref	Sampled	Received	Extraction Due	Extracted	Analysis Due	Analysed
SS1	SE233208.001	LB251560	15 Jun 2022	16 Jun 2022	13 Jul 2022	22 Jun 2022	13 Jul 2022	23 Jun 2022
SS2	SE233208.002	LB251560	15 Jun 2022	16 Jun 2022	13 Jul 2022	22 Jun 2022	13 Jul 2022	23 Jun 2022

Moisture Content

Method: ME-(AU)-[ENV]AN002

Sample Name	Sample No.	QC Ref	Sampled	Received	Extraction Due	Extracted	Analysis Due	Analysed
SS1	SE233208.001	LB251333	15 Jun 2022	16 Jun 2022	29 Jun 2022	20 Jun 2022	25 Jun 2022	22 Jun 2022
SS2	SE233208.002	LB251333	15 Jun 2022	16 Jun 2022	29 Jun 2022	20 Jun 2022	25 Jun 2022	22 Jun 2022

OC Pesticides in Soil

Method: ME-(AU)-[ENV]AN420

Sample Name	Sample No.	QC Ref	Sampled	Received	Extraction Due	Extracted	Analysis Due	Analysed
SS1	SE233208.001	LB251329	15 Jun 2022	16 Jun 2022	29 Jun 2022	20 Jun 2022	30 Jul 2022	23 Jun 2022
SS2	SE233208.002	LB251329	15 Jun 2022	16 Jun 2022	29 Jun 2022	20 Jun 2022	30 Jul 2022	23 Jun 2022

OP Pesticides in Soil

Method: ME-(AU)-[ENV]AN420

Sample Name	Sample No.	QC Ref	Sampled	Received	Extraction Due	Extracted	Analysis Due	Analysed
SS1	SE233208.001	LB251329	15 Jun 2022	16 Jun 2022	29 Jun 2022	20 Jun 2022	30 Jul 2022	22 Jun 2022
SS2	SE233208.002	LB251329	15 Jun 2022	16 Jun 2022	29 Jun 2022	20 Jun 2022	30 Jul 2022	22 Jun 2022

PAH (Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons) in Soil

Method: ME-(AU)-[ENV]AN420

Sample Name	Sample No.	QC Ref	Sampled	Received	Extraction Due	Extracted	Analysis Due	Analysed
SS1	SE233208.001	LB251329	15 Jun 2022	16 Jun 2022	29 Jun 2022	20 Jun 2022	30 Jul 2022	22 Jun 2022
SS2	SE233208.002	LB251329	15 Jun 2022	16 Jun 2022	29 Jun 2022	20 Jun 2022	30 Jul 2022	22 Jun 2022

PCBs in Soil

Method: ME-(AU)-[ENV]AN420

Sample Name	Sample No.	QC Ref	Sampled	Received	Extraction Due	Extracted	Analysis Due	Analysed
SS1	SE233208.001	LB251329	15 Jun 2022	16 Jun 2022	29 Jun 2022	20 Jun 2022	30 Jul 2022	23 Jun 2022
SS2	SE233208.002	LB251329	15 Jun 2022	16 Jun 2022	29 Jun 2022	20 Jun 2022	30 Jul 2022	23 Jun 2022

Total Recoverable Elements in Soil/Waste Solids/Materials by ICPOES

Method: ME-(AU)-[ENV]AN040/AN320

Sample Name	Sample No.	QC Ref	Sampled	Received	Extraction Due	Extracted	Analysis Due	Analysed
SS1	SE233208.001	LB251559	15 Jun 2022	16 Jun 2022	12 Dec 2022	22 Jun 2022	12 Dec 2022	23 Jun 2022
SS2	SE233208.002	LB251559	15 Jun 2022	16 Jun 2022	12 Dec 2022	22 Jun 2022	12 Dec 2022	23 Jun 2022

TRH (Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons) in Soil

Method: ME-(AU)-[ENV]AN403

Sample Name	Sample No.	QC Ref	Sampled	Received	Extraction Due	Extracted	Analysis Due	Analysed
SS1	SE233208.001	LB251329	15 Jun 2022	16 Jun 2022	29 Jun 2022	20 Jun 2022	30 Jul 2022	22 Jun 2022
SS2	SE233208.002	LB251329	15 Jun 2022	16 Jun 2022	29 Jun 2022	20 Jun 2022	30 Jul 2022	22 Jun 2022

VOC's in Soil

Method: ME-(AU)-[ENV]AN433

Sample Name	Sample No.	QC Ref	Sampled	Received	Extraction Due	Extracted	Analysis Due	Analysed
SS1	SE233208.001	LB251330	15 Jun 2022	16 Jun 2022	29 Jun 2022	20 Jun 2022	29 Jun 2022	22 Jun 2022
SS2	SE233208.002	LB251330	15 Jun 2022	16 Jun 2022	29 Jun 2022	20 Jun 2022	29 Jun 2022	22 Jun 2022

Volatile Petroleum Hydrocarbons in Soil

Method: ME-(AU)-[ENV]AN433

Sample Name	Sample No.	QC Ref	Sampled	Received	Extraction Due	Extracted	Analysis Due	Analysed
SS1	SE233208.001	LB251330	15 Jun 2022	16 Jun 2022	29 Jun 2022	20 Jun 2022	29 Jun 2022	22 Jun 2022
SS2	SE233208.002	LB251330	15 Jun 2022	16 Jun 2022	29 Jun 2022	20 Jun 2022	29 Jun 2022	22 Jun 2022

Surrogate results are evaluated against upper and lower limit criteria established in the SGS QA/QC plan (Ref: MP-(AU)-[ENV]QU-022). At least two of three routine level soil sample surrogate spike recoveries for BTEX/VOC are to be within 70-130% where control charts have not been developed and within the established control limits for charted surrogates. Matrix effects may void this as an acceptance criterion. Water sample surrogate spike recoveries are to be within 40-130%. The presence of emulsions, surfactants and particulates may void this as an acceptance criterion.

Result is shown in **Green** when within suggested criteria or **Red** with an appended reason identifier when outside suggested criteria. Refer to the footnotes section at the end of this report for failure reasons.

OC Pesticides in Soil

Method: ME-(AU)-[ENV]AN420

Parameter	Sample Name	Sample Number	Units	Criteria	Recovery %
Tetrachloro-m-xylene (TCMX) (Surrogate)	SS1	SE233208.001	%	60 - 130%	113
	SS2	SE233208.002	%	60 - 130%	108

OP Pesticides in Soil

Method: ME-(AU)-[ENV]AN420

Parameter	Sample Name	Sample Number	Units	Criteria	Recovery %
2-fluorobiphenyl (Surrogate)	SS1	SE233208.001	%	60 - 130%	93
	SS2	SE233208.002	%	60 - 130%	90
d14-p-terphenyl (Surrogate)	SS1	SE233208.001	%	60 - 130%	93
	SS2	SE233208.002	%	60 - 130%	93

PAH (Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons) in Soil

Method: ME-(AU)-[ENV]AN420

Parameter	Sample Name	Sample Number	Units	Criteria	Recovery %
2-fluorobiphenyl (Surrogate)	SS1	SE233208.001	%	70 - 130%	93
	SS2	SE233208.002	%	70 - 130%	90
d14-p-terphenyl (Surrogate)	SS1	SE233208.001	%	70 - 130%	93
	SS2	SE233208.002	%	70 - 130%	93
d5-nitrobenzene (Surrogate)	SS1	SE233208.001	%	70 - 130%	97
	SS2	SE233208.002	%	70 - 130%	94

PCBs in Soil

Method: ME-(AU)-[ENV]AN420

Parameter	Sample Name	Sample Number	Units	Criteria	Recovery %
Tetrachloro-m-xylene (TCMX) (Surrogate)	SS1	SE233208.001	%	60 - 130%	113
	SS2	SE233208.002	%	60 - 130%	108

Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances (PFAS) in Solid Samples

Method: MA-1523

Parameter	Sample Name	Sample Number	Units	Criteria	Recovery %
(13C2_PFTeDA) Isotopically Labelled Internal Recovery Standard	SS1	SE233208.001	%	0 - 130%	64
	SS2	SE233208.002	%	0 - 130%	62
(13C2-4:2 FTS) Isotopically Labelled Internal Recovery Standard	SS1	SE233208.001	%	0 - 150%	114
	SS2	SE233208.002	%	0 - 150%	98
(13C2-6:2 FTS) Isotopically Labelled Internal Recovery Standard	SS1	SE233208.001	%	0 - 150%	105
	SS2	SE233208.002	%	0 - 150%	90
(13C2-8:2 FTS) Isotopically Labelled Internal Recovery Standard	SS1	SE233208.001	%	0 - 150%	90
	SS2	SE233208.002	%	0 - 150%	95
(13C2-PFDoA) Isotopically Labelled Internal Recovery Standard	SS1	SE233208.001	%	0 - 150%	77
	SS2	SE233208.002	%	0 - 150%	79
(13C2-PFHxDA) Isotopically Labelled Internal Recovery Standard	SS1	SE233208.001	%	0 - 150%	35
	SS2	SE233208.002	%	0 - 150%	27
(13C3-PFBS) Isotopically Labelled Internal Recovery Standard	SS1	SE233208.001	%	0 - 150%	73
	SS2	SE233208.002	%	0 - 150%	64
(13C3-PFHxS) Isotopically Labelled Internal Recovery Standard	SS1	SE233208.001	%	0 - 150%	106
	SS2	SE233208.002	%	0 - 150%	112
(13C4_PFOA) Isotopically Labelled Internal Recovery Standard	SS1	SE233208.001	%	0 - 150%	90
	SS2	SE233208.002	%	0 - 150%	97
(13C4-PFBA) Isotopically Labelled Internal Recovery Standard	SS1	SE233208.001	%	0 - 150%	94
	SS2	SE233208.002	%	0 - 150%	95
(13C4-PFHpA) Isotopically Labelled Internal Recovery Standard	SS1	SE233208.001	%	0 - 150%	86
	SS2	SE233208.002	%	0 - 150%	88
(13C5-PFHxA) Isotopically Labelled Internal Recovery Standard	SS1	SE233208.001	%	0 - 150%	72
	SS2	SE233208.002	%	0 - 150%	68
(13C5-PFPeA) Isotopically Labelled Internal Recovery Standard	SS1	SE233208.001	%	0 - 150%	84
	SS2	SE233208.002	%	0 - 150%	89
(13C6-PFDA) Isotopically Labelled Internal Recovery Standard	SS1	SE233208.001	%	0 - 150%	90
	SS2	SE233208.002	%	0 - 150%	86
(13C7-PFUdA) Isotopically Labelled Internal Recovery Standard	SS1	SE233208.001	%	0 - 150%	84
	SS2	SE233208.002	%	0 - 150%	86
(13C8-PFOS) Isotopically Labelled Internal Recovery Standard	SS1	SE233208.001	%	0 - 150%	79
	SS2	SE233208.002	%	0 - 150%	91
(13C8-PFOSA) Isotopically Labelled Internal Recovery Standard	SS1	SE233208.001	%	0 - 150%	84
	SS2	SE233208.002	%	0 - 150%	85
(13C9-PFNA) Isotopically Labelled Internal Recovery Standard	SS1	SE233208.001	%	0 - 150%	80
	SS2	SE233208.002	%	0 - 150%	80

Surrogate results are evaluated against upper and lower limit criteria established in the SGS QA/QC plan (Ref: MP-(AU)-[ENV]QU-022). At least two of three routine level soil sample surrogate spike recoveries for BTEX/VOC are to be within 70-130% where control charts have not been developed and within the established control limits for charted surrogates. Matrix effects may void this as an acceptance criterion. Water sample surrogate spike recoveries are to be within 40-130%. The presence of emulsions, surfactants and particulates may void this as an acceptance criterion.

Result is shown in **Green** when within suggested criteria or **Red** with an appended reason identifier when outside suggested criteria. Refer to the footnotes section at the end of this report for failure reasons.

Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances (PFAS) in Solid Samples (continued)

Method: MA-1523

Parameter	Sample Name	Sample Number	Units	Criteria	Recovery %
(D3-N-MeFOSA) Isotopically Labelled Internal Recovery Standard	SS1	SE233208.001	%	0 - 150%	73
	SS2	SE233208.002	%	0 - 150%	71
(D3-N-MeFOSAA) Isotopically Labelled Internal Recovery Standard	SS1	SE233208.001	%	0 - 150%	85
	SS2	SE233208.002	%	0 - 150%	83
(D5-N-EtFOSA) Isotopically Labelled Internal Recovery Standard	SS1	SE233208.001	%	0 - 150%	63
	SS2	SE233208.002	%	0 - 150%	64
(D5-N-EtFOSAA) Isotopically Labelled Internal Recovery Standard	SS1	SE233208.001	%	0 - 150%	89
	SS2	SE233208.002	%	0 - 150%	101
(D7-N-MeFOSE) Isotopically Labelled Internal Recovery Standard	SS1	SE233208.001	%	0 - 150%	74
	SS2	SE233208.002	%	0 - 150%	65
(D9-N-EtFOSE) Isotopically Labelled Internal Recovery Standard	SS1	SE233208.001	%	0 - 150%	66
	SS2	SE233208.002	%	0 - 150%	67

VOC's in Soil

Method: ME-(AU)-[ENV]AN433

Parameter	Sample Name	Sample Number	Units	Criteria	Recovery %
Bromofluorobenzene (Surrogate)	SS1	SE233208.001	%	60 - 130%	86
	SS2	SE233208.002	%	60 - 130%	87
d4-1,2-dichloroethane (Surrogate)	SS1	SE233208.001	%	60 - 130%	87
	SS2	SE233208.002	%	60 - 130%	89
d8-toluene (Surrogate)	SS1	SE233208.001	%	60 - 130%	92
	SS2	SE233208.002	%	60 - 130%	95

Volatile Petroleum Hydrocarbons in Soil

Method: ME-(AU)-[ENV]AN433

Parameter	Sample Name	Sample Number	Units	Criteria	Recovery %
Bromofluorobenzene (Surrogate)	SS1	SE233208.001	%	60 - 130%	86
	SS2	SE233208.002	%	60 - 130%	87
d4-1,2-dichloroethane (Surrogate)	SS1	SE233208.001	%	60 - 130%	87
	SS2	SE233208.002	%	60 - 130%	89
d8-toluene (Surrogate)	SS1	SE233208.001	%	60 - 130%	92
	SS2	SE233208.002	%	60 - 130%	95

Blank results are evaluated against the limit of reporting (LOR), for the chosen method and its associated instrumentation, typically 2.5 times the statistically determined method detection limit (MDL).

Result is shown in **Green** when within suggested criteria or **Red** with an appended dagger symbol (†) when outside suggested criteria.

Mercury in Soil

Method: ME-(AU)-ENVJAN312

Sample Number	Parameter	Units	LOR	Result
LB251560.001	Mercury	mg/kg	0.05	<0.05

OC Pesticides in Soil

Method: ME-(AU)-ENVJAN420

Sample Number	Parameter	Units	LOR	Result
LB251329.001	Hexachlorobenzene (HCB)	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1
	Alpha BHC	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1
	Lindane	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1
	Heptachlor	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1
	Aldrin	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1
	Beta BHC	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1
	Delta BHC	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1
	Heptachlor epoxide	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1
	Alpha Endosulfan	mg/kg	0.2	<0.2
	Gamma Chlordane	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1
	Alpha Chlordane	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1
	p,p'-DDE	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1
	Dieldrin	mg/kg	0.2	<0.2
	Endrin	mg/kg	0.2	<0.2
	Beta Endosulfan	mg/kg	0.2	<0.2
	p,p'-DDD	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1
	p,p'-DDT	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1
	Endosulfan sulphate	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1
	Endrin Aldehyde	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1
	Methoxychlor	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1
Endrin Ketone	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	
Isodrin	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	
Mirex	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	
Surrogates	Tetrachloro-m-xylene (TCMX) (Surrogate)	%	-	92

OP Pesticides in Soil

Method: ME-(AU)-ENVJAN420

Sample Number	Parameter	Units	LOR	Result	
LB251329.001	Dichlorvos	mg/kg	0.5	<0.5	
	Dimethoate	mg/kg	0.5	<0.5	
	Diazinon (Dimpylate)	mg/kg	0.5	<0.5	
	Fenitrothion	mg/kg	0.2	<0.2	
	Malathion	mg/kg	0.2	<0.2	
	Chlorpyrifos (Chlorpyrifos Ethyl)	mg/kg	0.2	<0.2	
	Parathion-ethyl (Parathion)	mg/kg	0.2	<0.2	
	Bromophos Ethyl	mg/kg	0.2	<0.2	
	Methidathion	mg/kg	0.5	<0.5	
	Ethion	mg/kg	0.2	<0.2	
	Azinphos-methyl (Guthion)	mg/kg	0.2	<0.2	
	Surrogates	2-fluorobiphenyl (Surrogate)	%	-	87
		d14-p-terphenyl (Surrogate)	%	-	89

PAH (Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons) in Soil

Method: ME-(AU)-ENVJAN420

Sample Number	Parameter	Units	LOR	Result
LB251329.001	Naphthalene	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1
	2-methylnaphthalene	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1
	1-methylnaphthalene	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1
	Acenaphthylene	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1
	Acenaphthene	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1
	Fluorene	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1
	Phenanthrene	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1
	Anthracene	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1
	Fluoranthene	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1
	Pyrene	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1
	Benzo(a)anthracene	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1
	Chrysene	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1
	Benzo(a)pyrene	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1

Blank results are evaluated against the limit of reporting (LOR), for the chosen method and its associated instrumentation, typically 2.5 times the statistically determined method detection limit (MDL).

Result is shown in **Green** when within suggested criteria or **Red** with an appended dagger symbol (†) when outside suggested criteria.

PAH (Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons) in Soil (continued)

Method: ME-(AU)-[ENV]AN420

Sample Number	Parameter	Units	LOR	Result	
LB251329.001	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	
	Dibenzo(ah)anthracene	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	
	Benzo(ghi)perylene	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	
	Total PAH (18)	mg/kg	0.8	<0.8	
	Surrogates	d5-nitrobenzene (Surrogate)	%	-	86
		2-fluorobiphenyl (Surrogate)	%	-	87
d14-p-terphenyl (Surrogate)		%	-	89	

PCBs in Soil

Method: ME-(AU)-[ENV]AN420

Sample Number	Parameter	Units	LOR	Result
LB251329.001	Arochlor 1016	mg/kg	0.2	<0.2
	Arochlor 1221	mg/kg	0.2	<0.2
	Arochlor 1232	mg/kg	0.2	<0.2
	Arochlor 1242	mg/kg	0.2	<0.2
	Arochlor 1248	mg/kg	0.2	<0.2
	Arochlor 1254	mg/kg	0.2	<0.2
	Arochlor 1260	mg/kg	0.2	<0.2
	Arochlor 1262	mg/kg	0.2	<0.2
	Arochlor 1268	mg/kg	0.2	<0.2
	Total PCBs (Arochlors)	mg/kg	1	<1
Surrogates	Tetrachloro-m-xylene (TCMX) (Surrogate)	%	-	92

Total Recoverable Elements in Soil/Waste Solids/Materials by ICPOES

Method: ME-(AU)-[ENV]AN040/AN320

Sample Number	Parameter	Units	LOR	Result
LB251559.001	Arsenic, As	mg/kg	1	<1
	Cadmium, Cd	mg/kg	0.3	<0.3
	Chromium, Cr	mg/kg	0.5	<0.5
	Copper, Cu	mg/kg	0.5	<0.5
	Nickel, Ni	mg/kg	0.5	<0.5
	Lead, Pb	mg/kg	1	<1
	Zinc, Zn	mg/kg	2	<2.0

TRH (Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons) in Soil

Method: ME-(AU)-[ENV]AN403

Sample Number	Parameter	Units	LOR	Result
LB251329.001	TRH C10-C14	mg/kg	20	<20
	TRH C15-C28	mg/kg	45	<45
	TRH C29-C36	mg/kg	45	<45
	TRH C37-C40	mg/kg	100	<100
	TRH C10-C36 Total	mg/kg	110	<110

VOC's in Soil

Method: ME-(AU)-[ENV]AN433

Sample Number	Parameter	Units	LOR	Result	
LB251330.001	Fumigants	2,2-dichloropropane	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1
		1,2-dichloropropane	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1
		cis-1,3-dichloropropene	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1
		trans-1,3-dichloropropene	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1
		1,2-dibromoethane (EDB)	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1
	Halogenated Aliphatics	Dichlorodifluoromethane (CFC-12)	mg/kg	1	<1
		Chloromethane	mg/kg	1	<1
		Vinyl chloride (Chloroethene)	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1
		Bromomethane	mg/kg	1	<1
		Chloroethane	mg/kg	1	<1
		Trichlorofluoromethane	mg/kg	1	<1
		Iodomethane	mg/kg	5	<5
		1,1-dichloroethene	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1
		Dichloromethane (Methylene chloride)	mg/kg	0.5	<0.5
		Allyl chloride	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1
		trans-1,2-dichloroethene	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1
		1,1-dichloroethane	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1
		cis-1,2-dichloroethene	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1
		Bromochloromethane	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1
		1,2-dichloroethane	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1
		1,1,1-trichloroethane	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1

Blank results are evaluated against the limit of reporting (LOR), for the chosen method and its associated instrumentation, typically 2.5 times the statistically determined method detection limit (MDL).

Result is shown in **Green** when within suggested criteria or **Red** with an appended dagger symbol (†) when outside suggested criteria.

VOC's in Soil (continued)

Method: ME-(AU)-ENVJAN433

Sample Number	Parameter	Units	LOR	Result		
LB251330.001	Halogenated Aliphatics	1,1-dichloropropene	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	
		Carbon tetrachloride	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	
		Dibromomethane	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	
		Trichloroethene (Trichloroethylene -TCE)	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	
		1,1,2-trichloroethane	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	
		1,3-dichloropropane	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	
		Tetrachloroethene (Perchloroethylene,PCE)	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	
		1,1,1,2-tetrachloroethane	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	
		cis-1,4-dichloro-2-butene	mg/kg	1	<1	
		1,1,2,2-tetrachloroethane	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	
		1,2,3-trichloropropane	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	
		trans-1,4-dichloro-2-butene	mg/kg	1	<1	
		1,2-dibromo-3-chloropropane	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	
		Hexachlorobutadiene	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	
	Halogenated Aromatics	Chlorobenzene	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	
		Bromobenzene	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	
		2-chlorotoluene	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	
		4-chlorotoluene	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	
		1,3-dichlorobenzene	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	
		1,4-dichlorobenzene	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	
		1,2-dichlorobenzene	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	
		1,2,4-trichlorobenzene	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	
	Monocyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons	1,2,3-trichlorobenzene	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	
		Benzene	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	
		Toluene	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	
		Ethylbenzene	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	
		m/p-xylene	mg/kg	0.2	<0.2	
		Styrene (Vinyl benzene)	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	
		o-xylene	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	
		Isopropylbenzene (Cumene)	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	
		n-propylbenzene	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	
		1,3,5-trimethylbenzene	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	
	Nitrogenous Compounds	tert-butylbenzene	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	
		1,2,4-trimethylbenzene	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	
		sec-butylbenzene	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	
		p-isopropyltoluene	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	
		n-butylbenzene	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	
		Acrylonitrile	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	
		2-nitropropane	mg/kg	10	<10	
		Oxygenated Compounds	Acetone (2-propanone)	mg/kg	10	<10
			MtBE (Methyl-tert-butyl ether)	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1
			Vinyl acetate	mg/kg	10	<10
MEK (2-butanone)	mg/kg		10	<10		
MIBK (4-methyl-2-pentanone)	mg/kg		1	<1		
Polycyclic VOCs	2-hexanone (MBK)	mg/kg	5	<5		
	Naphthalene (VOC)	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1		
Sulphonated	Carbon disulfide	mg/kg	0.5	<0.5		
	Surrogates	d4-1,2-dichloroethane (Surrogate)	%	-	98	
d8-toluene (Surrogate)		%	-	100		
Bromofluorobenzene (Surrogate)		%	-	91		
Totals	Total BTEX	mg/kg	0.6	<0.3		
	Total Chlorinated Hydrocarbons VIC EPA*	mg/kg	1.8	<1.8		
	Total Other Chlorinated Hydrocarbons VIC EPA*	mg/kg	1.8	<1.8		
Trihalomethanes	Chloroform	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1		
	Bromodichloromethane	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1		
	Chlorodibromomethane	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1		
	Bromoform	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1		

Volatile Petroleum Hydrocarbons in Soil

Method: ME-(AU)-ENVJAN433

Sample Number	Parameter	Units	LOR
---------------	-----------	-------	-----

Blank results are evaluated against the limit of reporting (LOR), for the chosen method and its associated instrumentation, typically 2.5 times the statistically determined method detection limit (MDL).

Result is shown in **Green** when within suggested criteria or **Red** with an appended dagger symbol (†) when outside suggested criteria.

Volatile Petroleum Hydrocarbons in Soil (continued)

Method: ME-(AU)-ENVJAN433

Sample Number	Parameter	Units	LOR	Result
LB251330.001	TRH C6-C9	mg/kg	20	<20
	Surrogates	d4-1,2-dichloroethane (Surrogate)	%	98

Duplicates are calculated as Relative Percentage Difference (RPD) using the formula: $RPD = |OriginalResult - ReplicateResult| \times 100 / Mean$

The RPD is evaluated against the Maximum Allowable Difference (MAD) criteria and can be graphically represented by a curve calculated from the Statistical Detection Limit (SDL) and Limiting Repeatability (LR) using the formula: $MAD = 100 \times SDL / Mean + LR$

Where the Maximum Allowable Difference evaluates to a number larger than 200 it is displayed as 200.

RPD is shown in **Green** when within suggested criteria or **Red** with an appended reason identifier when outside suggested criteria. Refer to the footnotes section at the end of this report for failure reasons.

NOTE: The RPD reported is calculated from the unrounded data for the original and replicate result. Manual calculation of the RPD from the rounded data reported may

Mercury in Soil

Method: ME-(AU)-[ENV]AN312

Original	Duplicate	Parameter	Units	LOR	Original	Duplicate	Criteria %	RPD %
SE233422.004	LB251560.014	Mercury	mg/kg	0.05	0.05	<0.05	152	1
SE233422.013	LB251560.024	Mercury	mg/kg	0.05	<0.05	<0.05	198	0

Moisture Content

Method: ME-(AU)-[ENV]AN002

Original	Duplicate	Parameter	Units	LOR	Original	Duplicate	Criteria %	RPD %
SE233208.002	LB251333.019	% Moisture	%w/w	1	7.5	7.7	43	3
SE233210.004	LB251333.011	% Moisture	%w/w	1	6.5	4.7	48	33

OC Pesticides in Soil

Method: ME-(AU)-[ENV]AN420

Original	Duplicate	Parameter	Units	LOR	Original	Duplicate	Criteria %	RPD %	
SE233208.002	LB251329.022	Hexachlorobenzene (HCB)	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	200	0	
		Alpha BHC	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	200	0	
		Lindane	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	200	0	
		Heptachlor	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	200	0	
		Aldrin	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	200	0	
		Beta BHC	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	200	0	
		Delta BHC	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	200	0	
		Heptachlor epoxide	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	200	0	
		o,p'-DDE	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	200	0	
		Alpha Endosulfan	mg/kg	0.2	<0.2	<0.2	200	0	
		Gamma Chlordane	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	200	0	
		Alpha Chlordane	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	200	0	
		trans-Nonachlor	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	200	0	
		p,p'-DDE	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	200	0	
		Dieldrin	mg/kg	0.2	<0.2	<0.2	200	0	
		Endrin	mg/kg	0.2	<0.2	<0.2	200	0	
		o,p'-DDD	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	200	0	
		o,p'-DDT	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	200	0	
		Beta Endosulfan	mg/kg	0.2	<0.2	<0.2	200	0	
		p,p'-DDD	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	200	0	
		p,p'-DDT	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	200	0	
		Endosulfan sulphate	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	200	0	
		Endrin Aldehyde	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	200	0	
Methoxychlor	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	200	0			
Endrin Ketone	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	200	0			
Isodrin	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	200	0			
Mirex	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	200	0			
Total CLP OC Pesticides	mg/kg	1	<1	<1	200	0			
Total OC VIC EPA	mg/kg	1	<1	<1	200	0			
SE233210.004	LB251329.014	Surrogates	Tetrachloro-m-xylene (TCMX) (Surrogate)	mg/kg	-	0.16	0.16	30	0
		Hexachlorobenzene (HCB)	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	200	0	
		Alpha BHC	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	200	0	
		Lindane	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	200	0	
		Heptachlor	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	200	0	
		Aldrin	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	200	0	
		Beta BHC	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	200	0	
		Delta BHC	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	200	0	
		Heptachlor epoxide	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	200	0	
		o,p'-DDE	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	200	0	
		Alpha Endosulfan	mg/kg	0.2	<0.2	<0.2	200	0	
		Gamma Chlordane	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	200	0	
		Alpha Chlordane	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	200	0	
		trans-Nonachlor	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	200	0	
		p,p'-DDE	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	200	0	
		Dieldrin	mg/kg	0.2	<0.2	<0.2	200	0	
		Endrin	mg/kg	0.2	<0.2	<0.2	200	0	
		o,p'-DDD	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	200	0	
o,p'-DDT	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	200	0			
Beta Endosulfan	mg/kg	0.2	<0.2	<0.2	200	0			

Duplicates are calculated as Relative Percentage Difference (RPD) using the formula: $RPD = |OriginalResult - ReplicateResult| \times 100 / Mean$

The RPD is evaluated against the Maximum Allowable Difference (MAD) criteria and can be graphically represented by a curve calculated from the Statistical Detection Limit (SDL) and Limiting Repeatability (LR) using the formula: $MAD = 100 \times SDL / Mean + LR$

Where the Maximum Allowable Difference evaluates to a number larger than 200 it is displayed as 200.

RPD is shown in **Green** when within suggested criteria or **Red** with an appended reason identifier when outside suggested criteria. Refer to the footnotes section at the end of this report for failure reasons.

NOTE: The RPD reported is calculated from the unrounded data for the original and replicate result. Manual calculation of the RPD from the rounded data reported may

OC Pesticides in Soil (continued)

Method: ME-(AU)-IENVJAN420

Original	Duplicate	Parameter	Units	LOR	Original	Duplicate	Criteria %	RPD %	
SE233210.004	LB251329.014	p,p'-DDD	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	200	0	
		p,p'-DDT	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	200	0	
		Endosulfan sulphate	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	200	0	
		Endrin Aldehyde	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	200	0	
		Methoxychlor	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	200	0	
		Endrin Ketone	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	200	0	
		Isodrin	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	200	0	
		Mirex	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	200	0	
		Total CLP OC Pesticides	mg/kg	1	<1	<1	200	0	
		Total OC VIC EPA	mg/kg	1	<1	<1	200	0	
		Surrogates	Tetrachloro-m-xylene (TCMX) (Surrogate)	mg/kg	-	0.16	0.17	30	3

OP Pesticides in Soil

Method: ME-(AU)-IENVJAN420

Original	Duplicate	Parameter	Units	LOR	Original	Duplicate	Criteria %	RPD %	
SE233208.002	LB251329.022	Dichlorvos	mg/kg	0.5	<0.5	<0.5	200	0	
		Dimethoate	mg/kg	0.5	<0.5	<0.5	200	0	
		Diazinon (Dimpylate)	mg/kg	0.5	<0.5	<0.5	200	0	
		Fenitrothion	mg/kg	0.2	<0.2	<0.2	200	0	
		Malathion	mg/kg	0.2	<0.2	<0.2	200	0	
		Chlorpyrifos (Chlorpyrifos Ethyl)	mg/kg	0.2	<0.2	<0.2	200	0	
		Parathion-ethyl (Parathion)	mg/kg	0.2	<0.2	<0.2	200	0	
		Bromophos Ethyl	mg/kg	0.2	<0.2	<0.2	200	0	
		Methodathion	mg/kg	0.5	<0.5	<0.5	200	0	
		Ethion	mg/kg	0.2	<0.2	<0.2	200	0	
		Azinphos-methyl (Guthion)	mg/kg	0.2	<0.2	<0.2	200	0	
		Total OP Pesticides*	mg/kg	1.7	<1.7	<1.7	200	0	
		Surrogates	2-fluorobiphenyl (Surrogate)	mg/kg	-	0.4	0.5	30	3
			d14-p-terphenyl (Surrogate)	mg/kg	-	0.5	0.5	30	3
SE233210.004	LB251329.014	Dichlorvos	mg/kg	0.5	<0.5	<0.5	200	0	
		Dimethoate	mg/kg	0.5	<0.5	<0.5	200	0	
		Diazinon (Dimpylate)	mg/kg	0.5	<0.5	<0.5	200	0	
		Fenitrothion	mg/kg	0.2	<0.2	<0.2	200	0	
		Malathion	mg/kg	0.2	<0.2	<0.2	200	0	
		Chlorpyrifos (Chlorpyrifos Ethyl)	mg/kg	0.2	<0.2	<0.2	200	0	
		Parathion-ethyl (Parathion)	mg/kg	0.2	<0.2	<0.2	200	0	
		Bromophos Ethyl	mg/kg	0.2	<0.2	<0.2	200	0	
		Methodathion	mg/kg	0.5	<0.5	<0.5	200	0	
		Ethion	mg/kg	0.2	<0.2	<0.2	200	0	
		Azinphos-methyl (Guthion)	mg/kg	0.2	<0.2	<0.2	200	0	
		Total OP Pesticides*	mg/kg	1.7	<1.7	<1.7	200	0	
		Surrogates	2-fluorobiphenyl (Surrogate)	mg/kg	-	0.5	0.5	30	0
			d14-p-terphenyl (Surrogate)	mg/kg	-	0.5	0.5	30	0

PAH (Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons) in Soil

Method: ME-(AU)-IENVJAN420

Original	Duplicate	Parameter	Units	LOR	Original	Duplicate	Criteria %	RPD %
SE233208.002	LB251329.022	Naphthalene	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	200	0
		2-methylnaphthalene	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	200	0
		1-methylnaphthalene	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	200	0
		Acenaphthylene	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	200	0
		Acenaphthene	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	200	0
		Fluorene	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	200	0
		Phenanthrene	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	200	0
		Anthracene	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	200	0
		Fluoranthene	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	158	0
		Pyrene	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	156	0
		Benzo(a)anthracene	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	200	0
		Chrysene	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	200	0
		Benzo(b&j)fluoranthene	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	200	0
		Benzo(k)fluoranthene	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	200	0
		Benzo(a)pyrene	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	200	0
		Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	200	0
		Dibenzo(ah)anthracene	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	200	0

Duplicates are calculated as Relative Percentage Difference (RPD) using the formula: $RPD = |OriginalResult - ReplicateResult| \times 100 / Mean$

The RPD is evaluated against the Maximum Allowable Difference (MAD) criteria and can be graphically represented by a curve calculated from the Statistical Detection Limit (SDL) and Limiting Repeatability (LR) using the formula: $MAD = 100 \times SDL / Mean + LR$

Where the Maximum Allowable Difference evaluates to a number larger than 200 it is displayed as 200.

RPD is shown in **Green** when within suggested criteria or **Red** with an appended reason identifier when outside suggested criteria. Refer to the footnotes section at the end of this report for failure reasons.

NOTE: The RPD reported is calculated from the unrounded data for the original and replicate result. Manual calculation of the RPD from the rounded data reported may

PAH (Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons) in Soil (continued)

Method: ME-(AU)-[ENV]AN420

Original	Duplicate	Parameter	Units	LOR	Original	Duplicate	Criteria %	RPD %		
SE233208.002	LB251329.022	Benzo(ghi)perylene	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	200	0		
		Carcinogenic PAHs, BaP TEQ <LOR=0	mg/kg	0.2	<0.2	<0.2	200	0		
		Carcinogenic PAHs, BaP TEQ <LOR=LOR	mg/kg	0.3	<0.3	<0.3	134	0		
		Carcinogenic PAHs, BaP TEQ <LOR=LOR/2	mg/kg	0.2	<0.2	<0.2	175	0		
		Total PAH (18)	mg/kg	0.8	<0.8	<0.8	200	0		
		Surrogates	d5-nitrobenzene (Surrogate)	mg/kg	-	0.5	0.5	30	4	
		2-fluorobiphenyl (Surrogate)	mg/kg	-	0.4	0.5	30	3		
		d14-p-terphenyl (Surrogate)	mg/kg	-	0.5	0.5	30	3		
		SE233210.004	LB251329.014	Naphthalene	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	200	0
				2-methylnaphthalene	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	200	0
1-methylnaphthalene	mg/kg			0.1	<0.1	<0.1	200	0		
Acenaphthylene	mg/kg			0.1	<0.1	<0.1	200	0		
Acenaphthene	mg/kg			0.1	<0.1	<0.1	200	0		
Fluorene	mg/kg			0.1	<0.1	<0.1	200	0		
Phenanthrene	mg/kg			0.1	0.1	<0.1	126	21		
Anthracene	mg/kg			0.1	<0.1	<0.1	200	0		
Fluoranthene	mg/kg			0.1	<0.1	<0.1	200	0		
Pyrene	mg/kg			0.1	<0.1	<0.1	200	0		
Benzo(a)anthracene	mg/kg			0.1	<0.1	<0.1	200	0		
Chrysene	mg/kg			0.1	<0.1	<0.1	200	0		
Benzo(b&j)fluoranthene	mg/kg			0.1	<0.1	<0.1	200	0		
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	mg/kg			0.1	<0.1	<0.1	200	0		
Benzo(a)pyrene	mg/kg			0.1	<0.1	<0.1	200	0		
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	mg/kg			0.1	<0.1	<0.1	200	0		
Dibenzo(ah)anthracene	mg/kg			0.1	<0.1	<0.1	200	0		
Benzo(ghi)perylene	mg/kg			0.1	<0.1	<0.1	200	0		
Carcinogenic PAHs, BaP TEQ <LOR=0	mg/kg			0.2	<0.2	<0.2	200	0		
Carcinogenic PAHs, BaP TEQ <LOR=LOR	mg/kg			0.3	<0.3	<0.3	134	0		
Carcinogenic PAHs, BaP TEQ <LOR=LOR/2	mg/kg			0.2	<0.2	<0.2	175	0		
Total PAH (18)	mg/kg			0.8	<0.8	<0.8	200	0		
Surrogates	d5-nitrobenzene (Surrogate)			mg/kg	-	0.5	0.5	30	1	
2-fluorobiphenyl (Surrogate)	mg/kg			-	0.5	0.5	30	0		
d14-p-terphenyl (Surrogate)	mg/kg			-	0.5	0.5	30	0		

PCBs in Soil

Method: ME-(AU)-[ENV]AN420

Original	Duplicate	Parameter	Units	LOR	Original	Duplicate	Criteria %	RPD %		
SE233208.002	LB251329.022	Arochlor 1016	mg/kg	0.2	<0.2	<0.2	200	0		
		Arochlor 1221	mg/kg	0.2	<0.2	<0.2	200	0		
		Arochlor 1232	mg/kg	0.2	<0.2	<0.2	200	0		
		Arochlor 1242	mg/kg	0.2	<0.2	<0.2	200	0		
		Arochlor 1248	mg/kg	0.2	<0.2	<0.2	200	0		
		Arochlor 1254	mg/kg	0.2	<0.2	<0.2	200	0		
		Arochlor 1260	mg/kg	0.2	<0.2	<0.2	200	0		
		Arochlor 1262	mg/kg	0.2	<0.2	<0.2	200	0		
		Arochlor 1268	mg/kg	0.2	<0.2	<0.2	200	0		
		Total PCBs (Arochlors)	mg/kg	1	<1	<1	200	0		
		Surrogates	Tetrachloro-m-xylene (TCMX) (Surrogate)	mg/kg	-	0	0	30	0	
		SE233210.004	LB251329.014	Arochlor 1016	mg/kg	0.2	<0.2	<0.2	200	0
				Arochlor 1221	mg/kg	0.2	<0.2	<0.2	200	0
				Arochlor 1232	mg/kg	0.2	<0.2	<0.2	200	0
Arochlor 1242	mg/kg			0.2	<0.2	<0.2	200	0		
Arochlor 1248	mg/kg			0.2	<0.2	<0.2	200	0		
Arochlor 1254	mg/kg			0.2	<0.2	<0.2	200	0		
Arochlor 1260	mg/kg			0.2	<0.2	<0.2	200	0		
Arochlor 1262	mg/kg			0.2	<0.2	<0.2	200	0		
Arochlor 1268	mg/kg			0.2	<0.2	<0.2	200	0		
Total PCBs (Arochlors)	mg/kg			1	<1	<1	200	0		
Surrogates	Tetrachloro-m-xylene (TCMX) (Surrogate)			mg/kg	-	0	0	30	3	

Total Recoverable Elements in Soil/Waste Solids/Materials by ICPOES

Method: ME-(AU)-[ENV]AN40/AN320

Original	Duplicate	Parameter	Units	LOR
----------	-----------	-----------	-------	-----

Duplicates are calculated as Relative Percentage Difference (RPD) using the formula: $RPD = |OriginalResult - ReplicateResult| \times 100 / Mean$

The RPD is evaluated against the Maximum Allowable Difference (MAD) criteria and can be graphically represented by a curve calculated from the Statistical Detection Limit (SDL) and Limiting Repeatability (LR) using the formula: $MAD = 100 \times SDL / Mean + LR$

Where the Maximum Allowable Difference evaluates to a number larger than 200 it is displayed as 200.

RPD is shown in **Green** when within suggested criteria or **Red** with an appended reason identifier when outside suggested criteria. Refer to the footnotes section at the end of this report for failure reasons.

NOTE: The RPD reported is calculated from the unrounded data for the original and replicate result. Manual calculation of the RPD from the rounded data reported may

Total Recoverable Elements in Soil/Waste Solids/Materials by ICPOES (continued)

Method: ME-(AU)-[ENV]AN040/AN320

Original	Duplicate	Parameter	Units	LOR	Original	Duplicate	Criteria %	RPD %
SE233422.004	LB251559.014	Arsenic, As	mg/kg	1	5	5	49	1
		Cadmium, Cd	mg/kg	0.3	<0.3	<0.3	200	0
		Chromium, Cr	mg/kg	0.5	11	11	34	2
		Copper, Cu	mg/kg	0.5	17	18	33	2
		Nickel, Ni	mg/kg	0.5	6.0	6.1	38	2
		Lead, Pb	mg/kg	1	48	49	32	1
		Zinc, Zn	mg/kg	2	70	71	33	2
SE233422.013	LB251559.024	Arsenic, As	mg/kg	1	5	4	52	37
		Cadmium, Cd	mg/kg	0.3	<0.3	<0.3	200	0
		Chromium, Cr	mg/kg	0.5	12	9.1	35	30
		Copper, Cu	mg/kg	0.5	10	8.3	35	20
		Nickel, Ni	mg/kg	0.5	4.1	2.2	46	60 @
		Lead, Pb	mg/kg	1	20	29	34	39 @
		Zinc, Zn	mg/kg	2	42	43	35	1

TRH (Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons) in Soil

Method: ME-(AU)-[ENV]AN403

Original	Duplicate	Parameter	Units	LOR	Original	Duplicate	Criteria %	RPD %		
SE233208.002	LB251329.022	TRH C10-C14	mg/kg	20	<20	<20	200	0		
		TRH C15-C28	mg/kg	45	<45	<45	200	0		
		TRH C29-C36	mg/kg	45	<45	<45	200	0		
		TRH C37-C40	mg/kg	100	<100	<100	200	0		
		TRH C10-C36 Total	mg/kg	110	<110	<110	200	0		
		TRH >C10-C40 Total (F bands)	mg/kg	210	<210	<210	200	0		
		TRH F Bands	TRH >C10-C16	mg/kg	25	<25	<25	200	0	
		TRH >C10-C16 - Naphthalene (F2)	mg/kg	25	<25	<25	200	0		
		TRH >C16-C34 (F3)	mg/kg	90	<90	<90	200	0		
		TRH >C34-C40 (F4)	mg/kg	120	<120	<120	200	0		
		SE233210.004	LB251329.014	TRH C10-C14	mg/kg	20	<20	<20	200	0
				TRH C15-C28	mg/kg	45	<45	<45	200	0
TRH C29-C36	mg/kg			45	130	110	68	21		
TRH C37-C40	mg/kg			100	130	<100	133	23		
TRH C10-C36 Total	mg/kg			110	130	<110	124	17		
TRH >C10-C40 Total (F bands)	mg/kg			210	280	<210	139	30		
TRH F Bands	TRH >C10-C16			mg/kg	25	<25	<25	200	0	
TRH >C10-C16 - Naphthalene (F2)	mg/kg			25	<25	<25	200	0		
TRH >C16-C34 (F3)	mg/kg			90	90	100	124	13		
TRH >C34-C40 (F4)	mg/kg			120	190	<120	108	47		

VOC's in Soil

Method: ME-(AU)-[ENV]AN433

Original	Duplicate	Parameter	Units	LOR	Original	Duplicate	Criteria %	RPD %	
SE233208.002	LB251330.021	Fumigants	2,2-dichloropropane	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	200	0
			1,2-dichloropropane	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	200	0
			cis-1,3-dichloropropene	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	200	0
			trans-1,3-dichloropropene	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	200	0
			1,2-dibromoethane (EDB)	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	200	0
			Halogenated	Dichlorodifluoromethane (CFC-12)	mg/kg	1	<1	<1	200
		Aliphatics	Chloromethane	mg/kg	1	<1	<1	200	0
			Vinyl chloride (Chloroethene)	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	200	0
			Bromomethane	mg/kg	1	<1	<1	200	0
			Chloroethane	mg/kg	1	<1	<1	200	0
			Trichlorofluoromethane	mg/kg	1	<1	<1	200	0
			Iodomethane	mg/kg	5	<5	<5	200	0
			1,1-dichloroethene	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	200	0
			Dichloromethane (Methylene chloride)	mg/kg	0.5	<0.5	<0.5	200	0
			Allyl chloride	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	200	0
			trans-1,2-dichloroethene	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	200	0
			1,1-dichloroethane	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	200	0
			cis-1,2-dichloroethene	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	200	0
			Bromochloromethane	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	200	0
			1,2-dichloroethane	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	200	0
			1,1,1-trichloroethane	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	200	0
			1,1-dichloropropene	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	200	0

Duplicates are calculated as Relative Percentage Difference (RPD) using the formula: $RPD = |OriginalResult - ReplicateResult| \times 100 / Mean$

The RPD is evaluated against the Maximum Allowable Difference (MAD) criteria and can be graphically represented by a curve calculated from the Statistical Detection Limit (SDL) and Limiting Repeatability (LR) using the formula: $MAD = 100 \times SDL / Mean + LR$

Where the Maximum Allowable Difference evaluates to a number larger than 200 it is displayed as 200.

RPD is shown in **Green** when within suggested criteria or **Red** with an appended reason identifier when outside suggested criteria. Refer to the footnotes section at the end of this report for failure reasons.

NOTE: The RPD reported is calculated from the unrounded data for the original and replicate result. Manual calculation of the RPD from the rounded data reported may

VOC's in Soil (continued)

Method: ME-(AU)-ENVJAN433

Original	Duplicate		Parameter	Units	LOR	Original	Duplicate	Criteria %	RPD %
SE233208.002	LB251330.021	Halogenated	Carbon tetrachloride	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	200	0
		Aliphatics	Dibromomethane	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	200	0
			Trichloroethene (Trichloroethylene -TCE)	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	200	0
			1,1,2-trichloroethane	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	200	0
			1,3-dichloropropane	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	200	0
			Tetrachloroethene (Perchloroethylene,PCE)	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	200	0
			1,1,1,2-tetrachloroethane	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	200	0
			cis-1,4-dichloro-2-butene	mg/kg	1	<1	<1	200	0
			1,1,2,2-tetrachloroethane	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	200	0
			1,2,3-trichloropropane	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	200	0
			trans-1,4-dichloro-2-butene	mg/kg	1	<1	<1	200	0
			1,2-dibromo-3-chloropropane	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	200	0
			Hexachlorobutadiene	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	200	0
		Halogenated	Chlorobenzene	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	200	0
		Aromatics	Bromobenzene	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	200	0
			2-chlorotoluene	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	200	0
			4-chlorotoluene	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	200	0
			1,3-dichlorobenzene	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	200	0
			1,4-dichlorobenzene	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	200	0
			1,2-dichlorobenzene	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	200	0
			1,2,4-trichlorobenzene	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	200	0
			1,2,3-trichlorobenzene	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	200	0
		Monocyclic	Benzene	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	200	0
		Aromatic	Toluene	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	200	0
			Ethylbenzene	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	200	0
			m/p-xylene	mg/kg	0.2	<0.2	<0.2	200	0
			Styrene (Vinyl benzene)	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	200	0
			o-xylene	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	200	0
			Isopropylbenzene (Cumene)	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	200	0
			n-propylbenzene	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	200	0
			1,3,5-trimethylbenzene	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	200	0
			tert-butylbenzene	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	200	0
			1,2,4-trimethylbenzene	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	140	0
			sec-butylbenzene	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	200	0
			p-isopropyltoluene	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	200	0
			n-butylbenzene	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	200	0
		Nitrogenous	Acrylonitrile	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	200	0
		Compounds	2-nitropropane	mg/kg	10	<10	<10	200	0
		Oxygenated	Acetone (2-propanone)	mg/kg	10	<10	<10	200	0
		Compounds	MtBE (Methyl-tert-butyl ether)	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	200	0
			Vinyl acetate	mg/kg	10	<10	<10	200	0
			MEK (2-butanone)	mg/kg	10	<10	<10	200	0
			MIBK (4-methyl-2-pentanone)	mg/kg	1	<1	<1	200	0
			2-hexanone (MBK)	mg/kg	5	<5	<5	200	0
		Polycyclic	Naphthalene (VOC)	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	200	0
		Sulphonated	Carbon disulfide	mg/kg	0.5	<0.5	<0.5	200	0
		Surrogates	d4-1,2-dichloroethane (Surrogate)	mg/kg	-	8.9	8.5	50	4
			d8-toluene (Surrogate)	mg/kg	-	9.5	9.1	50	4
			Bromofluorobenzene (Surrogate)	mg/kg	-	8.7	8.4	50	4
		Totals	Total Xylenes	mg/kg	0.3	<0.3	<0.3	200	0
			Total BTEX	mg/kg	0.6	<0.6	<0.3	200	0
			Total VOC*	mg/kg	24	<24	<24	200	0
			Total Volatile Chlorinated Hydrocarbons*	mg/kg	3	<3	<3	200	0
			Total Chlorinated Hydrocarbons VIC EPA*	mg/kg	1.8	<1.8	<1.8	200	0
			Total Other Chlorinated Hydrocarbons VIC EPA*	mg/kg	1.8	<1.8	<1.8	200	0
		Trihalomethanes	Chloroform	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	200	0
			Bromodichloromethane	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	200	0
			Chlorodibromomethane	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	200	0
			Bromoform	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	200	0
SE233210.004	LB251330.014	Monocyclic	Benzene	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	200	0
		Aromatic	Toluene	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	200	0

Duplicates are calculated as Relative Percentage Difference (RPD) using the formula: $RPD = |OriginalResult - ReplicateResult| \times 100 / Mean$

The RPD is evaluated against the Maximum Allowable Difference (MAD) criteria and can be graphically represented by a curve calculated from the Statistical Detection Limit (SDL) and Limiting Repeatability (LR) using the formula: $MAD = 100 \times SDL / Mean + LR$

Where the Maximum Allowable Difference evaluates to a number larger than 200 it is displayed as 200.

RPD is shown in **Green** when within suggested criteria or **Red** with an appended reason identifier when outside suggested criteria. Refer to the footnotes section at the end of this report for failure reasons.

NOTE: The RPD reported is calculated from the unrounded data for the original and replicate result. Manual calculation of the RPD from the rounded data reported may

VOC's in Soil (continued)

Method: ME-(AU)-ENVJAN433

Original	Duplicate	Parameter	Units	LOR	Original	Duplicate	Criteria %	RPD %	
SE233210.004	LB251330.014	Monocyclic	Ethylbenzene	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	200	0
		Aromatic	m/p-xylene	mg/kg	0.2	<0.2	<0.2	200	0
			o-xylene	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	200	0
		Polycyclic	Naphthalene (VOC)	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	200	0
		Surrogates	d4-1,2-dichloroethane (Surrogate)	mg/kg	-	8.5	7.9	50	8
			d8-toluene (Surrogate)	mg/kg	-	9.5	8.8	50	8
			Bromofluorobenzene (Surrogate)	mg/kg	-	8.8	8.3	50	6
		Totals	Total Xylenes	mg/kg	0.3	<0.3	<0.3	200	0
			Total BTEX	mg/kg	0.6	<0.6	<0.3	200	0

Volatile Petroleum Hydrocarbons in Soil

Method: ME-(AU)-ENVJAN433

Original	Duplicate	Parameter	Units	LOR	Original	Duplicate	Criteria %	RPD %	
SE233208.002	LB251330.021	TRH C6-C10	mg/kg	25	<25	<25	200	0	
			mg/kg	20	<20	<20	200	0	
		Surrogates	d4-1,2-dichloroethane (Surrogate)	mg/kg	-	8.9	8.5	30	4
			d8-toluene (Surrogate)	mg/kg	-	9.5	9.1	30	4
			Bromofluorobenzene (Surrogate)	mg/kg	-	8.7	8.4	30	4
		VPH F Bands	Benzene (F0)	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	200	0
			TRH C6-C10 minus BTEX (F1)	mg/kg	25	<25	<25	200	0
SE233210.004	LB251330.014	TRH C6-C10	mg/kg	25	<25	<25	200	0	
			mg/kg	20	<20	<20	200	0	
		Surrogates	d4-1,2-dichloroethane (Surrogate)	mg/kg	-	8.5	7.9	30	8
			d8-toluene (Surrogate)	mg/kg	-	9.5	8.8	30	8
			Bromofluorobenzene (Surrogate)	mg/kg	-	8.8	8.3	30	6
		VPH F Bands	Benzene (F0)	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	200	0
			TRH C6-C10 minus BTEX (F1)	mg/kg	25	<25	<25	200	0

Laboratory Control Standard (LCS) results are evaluated against an expected result, typically the concentration of analyte spiked into the control during the sample preparation stage, producing a percentage recovery. The criteria applied to the percentage recovery is established in the SGS QA /QC plan (Ref: MP-(AU)-[ENV]QU-022). For more information refer to the footnotes in the concluding page of this report.

Recovery is shown in **Green** when within suggested criteria or **Red** with an appended dagger symbol (†) when outside suggested criteria.

Mercury in Soil

Method: ME-(AU)-[ENV]AN312

Sample Number	Parameter	Units	LOR	Result	Expected	Criteria %	Recovery %
LB251560.002	Mercury	mg/kg	0.05	0.21	0.2	70 - 130	105

OC Pesticides in Soil

Method: ME-(AU)-[ENV]AN420

Sample Number	Parameter	Units	LOR	Result	Expected	Criteria %	Recovery %
LB251329.002	Heptachlor	mg/kg	0.1	0.2	0.2	60 - 140	84
	Aldrin	mg/kg	0.1	0.2	0.2	60 - 140	81
	Delta BHC	mg/kg	0.1	0.2	0.2	60 - 140	78
	Dieldrin	mg/kg	0.2	<0.2	0.2	60 - 140	83
	Endrin	mg/kg	0.2	<0.2	0.2	60 - 140	87
	p,p'-DDT	mg/kg	0.1	0.2	0.2	60 - 140	81
Surrogates	Tetrachloro-m-xylene (TCMX) (Surrogate)	mg/kg	-	0.14	0.15	40 - 130	91

OP Pesticides in Soil

Method: ME-(AU)-[ENV]AN420

Sample Number	Parameter	Units	LOR	Result	Expected	Criteria %	Recovery %	
LB251329.002	Dichlorvos	mg/kg	0.5	1.8	2	60 - 140	89	
	Diazinon (Dimpylate)	mg/kg	0.5	2.0	2	60 - 140	100	
	Chlorpyrifos (Chlorpyrifos Ethyl)	mg/kg	0.2	2.0	2	60 - 140	99	
	Ethion	mg/kg	0.2	1.7	2	60 - 140	85	
	Surrogates	2-fluorobiphenyl (Surrogate)	mg/kg	-	0.4	0.5	40 - 130	89
	d14-p-terphenyl (Surrogate)	mg/kg	-	0.4	0.5	40 - 130	82	

PAH (Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons) in Soil

Method: ME-(AU)-[ENV]AN420

Sample Number	Parameter	Units	LOR	Result	Expected	Criteria %	Recovery %	
LB251329.002	Naphthalene	mg/kg	0.1	4.3	4	60 - 140	107	
	Acenaphthylene	mg/kg	0.1	4.1	4	60 - 140	102	
	Acenaphthene	mg/kg	0.1	4.2	4	60 - 140	105	
	Phenanthrene	mg/kg	0.1	4.2	4	60 - 140	105	
	Anthracene	mg/kg	0.1	4.1	4	60 - 140	103	
	Fluoranthene	mg/kg	0.1	4.2	4	60 - 140	104	
	Pyrene	mg/kg	0.1	3.9	4	60 - 140	98	
	Benzo(a)pyrene	mg/kg	0.1	4.1	4	60 - 140	102	
	Surrogates	d5-nitrobenzene (Surrogate)	mg/kg	-	0.4	0.5	40 - 130	86
	2-fluorobiphenyl (Surrogate)	mg/kg	-	0.4	0.5	40 - 130	89	
d14-p-terphenyl (Surrogate)	mg/kg	-	0.4	0.5	40 - 130	82		

PCBs in Soil

Method: ME-(AU)-[ENV]AN420

Sample Number	Parameter	Units	LOR	Result	Expected	Criteria %	Recovery %
LB251329.002	Arochlor 1260	mg/kg	0.2	0.4	0.4	60 - 140	105

Total Recoverable Elements in Soil/Waste Solids/Materials by ICPOES

Method: ME-(AU)-[ENV]AN040/AN320

Sample Number	Parameter	Units	LOR	Result	Expected	Criteria %	Recovery %
LB251559.002	Arsenic, As	mg/kg	1	330	318.22	80 - 120	105
	Cadmium, Cd	mg/kg	0.3	4.5	4.81	70 - 130	95
	Chromium, Cr	mg/kg	0.5	35	38.31	80 - 120	92
	Copper, Cu	mg/kg	0.5	320	290	80 - 120	111
	Nickel, Ni	mg/kg	0.5	190	187	80 - 120	102
	Lead, Pb	mg/kg	1	95	89.9	80 - 120	106
	Zinc, Zn	mg/kg	2	280	273	80 - 120	104

TRH (Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons) in Soil

Method: ME-(AU)-[ENV]AN403

Sample Number	Parameter	Units	LOR	Result	Expected	Criteria %	Recovery %	
LB251329.002	TRH C10-C14	mg/kg	20	42	40	60 - 140	105	
	TRH C15-C28	mg/kg	45	48	40	60 - 140	120	
	TRH C29-C36	mg/kg	45	<45	40	60 - 140	93	
	TRH F Bands	TRH >C10-C16	mg/kg	25	43	40	60 - 140	108
	TRH >C16-C34 (F3)	mg/kg	90	<90	40	60 - 140	113	
	TRH >C34-C40 (F4)	mg/kg	120	<120	20	60 - 140	95	

VOC's in Soil

Method: ME-(AU)-[ENV]AN433

Sample Number	Parameter	Units	LOR
---------------	-----------	-------	-----

Laboratory Control Standard (LCS) results are evaluated against an expected result, typically the concentration of analyte spiked into the control during the sample preparation stage, producing a percentage recovery. The criteria applied to the percentage recovery is established in the SGS QA /QC plan (Ref: MP-(AU)-[ENV]QU-022). For more information refer to the footnotes in the concluding page of this report.

Recovery is shown in **Green** when within suggested criteria or **Red** with an appended dagger symbol (†) when outside suggested criteria.

VOC's in Soil (continued)

Method: ME-(AU)-[ENV]AN433

Sample Number	Parameter	Units	LOR	Result	Expected	Criteria %	Recovery %	
LB251330.002	Halogenated	1,1-dichloroethene	mg/kg	0.1	5.0	5	60 - 140	100
	Aliphatics	1,2-dichloroethane	mg/kg	0.1	4.9	5	60 - 140	99
		Trichloroethene (Trichloroethylene -TCE)	mg/kg	0.1	5.1	5	60 - 140	101
	Halogenated	Chlorobenzene	mg/kg	0.1	4.9	5	60 - 140	99
	Monocyclic	Benzene	mg/kg	0.1	4.6	5	60 - 140	91
	Aromatic	Toluene	mg/kg	0.1	4.6	5	60 - 140	92
		Ethylbenzene	mg/kg	0.1	4.9	5	60 - 140	97
		m/p-xylene	mg/kg	0.2	9.4	10	60 - 140	94
		o-xylene	mg/kg	0.1	5.1	5	60 - 140	102
	Surrogates	d4-1,2-dichloroethane (Surrogate)	mg/kg	-	9.8	10	70 - 130	98
		d8-toluene (Surrogate)	mg/kg	-	10.3	10	70 - 130	103
		Bromofluorobenzene (Surrogate)	mg/kg	-	9.8	10	70 - 130	98
	Trihalomethan	Chloroform	mg/kg	0.1	5.6	5	60 - 140	113

Volatile Petroleum Hydrocarbons in Soil

Method: ME-(AU)-[ENV]AN433

Sample Number	Parameter	Units	LOR	Result	Expected	Criteria %	Recovery %	
LB251330.002	TRH C6-C10	mg/kg	25	77	92.5	60 - 140	83	
		mg/kg	20	68	80	60 - 140	85	
	Surrogates	d4-1,2-dichloroethane (Surrogate)	mg/kg	-	9.8	10	70 - 130	98
		Bromofluorobenzene (Surrogate)	mg/kg	-	9.8	10	70 - 130	98
	VPH F Bands	TRH C6-C10 minus BTEX (F1)	mg/kg	25	49	62.5	60 - 140	78

Matrix Spike (MS) results are evaluated as the percentage recovery of an expected result, typically the concentration of analyte spiked into a field sub-sample during the sample preparation stage. The original sample's result is subtracted from the sub-sample result before determining the percentage recovery. The criteria applied to the percentage recovery is established in the SGS QA/QC plan (ref: MP-(AU)-[ENV]QU-022). For more information refer to the footnotes in the concluding page of this report.

Recovery is shown in **Green** when within suggested criteria or **Red** with an appended reason identifier when outside suggested criteria. Refer to the footnotes section at the end of this report for failure reasons.

OC Pesticides in Soil

Method: ME-(AU)-[ENV]AN420

QC Sample	Sample Number	Parameter	Units	LOR	Original	Spike	Recovery%
SE233210.005	LB251329.024	Hexachlorobenzene (HCB)	mg/kg	0.1	0.00039881007	-	-
		Alpha BHC	mg/kg	0.1	0.00089955772	-	-
		Lindane	mg/kg	0.1	0.00193158872	-	-
		Heptachlor	mg/kg	0.1	0.00108877725	0.2	92
		Aldrin	mg/kg	0.1	0.00223284523	0.2	87
		Beta BHC	mg/kg	0.1	0.00056689776	-	-
		Delta BHC	mg/kg	0.1	0.00149164414	0.2	85
		Heptachlor epoxide	mg/kg	0.1	0	-	-
		o,p'-DDE	mg/kg	0.1	0.00111052164	-	-
		Alpha Endosulfan	mg/kg	0.2	0.00111052164	-	-
		Gamma Chlordane	mg/kg	0.1	0.00022553587	-	-
		Alpha Chlordane	mg/kg	0.1	0.00024176679	-	-
		trans-Nonachlor	mg/kg	0.1	0.00022553587	-	-
		p,p'-DDE	mg/kg	0.1	0	-	-
		Dieldrin	mg/kg	0.2	0.00376626177	0.2	82
		Endrin	mg/kg	0.2	0.00082653688	0.2	86
		o,p'-DDD	mg/kg	0.1	0.02306760350	-	-
		o,p'-DDT	mg/kg	0.1	0	-	-
		Beta Endosulfan	mg/kg	0.2	0	-	-
		p,p'-DDD	mg/kg	0.1	0.00227774668	-	-
		p,p'-DDT	mg/kg	0.1	0.00061116210	0.2	70
		Endosulfan sulphate	mg/kg	0.1	0.00093791729	-	-
		Endrin Aldehyde	mg/kg	0.1	0.00377516296	-	-
		Methoxychlor	mg/kg	0.1	0.00116003234	-	-
		Endrin Ketone	mg/kg	0.1	0.00055731886	-	-
		Isodrin	mg/kg	0.1	0.00086541345	-	-
		Mirex	mg/kg	0.1	0.00055731886	-	-
		Total CLP OC Pesticides	mg/kg	1	0	-	-
		Total OC VIC EPA	mg/kg	1	0	-	-
	Surrogates	Tetrachloro-m-xylene (TCMX) (Surrogate)	mg/kg	-	0.16148045676	-	108

OP Pesticides in Soil

Method: ME-(AU)-[ENV]AN420

QC Sample	Sample Number	Parameter	Units	LOR	Original	Spike	Recovery%
SE233210.005	LB251329.024	Dichlorvos	mg/kg	0.5	0	2	100
		Dimethoate	mg/kg	0.5	0.00137112732	-	-
		Diazinon (Dimpylate)	mg/kg	0.5	0.00266252311	2	104
		Fenitrothion	mg/kg	0.2	0.00040038843	-	-
		Malathion	mg/kg	0.2	0	-	-
		Chlorpyrifos (Chlorpyrifos Ethyl)	mg/kg	0.2	0.00391910753	2	102
		Parathion-ethyl (Parathion)	mg/kg	0.2	0.00223811516	-	-
		Bromophos Ethyl	mg/kg	0.2	0	-	-
		Methodathion	mg/kg	0.5	0	-	-
		Ethion	mg/kg	0.2	0.00197237423	2	98
		Azinphos-methyl (Guthion)	mg/kg	0.2	0	-	-
		Total OP Pesticides*	mg/kg	1.7	0	-	-
	Surrogates	2-fluorobiphenyl (Surrogate)	mg/kg	-	0.43809977266	-	97
		d14-p-terphenyl (Surrogate)	mg/kg	-	0.45895800018	-	90

PAH (Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons) in Soil

Method: ME-(AU)-[ENV]AN420

QC Sample	Sample Number	Parameter	Units	LOR	Original	Spike	Recovery%
SE233210.005	LB251329.024	Naphthalene	mg/kg	0.1	0.00220569978	4	107
		2-methylnaphthalene	mg/kg	0.1	0.00380199980	-	-
		1-methylnaphthalene	mg/kg	0.1	0.00557697437	-	-
		Acenaphthylene	mg/kg	0.1	0	4	103
		Acenaphthene	mg/kg	0.1	0.00171096512	4	105
		Fluorene	mg/kg	0.1	0.00064831284	-	-
		Phenanthrene	mg/kg	0.1	0.02481046382	4	105
		Anthracene	mg/kg	0.1	0.00289581348	4	103
		Fluoranthene	mg/kg	0.1	0.02457918128	4	104
		Pyrene	mg/kg	0.1	0.01968475778	4	99
		Benzo(a)anthracene	mg/kg	0.1	0.02300337161	-	-
		Chrysene	mg/kg	0.1	0.02124932170	-	-

Matrix Spike (MS) results are evaluated as the percentage recovery of an expected result, typically the concentration of analyte spiked into a field sub-sample during the sample preparation stage. The original sample's result is subtracted from the sub-sample result before determining the percentage recovery. The criteria applied to the percentage recovery is established in the SGS QA/QC plan (ref: MP-(AU)-[ENV]QU-022). For more information refer to the footnotes in the concluding page of this report.

Recovery is shown in **Green** when within suggested criteria or **Red** with an appended reason identifier when outside suggested criteria. Refer to the footnotes section at the end of this report for failure reasons.

PAH (Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons) in Soil (continued)

Method: ME-(AU)-[ENV]AN420

QC Sample	Sample Number	Parameter	Units	LOR	Original	Spike	Recovery%	
SE233210.005	LB251329.024	Benzo(b&j)fluoranthene	mg/kg	0.1	0.01531146724	-	-	
		Benzo(k)fluoranthene	mg/kg	0.1	0.01559190620	-	-	
		Benzo(a)pyrene	mg/kg	0.1	0.00619699573	4	99	
		Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	mg/kg	0.1	0.00669994092	-	-	
		Dibenzo(ah)anthracene	mg/kg	0.1	0.00331689909	-	-	
		Benzo(ghi)perylene	mg/kg	0.1	0.01148249504	-	-	
		Carcinogenic PAHs, BaP TEQ <LOR=0	TEQ (mg/kg)	0.2	0	-	-	
		Carcinogenic PAHs, BaP TEQ <LOR=LOR	TEQ (mg/kg)	0.3	0.242	-	-	
		Carcinogenic PAHs, BaP TEQ <LOR=LOR/2	TEQ (mg/kg)	0.2	0.121	-	-	
		Total PAH (18)	mg/kg	0.8	0	-	-	
		Surrogates	d5-nitrobenzene (Surrogate)	mg/kg	-	0.46507169640	-	96
		2-fluorobiphenyl (Surrogate)	mg/kg	-	0.43809977266	-	97	
		d14-p-terphenyl (Surrogate)	mg/kg	-	0.45895800018	-	90	

PCBs in Soil

Method: ME-(AU)-[ENV]AN420

QC Sample	Sample Number	Parameter	Units	LOR	Original	Spike	Recovery%	
SE233210.005	LB251329.024	Arochlor 1016	mg/kg	0.2	0	-	-	
		Arochlor 1221	mg/kg	0.2	0	-	-	
		Arochlor 1232	mg/kg	0.2	0	-	-	
		Arochlor 1242	mg/kg	0.2	0	-	-	
		Arochlor 1248	mg/kg	0.2	0	-	-	
		Arochlor 1254	mg/kg	0.2	0	-	-	
		Arochlor 1260	mg/kg	0.2	0	0.4	102	
		Arochlor 1262	mg/kg	0.2	0	-	-	
		Arochlor 1268	mg/kg	0.2	0	-	-	
		Total PCBs (Arochlors)	mg/kg	1	0	-	-	
		Surrogates	Tetrachloro-m-xylene (TCMX) (Surrogate)	mg/kg	-	0.16148045676	-	108

Total Recoverable Elements in Soil/Waste Solids/Materials by ICPOES

Method: ME-(AU)-[ENV]AN040/AN320

QC Sample	Sample Number	Parameter	Units	LOR	Result	Original	Spike	Recovery%
SE233208.001	LB251559.004	Arsenic, As	mg/kg	1	54	9	50	91
		Cadmium, Cd	mg/kg	0.3	47	1.2	50	91
		Chromium, Cr	mg/kg	0.5	56	9.8	50	93
		Copper, Cu	mg/kg	0.5	96	91	50	10 @
		Nickel, Ni	mg/kg	0.5	52	4.3	50	95
		Lead, Pb	mg/kg	1	190	170	50	30 @
		Zinc, Zn	mg/kg	2	260	260	50	-2 @

TRH (Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons) in Soil

Method: ME-(AU)-[ENV]AN403

QC Sample	Sample Number	Parameter	Units	LOR	Original	Spike	Recovery%	
SE233210.005	LB251329.024	TRH C10-C14	mg/kg	20	0	40	85	
		TRH C15-C28	mg/kg	45	0	40	378 @	
		TRH C29-C36	mg/kg	45	186	40	1368 @	
		TRH C37-C40	mg/kg	100	170	-	-	
		TRH C10-C36 Total	mg/kg	110	186	-	-	
		TRH >C10-C40 Total (F bands)	mg/kg	210	380	-	-	
		TRH F Bands	TRH >C10-C16	mg/kg	25	0	40	100
		TRH >C10-C16 - Naphthalene (F2)	mg/kg	25	0	-	-	
		TRH >C16-C34 (F3)	mg/kg	90	105	40	880 @	
		TRH >C34-C40 (F4)	mg/kg	120	275	-	-	

VOC's in Soil

Method: ME-(AU)-[ENV]AN433

QC Sample	Sample Number	Parameter	Units	LOR	Original	Spike	Recovery%	
SE233210.005	LB251330.023	Monocyclic	Benzene	mg/kg	0.1	0.00300809224	5	83
		Aromatic	Toluene	mg/kg	0.1	0.00773059940	5	83
		Ethylbenzene	mg/kg	0.1	0.00223739684	5	88	
		m/p-xylene	mg/kg	0.2	0.02164326046	10	84	
		o-xylene	mg/kg	0.1	0.00443657367	5	91	
		Polycyclic	Naphthalene (VOC)	mg/kg	0.1	0.00890281102	-	-
		Surrogates	d4-1,2-dichloroethane (Surrogate)	mg/kg	-	8.57112874950	10	89
		d8-toluene (Surrogate)	mg/kg	-	9.57991233643	10	99	
		Bromofluorobenzene (Surrogate)	mg/kg	-	8.77282739300	10	92	
		Totals	Total Xylenes	mg/kg	0.3	0.02607983414	-	-

Matrix Spike (MS) results are evaluated as the percentage recovery of an expected result, typically the concentration of analyte spiked into a field sub-sample during the sample preparation stage. The original sample's result is subtracted from the sub-sample result before determining the percentage recovery. The criteria applied to the percentage recovery is established in the SGS QA/QC plan (ref: MP-(AU)-[ENV]QU-022). For more information refer to the footnotes in the concluding page of this report.

Recovery is shown in **Green** when within suggested criteria or **Red** with an appended reason identifier when outside suggested criteria. Refer to the footnotes section at the end of this report for failure reasons.

VOC's in Soil (continued)

Method: ME-(AU)-[ENV]AN433

QC Sample	Sample Number	Parameter	Units	LOR	Original	Spike	Recovery%
SE233210.005	LB251330.023	Totals Total BTEX	mg/kg	0.6	0	-	-

Volatile Petroleum Hydrocarbons in Soil

Method: ME-(AU)-[ENV]AN433

QC Sample	Sample Number	Parameter	Units	LOR	Original	Spike	Recovery%	
SE233210.005	LB251330.023	TRH C6-C10	mg/kg	25	0.30557543791	92.5	85	
		TRH C6-C9	mg/kg	20	0.30753531623	80	84	
		Surrogates						
		d4-1,2-dichloroethane (Surrogate)	mg/kg	-	8.57112874950	10	89	
		d8-toluene (Surrogate)	mg/kg	-	9.57991233643	10	99	
		Bromofluorobenzene (Surrogate)	mg/kg	-	8.77282739300	-	92	
		VPH F						
		Benzene (F0)	mg/kg	0.1	0.00300809224	-	-	
Bands								
		TRH C6-C10 minus BTEX (F1)	mg/kg	25	0.30557543791	62.5	84	

Matrix spike duplicates are calculated as Relative Percent Difference (RPD) using the formula: $RPD = |OriginalResult - ReplicateResult| \times 100 / Mean$

The original result is the analyte concentration of the matrix spike. The Duplicate result is the analyte concentration of the matrix spike duplicate.

The RPD is evaluated against the Maximum Allowable Difference (MAD) criteria and can be graphically represented by a curve calculated from the Statistical Detection Limit (SDL) and Limiting Repeatability (LR) using the formula: $MAD = 100 \times SDL / Mean + LR$

Where the Maximum Allowable Difference evaluates to a number larger than 200 it is displayed as 200.

RPD is shown in **Green** when within suggested criteria or **Red** with an appended reason identifier when outside suggested criteria. Refer to the footnotes section at the

No matrix spike duplicates were required for this job.

Samples analysed as received.

Solid samples expressed on a dry weight basis.

QC criteria are subject to internal review according to the SGS QA/QC plan and may be provided on request or alternatively can be found here: https://www.sgs.com.au/~media/Local/Australia/Documents/Technical Documents/MP-AU-ENV-QU-022_QA_QC_Plan.pdf

- * NATA accreditation does not cover the performance of this service.
- ** Indicative data, theoretical holding time exceeded.
- *** Indicates that both * and ** apply.
- Sample not analysed for this analyte.
- IS Insufficient sample for analysis.
- LNR Sample listed, but not received.
- LOR Limit of reporting.
- QFH QC result is above the upper tolerance.
- QFL QC result is below the lower tolerance.
- ① At least 2 of 3 surrogates are within acceptance criteria.
- ② RPD failed acceptance criteria due to sample heterogeneity.
- ③ Results less than 5 times LOR preclude acceptance criteria for RPD.
- ④ Recovery failed acceptance criteria due to matrix interference.
- ⑤ Recovery failed acceptance criteria due to the presence of significant concentration of analyte (i.e. the concentration of analyte exceeds the spike level).
- ⑥ LOR was raised due to sample matrix interference.
- ⑦ LOR was raised due to dilution of significantly high concentration of analyte in sample.
- ⑧ Reanalysis of sample in duplicate confirmed sample heterogeneity and inconsistency of results.
- ⑨ Recovery failed acceptance criteria due to sample heterogeneity.
- ⑩ LOR was raised due to high conductivity of the sample (required dilution).
- † Refer to relevant report comments for further information.

This document is issued by the Company under its General Conditions of Service accessible at www.sgs.com/en/Terms-and-Conditions.aspx. Attention is drawn to the limitation of liability, indemnification and jurisdiction issues defined therein.

Any holder of this document is advised that information contained hereon reflects the Company's findings at the time of its intervention only and within the limits of Client's instructions, if any. The Company's sole responsibility is to its Client only. Any unauthorized alteration, forgery or falsification of the content or appearance of this document is unlawful and offenders may be prosecuted to the fullest extent of the law .

This test report shall not be reproduced, except in full.



Chain of Custody Record

SGS EHS Sydney COC
SE233208



Client Details:
 Foundation Earth Sciences
 PO Box 4405, East Gosford NSW 2250
 email: ben@foundations.com.au
 michael@foundations.com.au; ray@foundations.com.au
 ph: +61466 385 221

Delivery Details:
 SGS Laboratories Pty Ltd
 Unit 16, 33 Maddox Street, Alexandria NSW 2015
 email: au.sampler@sgs.com
 ph: +612 8594 0400

Project Manager: Michael Silk
Sampled By: EY
Purchase Order #: N/A
Page #: 1

Project #: E2843
Project Name: Leichhardt
Quote #:
Turnaround: Standard

#	Sample ID	Depth	Date Sampled	Matrix	Analytes										Suites	Sample Comments		
					ph	CEC	%CLAY	Heavy Metals	TRH	BTEXN	PAH	OCP OPP	PCB	VOC			PFAS	Phenol
1	SS1	-	15.6.2022	Soil				X	X	X	X	X	X	X			CL17	Keep
2	SS2	-	15.6.2022	Soil				X	X	X	X	X	X	X			CL17	Keep

Special Directions and Comments:

Relinquished by: EY

Signature: 

Date: 16.6.2022

Received By: 

Signature: 

Date: 16.6.2022

Signature: 

Date: 16.6.2022



SAMPLE RECEIPT ADVICE

SE233208

CLIENT DETAILS

Contact Ben Buckley
Client FOUNDATION EARTH SCIENCES PTY LTD
Address UNIT 119/14 LOYALTY ROAD
NORTH ROCKS NSW 2151

Telephone (Not specified)
Facsimile (Not specified)
Email ben@foundations.com.au

Project **E2843 Leichhardt**
Order Number **E2843**
Samples 2

LABORATORY DETAILS

Manager Huong Crawford
Laboratory SGS Alexandria Environmental
Address Unit 16, 33 Maddox St
Alexandria NSW 2015

Telephone +61 2 8594 0400
Facsimile +61 2 8594 0499
Email au.environmental.sydney@sgs.com

Samples Received Thu 16/6/2022
Report Due Thu 23/6/2022
SGS Reference **SE233208**

SUBMISSION DETAILS

This is to confirm that 2 samples were received on Thursday 16/6/2022. Results are expected to be ready by COB Thursday 23/6/2022. Please quote SGS reference SE233208 when making enquiries. Refer below for details relating to sample integrity upon receipt.

Sample cooling method	Ice Bricks	Sample counts by matrix	2 Soil
Date documentation received	16/6/2022	Samples received in good order	Yes
Samples received without headspace	Yes	Sample temperature upon receipt	10°C
Turnaround time requested	Standard		

Unless otherwise instructed, water and bulk samples will be held for one month from date of report, and soil samples will be held for two months.

COMMENTS

PFAS subcontracted to SGS Melbourne, 10/585 Blackburn Road, Notting Hill, VIC, NATA Accreditation Numbe. 2562/14420.

This document is issued by the Company under its General Conditions of Service accessible at www.sgs.com/en/Terms-and-Conditions.aspx. Attention is drawn to the limitation of liability, indemnification and jurisdiction issues defined therein.



SAMPLE RECEIPT ADVICE

SE233208

CLIENT DETAILS

Client FOUNDATION EARTH SCIENCES PTY LTD

Project E2843 Leichhardt

SUMMARY OF ANALYSIS

No.	Sample ID	OC Pesticides in Soil	OP Pesticides in Soil	PAH (Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons) in Soil	PCBs in Soil	Total Recoverable Elements in Soil/Waste	TRH (Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons) in Soil	VOC's in Soil	Volatile Petroleum Hydrocarbons in Soil
001	SS1	30	14	26	11	7	10	81	7
002	SS2	30	14	26	11	7	10	81	7

CONTINUED OVERLEAF

The above table represents SGS' interpretation of the client-supplied Chain Of Custody document. The numbers shown in the table indicate the number of results requested in each package. Please indicate as soon as possible should your request differ from these details. Testing as per this table shall commence immediately unless the client intervenes with a correction.



SAMPLE RECEIPT ADVICE

SE233208

CLIENT DETAILS

Client FOUNDATION EARTH SCIENCES PTY LTD

Project E2843 Leichhardt

SUMMARY OF ANALYSIS

No.	Sample ID	Mercury in Soil	Moisture Content	Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances (PFAS) in
001	SS1	1	1	56
002	SS2	1	1	56

The above table represents SGS' interpretation of the client-supplied Chain Of Custody document. The numbers shown in the table indicate the number of results requested in each package. Please indicate as soon as possible should your request differ from these details. Testing as per this table shall commence immediately unless the client intervenes with a correction.



Envirolab Services Pty Ltd
ABN 37 112 535 645
12 Ashley St Chatswood NSW 2067
ph 02 9910 6200 fax 02 9910 6201
customerservice@envirolab.com.au
www.envirolab.com.au

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS 298599

Client Details

Client	Foundation Earth Sciences Pty Ltd
Attention	Michael Silk
Address	PO Box 4405, East Gosford, NSW, 2250

Sample Details

Your Reference	<u>E2843, Leichhardt</u>
Number of Samples	7 Water
Date samples received	22/06/2022
Date completed instructions received	22/06/2022

Analysis Details

Please refer to the following pages for results, methodology summary and quality control data.
Samples were analysed as received from the client. Results relate specifically to the samples as received.
Results are reported on a dry weight basis for solids and on an as received basis for other matrices.

Report Details

Date results requested by	29/06/2022
Date of Issue	29/06/2022
NATA Accreditation Number 2901. This document shall not be reproduced except in full.	
Accredited for compliance with ISO/IEC 17025 - Testing. Tests not covered by NATA are denoted with *	

Results Approved By

Giovanni Agosti, Group Technical Manager
Josh Williams, Organics and LC Supervisor

Authorised By

Nancy Zhang, Laboratory Manager

VOCs in water						
Our Reference		298599-1	298599-2	298599-3	298599-4	298599-5
Your Reference	UNITS	GW1	GW2	GW3	GW4	GWD1
Date Sampled		21/06/2022	21/06/2022	21/06/2022	21/06/2022	21/06/2022
Type of sample		Water	Water	Water	Water	Water
Date extracted	-	24/06/2022	24/06/2022	24/06/2022	24/06/2022	24/06/2022
Date analysed	-	24/06/2022	24/06/2022	24/06/2022	24/06/2022	24/06/2022
Dichlorodifluoromethane	µg/L	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Chloromethane	µg/L	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Vinyl Chloride	µg/L	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Bromomethane	µg/L	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Chloroethane	µg/L	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Trichlorofluoromethane	µg/L	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
1,1-Dichloroethene	µg/L	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
Trans-1,2-dichloroethene	µg/L	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
1,1-dichloroethane	µg/L	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
Cis-1,2-dichloroethene	µg/L	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
Bromochloromethane	µg/L	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
Chloroform	µg/L	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
2,2-dichloropropane	µg/L	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
1,2-dichloroethane	µg/L	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
1,1,1-trichloroethane	µg/L	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
1,1-dichloropropene	µg/L	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
Cyclohexane	µg/L	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
Carbon tetrachloride	µg/L	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
Benzene	µg/L	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
Dibromomethane	µg/L	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
1,2-dichloropropane	µg/L	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
Trichloroethene	µg/L	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
Bromodichloromethane	µg/L	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
trans-1,3-dichloropropene	µg/L	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
cis-1,3-dichloropropene	µg/L	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
1,1,2-trichloroethane	µg/L	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
Toluene	µg/L	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
1,3-dichloropropane	µg/L	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
Dibromochloromethane	µg/L	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
1,2-dibromoethane	µg/L	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
Tetrachloroethene	µg/L	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
1,1,1,2-tetrachloroethane	µg/L	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
Chlorobenzene	µg/L	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
Ethylbenzene	µg/L	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1

VOCs in water						
Our Reference		298599-1	298599-2	298599-3	298599-4	298599-5
Your Reference	UNITS	GW1	GW2	GW3	GW4	GWD1
Date Sampled		21/06/2022	21/06/2022	21/06/2022	21/06/2022	21/06/2022
Type of sample		Water	Water	Water	Water	Water
Bromoform	µg/L	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
m+p-xylene	µg/L	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2
Styrene	µg/L	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
1,1,2,2-tetrachloroethane	µg/L	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
o-xylene	µg/L	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
1,2,3-trichloropropane	µg/L	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
Isopropylbenzene	µg/L	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
Bromobenzene	µg/L	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
n-propyl benzene	µg/L	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
2-chlorotoluene	µg/L	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
4-chlorotoluene	µg/L	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
1,3,5-trimethyl benzene	µg/L	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
Tert-butyl benzene	µg/L	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
1,2,4-trimethyl benzene	µg/L	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
1,3-dichlorobenzene	µg/L	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
Sec-butyl benzene	µg/L	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
1,4-dichlorobenzene	µg/L	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
4-isopropyl toluene	µg/L	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
1,2-dichlorobenzene	µg/L	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
n-butyl benzene	µg/L	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
1,2-dibromo-3-chloropropane	µg/L	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
1,2,4-trichlorobenzene	µg/L	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
Hexachlorobutadiene	µg/L	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
1,2,3-trichlorobenzene	µg/L	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
Surrogate Dibromofluoromethane	%	103	100	100	99	98
Surrogate toluene-d8	%	99	97	97	97	87
Surrogate 4-BFB	%	106	102	101	96	103

vTRH(C6-C10)/BTEXN in Water						
Our Reference		298599-1	298599-2	298599-3	298599-4	298599-5
Your Reference	UNITS	GW1	GW2	GW3	GW4	GWD1
Date Sampled		21/06/2022	21/06/2022	21/06/2022	21/06/2022	21/06/2022
Type of sample		Water	Water	Water	Water	Water
Date extracted	-	24/06/2022	24/06/2022	24/06/2022	24/06/2022	24/06/2022
Date analysed	-	24/06/2022	24/06/2022	24/06/2022	24/06/2022	24/06/2022
TRH C ₆ - C ₉	µg/L	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
TRH C ₆ - C ₁₀	µg/L	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
TRH C ₆ - C ₁₀ less BTEX (F1)	µg/L	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Benzene	µg/L	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
Toluene	µg/L	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
Ethylbenzene	µg/L	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
m+p-xylene	µg/L	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2
o-xylene	µg/L	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
Naphthalene	µg/L	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
Surrogate Dibromofluoromethane	%	103	100	100	99	98
Surrogate toluene-d8	%	99	97	97	97	87
Surrogate 4-BFB	%	106	102	101	96	103

vTRH(C6-C10)/BTEXN in Water			
Our Reference		298599-6	298599-7
Your Reference	UNITS	TS1	TB1
Date Sampled		21/06/2022	21/06/2022
Type of sample		Water	Water
Date extracted	-	24/06/2022	24/06/2022
Date analysed	-	24/06/2022	24/06/2022
TRH C ₆ - C ₉	µg/L	[NA]	<10
TRH C ₆ - C ₁₀	µg/L	[NA]	<10
TRH C ₆ - C ₁₀ less BTEX (F1)	µg/L	[NA]	<10
Benzene	µg/L	94%	<1
Toluene	µg/L	87%	<1
Ethylbenzene	µg/L	101%	<1
m+p-xylene	µg/L	100%	<2
o-xylene	µg/L	100%	<1
Naphthalene	µg/L	[NT]	<1
Surrogate Dibromofluoromethane	%	103	101
Surrogate toluene-d8	%	98	97
Surrogate 4-BFB	%	103	102

svTRH (C10-C40) in Water						
Our Reference		298599-1	298599-2	298599-3	298599-4	298599-5
Your Reference	UNITS	GW1	GW2	GW3	GW4	GWD1
Date Sampled		21/06/2022	21/06/2022	21/06/2022	21/06/2022	21/06/2022
Type of sample		Water	Water	Water	Water	Water
Date extracted	-	24/06/2022	24/06/2022	24/06/2022	24/06/2022	24/06/2022
Date analysed	-	26/06/2022	26/06/2022	26/06/2022	26/06/2022	26/06/2022
TRH C ₁₀ - C ₁₄	µg/L	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50
TRH C ₁₅ - C ₂₈	µg/L	<100	<100	120	<100	<100
TRH C ₂₉ - C ₃₆	µg/L	<100	<100	120	<100	<100
Total +ve TRH (C10-C36)	µg/L	<50	<50	230	<50	<50
TRH >C ₁₀ - C ₁₆	µg/L	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50
TRH >C ₁₀ - C ₁₆ less Naphthalene (F2)	µg/L	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50
TRH >C ₁₆ - C ₃₄	µg/L	<100	<100	200	<100	<100
TRH >C ₃₄ - C ₄₀	µg/L	<100	<100	<100	<100	<100
Total +ve TRH (>C10-C40)	µg/L	<50	<50	200	<50	<50
Surrogate o-Terphenyl	%	104	100	105	93	104

PAHs in Water - Low Level						
Our Reference		298599-1	298599-2	298599-3	298599-4	298599-5
Your Reference	UNITS	GW1	GW2	GW3	GW4	GWD1
Date Sampled		21/06/2022	21/06/2022	21/06/2022	21/06/2022	21/06/2022
Type of sample		Water	Water	Water	Water	Water
Date extracted	-	24/06/2022	24/06/2022	24/06/2022	24/06/2022	24/06/2022
Date analysed	-	29/06/2022	25/06/2022	25/06/2022	25/06/2022	25/06/2022
Naphthalene	µg/L	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2
Acenaphthylene	µg/L	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Acenaphthene	µg/L	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Fluorene	µg/L	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Phenanthrene	µg/L	<0.1	0.3	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Anthracene	µg/L	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Fluoranthene	µg/L	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Pyrene	µg/L	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Benzo(a)anthracene	µg/L	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Chrysene	µg/L	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Benzo(b,j+k)fluoranthene	µg/L	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2
Benzo(a)pyrene	µg/L	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Indeno(1,2,3-c,d)pyrene	µg/L	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	µg/L	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	µg/L	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Benzo(a)pyrene TEQ	µg/L	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
Total +ve PAH's	µg/L	<0.1	0.27	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Surrogate <i>p</i> -Terphenyl-d14	%	79	100	116	96	102

HM in water - dissolved						
Our Reference		298599-1	298599-2	298599-3	298599-4	298599-5
Your Reference	UNITS	GW1	GW2	GW3	GW4	GWD1
Date Sampled		21/06/2022	21/06/2022	21/06/2022	21/06/2022	21/06/2022
Type of sample		Water	Water	Water	Water	Water
Date prepared	-	28/06/2022	28/06/2022	28/06/2022	28/06/2022	28/06/2022
Date analysed	-	28/06/2022	28/06/2022	28/06/2022	28/06/2022	28/06/2022
Arsenic-Dissolved	µg/L	<1	<1	7	<1	<1
Cadmium-Dissolved	µg/L	0.5	0.4	3.5	0.2	0.5
Chromium-Dissolved	µg/L	<1	<1	28	<1	<1
Copper-Dissolved	µg/L	<1	<1	19	2	<1
Lead-Dissolved	µg/L	<1	<1	11	<1	<1
Mercury-Dissolved	µg/L	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
Nickel-Dissolved	µg/L	6	7	180	62	6
Zinc-Dissolved	µg/L	33	28	160	37	30

Method ID	Methodology Summary
Metals-021	Determination of Mercury by Cold Vapour AAS.
Metals-022	Determination of various metals by ICP-MS.
Org-020	Soil samples are extracted with Dichloromethane/Acetone and waters with Dichloromethane and analysed by GC-FID. F2 = (>C10-C16)-Naphthalene as per NEPM B1 Guideline on Investigation Levels for Soil and Groundwater (HSLs Tables 1A (3, 4)). Note Naphthalene is determined from the VOC analysis.
Org-022/025	Soil samples are extracted with Dichloromethane/Acetone and waters with Dichloromethane and analysed by GC-MS/GC-MSMS. Benzo(a)pyrene TEQ as per NEPM B1 Guideline on Investigation Levels for Soil and Groundwater - 2013.
Org-023	Water samples are analysed directly by purge and trap GC-MS.
Org-023	Soil samples are extracted with methanol and spiked into water prior to analysing by purge and trap GC-MS. Water samples are analysed directly by purge and trap GC-MS. F1 = (C6-C10)-BTEX as per NEPM B1 Guideline on Investigation Levels for Soil and Groundwater.

Client Reference: E2843, Leichhardt

QUALITY CONTROL: VOCs in water				Duplicate				Spike Recovery %		
Test Description	Units	PQL	Method	Blank	#	Base	Dup.	RPD	LCS-W2	[NT]
Date extracted	-			24/06/2022	1	24/06/2022	28/06/2022		24/06/2022	[NT]
Date analysed	-			24/06/2022	1	24/06/2022	28/06/2022		24/06/2022	[NT]
Dichlorodifluoromethane	µg/L	10	Org-023	<10	1	<10	<10	0	[NT]	[NT]
Chloromethane	µg/L	10	Org-023	<10	1	<10	<10	0	[NT]	[NT]
Vinyl Chloride	µg/L	10	Org-023	<10	1	<10	<10	0	[NT]	[NT]
Bromomethane	µg/L	10	Org-023	<10	1	<10	<10	0	[NT]	[NT]
Chloroethane	µg/L	10	Org-023	<10	1	<10	<10	0	[NT]	[NT]
Trichlorofluoromethane	µg/L	10	Org-023	<10	1	<10	<10	0	[NT]	[NT]
1,1-Dichloroethene	µg/L	1	Org-023	<1	1	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Trans-1,2-dichloroethene	µg/L	1	Org-023	<1	1	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
1,1-dichloroethane	µg/L	1	Org-023	<1	1	<1	<1	0	93	[NT]
Cis-1,2-dichloroethene	µg/L	1	Org-023	<1	1	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Bromochloromethane	µg/L	1	Org-023	<1	1	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Chloroform	µg/L	1	Org-023	<1	1	<1	<1	0	96	[NT]
2,2-dichloropropane	µg/L	1	Org-023	<1	1	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
1,2-dichloroethane	µg/L	1	Org-023	<1	1	<1	<1	0	98	[NT]
1,1,1-trichloroethane	µg/L	1	Org-023	<1	1	<1	<1	0	93	[NT]
1,1-dichloropropene	µg/L	1	Org-023	<1	1	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Cyclohexane	µg/L	1	Org-023	<1	1	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Carbon tetrachloride	µg/L	1	Org-023	<1	1	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Benzene	µg/L	1	Org-023	<1	1	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Dibromomethane	µg/L	1	Org-023	<1	1	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
1,2-dichloropropane	µg/L	1	Org-023	<1	1	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Trichloroethene	µg/L	1	Org-023	<1	1	<1	<1	0	95	[NT]
Bromodichloromethane	µg/L	1	Org-023	<1	1	<1	<1	0	89	[NT]
trans-1,3-dichloropropene	µg/L	1	Org-023	<1	1	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
cis-1,3-dichloropropene	µg/L	1	Org-023	<1	1	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
1,1,2-trichloroethane	µg/L	1	Org-023	<1	1	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Toluene	µg/L	1	Org-023	<1	1	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
1,3-dichloropropane	µg/L	1	Org-023	<1	1	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Dibromochloromethane	µg/L	1	Org-023	<1	1	<1	<1	0	86	[NT]
1,2-dibromoethane	µg/L	1	Org-023	<1	1	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Tetrachloroethene	µg/L	1	Org-023	<1	1	<1	<1	0	92	[NT]
1,1,1,2-tetrachloroethane	µg/L	1	Org-023	<1	1	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Chlorobenzene	µg/L	1	Org-023	<1	1	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Ethylbenzene	µg/L	1	Org-023	<1	1	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Bromoform	µg/L	1	Org-023	<1	1	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
m+p-xylene	µg/L	2	Org-023	<2	1	<2	<2	0	[NT]	[NT]
Styrene	µg/L	1	Org-023	<1	1	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
1,1,2,2-tetrachloroethane	µg/L	1	Org-023	<1	1	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]

Client Reference: E2843, Leichhardt

QUALITY CONTROL: VOCs in water						Duplicate		Spike Recovery %		
Test Description	Units	PQL	Method	Blank	#	Base	Dup.	RPD	LCS-W2	[NT]
o-xylene	µg/L	1	Org-023	<1	1	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
1,2,3-trichloropropane	µg/L	1	Org-023	<1	1	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Isopropylbenzene	µg/L	1	Org-023	<1	1	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Bromobenzene	µg/L	1	Org-023	<1	1	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
n-propyl benzene	µg/L	1	Org-023	<1	1	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
2-chlorotoluene	µg/L	1	Org-023	<1	1	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
4-chlorotoluene	µg/L	1	Org-023	<1	1	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
1,3,5-trimethyl benzene	µg/L	1	Org-023	<1	1	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Tert-butyl benzene	µg/L	1	Org-023	<1	1	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
1,2,4-trimethyl benzene	µg/L	1	Org-023	<1	1	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
1,3-dichlorobenzene	µg/L	1	Org-023	<1	1	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Sec-butyl benzene	µg/L	1	Org-023	<1	1	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
1,4-dichlorobenzene	µg/L	1	Org-023	<1	1	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
4-isopropyl toluene	µg/L	1	Org-023	<1	1	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
1,2-dichlorobenzene	µg/L	1	Org-023	<1	1	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
n-butyl benzene	µg/L	1	Org-023	<1	1	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
1,2-dibromo-3-chloropropane	µg/L	1	Org-023	<1	1	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
1,2,4-trichlorobenzene	µg/L	1	Org-023	<1	1	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Hexachlorobutadiene	µg/L	1	Org-023	<1	1	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
1,2,3-trichlorobenzene	µg/L	1	Org-023	<1	1	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Surrogate Dibromofluoromethane	%		Org-023	95	1	103	103	0	99	[NT]
Surrogate toluene-d8	%		Org-023	95	1	99	97	2	101	[NT]
Surrogate 4-BFB	%		Org-023	102	1	106	99	7	106	[NT]

Client Reference: E2843, Leichhardt

QUALITY CONTROL: vTRH(C6-C10)/BTEXN in Water							Duplicate		Spike Recovery %	
Test Description	Units	PQL	Method	Blank	#	Base	Dup.	RPD	LCS-W2	[NT]
Date extracted	-			24/06/2022	1	24/06/2022	28/06/2022		24/06/2022	[NT]
Date analysed	-			24/06/2022	1	24/06/2022	28/06/2022		24/06/2022	[NT]
TRH C ₆ - C ₉	µg/L	10	Org-023	<10	1	<10	<10	0	98	[NT]
TRH C ₆ - C ₁₀	µg/L	10	Org-023	<10	1	<10	<10	0	98	[NT]
Benzene	µg/L	1	Org-023	<1	1	<1	<1	0	95	[NT]
Toluene	µg/L	1	Org-023	<1	1	<1	<1	0	92	[NT]
Ethylbenzene	µg/L	1	Org-023	<1	1	<1	<1	0	99	[NT]
m+p-xylene	µg/L	2	Org-023	<2	1	<2	<2	0	101	[NT]
o-xylene	µg/L	1	Org-023	<1	1	<1	<1	0	103	[NT]
Naphthalene	µg/L	1	Org-023	<1	1	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Surrogate Dibromofluoromethane	%		Org-023	95	1	103	103	0	99	[NT]
Surrogate toluene-d8	%		Org-023	95	1	99	97	2	101	[NT]
Surrogate 4-BFB	%		Org-023	102	1	106	99	7	106	[NT]

Client Reference: E2843, Leichhardt

QUALITY CONTROL: svTRH (C10-C40) in Water					Duplicate			Spike Recovery %		
Test Description	Units	PQL	Method	Blank	#	Base	Dup.	RPD	LCS-W3	298599-3
Date extracted	-			24/06/2022	2	24/06/2022	24/06/2022		24/06/2022	24/06/2022
Date analysed	-			26/06/2022	2	26/06/2022	26/06/2022		26/06/2022	26/06/2022
TRH C ₁₀ - C ₁₄	µg/L	50	Org-020	<50	2	<50	<50	0	81	108
TRH C ₁₅ - C ₂₈	µg/L	100	Org-020	<100	2	<100	<100	0	80	108
TRH C ₂₉ - C ₃₆	µg/L	100	Org-020	<100	2	<100	<100	0	78	117
TRH >C ₁₀ - C ₁₆	µg/L	50	Org-020	<50	2	<50	<50	0	81	108
TRH >C ₁₆ - C ₃₄	µg/L	100	Org-020	<100	2	<100	<100	0	80	108
TRH >C ₃₄ - C ₄₀	µg/L	100	Org-020	<100	2	<100	<100	0	78	117
Surrogate o-Terphenyl	%		Org-020	104	2	100	105	5	75	105

Client Reference: E2843, Leichhardt

QUALITY CONTROL: PAHs in Water - Low Level						Duplicate		Spike Recovery %		
Test Description	Units	PQL	Method	Blank	#	Base	Dup.	RPD	LCS-W2	298599-4
Date extracted	-			24/06/2022	2	24/06/2022	24/06/2022		24/06/2022	24/06/2022
Date analysed	-			25/06/2022	2	25/06/2022	25/06/2022		25/06/2022	25/06/2022
Naphthalene	µg/L	0.2	Org-022/025	<0.2	2	<0.2	<0.2	0	115	111
Acenaphthylene	µg/L	0.1	Org-022/025	<0.1	2	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Acenaphthene	µg/L	0.1	Org-022/025	<0.1	2	<0.1	<0.1	0	113	107
Fluorene	µg/L	0.1	Org-022/025	<0.1	2	<0.1	<0.1	0	120	124
Phenanthrene	µg/L	0.1	Org-022/025	<0.1	2	0.3	0.2	40	102	91
Anthracene	µg/L	0.1	Org-022/025	<0.1	2	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Fluoranthene	µg/L	0.1	Org-022/025	<0.1	2	<0.1	<0.1	0	122	116
Pyrene	µg/L	0.1	Org-022/025	<0.1	2	<0.1	<0.1	0	126	123
Benzo(a)anthracene	µg/L	0.1	Org-022/025	<0.1	2	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Chrysene	µg/L	0.1	Org-022/025	<0.1	2	<0.1	<0.1	0	101	99
Benzo(b,j+k)fluoranthene	µg/L	0.2	Org-022/025	<0.2	2	<0.2	<0.2	0	[NT]	[NT]
Benzo(a)pyrene	µg/L	0.1	Org-022/025	<0.1	2	<0.1	<0.1	0	134	112
Indeno(1,2,3-c,d)pyrene	µg/L	0.1	Org-022/025	<0.1	2	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	µg/L	0.1	Org-022/025	<0.1	2	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	µg/L	0.1	Org-022/025	<0.1	2	<0.1	<0.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Surrogate p-Terphenyl-d14	%		Org-022/025	122	2	100	111	10	122	108

Client Reference: E2843, Leichhardt

QUALITY CONTROL: HM in water - dissolved				Duplicate				Spike Recovery %		
Test Description	Units	PQL	Method	Blank	#	Base	Dup.	RPD	LCS-W3	[NT]
Date prepared	-			28/06/2022	1	28/06/2022	28/06/2022		28/06/2022	[NT]
Date analysed	-			28/06/2022	1	28/06/2022	28/06/2022		28/06/2022	[NT]
Arsenic-Dissolved	µg/L	1	Metals-022	<1	1	<1	<1	0	107	[NT]
Cadmium-Dissolved	µg/L	0.1	Metals-022	<0.1	1	0.5	0.5	0	107	[NT]
Chromium-Dissolved	µg/L	1	Metals-022	<1	1	<1	<1	0	107	[NT]
Copper-Dissolved	µg/L	1	Metals-022	<1	1	<1	<1	0	110	[NT]
Lead-Dissolved	µg/L	1	Metals-022	<1	1	<1	<1	0	109	[NT]
Mercury-Dissolved	µg/L	0.05	Metals-021	<0.05	1	<0.05	<0.05	0	112	[NT]
Nickel-Dissolved	µg/L	1	Metals-022	<1	1	6	6	0	108	[NT]
Zinc-Dissolved	µg/L	1	Metals-022	<1	1	33	32	3	107	[NT]

Result Definitions

NT	Not tested
NA	Test not required
INS	Insufficient sample for this test
PQL	Practical Quantitation Limit
<	Less than
>	Greater than
RPD	Relative Percent Difference
LCS	Laboratory Control Sample
NS	Not specified
NEPM	National Environmental Protection Measure
NR	Not Reported

Quality Control Definitions

Blank	This is the component of the analytical signal which is not derived from the sample but from reagents, glassware etc, can be determined by processing solvents and reagents in exactly the same manner as for samples.
Duplicate	This is the complete duplicate analysis of a sample from the process batch. If possible, the sample selected should be one where the analyte concentration is easily measurable.
Matrix Spike	A portion of the sample is spiked with a known concentration of target analyte. The purpose of the matrix spike is to monitor the performance of the analytical method used and to determine whether matrix interferences exist.
LCS (Laboratory Control Sample)	This comprises either a standard reference material or a control matrix (such as a blank sand or water) fortified with analytes representative of the analyte class. It is simply a check sample.
Surrogate Spike	Surrogates are known additions to each sample, blank, matrix spike and LCS in a batch, of compounds which are similar to the analyte of interest, however are not expected to be found in real samples.
Australian Drinking Water Guidelines recommend that Thermotolerant Coliform, Faecal Enterococci, & E.Coli levels are less than 1cfu/100mL. The recommended maximums are taken from "Australian Drinking Water Guidelines", published by NHMRC & ARMC 2011.	
The recommended maximums for analytes in urine are taken from "2018 TLVs and BEIs", as published by ACGIH (where available). Limit provided for Nickel is a precautionary guideline as per Position Paper prepared by AIOH Exposure Standards Committee, 2016.	
Guideline limits for Rinse Water Quality reported as per analytical requirements and specifications of AS 4187, Amdt 2 2019, Table 7.2	

Laboratory Acceptance Criteria

Duplicate sample and matrix spike recoveries may not be reported on smaller jobs, however, were analysed at a frequency to meet or exceed NEPM requirements. All samples are tested in batches of 20. The duplicate sample RPD and matrix spike recoveries for the batch were within the laboratory acceptance criteria.

Filters, swabs, wipes, tubes and badges will not have duplicate data as the whole sample is generally extracted during sample extraction.

Spikes for Physical and Aggregate Tests are not applicable.

For VOCs in water samples, three vials are required for duplicate or spike analysis.

Duplicates: >10xPQL - RPD acceptance criteria will vary depending on the analytes and the analytical techniques but is typically in the range 20%-50% – see ELN-P05 QA/QC tables for details; <10xPQL - RPD are higher as the results approach PQL and the estimated measurement uncertainty will statistically increase.

Matrix Spikes, LCS and Surrogate recoveries: Generally 70-130% for inorganics/metals (not SPOCAS); 60-140% for organics/SPOCAS (+/-50% surrogates) and 10-140% for labile SVOCs (including labile surrogates), ultra trace organics and speciated phenols is acceptable.

In circumstances where no duplicate and/or sample spike has been reported at 1 in 10 and/or 1 in 20 samples respectively, the sample volume submitted was insufficient in order to satisfy laboratory QA/QC protocols.

When samples are received where certain analytes are outside of recommended technical holding times (THTs), the analysis has proceeded. Where analytes are on the verge of breaching THTs, every effort will be made to analyse within the THT or as soon as practicable.

Where sampling dates are not provided, Envirolab are not in a position to comment on the validity of the analysis where recommended technical holding times may have been breached.

Measurement Uncertainty estimates are available for most tests upon request.

Analysis of aqueous samples typically involves the extraction/digestion and/or analysis of the liquid phase only (i.e. NOT any settled sediment phase but inclusive of suspended particles if present), unless stipulated on the Envirolab COC and/or by correspondence. Notable exceptions include certain Physical Tests (pH/EC/BOD/COD/Apparent Colour etc.), Solids testing, total recoverable metals and PFAS where solids are included by default.

Samples for Microbiological analysis (not Amoeba forms) received outside of the 2-8°C temperature range do not meet the ideal cooling conditions as stated in AS2031-2012.

Service
 12 Ashley St
 Chatswood NSW 2067
 Ph: (02) 9910 6200
 298599
 Date Received: 22/06/22
 Time Received: 1600
 Received By: CR
 Testing: Cool/Ambient
 Cooling: Ice/Icepack
 Security: Intact/Broken/None 05°C

FOUNDATION EARTH SCIENCES **Chain of Custody Record**

Client Details:	Foundation Earth Sciences PO Box 4405, East Gosford NSW 2250 email: ben@foundations.com.au michael@foundations.com.au; ray@foundations.com.au emerson@foundations.com.au ph: +61466 385 221	Project Manager:	Michael Silk	Project #:	E2843
Delivery Details:	EnviroLab Pty Ltd 12 Ashley Street, Chatswood NSW 2067 email: ahle@envirolab.com.au ph: +612 9910 6200	Sampled By:	RL	Project Name:	Leichhardt
		Purchase Order #:	N/A	Turnaround time:	Standard
		Page #:	1		

#	Sample ID	Depth	Date Sampled	Matrix	Analytes										EnviroLab Suites	Sample Comments	
					Heavy Metals (8)	TRH	BTEXN	PAH Low	VOC	TRH C6-C10 & BTEXN	PFAS						
1	GW1	-	21.06.2022	Water	X	X	X	X	X							Combo 3L	Keep
2	GW2	-	21.06.2022	Water	X	X	X	X	X							Combo 3L	Keep
3	GW3	-	21.06.2022	Water	X	X	X	X	X							Combo 3L	Keep
4	GW4	-	21.06.2022	Water	X	X	X	X	X							Combo 3L	Keep
5	GWD1	-	21.06.2022	Water	X	X	X	X	X							Combo 3L	Keep
6	TS1	-	21.06.2022	Water					X	X						-	Keep
7	TB1	-	21.06.2022	Water					X	X						-	Keep

Special Directions and Comments:

Relinquished by	RL	Received By	Closemen, ELS Sydney	Method of shipment
Signature	<i>RL</i>	Signature	<i>[Signature]</i>	Courier
Date	22.06.2022	Date	22/06/22	



Envirolab Services Pty Ltd

ABN 37 112 535 645

12 Ashley St Chatswood NSW 2067

ph 02 9910 6200 fax 02 9910 6201

customerservice@envirolab.com.au

www.envirolab.com.au

SAMPLE RECEIPT ADVICE

Client Details

Client	Foundation Earth Sciences Pty Ltd
Attention	Michael Silk

Sample Login Details

Your reference	E2843, Leichhardt
Envirolab Reference	298599
Date Sample Received	22/06/2022
Date Instructions Received	22/06/2022
Date Results Expected to be Reported	29/06/2022

Sample Condition

Samples received in appropriate condition for analysis	Yes
No. of Samples Provided	7 Water
Turnaround Time Requested	Standard
Temperature on Receipt (°C)	5
Cooling Method	Ice Pack
Sampling Date Provided	YES

Comments

Nil

Please direct any queries to:

Aileen Hie	Jacinta Hurst
Phone: 02 9910 6200	Phone: 02 9910 6200
Fax: 02 9910 6201	Fax: 02 9910 6201
Email: ahie@envirolab.com.au	Email: jhurst@envirolab.com.au

Analysis Underway, details on the following page:



Sample ID	VOCs in water	VTRH(C6-C10)/BTEXN in Water	svTRH (C10-C40) in Water	PAHs in Water - Low Level	HM in water - dissolved
GW1	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
GW2	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
GW3	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
GW4	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
GWD1	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
TS1		✓			
TB1		✓			

The '✓' indicates the testing you have requested. **THIS IS NOT A REPORT OF THE RESULTS.**

Additional Info

Sample storage - Waters are routinely disposed of approximately 1 month and soils approximately 2 months from receipt.

Requests for longer term sample storage must be received in writing.

Please contact the laboratory immediately if observed settled sediment present in water samples is to be included in the extraction and/or analysis (exceptions include certain Physical Tests (pH/EC/BOD/COD/Apparent Colour etc.), Solids testing, Total Recoverable metals and PFAS analysis where solids are included by default.

TAT for Micro is dependent on incubation. This varies from 3 to 6 days.

CLIENT DETAILS

Contact **Ben Buckley**
 Client **FOUNDATION EARTH SCIENCES PTY LTD**
 Address **UNIT 119/14 LOYALTY ROAD
 NORTH ROCKS NSW 2151**

Telephone **(Not specified)**
 Facsimile **(Not specified)**
 Email **ben@foundations.com.au**

Project **E2843 Leichhardt**
 Order Number **E2843**
 Samples **1**

LABORATORY DETAILS

Manager **Huong Crawford**
 Laboratory **SGS Alexandria Environmental**
 Address **Unit 16, 33 Maddox St
 Alexandria NSW 2015**

Telephone **+61 2 8594 0400**
 Facsimile **+61 2 8594 0499**
 Email **au.environmental.sydney@sgs.com**

SGS Reference **SE233432 R0**
 Date Received **22/6/2022**
 Date Reported **30/6/2022**

COMMENTS

Accredited for compliance with ISO/IEC 17025 - Testing. NATA accredited laboratory 2562(4354).

SIGNATORIES



Dong LIANG
 Metals/Inorganics Team Leader



Huong CRAWFORD
 Production Manager



Teresa NGUYEN
 Organic Chemist

VOCs in Water [AN433] Tested: 27/6/2022

PARAMETER	UOM	LOR	GWSS1
			WATER - 21/6/2022 SE233432.001
Dichlorodifluoromethane (CFC-12)	µg/L	5	<5
Chloromethane	µg/L	5	<5
Vinyl chloride (Chloroethene)	µg/L	0.3	<0.3
Bromomethane	µg/L	10	<10
Chloroethane	µg/L	5	<5
Trichlorofluoromethane	µg/L	1	<1
Acetone (2-propanone)	µg/L	10	<10
Iodomethane	µg/L	5	<5
1,1-dichloroethene	µg/L	0.5	<0.5
Acrylonitrile	µg/L	0.5	<0.5
Dichloromethane (Methylene chloride)	µg/L	5	<5
Allyl chloride	µg/L	2	<2
Carbon disulfide	µg/L	2	<2
trans-1,2-dichloroethene	µg/L	0.5	<0.5
MtBE (Methyl-tert-butyl ether)	µg/L	2	<2
1,1-dichloroethane	µg/L	0.5	<0.5
Vinyl acetate	µg/L	10	<10
MEK (2-butanone)	µg/L	10	<10
cis-1,2-dichloroethene	µg/L	0.5	<0.5
Bromochloromethane	µg/L	0.5	<0.5
Chloroform (THM)	µg/L	0.5	<0.5
2,2-dichloropropane	µg/L	0.5	<0.5
1,2-dichloroethane	µg/L	0.5	<0.5
1,1,1-trichloroethane	µg/L	0.5	<0.5
1,1-dichloropropene	µg/L	0.5	<0.5
Carbon tetrachloride	µg/L	0.5	<0.5
Benzene	µg/L	0.5	<0.5
Dibromomethane	µg/L	0.5	<0.5
1,2-dichloropropane	µg/L	0.5	<0.5
Trichloroethene (Trichloroethylene,TCE)	µg/L	0.5	<0.5
2-nitropropane	µg/L	100	<100
Bromodichloromethane (THM)	µg/L	0.5	<0.5
MIBK (4-methyl-2-pentanone)	µg/L	5	<5
cis-1,3-dichloropropene	µg/L	0.5	<0.5
trans-1,3-dichloropropene	µg/L	0.5	<0.5
1,1,2-trichloroethane	µg/L	0.5	<0.5
Toluene	µg/L	0.5	<0.5
1,3-dichloropropane	µg/L	0.5	<0.5
Dibromochloromethane (THM)	µg/L	0.5	<0.5
2-hexanone (MBK)	µg/L	5	<5
1,2-dibromoethane (EDB)	µg/L	0.5	<0.5
Tetrachloroethene (Perchloroethylene,PCE)	µg/L	0.5	<0.5
1,1,1,2-tetrachloroethane	µg/L	0.5	<0.5
Chlorobenzene	µg/L	0.5	<0.5
Ethylbenzene	µg/L	0.5	<0.5
Bromoform (THM)	µg/L	0.5	<0.5
m/p-xylene	µg/L	1	<1
cis-1,4-dichloro-2-butene	µg/L	1	<1
Styrene (Vinyl benzene)	µg/L	0.5	<0.5
1,1,2,2-tetrachloroethane	µg/L	0.5	<0.5
o-xylene	µg/L	0.5	<0.5
Total Xylenes	µg/L	1.5	<1.5
1,2,3-trichloropropane	µg/L	0.5	<0.5
trans-1,4-dichloro-2-butene	µg/L	1	<1
Isopropylbenzene (Cumene)	µg/L	0.5	<0.5
Bromobenzene	µg/L	0.5	<0.5

VOCs in Water [AN433] Tested: 27/6/2022 (continued)

PARAMETER	UOM	LOR	GWSS1
			WATER - 21/6/2022 SE233432.001
n-propylbenzene	µg/L	0.5	<0.5
2-chlorotoluene	µg/L	0.5	<0.5
4-chlorotoluene	µg/L	0.5	<0.5
1,3,5-trimethylbenzene	µg/L	0.5	<0.5
tert-butylbenzene	µg/L	0.5	<0.5
1,2,4-trimethylbenzene	µg/L	0.5	<0.5
sec-butylbenzene	µg/L	0.5	<0.5
1,3-dichlorobenzene	µg/L	0.5	<0.5
1,4-dichlorobenzene	µg/L	0.3	<0.3
p-isopropyltoluene	µg/L	0.5	<0.5
1,2-dichlorobenzene	µg/L	0.5	<0.5
n-butylbenzene	µg/L	0.5	<0.5
1,2-dibromo-3-chloropropane	µg/L	0.5	<0.5
1,2,4-trichlorobenzene	µg/L	0.5	<0.5
Naphthalene (VOC)	µg/L	0.5	<0.5
Hexachlorobutadiene	µg/L	0.5	<0.5
1,2,3-trichlorobenzene	µg/L	0.5	<0.5
Total BTEX	µg/L	3	<3
Total VOC	µg/L	10	<10

Volatile Petroleum Hydrocarbons in Water [AN433] Tested: 27/6/2022

			GWSS1
			WATER
			-
			21/6/2022
			SE233432.001
PARAMETER	UOM	LOR	
TRH C6-C9	µg/L	40	<40
Benzene (F0)	µg/L	0.5	<0.5
TRH C6-C10	µg/L	50	<50
TRH C6-C10 minus BTEX (F1)	µg/L	50	<50

TRH (Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons) in Water [AN403] Tested: 24/6/2022

PARAMETER	UOM	LOR	GWSS1
			WATER - 21/6/2022 SE233432.001
TRH C10-C14	µg/L	50	<50
TRH C15-C28	µg/L	200	<200
TRH C29-C36	µg/L	200	<200
TRH C37-C40	µg/L	200	<200
TRH >C10-C16	µg/L	60	<60
TRH >C10-C16 - Naphthalene (F2)	µg/L	60	<60
TRH >C16-C34 (F3)	µg/L	500	<500
TRH >C34-C40 (F4)	µg/L	500	<500
TRH C10-C40	µg/L	320	<320

PAH (Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons) in Water [AN420] Tested: 24/6/2022

PARAMETER	UOM	LOR	GWSS1
			WATER - 21/6/2022 SE233432.001
Naphthalene	µg/L	0.1	<0.1
2-methylnaphthalene	µg/L	0.1	<0.1
1-methylnaphthalene	µg/L	0.1	<0.1
Acenaphthylene	µg/L	0.1	<0.1
Acenaphthene	µg/L	0.1	<0.1
Fluorene	µg/L	0.1	<0.1
Phenanthrene	µg/L	0.1	<0.1
Anthracene	µg/L	0.1	<0.1
Fluoranthene	µg/L	0.1	<0.1
Pyrene	µg/L	0.1	<0.1
Benzo(a)anthracene	µg/L	0.1	<0.1
Chrysene	µg/L	0.1	<0.1
Benzo(b&j)fluoranthene	µg/L	0.1	<0.1
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	µg/L	0.1	<0.1
Benzo(a)pyrene	µg/L	0.1	<0.1
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	µg/L	0.1	<0.1
Dibenzo(ah)anthracene	µg/L	0.1	<0.1
Benzo(ghi)perylene	µg/L	0.1	<0.1
Total PAH (18)	µg/L	1	<1

Trace Metals (Dissolved) in Water by ICPMS [AN318] Tested: 23/6/2022

			GWSS1
			WATER
			-
			21/6/2022
			SE233432.001
PARAMETER	UOM	LOR	
Arsenic, As	µg/L	1	<1
Cadmium, Cd	µg/L	0.1	0.4
Chromium, Cr	µg/L	1	<1
Copper, Cu	µg/L	1	<1
Lead, Pb	µg/L	1	<1
Nickel, Ni	µg/L	1	7
Zinc, Zn	µg/L	5	38

Mercury (dissolved) in Water [AN311(Perth)/AN312] Tested: 23/6/2022

			GWSS1
			WATER
			-
			21/6/2022
			SE233432.001
PARAMETER	UOM	LOR	
Mercury	mg/L	0.0001	<0.0001

METHOD

METHODOLOGY SUMMARY

- AN020** Unpreserved water sample is filtered through a 0.45µm membrane filter and acidified with nitric acid similar to APHA3030B.
- AN311(Perth)/AN312** Mercury by Cold Vapour AAS in Waters: Mercury ions are reduced by stannous chloride reagent in acidic solution to elemental mercury. This mercury vapour is purged by nitrogen into a cold cell in an atomic absorption spectrometer or mercury analyser. Quantification is made by comparing absorbances to those of the calibration standards. Reference APHA 3112/3500.
- AN318** Determination of elements at trace level in waters by ICP-MS technique,, referenced to USEPA 6020B and USEPA 200.8 (5.4).
- AN403** Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons: Determination of Hydrocarbons by gas chromatography after a solvent extraction. Detection is by flame ionisation detector (FID) that produces an electronic signal in proportion to the combustible matter passing through it. Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons (TRH) are routinely reported as four alkane groupings based on the carbon chain length of the compounds: C6-C9, C10-C14, C15-C28 and C29-C36 and in recognition of the NEPM 1999 (2013), >C10-C16 (F2), >C16-C34 (F3) and >C34-C40 (F4). Where F2 is corrected for Naphthalene, the VOC data for Naphthalene is used.
- AN403** Additionally, the volatile C6-C9/C6-C10 fractions may be determined by a purge and trap technique and GC/MS because of the potential for volatiles loss. Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - Silica (TRH-Silica) follows the same method of analysis after silica gel cleanup of the solvent extract. Aliphatic/Aromatic Speciation follows the same method of analysis after fractionation of the solvent extract over silica with differential polarity of the eluent solvents.
- AN403** The GC/FID method is not well suited to the analysis of refined high boiling point materials (ie lubricating oils or greases) but is particularly suited for measuring diesel, kerosene and petrol if care to control volatility is taken. This method will detect naturally occurring hydrocarbons, lipids, animal fats, phenols and PAHs if they are present at sufficient levels, dependent on the use of specific cleanup/fractionation techniques. Reference USEPA 3510B, 8015B.
- AN420** (SVOCs) including OC, OP, PCB, Herbicides, PAH, Phthalates and Speciated Phenols (etc) in soils, sediments and waters are determined by GCMS/ECD technique following appropriate solvent extraction process (Based on USEPA 3500C and 8270D).
- AN433** VOCs and C6-C9 Hydrocarbons by GC-MS P&T: VOC's are volatile organic compounds. The sample is presented to a gas chromatograph via a purge and trap (P&T) concentrator and autosampler and is detected with a Mass Spectrometer (MSD). Solid samples are initially extracted with methanol whilst liquid samples are processed directly. References: USEPA 5030B, 8020A, 8260.

FOOTNOTES

*	NATA accreditation does not cover the performance of this service.	-	Not analysed.	UOM	Unit of Measure.
**	Indicative data, theoretical holding time exceeded.	NVL	Not validated.	LOR	Limit of Reporting.
***	Indicates that both * and ** apply.	IS	Insufficient sample for analysis.	↑↓	Raised/lowered Limit of Reporting.
		LNR	Sample listed, but not received.		

Unless it is reported that sampling has been performed by SGS, the samples have been analysed as received. Solid samples expressed on a dry weight basis.

Where "Total" analyte groups are reported (for example, Total PAHs, Total OC Pesticides) the total will be calculated as the sum of the individual analytes, with those analytes that are reported as <LOR being assumed to be zero. The summed (Total) limit of reporting is calculated by summing the individual analyte LORs and dividing by two. For example, where 16 individual analytes are being summed and each has an LOR of 0.1 mg/kg, the "Totals" LOR will be 1.6 / 2 (0.8 mg/kg). Where only 2 analytes are being summed, the "Total" LOR will be the sum of those two LORs.

Some totals may not appear to add up because the total is rounded after adding up the raw values.

If reported, measurement uncertainty follow the ± sign after the analytical result and is expressed as the expanded uncertainty calculated using a coverage factor of 2, providing a level of confidence of approximately 95%, unless stated otherwise in the comments section of this report.

Results reported for samples tested under test methods with codes starting with ARS-SOP, radionuclide or gross radioactivity concentrations are expressed in becquerel (Bq) per unit of mass or volume or per wipe as stated on the report. Becquerel is the SI unit for activity and equals one nuclear transformation per second.

Note that in terms of units of radioactivity:

- a. 1 Bq is equivalent to 27 pCi
- b. 37 MBq is equivalent to 1 mCi

For results reported for samples tested under test methods with codes starting with ARS-SOP, less than (<) values indicate the detection limit for each radionuclide or parameter for the measurement system used. The respective detection limits have been calculated in accordance with ISO 11929.

The QC and MU criteria are subject to internal review according to the SGS QAQC plan and may be provided on request or alternatively can be found here: www.sgs.com.au/en-gb/environment-health-and-safety.

This document is issued by the Company under its General Conditions of Service accessible at www.sgs.com/en/Terms-and-Conditions.aspx. Attention is drawn to the limitation of liability, indemnification and jurisdiction issues defined therein.

Any holder of this document is advised that information contained hereon reflects the Company's findings at the time of its intervention only and within the limits of Client's instructions, if any. The Company's sole responsibility is to its Client only. Any unauthorized alteration, forgery or falsification of the content or appearance of this document is unlawful and offenders may be prosecuted to the fullest extent of the law .

This report must not be reproduced, except in full.



STATEMENT OF QA/QC PERFORMANCE

SE233432 R0

CLIENT DETAILS

Contact Ben Buckley
Client FOUNDATION EARTH SCIENCES PTY LTD
Address UNIT 119/14 LOYALTY ROAD
NORTH ROCKS NSW 2151

Telephone (Not specified)
Facsimile (Not specified)
Email ben@foundationes.com.au

Project **E2843 Leichhardt**
Order Number **E2843**
Samples 1

LABORATORY DETAILS

Manager Huong Crawford
Laboratory SGS Alexandria Environmental
Address Unit 16, 33 Maddox St
Alexandria NSW 2015

Telephone +61 2 8594 0400
Facsimile +61 2 8594 0499
Email au.environmental.sydney@sgs.com

SGS Reference **SE233432 R0**
Date Received 22 Jun 2022
Date Reported 30 Jun 2022

COMMENTS

All the laboratory data for each environmental matrix was compared to SGS' stated Data Quality Objectives (DQO). Comments arising from the comparison were made and are reported below.

The data relating to sampling was taken from the Chain of Custody document.
This QA/QC Statement must be read in conjunction with the referenced Analytical Report.
The Statement and the Analytical Report must not be reproduced except in full.

All Data Quality Objectives were met with the exception of the following:

Duplicate	VOCs in Water	1 item
	Volatile Petroleum Hydrocarbons in Water	1 item

SAMPLE SUMMARY

Sample cooling method	Ice Bricks	Sample counts by matrix	1 Water
Date documentation received	22/6/2022	Samples received in good order	Yes
Samples received without headspace	Yes	Sample temperature upon receipt	13.1°C
Turnaround time requested	Standard		

SGS holding time criteria are drawn from current regulations and are highly dependent on sample container preservation as specified in the SGS "Field Sampling Guide for Containers and Holding Time" (ref: GU-(AU)-ENV.001). Soil samples guidelines are derived from NEPM "Schedule B(3) Guideline on Laboratory Analysis of Potentially Contaminated Soils". Water sample guidelines are derived from "AS/NZS 5667.1 : 1998 Water Quality - sampling part 1" and APHA "Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater" 21st edition 2005.

Extraction and analysis holding time due dates listed are calculated from the date sampled, although holding times may be extended after laboratory extraction for some analytes. The due dates are the suggested dates that samples may be held before extraction or analysis and still be considered valid.

Extraction and analysis dates are shown in **Green** when within suggested criteria or **Red** with an appended dagger symbol (†) when outside suggested criteria. If the

Mercury (dissolved) in Water

Method: ME-(AU)-[ENV]AN311(Perth)/AN312

Sample Name	Sample No.	QC Ref	Sampled	Received	Extraction Due	Extracted	Analysis Due	Analysed
GWSS1	SE233432.001	LB251567	21 Jun 2022	22 Jun 2022	19 Jul 2022	23 Jun 2022	19 Jul 2022	23 Jun 2022

PAH (Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons) in Water

Method: ME-(AU)-[ENV]AN420

Sample Name	Sample No.	QC Ref	Sampled	Received	Extraction Due	Extracted	Analysis Due	Analysed
GWSS1	SE233432.001	LB251713	21 Jun 2022	22 Jun 2022	28 Jun 2022	24 Jun 2022	03 Aug 2022	28 Jun 2022

Trace Metals (Dissolved) in Water by ICPMS

Method: ME-(AU)-[ENV]AN318

Sample Name	Sample No.	QC Ref	Sampled	Received	Extraction Due	Extracted	Analysis Due	Analysed
GWSS1	SE233432.001	LB251594	21 Jun 2022	22 Jun 2022	18 Dec 2022	23 Jun 2022	18 Dec 2022	23 Jun 2022

TRH (Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons) in Water

Method: ME-(AU)-[ENV]AN403

Sample Name	Sample No.	QC Ref	Sampled	Received	Extraction Due	Extracted	Analysis Due	Analysed
GWSS1	SE233432.001	LB251713	21 Jun 2022	22 Jun 2022	28 Jun 2022	24 Jun 2022	03 Aug 2022	29 Jun 2022

VOCs in Water

Method: ME-(AU)-[ENV]AN433

Sample Name	Sample No.	QC Ref	Sampled	Received	Extraction Due	Extracted	Analysis Due	Analysed
GWSS1	SE233432.001	LB251898	21 Jun 2022	22 Jun 2022	05 Jul 2022	27 Jun 2022	05 Jul 2022	30 Jun 2022

Volatile Petroleum Hydrocarbons in Water

Method: ME-(AU)-[ENV]AN433

Sample Name	Sample No.	QC Ref	Sampled	Received	Extraction Due	Extracted	Analysis Due	Analysed
GWSS1	SE233432.001	LB251898	21 Jun 2022	22 Jun 2022	05 Jul 2022	27 Jun 2022	05 Jul 2022	30 Jun 2022

Surrogate results are evaluated against upper and lower limit criteria established in the SGS QA/QC plan (Ref: MP-(AU)-[ENV]QU-022). At least two of three routine level soil sample surrogate spike recoveries for BTEX/VOC are to be within 70-130% where control charts have not been developed and within the established control limits for charted surrogates. Matrix effects may void this as an acceptance criterion. Water sample surrogate spike recoveries are to be within 40-130%. The presence of emulsions, surfactants and particulates may void this as an acceptance criterion.

Result is shown in **Green** when within suggested criteria or **Red** with an appended reason identifier when outside suggested criteria. Refer to the footnotes section at the end of this report for failure reasons.

PAH (Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons) in Water

Method: ME-(AU)-[ENV]AN420

Parameter	Sample Name	Sample Number	Units	Criteria	Recovery %
2-fluorobiphenyl (Surrogate)	GWSS1	SE233432.001	%	40 - 130%	62
d14-p-terphenyl (Surrogate)	GWSS1	SE233432.001	%	40 - 130%	98
d5-nitrobenzene (Surrogate)	GWSS1	SE233432.001	%	40 - 130%	52

VOCs in Water

Method: ME-(AU)-[ENV]AN433

Parameter	Sample Name	Sample Number	Units	Criteria	Recovery %
Bromofluorobenzene (Surrogate)	GWSS1	SE233432.001	%	40 - 130%	99
d4-1,2-dichloroethane (Surrogate)	GWSS1	SE233432.001	%	40 - 130%	88
d8-toluene (Surrogate)	GWSS1	SE233432.001	%	40 - 130%	92

Volatile Petroleum Hydrocarbons in Water

Method: ME-(AU)-[ENV]AN433

Parameter	Sample Name	Sample Number	Units	Criteria	Recovery %
Bromofluorobenzene (Surrogate)	GWSS1	SE233432.001	%	40 - 130%	99
d4-1,2-dichloroethane (Surrogate)	GWSS1	SE233432.001	%	60 - 130%	88
d8-toluene (Surrogate)	GWSS1	SE233432.001	%	40 - 130%	92

Blank results are evaluated against the limit of reporting (LOR), for the chosen method and its associated instrumentation, typically 2.5 times the statistically determined method detection limit (MDL).

Result is shown in **Green** when within suggested criteria or **Red** with an appended dagger symbol (†) when outside suggested criteria.

Mercury (dissolved) in Water

Method: ME-(AU)-[ENV]AN311(Perth)/AN312

Sample Number	Parameter	Units	LOR	Result
LB251567.001	Mercury	mg/L	0.0001	<0.0001

PAH (Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons) in Water

Method: ME-(AU)-[ENV]AN420

Sample Number	Parameter	Units	LOR	Result
LB251713.001	Naphthalene	µg/L	0.1	<0.1
	2-methylnaphthalene	µg/L	0.1	<0.1
	1-methylnaphthalene	µg/L	0.1	<0.1
	Acenaphthylene	µg/L	0.1	<0.1
	Acenaphthene	µg/L	0.1	<0.1
	Fluorene	µg/L	0.1	<0.1
	Phenanthrene	µg/L	0.1	<0.1
	Anthracene	µg/L	0.1	<0.1
	Fluoranthene	µg/L	0.1	<0.1
	Pyrene	µg/L	0.1	<0.1
	Benzo(a)anthracene	µg/L	0.1	<0.1
	Chrysene	µg/L	0.1	<0.1
	Benzo(a)pyrene	µg/L	0.1	<0.1
	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	µg/L	0.1	<0.1
	Dibenzo(ah)anthracene	µg/L	0.1	<0.1
	Benzo(ghi)perylene	µg/L	0.1	<0.1
	Surrogates	d5-nitrobenzene (Surrogate)	%	-
2-fluorobiphenyl (Surrogate)		%	-	70
d14-p-terphenyl (Surrogate)		%	-	96

Trace Metals (Dissolved) in Water by ICPMS

Method: ME-(AU)-[ENV]AN318

Sample Number	Parameter	Units	LOR	Result
LB251594.001	Arsenic, As	µg/L	1	<1
	Cadmium, Cd	µg/L	0.1	<0.1
	Chromium, Cr	µg/L	1	<1
	Copper, Cu	µg/L	1	<1
	Lead, Pb	µg/L	1	<1
	Nickel, Ni	µg/L	1	<1
	Zinc, Zn	µg/L	5	<5

TRH (Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons) in Water

Method: ME-(AU)-[ENV]AN403

Sample Number	Parameter	Units	LOR	Result
LB251713.001	TRH C10-C14	µg/L	50	<50
	TRH C15-C28	µg/L	200	<200
	TRH C29-C36	µg/L	200	<200
	TRH C37-C40	µg/L	200	<200

VOCs in Water

Method: ME-(AU)-[ENV]AN433

Sample Number	Parameter	Units	LOR	Result	
LB251898.001	Fumigants	2,2-dichloropropane	µg/L	0.5	<0.5
		1,2-dichloropropane	µg/L	0.5	<0.5
		cis-1,3-dichloropropene	µg/L	0.5	<0.5
		trans-1,3-dichloropropene	µg/L	0.5	<0.5
		1,2-dibromoethane (EDB)	µg/L	0.5	<0.5
	Halogenated Aliphatics	Dichlorodifluoromethane (CFC-12)	µg/L	5	<5
		Chloromethane	µg/L	5	<5
		Vinyl chloride (Chloroethene)	µg/L	0.3	<0.3
		Bromomethane	µg/L	10	<10
		Chloroethane	µg/L	5	<5
		Trichlorofluoromethane	µg/L	1	<1
		Iodomethane	µg/L	5	<5
		1,1-dichloroethene	µg/L	0.5	<0.5
		Dichloromethane (Methylene chloride)	µg/L	5	<5
		Allyl chloride	µg/L	2	<2
		trans-1,2-dichloroethene	µg/L	0.5	<0.5
		1,1-dichloroethane	µg/L	0.5	<0.5
		cis-1,2-dichloroethene	µg/L	0.5	<0.5

Blank results are evaluated against the limit of reporting (LOR), for the chosen method and its associated instrumentation, typically 2.5 times the statistically determined method detection limit (MDL).

Result is shown in **Green** when within suggested criteria or **Red** with an appended dagger symbol (†) when outside suggested criteria.

VOCs in Water (continued)

Method: ME-(AU)-IENVJAN433

Sample Number	Parameter	Units	LOR	Result		
LB251898.001	Halogenated Aliphatics	Bromochloromethane	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	
		1,2-dichloroethane	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	
		1,1,1-trichloroethane	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	
		1,1-dichloropropene	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	
		Carbon tetrachloride	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	
		Dibromomethane	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	
		Trichloroethene (Trichloroethylene,TCE)	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	
		1,1,2-trichloroethane	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	
		1,3-dichloropropane	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	
		Tetrachloroethene (Perchloroethylene,PCE)	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	
		1,1,1,2-tetrachloroethane	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	
		cis-1,4-dichloro-2-butene	µg/L	1	<1	
		1,1,2,2-tetrachloroethane	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	
		1,2,3-trichloropropane	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	
		trans-1,4-dichloro-2-butene	µg/L	1	<1	
		1,2-dibromo-3-chloropropane	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	
		Hexachlorobutadiene	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	
	Halogenated Aromatics	Chlorobenzene	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	
		Bromobenzene	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	
		2-chlorotoluene	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	
		4-chlorotoluene	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	
		1,3-dichlorobenzene	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	
		1,4-dichlorobenzene	µg/L	0.3	<0.3	
		1,2-dichlorobenzene	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	
		1,2,4-trichlorobenzene	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	
	Monocyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons	Benzene	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	
		Toluene	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	
		Ethylbenzene	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	
		m/p-xylene	µg/L	1	<1	
		Styrene (Vinyl benzene)	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	
		o-xylene	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	
		Isopropylbenzene (Cumene)	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	
		n-propylbenzene	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	
		1,3,5-trimethylbenzene	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	
		tert-butylbenzene	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	
		1,2,4-trimethylbenzene	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	
		sec-butylbenzene	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	
		p-isopropyltoluene	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	
		n-butylbenzene	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	
		Nitrogenous Compounds	Acrylonitrile	µg/L	0.5	<0.5
		Oxygenated Compounds	Acetone (2-propanone)	µg/L	10	<10
			MtBE (Methyl-tert-butyl ether)	µg/L	2	<1
	Vinyl acetate		µg/L	10	<10	
	MEK (2-butanone)		µg/L	10	<10	
	MIBK (4-methyl-2-pentanone)		µg/L	5	<5	
2-hexanone (MBK)	µg/L		5	<5		
Polycyclic VOCs	Naphthalene (VOC)	µg/L	0.5	<0.5		
Sulphonated	Carbon disulfide	µg/L	2	<2		
Surrogates	d4-1,2-dichloroethane (Surrogate)	%	-	125		
	d8-toluene (Surrogate)	%	-	98		
	Bromofluorobenzene (Surrogate)	%	-	100		
Trihalomethanes	Chloroform (THM)	µg/L	0.5	<0.5		
	Bromodichloromethane (THM)	µg/L	0.5	<0.5		
	Dibromochloromethane (THM)	µg/L	0.5	<0.5		
	Bromoform (THM)	µg/L	0.5	<0.5		

Volatile Petroleum Hydrocarbons in Water

Method: ME-(AU)-IENVJAN433

Sample Number	Parameter	Units	LOR
---------------	-----------	-------	-----

Blank results are evaluated against the limit of reporting (LOR), for the chosen method and its associated instrumentation, typically 2.5 times the statistically determined method detection limit (MDL).

Result is shown in **Green** when within suggested criteria or **Red** with an appended dagger symbol (†) when outside suggested criteria.

Volatile Petroleum Hydrocarbons in Water (continued)

Method: ME-(AU)-ENVJAN433

Sample Number	Parameter	Units	LOR	Result
LB251898.001	TRH C6-C9	µg/L	40	<40
	Surrogates			
	d4-1,2-dichloroethane (Surrogate)	%	-	125
	d8-toluene (Surrogate)	%	-	98
	Bromofluorobenzene (Surrogate)	%	-	100

Duplicates are calculated as Relative Percentage Difference (RPD) using the formula: $RPD = |OriginalResult - ReplicateResult| \times 100 / Mean$

The RPD is evaluated against the Maximum Allowable Difference (MAD) criteria and can be graphically represented by a curve calculated from the Statistical Detection Limit (SDL) and Limiting Repeatability (LR) using the formula: $MAD = 100 \times SDL / Mean + LR$

Where the Maximum Allowable Difference evaluates to a number larger than 200 it is displayed as 200.

RPD is shown in **Green** when within suggested criteria or **Red** with an appended reason identifier when outside suggested criteria. Refer to the footnotes section at the end of this report for failure reasons.

NOTE: The RPD reported is calculated from the unrounded data for the original and replicate result. Manual calculation of the RPD from the rounded data reported may

Mercury (dissolved) in Water

Method: ME-(AU)-[ENV]AN311(Porth)/AN312

Original	Duplicate	Parameter	Units	LOR	Original	Duplicate	Criteria %	RPD %
SE233432.001	LB251567.014	Mercury	µg/L	0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	129	0

Trace Metals (Dissolved) in Water by ICPMS

Method: ME-(AU)-[ENV]AN318

Original	Duplicate	Parameter	Units	LOR	Original	Duplicate	Criteria %	RPD %
SE233432.001	LB251594.012	Arsenic, As	µg/L	1	<1	<1	200	0
		Cadmium, Cd	µg/L	0.1	0.4	0.4	39	1
		Chromium, Cr	µg/L	1	<1	<1	200	0
		Copper, Cu	µg/L	1	<1	<1	147	0
		Lead, Pb	µg/L	1	<1	<1	200	0
		Nickel, Ni	µg/L	1	7	6	31	4
		Zinc, Zn	µg/L	5	38	36	28	6

TRH (Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons) in Water

Method: ME-(AU)-[ENV]AN403

Original	Duplicate	Parameter	Units	LOR	Original	Duplicate	Criteria %	RPD %
SE233435.011	LB251713.014	TRH C10-C14	µg/L	50	<50	<50	200	0
		TRH C15-C28	µg/L	200	<200	<200	200	0
		TRH C29-C36	µg/L	200	<200	<200	200	0
		TRH C37-C40	µg/L	200	<200	<200	200	0
		TRH C10-C40	µg/L	320	<320	<320	200	0
		TRH F Bands	µg/L	60	<60	<60	200	0
		TRH >C10-C16	µg/L	60	<60	<60	200	0
		TRH >C10-C16 - Naphthalene (F2)	µg/L	60	<60	<60	200	0
		TRH >C16-C34 (F3)	µg/L	500	<500	<500	200	0
		TRH >C34-C40 (F4)	µg/L	500	<500	<500	200	0

VOCs in Water

Method: ME-(AU)-[ENV]AN433

Original	Duplicate	Parameter	Units	LOR	Original	Duplicate	Criteria %	RPD %	
SE233447.001	LB251898.021	Monocyclic	Benzene	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	<0.5	200	0
		Aromatic	Toluene	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	<0.5	200	0
			Ethylbenzene	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	<0.5	200	0
			m/p-xylene	µg/L	1	<1	<1	200	0
			o-xylene	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	<0.5	200	0
		Polycyclic	Naphthalene (VOC)	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	<0.5	200	0
		Surrogates	d4-1,2-dichloroethane (Surrogate)	µg/L	-	10.4	8.7	30	18
			d8-toluene (Surrogate)	µg/L	-	12.5	8.8	30	35 @
			Bromofluorobenzene (Surrogate)	µg/L	-	13.4	10.6	30	24

Volatile Petroleum Hydrocarbons in Water

Method: ME-(AU)-[ENV]AN433

Original	Duplicate	Parameter	Units	LOR	Original	Duplicate	Criteria %	RPD %	
SE233447.001	LB251898.021	TRH C6-C10	µg/L	50	<50	<50	200	0	
		TRH C6-C9	µg/L	40	<40	<40	200	0	
		Surrogates	d4-1,2-dichloroethane (Surrogate)	µg/L	-	10.4	8.7	30	18
			d8-toluene (Surrogate)	µg/L	-	12.5	8.8	30	35 @
			Bromofluorobenzene (Surrogate)	µg/L	-	13.4	10.6	30	24
		VPH F Bands	Benzene (F0)	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	<0.5	200	0
			TRH C6-C10 minus BTEX (F1)	µg/L	50	<50	<50	200	0

Laboratory Control Standard (LCS) results are evaluated against an expected result, typically the concentration of analyte spiked into the control during the sample preparation stage, producing a percentage recovery. The criteria applied to the percentage recovery is established in the SGS QA /QC plan (Ref: MP-(AU)-[ENV]QU-022). For more information refer to the footnotes in the concluding page of this report.

Recovery is shown in **Green** when within suggested criteria or **Red** with an appended dagger symbol (†) when outside suggested criteria.

PAH (Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons) in Water

Method: ME-(AU)-[ENV]AN420

Sample Number	Parameter	Units	LOR	Result	Expected	Criteria %	Recovery %	
LB251713.002	Naphthalene	µg/L	0.1	28	40	60 - 140	71	
	Acenaphthylene	µg/L	0.1	33	40	60 - 140	83	
	Acenaphthene	µg/L	0.1	32	40	60 - 140	81	
	Phenanthrene	µg/L	0.1	33	40	60 - 140	82	
	Anthracene	µg/L	0.1	30	40	60 - 140	75	
	Fluoranthene	µg/L	0.1	32	40	60 - 140	81	
	Pyrene	µg/L	0.1	33	40	60 - 140	83	
	Benzo(a)pyrene	µg/L	0.1	34	40	60 - 140	85	
	Surrogates	d5-nitrobenzene (Surrogate)	µg/L	-	0.3	0.5	40 - 130	60
		2-fluorobiphenyl (Surrogate)	µg/L	-	0.4	0.5	40 - 130	74
d14-p-terphenyl (Surrogate)		µg/L	-	0.5	0.5	40 - 130	98	

Trace Metals (Dissolved) in Water by ICPMS

Method: ME-(AU)-[ENV]AN318

Sample Number	Parameter	Units	LOR	Result	Expected	Criteria %	Recovery %
LB251594.002	Arsenic, As	µg/L	1	19	20	80 - 120	93
	Cadmium, Cd	µg/L	0.1	21	20	80 - 120	105
	Chromium, Cr	µg/L	1	21	20	80 - 120	107
	Copper, Cu	µg/L	1	22	20	80 - 120	108
	Lead, Pb	µg/L	1	20	20	80 - 120	101
	Nickel, Ni	µg/L	1	21	20	80 - 120	105
	Zinc, Zn	µg/L	5	22	20	80 - 120	109

TRH (Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons) in Water

Method: ME-(AU)-[ENV]AN403

Sample Number	Parameter	Units	LOR	Result	Expected	Criteria %	Recovery %	
LB251713.002	TRH C10-C14	µg/L	50	1100	1200	60 - 140	92	
	TRH C15-C28	µg/L	200	1400	1200	60 - 140	120	
	TRH C29-C36	µg/L	200	1100	1200	60 - 140	90	
	TRH F Bands	TRH >C10-C16	µg/L	60	1300	1200	60 - 140	112
		TRH >C16-C34 (F3)	µg/L	500	1200	1200	60 - 140	104
		TRH >C34-C40 (F4)	µg/L	500	530	600	60 - 140	89

VOCs in Water

Method: ME-(AU)-[ENV]AN433

Sample Number	Parameter	Units	LOR	Result	Expected	Criteria %	Recovery %	
LB251898.002	Halogenated	1,1-dichloroethene	µg/L	0.5	55	45.45	60 - 140	122
		Aliphatics	1,2-dichloroethane	µg/L	0.5	59	45.45	60 - 140
	Trichloroethene (Trichloroethylene, TCE)		µg/L	0.5	60	45.45	60 - 140	132
	Halogenated		Chlorobenzene	µg/L	0.5	59	45.45	60 - 140
	Monocyclic	Benzene	µg/L	0.5	48	45.45	60 - 140	105
	Aromatic	Toluene	µg/L	0.5	47	45.45	60 - 140	104
		Ethylbenzene	µg/L	0.5	47	45.45	60 - 140	102
		m/p-xylene	µg/L	1	93	90.9	60 - 140	102
		o-xylene	µg/L	0.5	47	45.45	60 - 140	103
	Surrogates	d4-1,2-dichloroethane (Surrogate)	µg/L	-	9.2	10	60 - 140	92
		d8-toluene (Surrogate)	µg/L	-	9.9	10	70 - 130	99
		Bromofluorobenzene (Surrogate)	µg/L	-	10.7	10	70 - 130	107
	Trihalomethan	Chloroform (THM)	µg/L	0.5	50	45.45	60 - 140	109

Volatile Petroleum Hydrocarbons in Water

Method: ME-(AU)-[ENV]AN433

Sample Number	Parameter	Units	LOR	Result	Expected	Criteria %	Recovery %	
LB251898.002	TRH C6-C10	µg/L	50	900	946.63	60 - 140	95	
	TRH C6-C9	µg/L	40	780	818.71	60 - 140	95	
	Surrogates	d4-1,2-dichloroethane (Surrogate)	µg/L	-	9.2	10	60 - 140	92
		d8-toluene (Surrogate)	µg/L	-	9.9	10	70 - 130	99
		Bromofluorobenzene (Surrogate)	µg/L	-	10.7	10	70 - 130	107
	VPH F Bands	TRH C6-C10 minus BTEX (F1)	µg/L	50	620	639.67	60 - 140	97

Matrix Spike (MS) results are evaluated as the percentage recovery of an expected result, typically the concentration of analyte spiked into a field sub-sample during the sample preparation stage. The original sample's result is subtracted from the sub-sample result before determining the percentage recovery. The criteria applied to the percentage recovery is established in the SGS QA/QC plan (ref: MP-(AU)-[ENV]QU-022). For more information refer to the footnotes in the concluding page of this report.

Recovery is shown in **Green** when within suggested criteria or **Red** with an appended reason identifier when outside suggested criteria. Refer to the footnotes section at the end of this report for failure reasons.

Mercury (dissolved) in Water

Method: ME-(AU)-[ENV]AN311(Pørth)/AN312

QC Sample	Sample Number	Parameter	Units	LOR	Result	Original	Spike	Recovery%
SE233387.002	LB251567.004	Mercury	mg/L	0.0001	0.0021	<0.00005	0.008	109

Trace Metals (Dissolved) in Water by ICPMS

Method: ME-(AU)-[ENV]AN318

QC Sample	Sample Number	Parameter	Units	LOR	Result	Original	Spike	Recovery%
SE233377.008	LB251594.004	Arsenic, As	µg/L	1	19	<1	20	95
		Cadmium, Cd	µg/L	0.1	21	<0.1	20	107
		Chromium, Cr	µg/L	1	22	<1	20	109
		Copper, Cu	µg/L	1	22	<1	20	109
		Lead, Pb	µg/L	1	20	<1	20	101
		Nickel, Ni	µg/L	1	21	<1	20	106
		Zinc, Zn	µg/L	5	26	<5	20	125

VOCs in Water

Method: ME-(AU)-[ENV]AN433

QC Sample	Sample Number	Parameter	Units	LOR	Result	Original	Spike	Recovery%	
SE233443.001	LB251898.022	Monocyclic	Benzene	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	45.45	78	
		Aromatic	Toluene	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	45.45	78	
			Ethylbenzene	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	45.45	81	
			m/p-xylene	µg/L	1	<1	90.9	82	
			o-xylene	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	45.45	82	
		Polycyclic	Naphthalene (VOC)	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	-	-	
		Surrogates	d4-1,2-dichloroethane (Surrogate)	µg/L	-	9.1	0.0	-	91
			d8-toluene (Surrogate)	µg/L	-	9.4	0.0	-	94
			Bromofluorobenzene (Surrogate)	µg/L	-	10.4	0.0	-	104

Volatile Petroleum Hydrocarbons in Water

Method: ME-(AU)-[ENV]AN433

QC Sample	Sample Number	Parameter	Units	LOR	Original	Spike	Recovery%	
SE233443.001	LB251898.022	TRH C6-C10	µg/L	50	<50	946.63	94	
		TRH C6-C9	µg/L	40	<40	818.71	95	
		Surrogates	d4-1,2-dichloroethane (Surrogate)	µg/L	-	0.0	-	91
			d8-toluene (Surrogate)	µg/L	-	0.0	-	94
			Bromofluorobenzene (Surrogate)	µg/L	-	0.0	-	104
			VPH F	Benzene (F0)	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	-
		Bands	TRH C6-C10 minus BTEX (F1)	µg/L	50	<50	639.67	105

Matrix spike duplicates are calculated as Relative Percent Difference (RPD) using the formula: $RPD = |OriginalResult - ReplicateResult| \times 100 / Mean$

The original result is the analyte concentration of the matrix spike. The Duplicate result is the analyte concentration of the matrix spike duplicate.

The RPD is evaluated against the Maximum Allowable Difference (MAD) criteria and can be graphically represented by a curve calculated from the Statistical Detection Limit (SDL) and Limiting Repeatability (LR) using the formula: $MAD = 100 \times SDL / Mean + LR$

Where the Maximum Allowable Difference evaluates to a number larger than 200 it is displayed as 200.

RPD is shown in **Green** when within suggested criteria or **Red** with an appended reason identifier when outside suggested criteria. Refer to the footnotes section at the

No matrix spike duplicates were required for this job.

Samples analysed as received.

Solid samples expressed on a dry weight basis.

QC criteria are subject to internal review according to the SGS QA/QC plan and may be provided on request or alternatively can be found here: https://www.sgs.com.au/~media/Local/Australia/Documents/Technical Documents/MP-AU-ENV-QU-022_QA_QC_Plan.pdf

- * NATA accreditation does not cover the performance of this service.
- ** Indicative data, theoretical holding time exceeded.
- *** Indicates that both * and ** apply.
- Sample not analysed for this analyte.
- IS Insufficient sample for analysis.
- LNR Sample listed, but not received.
- LOR Limit of reporting.
- QFH QC result is above the upper tolerance.
- QFL QC result is below the lower tolerance.
- ① At least 2 of 3 surrogates are within acceptance criteria.
- ② RPD failed acceptance criteria due to sample heterogeneity.
- ③ Results less than 5 times LOR preclude acceptance criteria for RPD.
- ④ Recovery failed acceptance criteria due to matrix interference.
- ⑤ Recovery failed acceptance criteria due to the presence of significant concentration of analyte (i.e. the concentration of analyte exceeds the spike level).
- ⑥ LOR was raised due to sample matrix interference.
- ⑦ LOR was raised due to dilution of significantly high concentration of analyte in sample.
- ⑧ Reanalysis of sample in duplicate confirmed sample heterogeneity and inconsistency of results.
- ⑨ Recovery failed acceptance criteria due to sample heterogeneity.
- ⑩ LOR was raised due to high conductivity of the sample (required dilution).
- † Refer to relevant report comments for further information.

This document is issued by the Company under its General Conditions of Service accessible at www.sgs.com/en/Terms-and-Conditions.aspx. Attention is drawn to the limitation of liability, indemnification and jurisdiction issues defined therein.

Any holder of this document is advised that information contained hereon reflects the Company's findings at the time of its intervention only and within the limits of Client's instructions, if any. The Company's sole responsibility is to its Client only. Any unauthorized alteration, forgery or falsification of the content or appearance of this document is unlawful and offenders may be prosecuted to the fullest extent of the law .

This test report shall not be reproduced, except in full.

SGS EHS Sydney COC
SE233432




Chain of Custody Record

Client Details:
 Foundation Earth Sciences
 PO Box 4405, East Gosford NSW 2250
 email: ben@foundations.com.au
 michael@foundations.com.au; ray@foundations.com.au
 ph: +61466 385 221

Delivery Details:
 SGS Laboratories Pty Ltd
 Unit 16, 33 Maddox Street, Alexandria NSW 2015
 email: au.samplerreceipt@sgs.com
 ph: +612 8594 0400

Project Manager: Michael Silk
Sampled By: RL
Purchase Order #: N/A
Page #: 1

Project #: E2843
Project Name: Leichhardt
Quote #:
Turnaround: Standard

#	Sample ID	Depth	Date Sampled	Matrix	Analytes										Sample Comments			
					ph	CEC	PFAS	HM	TRH	BTEXN	PAH	OC	VOC	Asbestos ID		Asbestos %w/w (NEPM /MA)	Phenol	Suites
1	GWSS1	-	21.06.2022	Water				X	X	X	X							Keep

Special Directions and Comments:
 Relinquished by RL
 Signature *RL*
 Date 22.06.2022

Received By: *M. Richardson*
 Signature *M. Richardson*
 Date 22.6.22 9:30

GP 13.1



SAMPLE RECEIPT ADVICE

SE233432

CLIENT DETAILS

Contact Ben Buckley
Client FOUNDATION EARTH SCIENCES PTY LTD
Address UNIT 119/14 LOYALTY ROAD
NORTH ROCKS NSW 2151

Telephone (Not specified)
Facsimile (Not specified)
Email ben@foundations.com.au

Project **E2843 Leichhardt**
Order Number **E2843**
Samples 1

LABORATORY DETAILS

Manager Huong Crawford
Laboratory SGS Alexandria Environmental
Address Unit 16, 33 Maddox St
Alexandria NSW 2015

Telephone +61 2 8594 0400
Facsimile +61 2 8594 0499
Email au.environmental.sydney@sgs.com

Samples Received Wed 22/6/2022
Report Due Wed 29/6/2022
SGS Reference **SE233432**

SUBMISSION DETAILS

This is to confirm that 1 sample was received on Wednesday 22/6/2022. Results are expected to be ready by COB Wednesday 29/6/2022. Please quote SGS reference SE233432 when making enquiries. Refer below for details relating to sample integrity upon receipt.

Sample cooling method	Ice Bricks	Sample counts by matrix	1 Water
Date documentation received	22/6/2022	Samples received in good order	Yes
Samples received without headspace	Yes	Sample temperature upon receipt	13.1°C
Turnaround time requested	Standard		

Unless otherwise instructed, water and bulk samples will be held for one month from date of report, and soil samples will be held for two months.

COMMENTS

This document is issued by the Company under its General Conditions of Service accessible at www.sgs.com/en/Terms-and-Conditions.aspx. Attention is drawn to the limitation of liability, indemnification and jurisdiction issues defined therein.



SAMPLE RECEIPT ADVICE

SE233432

CLIENT DETAILS

Client **FOUNDATION EARTH SCIENCES PTY LTD**

Project **E2843 Leichhardt**

SUMMARY OF ANALYSIS

No.	Sample ID	Mercury (dissolved) in Water	PAH (Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons) in Water	Trace Metals (Dissolved) in Water by ICPMS	TRH (Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons) in Water	VOCs in Water	Volatile Petroleum Hydrocarbons in Water
001	GWSS1	1	22	7	9	78	7

The above table represents SGS' interpretation of the client-supplied Chain Of Custody document. The numbers shown in the table indicate the number of results requested in each package. Please indicate as soon as possible should your request differ from these details. Testing as per this table shall commence immediately unless the client intervenes with a correction.

APPENDIX I: FIELD RECORD FORMS

SITE INSPECTION DAILY WORKSHEET RECORD

Project name: D82	Project #: 22543
Client: Platino properties	Date: 15/6/22
Site Address: 67-75 Lovels, road. Leichhardt NSW	
Site Contact: Paula	Phone:
Representative: Emerson & Daniel	
Title: J. Greer	Phone: 0409784783
Field Notes:	

Start Time: 7:00	Finish Time: 4:00
Weather:	Rainfall (mm):
Wind Direction:	Wind Speed:
Humidity:	
Odours present:	Staining Present:

Environmental and Safety Concerns:

23 BH soil sampling + 4 CW installation

Actions:

Site Safety Induction:

Stormwater Control:

Dust Suppression:

Traffic Control:

Machinery onsite:

Equipment onsite:

Additional Observations:

SITE INSPECTION DAILY WORKSHEET RECORD

Project name: AI	Project #: E2843
Client: Platino Properties	Date: 21/06/2022
Site Address: 67-75 Lords Rd, Leichhardt NSW	
Site Contact: Paul	Phone:
Representative: RL	
Title: CHM & SW Engineer.	Phone:
Field Notes:	

Start Time: 9:30 am	Finish Time: 12:30 PM
Weather: Sunny	Rainfall (mm): -
Wind Direction: -	Wind Speed: -
Humidity:	
Odours present:	Staining Present:
Environmental and Safety Concerns:	
- GW Sample x 4	
Actions:	
Site Safety Induction:	Stormwater Control:
Dust Suppression:	Traffic Control:
Machinery onsite:	Equipment onsite:
Additional Observations:	

GROUNDWATER MONITORING RECORD FORM

PROJECT INFORMATION			
Client:	Platino Properties	Monitoring Well ID:	B41/GW1
Site Address:	67-75 Lords Rd, Leichhardt NSW	Logged By:	RL
Project:	AZ	Date:	21/06/2022
MONITORING WELL DETAILS			
Depth (m) as constructed:	4.3	Depth (m) as measured:	4.3
Finish:	Gratic Cover	Co-ordinates:	-
Condition:	Good	Surveyed levels:	-
METHODOLOGY AND EQUIPMENT			
Water measurement device:	Dip Meter	Reference point:	Ground surface
Water quality meter:	YSZ	Reference point to ground surface (mm):	0.0
GW Extraction method:	Peri Pump		
GROUNDWATER GAUGING (PRE-PURGE)		GROUNDWATER GAUGING (POST-PURGE)	
SWL (m bgl):		SWL (m bgl):	
Depth to Product (m bgl):		Depth to product (m bgl):	
Product thickness (mm):		Product thickness (mm):	
Time:		Time:	

PURGING AND PHYSICO-CHEMICAL PARAMETERS								
Time (started)	Time (finished)	Volume purged	Pump rate (mL/min)	Temperature (°C)	DO (mg/L)	pH (pH units)	EC (us/cm)	Redox potential (mv)
11:20	11:22	0.5L	250	14.4	5.54	4.99	483.3	56.3
11:23	11:24	1.0L	↓	13.8	5.44	5.21	496.2	62.8
11:24	11:26	1.5L		14.0	3.98	5.43	511.7	71.8
Stabilisation criteria				± 0.2 °C	± 0.2 mg/L	± 0.1 pH Units	± 5%	± 10 mV

OBSERVATIONS			
Odour:	No	Sheen:	No
Colour/Turbidity:	light red/brown Medium-High	Recent rain (days):	

SAMPLING					
Samples taken:	Primary	Blind	Split	Rinsate	TS/TB
Containers:					
Field filtered:					
Preservation:					

GROUNDWATER MONITORING RECORD FORM

PROJECT INFORMATION			
Client:	Platino Properties	Monitoring Well ID:	GW2
Site Address:	67-75 Lords Rd. Leichhardt NSW	Logged By:	RL
Project:	AZ	Date:	24/06/2022
MONITORING WELL DETAILS			
Depth (m) as constructed:	4.9 m	Depth (m) as measured:	4.9 m
Finish:	Gratic Cover	Co-ordinates:	-
Condition:	Good	Surveyed levels:	-
METHODOLOGY AND EQUIPMENT			
Water measurement device:	Dip Meter	Reference point:	Ground surface
Water quality meter:	YSZ	Reference point to ground surface (mm):	00
GW Extraction method:	Peri Pump		
GROUNDWATER GAUGING (PRE-PURGE)		GROUNDWATER GAUGING (POST-PURGE)	
SWL (m bgl):	1.2	SWL (m bgl):	1.6
Depth to Product (m bgl):	NA	Depth to product (m bgl):	NA
Product thickness (mm):		Product thickness (mm):	
Time:		Time:	

PURGING AND PHYSICO-CHEMICAL PARAMETERS								
Time (started)	Time (finished)	Volume purged	Pump rate (mL/min)	Temperature (°C)	DO (mg/L)	pH (pH units)	EC (us/cm)	Redox potential (mv)
9:45	9:48	0.9L	300	11.2	21.5	7.36	447.2	584
9:48	9:51	1.8L	300	11.6	21.6	7.55	446.8	583
9:51	9:54	2.7L	300	11.5	20.5	7.97	455.9	589
Stabilisation criteria				± 0.2 °C	± 0.2 mg/L	± 0.1 pH Units	± 5%	± 10 mV

OBSERVATIONS	
Odour:	NO clear light red
Colour/Turbidity:	clear → light red low - med
Sheen:	NO
Recent rain (days):	-

SAMPLING					
Samples taken:	Primary	Blind	Split	Rinsate	TS/TB
Containers:					
Field filtered:					
Preservation:					

GROUNDWATER MONITORING RECORD FORM

PROJECT INFORMATION			
Client:	Platino Properties	Monitoring Well ID:	B42/GM3
Site Address:	67-75 Londs Rd. Leichhardt NSW	Logged By:	DL
Project:	AZ	Date:	21/06/2022
MONITORING WELL DETAILS			
Depth (m) as constructed:	4.7	Depth (m) as measured:	4.7
Finish:	Gratic Cover	Co-ordinates:	-
Condition:	Good	Surveyed levels:	-
METHODOLOGY AND EQUIPMENT			
Water measurement device:	Dip Meter	Reference point:	Ground surface
Water quality meter:	YSZ	Reference point to ground surface (mm):	0.0
GW Extraction method:	Peri Pump		
GROUNDWATER GAUGING (PRE-PURGE)		GROUNDWATER GAUGING (POST-PURGE)	
SWL (m bgl):	4.0	SWL (m bgl):	4.3
Depth to Product (m bgl):	N/A	Depth to product (m bgl):	N/A
Product thickness (mm):		Product thickness (mm):	
Time:		Time:	

PURGING AND PHYSICO-CHEMICAL PARAMETERS								
Time (started)	Time (finished)	Volume purged	Pump rate (mL/min)	Temperature (°C)	DO (mg/L)	pH (pH units)	EC (us/cm)	Redox potential (mv)
10:10	10:13	0.9L	300/	12.5	4.61	7.68	988	63.6
10:13	10:16	1.8L		12.7	4.45	7.74	990	62.8
10:16	10:19	2.7L		12.8	4.25	7.84	892	60.6
Stabilisation criteria				± 0.2 °C	± 0.2 mg/L	± 0.1 pH Units	± 5%	± 10 mV

OBSERVATIONS			
Odour:	N/A	Sheen:	-
Colour/Turbidity:	Clear / low-turbid	Recent rain (days):	-

SAMPLING					
Samples taken:	Primary	Blind	Split	Rinsate	TS/TB
Containers:					
Field filtered:					
Preservation:					

GROUNDWATER MONITORING RECORD FORM

PROJECT INFORMATION			
Client:	Platino Properties	Monitoring Well ID:	GW4
Site Address:	67-75 Lords Rd, Leichhardt NSW	Logged By:	RL
Project:	AZ	Date:	24/06/2022
MONITORING WELL DETAILS			
Depth (m) as constructed:	4.0	Depth (m) as measured:	4.0m
Finish:	Gratic Cover	Co-ordinates:	-
Condition:	Good	Surveyed levels:	-
METHODOLOGY AND EQUIPMENT			
Water measurement device:	Dip Meter	Reference point:	Ground surface
Water quality meter:	YSI	Reference point to ground surface (mm):	0.0
GW Extraction method:	Peri Pump		
GROUNDWATER GAUGING (PRE-PURGE)		GROUNDWATER GAUGING (POST-PURGE)	
SWL (m bgl):	3.0m	SWL (m bgl):	3.8m
Depth to Product (m bgl):	N/A	Depth to product (m bgl):	N/A
Product thickness (mm):		Product thickness (mm):	
Time:		Time:	

PURGING AND PHYSICO-CHEMICAL PARAMETERS								
Time (started)	Time (finished)	Volume purged	Pump rate (mL/min)	Temperature (°C)	DO (mg/L)	pH (pH units)	EC (us/cm)	Redox potential (mv)
10:40	10:43	0.9L	300/	13.3	4.54	6.99	916	554
10:43	10:46	1.8L		13.2	4.98	7.14	882	624
10:46	10:49	2.7L		12.8	4.66	7.22	897	47.9
Stabilisation criteria				± 0.2 °C	± 0.2 mg/L	± 0.1 pH Units	± 5%	± 10 mV

OBSERVATIONS			
Odour:	No	Sheen:	No
Colour/Turbidity:	Clear / Murky	Recent rain (days):	-

SAMPLING					
Samples taken:	Primary	Blind	Split	Rinsate	TS/TB
Containers:					
Field filtered:					
Preservation:					

APPENDIX J: AERIAL PHOTOGRAPHS

Historical Aerial Photographs

67-75 Lords Road,
Leichhardt NSW

1930



1943



1955



1971




1991:



2005:



Current (Six Maps):



APPENDIX K: SUMMARY TABLES

Table K2

Sample Information		Heavy Metals							TRH		BTEX						PAH						
SAMPLE ID	Date	ARSENIC	CADMIUM	CHROMIUM	COPPER	LEAD	MERCURY	NICKEL	ZINC	F1 (C ₆ -C ₁₀) ²	F2 (>C ₁₀ -C ₁₆) ³	BENZENE	TOLUENE	ETHYL BENZENE	M/P-XYLENE	O-XYLENE	NAPHTHALENE	TOTAL-XYLENE	BENZO(A)PYRENE	ANTHRACENE	PHENANTHRENE	FLUORANTHENE	NAPHTHALENE
FES DSI 2022																							
GW1	21.06.2022	<1	0.5	<1	<1	<1	<0.05	6	33	<10	<50	<1	<1	<1	<2	<1	<1	<3	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.2
GW2	21.06.2022	<1	0.4	<1	<1	<1	<0.05	7	28	<10	<50	<1	<1	<1	<2	<1	<1	<3	<0.1	<0.1	0.3	<0.1	<0.2
GW3	21.06.2022	7	3.5	28	19	11	<0.05	180	160	<10	<50	<1	<1	<1	<2	<1	<1	<3	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.2
GW4	21.06.2022	<1	0.2	<1	2	<1	<0.05	62	37	<10	<50	<1	<1	<1	<2	<1	<1	<3	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.2
GWD1	21.06.2022	<1	0.5	<1	<1	<1	<0.05	6	30	<10	<50	<1	<1	<1	<2	<1	<1	<3	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.2
GWSS1	21.06.2022	<1	0.4	<1	<1	<1	<0.1	7	38	<50	<60	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<1	<0.5	<0.5	<1.5	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Limit of Resolution (LOR)		1	0.1	1	1	1	0.05	1	1	10	50	1	1	1	2	1	1	2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.2
GILs - NEPM (2013) - Groundwater Investigation Levels																							
Marine Waters²		-	0.70	4.40	1.30	4.40	0.10	7.00	15.00			500	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	50
<i>Fresh Waters - Low Reliability (Trigger Values)³</i>		24 / 13	0.20	1.00	1.40	3.40	0.06	11.00	8.00			950	180	80	200	350			0.1	0.01	0.6	1	-
Groundwater HSLs - NEPM (2013) HSL B (CLAY)																							
2m to <4m										NL	NL	5,000	NL	NL	-	-	NL	NL					
4m to <8m										NL	NL	5,000	NL	NL	-	-	NL	NL					
Solubility Limit										9,000	3,000	59,000	61,000	3,900	-	-	170	21,000					

Notes

- 1 All units are in ug/L
- 2 Investigation Levels apply to typical slightly-moderately disturbed systems
- 3 QSAR derived, statistical distribution method used, 95% trigger values applied as per ANZECC 2000
- 4 Investigation levels are taken from the health values of the Australian Drinking Water Guidelines NHMRC 2011
- NL Non Limiting


Table K3

Sample Information		ALKANES							ALKENES				BENZENES								Other VOC							
SAMPLE ID	GME DATE	TETRACHLOROMETHANE (CARBON TETRACHLORIDE)	TRICHLOROMETHANE (CHLOROFORM)	BROMODICHLOROMETHANE	TRIHALOMETHANES (TOTAL)	1, 2-DICHLOROETHANE	CYCLOHEXANE	1,1,2-TRICHLOROETHANE	CHLOROETHENE (VINYL CHLORIDE)	TRICHLOROETHENE	CIS-1,2-DICHLOROETHENE	1,1-DICHLOROETHENE	TETRACHLOROETHENE (PCE PERCHLOROETHENE)	CHLOROBENZENE	1,2-DICHLOROBENZENE	1,3-DICHLOROBENZENE	1,4-DICHLOROBENZENE	1,2,3-TRICHLOROBENZENE	1,2,4-TRICHLOROBENZENE	ISOPROPYL BENZENE (CUMENE)	SEC-BUTYL BENZENE	1,3,5-TRIMETHYL BENZENE	N-PROPYL BENZENE	N-BUTYL BENZENE	1,2,4 - TRIMETHYLBENZENE	4-ISOPROPYL TOULENE	HEXACHLOROBUTADIENE	
FES DSI 2022																												
GW1	21.06.2022	<1	<1	<1	-	<1	<1	<1	<10	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
GW2	21.06.2022	<1	<1	<1	-	<1	<1	<1	<10	<1	<1	<1	<1	3	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
GW3	21.06.2022	<1	<1	<1	-	<1	<1	<1	<10	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
GW4	21.06.2022	<1	<1	<1	-	<1	<1	<1	<10	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
GW1	21.06.2022	<1	<1	<1	-	<1	<1	<1	<10	<1	<1	<1	<1	3	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
GWSS1	21.06.2022	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	-	<0.5	-	<0.5	<0.3	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.3	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
Limit of Resolution (LOR)		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	10	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
GILs - NEPM (2013) - Groundwater Investigation Levels																												
Marine Waters ²									1,900																			
Marine Low reliability		370							330.00																			
US EPA Regional Screening Levels (RSLs) May 2016																												
US EPA MCLs ^c		NV																			NV							
US EPA Tapwater ^d		13,000																			NV							

Notes

- 1 All units are in ug/L
- 2 Investigation levels apply to typical slightly-moderately disturbed systems
- 4 Investigation levels are taken from the health values of the Australian Drinking Water Guidelines NHMRC 2011
- NV - no derived value
- " - Not Tested
- a: QSAR derived, statistical distribution method used, 99% trigger value applied as per ANZECC guidelines for slightly-moderately disturbed systems
- b: QSAR derived, statistical distribution method used, 95% trigger value applied as per ANZECC guidelines for slightly-moderately disturbed systems
- c: US EPA Region 9 RSL (MCLs) utilised in absence of criteria from NEPM 2013. MCLs are legally enforceable USEPA drinking water standards
- d: US EPA Region 9 RSL (Tapwater) utilised in absence of criteria from NEPM 2013. Non cancer

Table K4

			Heavy Metals (mg/kg)							TRH (mg/kg)					BTEX (mg/kg)				PAH (mg/kg)		OCP (mg/kg)		OPP (mg/kg)	PCB (mg/kg)	VOCs (mg/kg)	ASBESTOS ID (Presence / Absence)		
			ARSENIC	CADMIUM	CHROMIUM	COPPER	LEAD	MERCURY	NICKEL	ZINC	C6-C9	C10-C14	C15-C28	C29-C36	C10-C36 ^a	BENZENE	TOLUENE	ETHYL BENZENE	TOTAL XYLENES	BENZO(a)PYRENE	TOTAL PAH	Other OCPs	TOTAL ENDOSULFAN ¹¹	CHLORPYRIPHOS (OPP)	TOTAL PCB		VOCs	
NSW EPA Waste Criteria (No Leachates)																												
CT1			100	20	100	-	100	4	40	-	NA	-	-	-	NA	10	288	600	1000	0.8	200	<50	60	4	<50			
CT2			400	80	400	-	400	16	160	-	NA	-	-	-	NA	40	1152	2400	4000	3.2	800	<50	240	16	<50			
NSW EPA Waste Criteria (With Leachates)																												
SCC1			500	100	1900	-	1500	50	1050	-	650	-	-	-	10000	18	518	1080	1800	10	200	Total = <50**	108	7.5	<50**			
SCC2			2000	400	7600	-	6000	200	4200	-	2600	-	-	-	40000	72	2073	4320	7200	23	800	Total = <50**	432	30	<50**			
Limit of Resolution (LOR)			4	0.4	5	5	5	0.10	5	5	25	50	100	100	NA	0.2	0.5	1	3	0.05	0.05	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1			
Sample ID	Date Sampled	Depth																										
FES DSI 2022																												
BH1	15.06.2022	0.4-0.5	<4	<0.4	20	50	18	<0.1	62	40	<25	<50	<100	240	240	<0.2	<0.5	<1	<1	0.4	2.9	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<LOR	ND	
<i>BH1 Triplicate</i>																												
BH2	15.06.2022	0.4-0.5	<4	<0.4	19	50	13	<0.1	62	33	<25	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	<LOR	ND	
BH3	15.06.2022	0.2-0.3	<4	0.4	12	56	7	<0.1	41	47	<25	<50	<100	<100	<50	<0.2	<0.5	<1	<1	<0.05	<0.05	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<LOR	ND	
BH4	15.06.2022	0.5-0.6	<4	<0.4	6	9	17	<0.1	2	22	<25	<50	<100	<100	<50	<0.2	<0.5	<1	<1	0.3	2.5	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<LOR	ND	
BH5	15.06.2022	0.2-0.3	5	0.7	10	94	95	<0.1	5	160	<25	<50	<100	<100	<50	<0.2	<0.5	<1	<1	1.1	8.7	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<LOR	ND	
BH6	15.06.2022	0.4-0.5	10	6	15	32	92	0.1	4	92	<25	<50	<100	<100	<50	<0.2	<0.5	<1	<1	0.61	5.4	<0.1	<0.1	-	<0.1	-	ND	
BH7	15.06.2022	0.3-0.4	13	12	18	67	76	0.2	4	120	<25	<50	<100	<100	<50	<0.2	<0.5	<1	<1	0.85	7.1	<0.1	<0.1	-	<0.1	-	ND	
BH8	15.06.2022	0.2-0.3	8	11	12	15	44	<0.1	2	72	<25	<50	<100	<100	<50	<0.2	<0.5	<1	<1	0.5	3.9	<0.1	<0.1	-	<0.1	-	ND	
BH9	15.06.2022	0.5-0.6	<4	<0.4	9	1	5	<0.1	<1	17	<25	<50	<100	<100	<50	<0.2	<0.5	<1	<1	<0.05	<0.05	<0.1	<0.1	-	<0.1	-	ND	
BH10	15.06.2022	0.4-0.5	<4	<0.4	2	8	25	<0.1	1	18	<25	<50	<100	<100	<50	<0.2	<0.5	<1	<1	0.1	0.78	<0.1	<0.1	-	<0.1	-	ND	
BH11	15.06.2022	0.7-0.8	16	4.6	15	39	120	0.4	8	260	<25	<50	460	930	1,400	<0.2	<0.5	<1	<1	2.5	22	<0.1	<0.1	-	<0.1	-	ND	
BH12	15.06.2022	0.5-0.6	<4	<0.4	21	43	18	<0.1	48	37	<25	<50	<100	<100	<50	<0.2	<0.5	<1	<1	0.2	1.7	<0.1	<0.1	-	<0.1	-	ND	
BH13	15.06.2022	0.2-0.3	34	<0.4	9	18	100	<0.1	2	32	<25	<50	<100	<100	<50	<0.2	<0.5	<1	<1	0.1	0.5	<0.1	<0.1	-	<0.1	-	ND	
BH13 - Triplicate	15.06.2022	0.3-0.4	<4	<0.4	9	9	16	<0.1	11	27	<25	<50	<100	<100	<50	<0.2	<0.5	<1	<1	<0.05	<0.05	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<LOR	ND	
BH13	15.06.2022	0.3-0.4	<4	<0.4	10	16	60	<0.1	16	68	<25	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	ND	
BH14	15.06.2022	2-2.1	10	5.9	14	28	76	<0.1	5	120	<25	<50	<100	<100	<50	<0.2	<0.5	<1	<1	2.2	22	-	-	-	-	-	ND	
BH15	15.06.2022	0.6-0.7	<4	<0.4	22	60	34	<0.1	63	98	<25	<50	100	220	330	<0.2	<0.5	<1	<1	0.2	1.1	<0.1	<0.1	-	<0.1	-	ND	
BH16	15.06.2022	0.5-0.6	6	1	27	47	190	0.5	7	440	<25	<50	<100	<100	<50	<0.2	<0.5	<1	<1	1.5	11	<0.1	<0.1	-	<0.1	-	ND	
BH17	15.06.2022	0.3-0.4	<4	<0.4	13	210	8	<0.1	55	52	<25	<50	<100	<100	<50	<0.2	<0.5	<1	<1	0.1	0.4	<0.1	<0.1	-	<0.1	-	ND	
BH18	15.06.2022	0.5-0.6	6	<0.4	18	7	25	0.1	2	45	<25	<50	<100	<100	<50	<0.2	<0.5	<1	<1	0.2	1.1	<0.1	<0.1	-	<0.1	-	ND	
BH19	15.06.2022	0.7-0.8	<4	<0.4	14	73	250	1.1	21	310	<25	<50	200	200	390	<0.2	<0.5	<1	<1	8.6	70	<0.1	<0.1	-	<0.1	-	ND	
BH20	15.06.2022	0.2-0.3	6	<0.4	25	15	27	<0.1	7	16	<25	<50	<100	<100	<50	<0.2	<0.5	<1	<1	0.3	2	<0.1	<0.1	-	<0.1	-	ND	
BH21	15.06.2022	0.3-0.4	8	<0.4	28	2	10	<0.1	2	12	<25	<50	<100	<100	<50	<0.2	<0.5	<1	<1	0.07	0.07	<0.1	<0.1	-	<0.1	-	ND	
BH22	15.06.2022	0.6-0.7	<4	<0.4	18	35	14	<0.1	20	24	<25	<50	<100	<100	<50	<0.2	<0.5	<1	<1	0.2	1.5	<0.1	<0.1	-	<0.1	-	ND	
BH22 - Triplicate	15.06.2022	0.5-0.6	<4	<0.4	3	5	33	0.1	2	44	<25	<50	<100	300	300	<0.2	<0.5	<1	<1	1.3	12	<0.1	<0.1	-	<0.1	-	ND	
BH23	15.06.2022	0.4-0.5	<4	<0.4	6	12	170	0.1	3	88	<25	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	ND	
D1	15.06.2022	-	<4	<0.4	12	<1	4	<0.1	<1	2	<25	<50	<100	<100	<50	<0.2	<0.5	<1	<1	<0.05	<0.05	<0.1	<0.1	-	<0.1	-	ND	
D2	15.06.2022	-	6	1	10	67	140	0.1	4	210	<25	<50	<100	<100	<50	<0.2	<0.5	<1	<1	0.97	8.2	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<LOR	-	
SS1	15.06.2022	-	<4	0.5	18	76	8	<0.1	35	50	<25	<50	<100	<100	<50	<0.2	<0.5	<1	<1	<0.05	<0.05	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<LOR	-	
SS2	15.06.2022	-	9	1.2	9.8	91	170	0.22	4.3	260	<20	<20	<45	<45	<110	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.3	1.1	11	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<24	-	
			2	0.5	10	42	7	<0.05	35	45	<20	<20	<45	<45	<110	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.3	<0.1	<0.8	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<1	<24	-

Notes

- 1 CT1, CT2 : Total concentrations used for defining General Solid Waste and Restricted Solid Waste respectively (without TCLP)
- 2 SCC1, SCC2 : Total Concentration used for defining General Solid Waste and Restricted Solid Waste respectively (in conjunction with TCLP)
- 3 Concentrations in GREEN exceed the CT1 criteria
- 4 Concentrations in RED exceed the CT2 criteria
- 5 Concentrations in BLUE exceed the SCC1 criteria
- 6 Concentrations in ORANGE exceed the SCC2 criteria
- 7 Concentrations in YELLOW indicate the presence of Asbestos
- 8 NA = Not Applicable
- 9 "- " Not Tested
- 10 *** Indicates Retest Result
- 11 Total Endosulfan = Endosulfan I, Endosulfan II and Endosulfan Sulphate

APPENDIX L: CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE

PID Calibration Certificate

Instrument **PhoCheck Tiger**
Serial No. **T-114173**



Air-Met Scientific Pty Ltd
1300 137 067

Item	Test	Pass	Comments			
Battery	Charge Condition	✓				
	Fuses	✓				
	Capacity	✓				
	Recharge OK?	✓				
Switch/keypad	Operation	✓				
Display	Intensity	✓				
	Operation (segments)	✓				
Grill Filter	Condition	✓				
	Seal	✓				
Pump	Operation	✓				
	Filter	✓				
	Flow	✓				
	Valves, Diaphragm	✓				
PCB	Condition	✓				
Connectors	Condition	✓				
Sensor	PID	✓	10.6 ev			
Alarms	Beeper	✓	Low	High	TWA	STEL
	Settings	✓	50ppm	100ppm		
Software	Version	✓				
Data logger	Operation	✓				
Download	Operation	✓				
Other tests:						

Certificate of Calibration

This is to certify that the above instrument has been calibrated to the following specifications:

Sensor	Serial no	Calibration gas and concentration	Certified	Gas bottle No		Instrument Reading
PID Lamp		93ppm Isobutylene		SY361		93.2ppm

Calibrated by: Gary Needs

Calibration date: **14/06/2022**

Next calibration due: **11/12/2022**

Multi Parameter Water Meter



airmet

Air-Met Scientific Pty Ltd
1300 137 067

Instrument YSI Quatro Pro Plus
Serial No. 19D105096

Item	Test	Pass	Comments
Battery	Charge Condition	✓	
	Fuses	✓	
	Capacity	✓	
Switch/keypad	Operation	✓	
	Display	Intensity	✓
Grill Filter	Operation (segments)	✓	
	Condition	✓	
PCB	Seal	✓	
	Condition	✓	
Connectors	Condition	✓	
Sensor	1. pH	✓	
	2. mV	✓	
	3. EC	✓	
	4. D.O	✓	
	5. Temp	✓	
Alarms	Beeper		
	Settings		
Software	Version		
Data logger	Operation		
Download	Operation		
Other tests:			

Certificate of Calibration

This is to certify that the above instrument has been calibrated to the following specifications:

Sensor	Serial no	Standard Solutions	Certified	Solution Bottle Number	Instrument Reading
1. pH 7.00		pH 7.00		381241	pH 6.85
2. pH 4.00		pH 4.00		384826	pH 4.00
3. ORP		242.2mV		380834/387761	242.3mV
4. EC		2.76mS		385047	2.76mS
5. D.O		0.00ppm		11343	0.00ppm
6. Temp		19°C		MultiTherm 09000528	18.2°C

Calibrated by:

Sarah Lian

Calibration date:

17/06/2022

Next calibration due:

17-Dec-22

APPENDIX M: LAND TITLE INFORMATION

Title Search

17/06/2022 01:36 PM

Client Reference: DI-E2843

NEW SOUTH WALES LAND REGISTRY SERVICES - TITLE SEARCH

FOLIO: 1/940543

SEARCH DATE	TIME	EDITION NO	DATE
-----	---	-----	---
17/6/2022	1:36 PM	50	19/2/2019

LAND

LOT 1 IN DEPOSITED PLAN 940543
AT LEICHHARDT
LOCAL GOVERNMENT AREA INNER WEST
PARISH OF PETERSHAM COUNTY OF CUMBERLAND
TITLE DIAGRAM DP940543

FIRST SCHEDULE

LORD SIXTY SEVEN PTY LIMITED (T AB211141)

SECOND SCHEDULE (9 NOTIFICATIONS)

- 1 RESERVATIONS AND CONDITIONS IN THE CROWN GRANT(S)
- 2 W321534 LEASE TO THE SYDNEY COUNTY COUNCIL OF SUBSTATION
PREMISES NO 2386 AS SHOWN IN PLAN WITH W321534.
EXPIRES 31-12-2035
AK971351 LEASE OF LEASE W321534 TO BLUE ASSET PARTNER PTY
LTD, ERIC ALPHA ASSET CORPORATION 1 PTY LTD, ERIC
ALPHA ASSET CORPORATION 2 PTY LTD, ERIC ALPHA
ASSET CORPORATION 3 PTY LTD & ERIC ALPHA ASSET
CORPORATION 4 PTY LTD EXPIRES: SEE DEALING. CLAUSE
2.3 (b) (ii).
AK971352 LEASE OF LEASE AK971351 TO BLUE OP PARTNER PTY
LTD, ERIC ALPHA OPERATOR CORPORATION 1 PTY LTD,
ERIC ALPHA OPERATOR CORPORATION 2 PTY LTD, ERIC
ALPHA OPERATOR CORPORATION 3 PTY LTD & ERIC ALPHA
OPERATOR CORPORATION 4 PTY LTD EXPIRES: SEE
DEALING. CLAUSE 12.1
AK971502 MORTGAGE OF LEASE AK971351 TO ANZ FIDUCIARY
SERVICES PTY LTD
AK971571 CHANGE OF NAME AFFECTING LEASE W321534 LESSEE
NOW ALPHA DISTRIBUTION MINISTERIAL HOLDING
CORPORATION

- 3 AD101847 EASEMENT FOR ACCESS APPURTENANT TO THE LAND ABOVE
DESCRIBED AFFECTING LOT 1 IN DP550608
- 4 AD414382 LEASE TO KAY. T. INVESTMENTS PTY LTD OF UPPER UNIT
7, 67-69 LORDS ROAD, LEICHHARDT. EXPIRES: 30/4/2012.
OPTION OF RENEWAL: 5 YEARS.
AE977535 VARIATION OF LEASE AD414382 EXPIRY DATE NOW
30/4/2019.
AJ337256 VARIATION OF LEASE AD414382

END OF PAGE 1 - CONTINUED OVER

DI-E2843

PRINTED ON 17/6/2022

NEW SOUTH WALES LAND REGISTRY SERVICES - TITLE SEARCH

FOLIO: 1/940543

PAGE 2

SECOND SCHEDULE (9 NOTIFICATIONS) (CONTINUED)

- 5 AF97430 LEASE TO KAY. T. INVESTMENTS PTY. LTD. OF UNIT 7,
71-73 LORDS ROAD, LEICHHARDT. EXPIRES: 30/4/2019.
OPTION OF RENEWAL: 10 YEARS.
AJ337257 VARIATION OF LEASE AF97430
- 6 AF572069 LEASE TO HEAD ACADEMY KUNG FU PTY LIMITED OF UNIT 6,
71-73 LORDS ROAD, LEICHHARDT. EXPIRES: 6/5/2014.
OPTION OF RENEWAL: 5 YEARS.
- 7 AG421067 MORTGAGE TO WESTPAC BANKING CORPORATION
- 8 AJ309293 LEASE TO ART EST. PTY LIMITED OF UNIT 4, UPPER
LEVEL, 67-69 LORDS ROAD, LEICHHARDT. EXPIRES:
31/12/2019.
- 9 AP71167 LEASE TO COUNTRY ROAD CLOTHING PTY LIMITED OF UNIT
2, 67-69 LORDS ROAD, LEICHHARDT COMMENCES 15/05/2019.
EXPIRES: 14/5/2022.

NOTATIONS

UNREGISTERED DEALINGS: NIL

*** END OF SEARCH ***



LAND
REGISTRY
SERVICES

Direct Info
Quick and easy online

DI-E2843

PRINTED ON 17/6/2022

* Any entries preceded by an asterisk do not appear on the current edition of the Certificate of Title. Warning: the information appearing under notations has not been formally recorded in the Register.

© Office of the Registrar-General 2022

Historical Search

17/06/2022 01:38 PM

Client Reference: DI-E2843

NEW SOUTH WALES LAND REGISTRY SERVICES - HISTORICAL SEARCH

SEARCH DATE

17/6/2022 1:37PM

FOLIO: 1/940543

First Title(s): SEE PRIOR TITLE(S)

Prior Title(s): VOL 15444 FOL 245

Recorded	Number	Type of Instrument	C.T. Issue
21/8/1988		TITLE AUTOMATION PROJECT	LOT RECORDED
		FOLIO NOT CREATED	
21/12/1988		CONVERTED TO COMPUTER FOLIO	FOLIO CREATED
		CT NOT ISSUED	
14/6/1991	Z702792	REQUEST	EDITION 1
12/9/1991	Z914134	CAVEAT	
4/8/1992	E655159	WITHDRAWAL OF CAVEAT	
4/8/1992	E655160	TRANSFER	EDITION 2
24/9/1992	E782853	LEASE	EDITION 3
25/6/1993	I437817	LEASE	EDITION 4
9/9/1993	I633225	LEASE	EDITION 5
22/11/1993	I811911	LEASE	EDITION 6
6/12/1993	I848607	LEASE	
6/12/1993	I848608	LEASE	EDITION 7
4/3/1994	U78028	LEASE	EDITION 8
31/3/1994	U147757	LEASE	EDITION 9
13/5/1994	U262326	TRANSFER OF LEASE	
2/12/1994	U836239	REQUEST	
2/12/1994	U836240	LEASE	EDITION 10

13/6/1995 0298465 MORTGAGE EDITION 11
 24/1/1997 2786076 LEASE EDITION 12
 28/4/1997 3011043 LEASE
 28/4/1997 3011044 LEASE EDITION 13

END OF PAGE 1 - CONTINUED OVER

DI-E2843 PRINTED ON 17/6/2022

NEW SOUTH WALES LAND REGISTRY SERVICES - HISTORICAL SEARCH

SEARCH DATE

 17/6/2022 1:37PM

FOLIO: 1/940543 PAGE 2

Recorded	Number	Type of Instrument	C.T. Issue
21/8/1997	3340081	REQUEST	
21/8/1997	3340082	LEASE	
21/8/1997	3340083	LEASE	
21/8/1997	3340084	LEASE	EDITION 14
4/3/1998	3834256	LEASE	
4/3/1998	3834257	LEASE	EDITION 15
5/5/1998	3963473	LEASE	EDITION 16
23/3/2000	6664826	LEASE	
23/3/2000	6664827	LEASE	
23/3/2000	6664828	LEASE	EDITION 17
1/5/2000	6743632	LEASE	EDITION 18
1/5/2000	6743644	DEPARTMENTAL DEALING	EDITION 19
3/8/2001	7827466	TRANSFER OF LEASE	
3/8/2001	7827467	VARIATION OF LEASE	
3/6/2002	8651649	TRANSFER	
3/6/2002	8651650	LEASE	
3/6/2002	8651651	LEASE	
3/6/2002	8651652	LEASE	EDITION 20
25/6/2002	8713741	LEASE	

25/6/2002 8713742 LEASE EDITION 21

14/8/2002 8866169 VARIATION OF LEASE EDITION 22

27/8/2002 8903637 LEASE EDITION 23

17/1/2003 9297112 DISCHARGE OF MORTGAGE

17/1/2003 9297113 TRANSFER

17/1/2003 9297114 MORTGAGE EDITION 24

5/7/2004 AA776911 DISCHARGE OF MORTGAGE

5/7/2004 AA776912 MORTGAGE EDITION 25

22/11/2004 AB108621 CAVEAT

10/2/2005 AB211130 DISCHARGE OF MORTGAGE

10/2/2005 AB211132 WITHDRAWAL OF CAVEAT

END OF PAGE 2 - CONTINUED OVER

DI-E2843 PRINTED ON 17/6/2022

NEW SOUTH WALES LAND REGISTRY SERVICES - HISTORICAL SEARCH

SEARCH DATE

17/6/2022 1:37PM

FOLIO: 1/940543 PAGE 3

Recorded	Number	Type of Instrument	C.T. Issue
10/2/2005	AB211133	REQUEST	
10/2/2005	AB211134	REQUEST	
10/2/2005	AB211135	REQUEST	
10/2/2005	AB211136	REQUEST	
10/2/2005	AB211137	LEASE	
10/2/2005	AB211138	LEASE	
10/2/2005	AB211139	LEASE	
10/2/2005	AB211140	LEASE	
10/2/2005	AB211141	TRANSFER	
10/2/2005	AB211143	MORTGAGE	EDITION 26
19/6/2006	AC390301	LEASE	EDITION 27
22/6/2006	AC397532	LEASE	EDITION 28
5/7/2006	AC435598	VARIATION OF MORTGAGE	EDITION 29

28/8/2006 AC555395 LEASE EDITION 30

17/5/2007 AD101847 TRANSFER GRANTING EASEMENT EDITION 31
ETC OVER OWN LAND

14/9/2007 AD414382 LEASE EDITION 32

13/12/2007 AD634716 LEASE EDITION 33

11/3/2008 AD820193 LEASE EDITION 34

29/4/2008 AD918715 LEASE EDITION 35

1/7/2009 AE807612 VARIATION OF MORTGAGE EDITION 36

15/9/2009 AE977535 VARIATION OF LEASE

20/10/2009 AF55865 MORTGAGE EDITION 37

10/11/2009 AF97430 LEASE EDITION 38

22/6/2010 AF572069 LEASE

22/6/2010 AF572070 LEASE EDITION 39

20/1/2011 AG13243 LEASE EDITION 40

END OF PAGE 3 - CONTINUED OVER

DI-E2843 PRINTED ON 17/6/2022

NEW SOUTH WALES LAND REGISTRY SERVICES - HISTORICAL SEARCH

SEARCH DATE

17/6/2022 1:37PM

FOLIO: 1/940543 PAGE 4

Recorded	Number	Type of Instrument	C.T. Issue
9/8/2011	AG421066	DISCHARGE OF MORTGAGE	
9/8/2011	AG421067	MORTGAGE	EDITION 41
17/8/2011	AG439874	POSTPONEMENT OF MORTGAGE	EDITION 42
25/11/2011	AG642759	LEASE	EDITION 43
20/6/2012	AH60315	LEASE	EDITION 44

27/2/2013 AH580569 LEASE EDITION 45
27/6/2013 AH836699 SURRENDER OF LEASE EDITION 46
21/1/2014 AI316800 LEASE
23/1/2014 AI325778 LEASE
12/2/2014 AI353727 VARIATION OF LEASE
13/2/2014 AI373491 TRANSFER OF LEASE
5/3/2015 AJ309293 LEASE
5/3/2015 AJ309361 DISCHARGE OF MORTGAGE EDITION 47
17/3/2015 AJ337256 VARIATION OF LEASE
17/3/2015 AJ337257 VARIATION OF LEASE
29/6/2016 AK533443 LEASE EDITION 48
28/2/2017 AK971351 LEASE
28/2/2017 AK971352 SUB-LEASE
28/2/2017 AK971502 MORTGAGE OF LEASE
28/2/2017 AK971571 CHANGE OF NAME
28/2/2017 AM28347 DEPARTMENTAL DEALING
14/11/2017 AM885885 CAVEAT
13/7/2018 AN500135 WITHDRAWAL OF CAVEAT
8/9/2018 AN695391 DEPARTMENTAL DEALING EDITION 49
CORD ISSUED
19/2/2019 AP71167 LEASE EDITION 50

END OF PAGE 4 - CONTINUED OVER

DI-E2843 PRINTED ON 17/6/2022

NEW SOUTH WALES LAND REGISTRY SERVICES - HISTORICAL SEARCH

SEARCH DATE

17/6/2022 1:37PM

FOLIO: 1/940543 PAGE 5

Recorded	Number	Type of Instrument	C.T. Issue
----------	--------	--------------------	------------



LAND
REGISTRY
SERVICES

Direct Info
Quick and easy online

CORD ISSUED

*** END OF SEARCH ***

DI-E2843

PRINTED ON 17/6/2022



© Office of the Registrar-General 2022



17/06/2022 01:42 PM

© Office of the Registrar-General 2022

Form: 01T
Licence: 03-10-204
Licensee: Gadens Lawyers

TRANSFER
New South Wales
Real Property Act 1900



AB211141C

PRIVACY NOTE: Section 31B of the Real Property Act 1900 (RP Act) authorises the use of the information required by this form for the establishment and maintenance of the Real Property Register. Section 31B of the RP Act requires that the Register is made available to any person for search upon payment of a fee, if any.

STAMP DUTY

Office of State Revenue use only NSW Treasury Client No: 1405240 1974 VENDOR DUTY EXEMPT ENDORSED Trans No: 2365593	NEW SOUTH WALES DUTY 20-12-2004 0002379021-001 SECTION 18(2) DUTY \$ *****2.00
--	---

(A) TORRENS TITLE

If appropriate, specify the part transferred.
1/940543

(B) LODGED BY

Delivery Box 348F	Name, Address or DX and Telephone LLAN: 123 232P Reference (optional): JRL: 106365	Gray & Perkin Lawyers DX 431 Sydney Box No. 348F	CODES T TW (Sheriff)
-----------------------------	--	---	---

(C) TRANSFEROR

Dodaro Investments No. 1 Pty Limited ACN 100 153 786 and
Dodaro Investments No. 2 Pty Limited ACN 100 153 795

(D) CONSIDERATION

The transferor acknowledges receipt of the consideration of \$ 11,576,500.00 and as regards

(E) ESTATE

the land specified above transfers to the transferee an estate in fee simple

(F) SHARE TRANSFERRED

(G) Encumbrances (if applicable): 1. 2. 3.

(H) TRANSFEREE

Lord Sixty Seven Pty Limited ACN 111 975 190
TENANCY:

DATE

24 / 12 / 2004
dd mm yy

(J) Certified correct for the purposes of the Real Property Act 1900 and executed on behalf of the corporation named below by the authorised person(s) whose signature(s) appear(s) below pursuant to the authority specified.


Corporation: Dodaro Investments No. 1 Pty Limited
Authority: Section 127 of the Corporations Act
Signature of authorised person:

Name of authorised person: *Maria Dodaro*
Office held: *Director*


Signature of authorised person: *[Signature]*

Name of authorised person: *Theresa Dodaro*
Office held: *Director/Sec*

and executed on behalf of the corporation named below by the authorised person(s) whose signature(s) appear(s) below pursuant to the authority specified.


Corporation: Dodaro Investments No. 2 Pty Limited
Authority: Section 127 of the Corporations Act
Signature of authorised person: 

Name of authorised person: NATALIE DODARO
Office held: Director


Signature of authorised person:

Name of authorised person: ROBERT DODARO
Office held: Director

Certified correct for the purposes of the Real Property Act 1900 by the person whose signature appears below.

Signature: 

Signatory's name: Roslyn Forrest
Signatory's capacity: solicitor for transferee

Title Search

17/06/2022 01:40 PM

Client Reference: DI-E2843

NEW SOUTH WALES LAND REGISTRY SERVICES - TITLE SEARCH

FOLIO: 1/550608

SEARCH DATE	TIME	EDITION NO	DATE
-----	---	-----	---
17/6/2022	1:39 PM	13	27/4/2018

LAND

LOT 1 IN DEPOSITED PLAN 550608
AT LEICHHARDT
LOCAL GOVERNMENT AREA INNER WEST
PARISH OF PETERSHAM COUNTY OF CUMBERLAND
TITLE DIAGRAM DP550608

FIRST SCHEDULE

LORD SIXTY SEVEN PTY LIMITED (T AB211142)

SECOND SCHEDULE (10 NOTIFICATIONS)

- 1 RESERVATIONS AND CONDITIONS IN THE CROWN GRANT(S) AS REGARDS THE PART IN LOT 19 SEC 2 IN DP1268
- 2 LAND EXCLUDES MINERALS (S.141 PUBLIC WORKS ACT, 1912) AS REGARDS THE PART FORMERLY IN 1/508417
- 3 DP1054391 EASEMENT FOR ELECTRICITY SUPPLY AFFECTING THE PART(S) SHOWN SO BURDENED IN DP1054391
- 4 DP1054391 EASEMENT FOR DRAINAGE AND ACCESS FOR MAINTENANCE 6 METRE(S) WIDE AFFECTING THE PART(S) SHOWN SO BURDENED IN DP1054391
- 5 9656893 EASEMENT FOR NOISE AND VIBRATION AFFECTING THE WHOLE OF THE LAND ABOVE DESCRIBED
- 6 9656893 EASEMENT FOR ELECTROLYSIS AFFECTING THE WHOLE OF THE LAND ABOVE DESCRIBED
- 7 9656893 RESTRICTION(S) ON THE USE OF LAND
- 8 AD101847 EASEMENT FOR ACCESS AFFECTING THE LAND ABOVE DESCRIBED
- 9 AD414382 LEASE TO KAY. T. INVESTMENTS PTY LTD OF UPPER UNIT 7, 67-69 LORDS ROAD, LEICHHARDT. EXPIRES: 30/4/2012. OPTION OF RENEWAL: 5 YEARS.
AE977535 VARIATION OF LEASE AD414382 EXPIRY DATE NOW 30/4/2019.



AJ337256 VARIATION OF LEASE AD414382
10 AG421067 MORTGAGE TO WESTPAC BANKING CORPORATION

NOTATIONS

DP1009971 NOTE: PLAN OF PROPOSED EASEMENT

UNREGISTERED DEALINGS: NIL

*** END OF SEARCH ***

DI-E2843 PRINTED ON 17/6/2022

* Any entries preceded by an asterisk do not appear on the current edition of the Certificate of Title. Warning: the information appearing under notations has not been formally recorded in the Register.
© Office of the Registrar-General 2022

Historical Search

17/06/2022 01:40 PM

Client Reference: DI-E2843

NEW SOUTH WALES LAND REGISTRY SERVICES - HISTORICAL SEARCH

SEARCH DATE

17/6/2022 1:40PM

FOLIO: 1/550608

First Title(s): OLD SYSTEM

Prior Title(s): 1/508417 VOL 4522 FOL 40

Recorded	Number	Type of Instrument	C.T. Issue
10/1/1992	DP814867	DEPOSITED PLAN EDITION 1	FOLIO CREATED
3/2/1993	I86350	LEASE	EDITION 2
19/4/2000	DP1009971	DEPOSITED PLAN	
19/6/2003	DP1054391	DEPOSITED PLAN	
24/6/2003	9656893	TRANSFER	EDITION 3
22/11/2004	AB108628	CAVEAT	
15/12/2004	AB158144	REQUEST	
10/2/2005	AB211131	WITHDRAWAL OF CAVEAT	
10/2/2005	AB211142	TRANSFER	
10/2/2005	AB211143	MORTGAGE	EDITION 4
17/5/2007	AD101847	TRANSFER GRANTING EASEMENT ETC OVER OWN LAND	EDITION 5
14/9/2007	AD414382	LEASE	EDITION 6
13/12/2007	AD634716	LEASE	EDITION 7
18/6/2009	AE755155	DEPARTMENTAL DEALING	
1/7/2009	AE807612	VARIATION OF MORTGAGE	EDITION 8
15/9/2009	AE977535	VARIATION OF LEASE	



20/10/2009 AF55865 MORTGAGE EDITION 9
9/8/2011 AG421066 DISCHARGE OF MORTGAGE
9/8/2011 AG421067 MORTGAGE EDITION 10
17/8/2011 AG439874 POSTPONEMENT OF MORTGAGE EDITION 11
2/5/2014 AI544020 DEPARTMENTAL DEALING

END OF PAGE 1 - CONTINUED OVER

DI-E2843 PRINTED ON 17/6/2022

NEW SOUTH WALES LAND REGISTRY SERVICES - HISTORICAL SEARCH

SEARCH DATE

17/6/2022 1:40PM

FOLIO: 1/550608 PAGE 2

Recorded	Number	Type of Instrument	C.T. Issue
17/3/2015	AJ337256	VARIATION OF LEASE	
21/3/2018	AN203897	APPLICATION FOR REPLACEMENT CERTIFICATE OF TITLE	EDITION 12
27/4/2018	AN293385	DISCHARGE OF MORTGAGE CORD ISSUED	EDITION 13

*** END OF SEARCH ***



Direct Info
Quick and easy online

DI-E2843

PRINTED ON 17/6/2022

© Office of the Registrar-General 2022

Historical Search

17/06/2022 03:35 PM

Client Reference: DI-E2843

NEW SOUTH WALES LAND REGISTRY SERVICES - HISTORICAL SEARCH

SEARCH DATE

17/6/2022 3:34PM

FOLIO: 1/508417

First Title(s): SEE PRIOR TITLE(S)

Prior Title(s): VOL 10056 FOL 32

Recorded	Number	Type of Instrument	C.T. Issue
28/3/1988		TITLE AUTOMATION PROJECT	LOT RECORDED
		FOLIO NOT CREATED	
23/6/1988		CONVERTED TO COMPUTER FOLIO	FOLIO CREATED
		CT NOT ISSUED	
10/1/1992	E180244	APPLICATION FOR ISSUE OF CERTIFICATE OF TITLE	
10/1/1992	DP814867	DEPOSITED PLAN	FOLIO CANCELLED

*** END OF SEARCH ***



LAND
REGISTRY
SERVICES

Direct Info
Quick and easy online

DI-E2843

PRINTED ON 17/6/2022

© Office of the Registrar-General 2022



17/06/2022 03:34 PM

© Office of the Registrar-General 2022

Form: OITE
Release: 1.1
www.lpi.nsw.gov.au

**TRANSFER
INCLUDING EASEMENT**




New South Wales
Real Property Act 1900

9656893S

PRIVACY NOTE: this information is legally required and will become part of the public record

STAMP DUTY

Office of State Revenue use only	NEW SOUTH WALES DUTY 19-05-2003 0001406565-001 SECTION 18(2) DUTY \$ *****2.00
----------------------------------	---



(A) **TOP TENANTS TITLE**
FOLIO IDENTIFIER 1/55068-550608

(B) **TENEMENTS**
Servient **DODARO HOLDINGS PTY LTD** Dominant **STATE RAIL AUTHORITY**

(C) LODGED BY	Delivery Box 758K	Name, Address or DX and Telephone McCanns Legal Services Pty Ltd DX 1463 Sydney Box 758K Phone: 9223 7455 Reference: 146483-DODARO	CODE TE
----------------------	-----------------------------	--	-------------------

(D) **TRANSFEROR**
STATE RAIL AUTHORITY OF NEW SOUTH WALES **OVER**

The transferor—

- (E) 1. acknowledges receipt of the consideration of \$**380,000.00**
- 2. transfers to the transferee an estate in fee simple and
- (F) 3. **RESERVES** an easement as set out in Schedule 2.
- (G) Encumbrances (if applicable):

(H) **TRANSFEEE**
DODARO HOLDINGS PTY LTD ABN 87701406
(I) **TENANCY:** The Common Seal of STATE RAIL AUTHORITY OF NEW SOUTH WALES was hereunto affixed

DATE **28-4-03.**

in the presence of:-


(J) I certify that the person(s) signing opposite, with whom I am personally acquainted or as to whose identity I am otherwise satisfied, signed this instrument in my presence.

Certified correct for the purposes of the Real Property Act 1900 by the authorised officer named below.

Signature of witness: *[Signature]*
 Name of witness: **x Hon S CRRIGHER**
 Address of witness: **x 18 Lee St. Chippendale.**

[Signature]
 Signature of authorised officer: **x MARGOT MANSACKER'S**
 Authorised officer's name: **x**
 Authority of officer: **Corporate Secretary.**
 Signing on behalf of: **The State Rail Authority of New South Wales.**

Certified correct for the purposes of the Real Property Act 1900 by the corporation named below the common seal of which was affixed pursuant to the authority specified and in the presence of the authorised person(s) whose signature(s) appear(s) below.
 Corporation:
 Authority:



Signature of authorised person: *[Signature]*
 Name of authorised person: **Maria Dodaro**
 Office held: **Secretary**

Signature of authorised person: *[Signature]*
 Name of authorised person: **Atale Dodaro**
 Office held: **Director**

Annexure "A" to TRANSFER INCLUDING EASEMENT

Parties:

STATE RAIL AUTHORITY OF NEW SOUTH WALES ("Transferor") AND DODARO HOLIDNGS
PTY LIMITED ("Transferee")

Dated:

2. EASEMENT FOR ELECTROLYSIS

M x 2.1 The Transferor reserves for itself, its successors and assigns and all persons authorised by it or ~~them~~ the right to cause electrical currents originating from its operations to pass across, above, through or under the land burdened.

2.2 For the purpose of this clause 'operations' includes all activities, infrastructure and works related to the operation of railway passenger services and railway freight services and any such transport service which is additional to or in substitution for any railway service.

2.3 *The parties agree that clauses 1.2 and 1-2-1 herein apply to this easement*
3. RESTRICTION ON USE *x el.*

3.1 The Transferee for itself, its successors and assigns covenants with the Transferor for the benefit of the Transferor its successors and assigns that the Transferee will not without the prior written approval of the Transferor and (all costs and expenses of the Transferor and in connection therewith to be met by the Transferee) *x el.*

3.1.1 permit, allow or cause any water to be discharged from the land burdened onto, in, under or through any land owned by the Transferor;

3.1.2 erect or allow any drainage works to be erected on the land burdened unless they are also approved by the Council in the Local Government area in which the land burdened is situate and/or by Sydney Water;

M.D
M.D.
x 3.2 The prescribed Authority pursuant to Section 88E of the Conveyancing Act, 1919 that is imposing this restriction is the State Rail Authority of New South Wales.

The land burdened by this restriction on use is Folio Identifier *1/550608 M.*

x

m Dodaro M Dodaro

Mufarrah



17/06/2022 03:36 PM

© Office of the Registrar-General 2022

Form: 05M
Licence: 03-10-213
Licensee: Gadens Lawyers

MORTGAGE

New South Wales
Real Property Act 1900



AB21142J

PRIVACY NOTE: Section 31B of the Real Property Act 1900 (RP Act) authorises the Registrar General to collect the information required by this form for the establishment and maintenance of the Real Property Act Register. Section 96B RP Act requires that the Register is made available to any person for search upon payment of a fee, if any.

HOME MORTGAGE

Office of State Revenue use only.

Office of State Revenue	
NSW Treasury	
Client No: 1405240	1974
Duty: \$1,381-00	Trans No: 2228773
Asst details: PRIME - \$360,000-00	
4/10/04	

Land Mortgaged
insert current title
reference of land
being mortgaged
e.g. Identifier
6/278946

LAND MORTGAGED

8/SP73433

LODGED BY

46X	Delivery	Name, Address or DX and Telephone
		THOMSON
		LAWPOINT GALLOWAYS
		Phone: (02) 9233 1011 Fax: (02) 9232 6491
	Reference (optional): HSL/2319706/Michelle Pasqua <i>SP2e</i>	

Mortgagor State
Christian Name(s) and
Surname(s) in full of
each Mortgagor.

MORTGAGOR

KATHERINE MARGARET JACKSON and JOHN WILLIAM JACKSON

("the Mortgagor") being the registered proprietor of the land specified above acknowledges giving this Mortgage and incurring obligations and giving rights under it for valuable consideration received from the Mortgagee ("the Bank") specified below and agrees with the Bank that the provisions in the attached Schedule are incorporated in this Mortgage AND for the purpose of securing to the Bank payment of the amount owing MORTGAGES to the Bank all the estate and interest of the Mortgagor in the land specified above together with each structure, fixture or improvement on it or fixed to it.

Encumbrances State
only the registered
number of any
mortgage, lease, charge
or writ to which the
mortgage is subject.
Rights of carriageway,
covenants, etc are not
to be shown.

Encumbrances (if applicable):

- 1.
- 2.
- 3.

MORTGAGEE

M	NATIONAL AUSTRALIA BANK LIMITED ABN 12 004 044 937	MM
----------	---	-----------

THIS IS THE SCHEDULE REFERRED TO IN THIS MORTGAGE

The Mortgagor agrees with the Bank that:

1. This Mortgage is not executed in consequence of any representation, promise or statement by the Bank, or anyone on behalf of the Bank, other than any representation, promise or statement expressly or by implication contained in this Mortgage, and that this Mortgage is not entered into upon or subject to any condition not expressly stated in this Mortgage or implied;

20


THIS IS THE SCHEDULE REFERRED TO IN THIS MORTGAGE (continued)

2. The provisions in the memorandum filed in the Land and Property Information NSW as Number 7652453 ("the Memorandum") are incorporated in this Mortgage. A reference to "this Mortgage" in the cover sheet, this Schedule, the Memorandum or any annexure to this Mortgage is a reference to the Mortgage constituted by the cover sheet, this Schedule, the Memorandum and each of those annexures and the Mortgagor acknowledges that the Mortgagor has received and read a copy of this Mortgage prior to executing it.


DATE 1 / 10 / 04
 dd mm yy

I certify that the mortgagor, with whom I am personally acquainted or as to whose identity I am otherwise satisfied, signed this mortgage in my presence.

Certified correct for the purposes of the Real Property Act 1900 by the Mortgagor.



Signature of Witness



Signature of Mortgagor

Terence Stamer

Name of Witness

M Jackson

Signature of Mortgagor

24 The Crescent
Perith

Address of Witness

Companies Executing without using a Common Seal

Executed by

by being signed by:

Signature

Signature

Full name



Full name

Address

Address

Office held (Director, Secretary or Sole Director and Sole Company Secretary)

Office held (Director, Secretary or Sole Director and Sole Company Secretary)


 

All mortgagors to sign

Companies Executing by using a Common Seal

The Common Seal of

_____ was affixed in the presence of:



Signature

Signature

Full name

Full name

Address

Address

Office held (Director, Secretary or Sole Director and
Sole Company Secretary)

Office held (Director, Secretary or Sole Director and
Sole Company Secretary)

Certified correct for the purposes of the Real Property Act
1900 by the person whose signature appears below

Signatory's name **PAUL ANTHONY ARMSTRONG**

Signatory's capacity **Solicitor for Mortgagee**

M. Jackson

All mortgagors to sign



17/06/2022 03:46 PM

© Office of the Registrar-General 2022

New South Wales.

66907 7.28

Nos of Applications 4145 and 5823
Reference to last Certificate
Volume 2606 Folio 29



[CERTIFICATE OF TITLE.]

Order No C 103972

Residue after Transfer No C 104468

REGISTER BOOK.

VOL. 4522 FOL. 40

CANCELLED

Railway Commissioners for New South Wales by virtue of Certificate of Title Volume 2606 Folio 29 now
surrendered as to residue after transfer No C 104468 are now
the proprietors of an estate in fee simple subject nevertheless to the reservations and conditions if any contained in the
Grants hereinafter referred to and also subject to such encumbrances, liens, and interests as are notified hereon in Firstly
that piece of land situated in the Municipality of Leichardt Parish of Petersham and County of Cumberland
containing One acre twenty nine and one quarter perches or thereabouts being part of Lot 19 of Section 2 in Deposited
Plan No 268 and being also part of 270 acres originally granted to Hugh Piper by Crown Grant dated the 17th day of October 1811
Secondly these pieces of land situated as aforesaid containing Two rods twelve and one half perches or there-
abouts being Lots 26, 27 and 28 of Section 3 and Three rods five and one half perches or thereabouts being Lots
62 and 63 and part of Lots 59, 60, 64, 65, 66 and 67 of Section 4 in Deposited Plan No 2626 and being also parts of
48 acres originally granted to William Thomas by Crown Grant dated the 1st day of January 1810
Which said pieces of land are shown in the plan hereon and therein edged red.

In Witness whereof I have hereunto signed my name and affixed my Seal this fourth day of March 1932

Signed in the presence of W. Webster

W. D. Donohue
Acting Registrar General



NRT

NOTIFICATION REFERRED TO

V929985 Lease to Amalgamated Wireless
(Australasia) Limited being of ~~the~~ part being
Lot 1 in Deposited Plan 550608, with
option of renewal for 10 years. Expires
31-8-1991. Registered 30-9-1985

30-9-1985

The Commissioners for Railways
how the registered proprietor of the land within described. See
Application under Section 46 C
No. 1743864
Entered 29th October 1931
REGISTRAR GENERAL.

DP/SPA 814867 Registered 10-1-92
550608
This folio is cancelled as to whole/part upon creation
of computer folios for lots A) 1
B) 1 in the
abovementioned plan.



Registrar General.

No. 1605572 - Lease dated 30th November 1971
to Amalgamated Wireless (Australasia) Limited
of Lot 1 in Deposited Plan No 550608
Entered 6th March 1972
W. D. Donohue
Expired 30-9-1985
REGISTRAR GENERAL.


REGISTERED PROPRIETOR State Rail Authority of New
South Wales by Application V929984.
Registered 30-9-1985

RP
V929

D.P. 550608
1743864 Lic 46c P
1605572 Lic 46c P
V929984
- 644K
E180244 (Print)



4522-40




C103972
Lynn
Lynn

Total Area included in Certificate. 2A.2R.7 1/4 P

All lengths shown hereon are in feet & inches

Scale 100 ft. to one inch.





17/06/2022 03:50 PM

© Office of the Registrar-General 2022

CERTIFICATE OF TITLE
PROPERTY ACT, 1900, as amended.



10056032

NEW SOUTH WALES

Appln. No. 4145

Prior Title Vol. 312 Fol. 149

Vol. 10056 Fol. 32

1st Edition issued 13-7-1965

IT J928839



I certify that the person described in the First Schedule is the registered proprietor of the undermentioned estate in the land within described subject nevertheless to such exceptions encumbrances and interests as are shown in the Second Schedule.


Witness *M. J. Hoopell*

CANCELLED
Registrar General.



PLAN SHOWING LOCATION OF LAND

SEE AUTO FOLIO



J928839 CH.
BC

Scale: 60 feet to one inch
ESTATE AND LAND REFERRED TO

Estate in Fee Simple in Lot 1 in Deposited Plan 508417 in the Municipality of Leichhardt Parish of Petersham and County of Cumberland being part of 270 acres granted to Hugh Piper on 17-10-1811. Excepting thereout the mines and deposits specified in Section 141 Public Works Act, 1912.

Jawatson
Registrar General.

FIRST SCHEDULE (continued overleaf)

THE COMMISSIONER FOR RAILWAYS.

Jawatson
Registrar General.

SECOND SCHEDULE (continued overleaf)

GRN
XC

PERSONS ARE CAUTIONED AGAINST ALTERING OR ADDING TO THIS CERTIFICATE OR ANY NOTIFICATION HEREON

WARNING: THIS DOCUMENT MUST NOT BE REMOVED FROM THE LAND TITLES OFFICE.

ADVANCE LEGAL SEARCH PTY LIMITED

(ACN 077 067 068)

ABN 49 077 067 068

PO Box 149
Yagoona NSW 2199

Telephone: (02) 9754 1590
Mobile: 0412 169 809
Facsimile: (02) 9754 1364
Email: alsearch@verital.com.au

28 October 2004

Environmental Monitoring Services

PO Box 334
PADDINGTON NSW 2021

Attention Clayton Cowper

**RE: 67 – 73 Lords Road, Leichhardt, NSW
Lot 1 DP 940543**

Current Search

Folio Identifier 1/940543 (attached)

DP 940543 (plan attached)

Dated 28 October 2004

Registered Proprietor:

DODARO INVESTMENTS NO 1 PTY LIMITED

DODARO INVESTMENTS NO 2 PTY LIMITED

Title Tree

Lot 1 DP 940543

Folio Identifier 1/940543

Certificate of Title Volume 15444 Folio 245

Certificate of Title Volume 3129 Folio 58

Summary of Proprietors

Lot 1 DP 940543

Year	Proprietor
	(Lot 1 DP 940543)
2003 – todate	Dodaro Investments No 1 Pty Limited Dodaro Investments No 2 Pty Limited
2002 – 2003	Clywin Pty Limited
<i>(2002 – todate)</i>	<i>(various commercial leases see Folio 1/940543)</i>
<i>(1992 – 2002)</i>	<i>(various commercial leases see Folio & Historical 1/940543)</i>
1992 – 2002	Trigamist Holdings Pty Limited
1988 – 1992	Amalgamated Wireless (Australasia) Limited
<i>(1988 – 1992)</i>	<i>(Lease to Westpac Banking Corporation of parts of 67 Lords Road, Leichhardt)</i>
<i>(1988 – todate)</i>	<i>(Lease to The Sydney County Council of substation No 2386)</i>
	(Part Lot 19 Section 2 DP 1268- CT Vol 3129 Fol 58)
1964 – 1988	Amalgamated Wireless (Australasia) Limited
<i>(1986 – 1988)</i>	<i>(Lease to The Sydney County Council of substation No 2386)</i>
<i>(1983 – 1988)</i>	<i>(Lease to Westpac Banking Corporation of 67 Lords Rd, Leichhardt)</i>
<i>(1924 – 1932)</i>	<i>(Lease to the Aeolian Company (Australia) Limited of part)</i>
1924 – 1964	F Dickin Limited
1920 – 1924	Octavius Charles Beale, merchant

Information Provided Through
 Advance Legal Search Pty Ltd
 Ph. 0297541390 Fax. 0297541364
 DX.

Title Search

EziSearch
 An Approved LPI NSW
 Information Broker

LAND AND PROPERTY INFORMATION NEW SOUTH WALES - TITLE SEARCH

FOLIO: 1/940543

SEARCH DATE	TIME	EDITION NO	DATE
-----	----	-----	----
27/10/2004	6:38 PM	25	5/7/2004

LAND

LOT 1 IN DEPOSITED PLAN 940543
 AT LEICHHARDT
 LOCAL GOVERNMENT AREA: LEICHHARDT
 PARISH OF PETERSHAM COUNTY OF CUMBERLAND
 TITLE DIAGRAM: DP940543

FIRST SCHEDULE

DODARO INVESTMENTS NO.1 PTY LIMITED
 DODARO INVESTMENTS NO.2 PTY LIMITED
 AS TENANTS IN COMMON IN EQUAL SHARES (T 9297113)

SECOND SCHEDULE (18 NOTIFICATIONS)

- RESERVATIONS AND CONDITIONS IN THE CROWN GRANT(S)
- W321534 LEASE TO THE SYDNEY COUNTY COUNCIL OF SUBSTATION PREMISES NO 2386 AS SHOWN IN PLAN WITH W321534. EXPIRES 31-12-2035
- 3340082 LEASE TO CLASSIC CERAMIC IMPORTERS PTY LIMITED OF UNITS 5, 6, 7 & 8, LEVEL 1, 71-73 LORDS ST, LEICHHARDT EXPIRES 31/8/2002 OPTION OF RENEWAL 5 YEARS
- 3340083 LEASE TO DOORS WITH BACK BONE PTY LIMITED OF UNIT 6/67 LORDS RD, LEICHHARDT EXPIRES 2/2/2000 OPTION OF RENEWAL 3 YEARS
- 3340084 LEASE TO SUNSHINE SCREENS (AUSTRALIA) PTY LIMITED OF UNIT 7, LEVEL 2, 67-69 LORDS RD, LEICHHARDT EXPIRES 28/2/2000 OPTION OF RENEWAL 3 YEARS
- 3834256 LEASE TO WAGTAIL CLEANING TOOLS PTY LIMITED OF UNIT 9, 71-73 LORDS RD LEICHHARDT EXPIRES 31/7/2000 OPTION OF RENEWAL 3 YEARS
- 3834257 LEASE TO OUTDOOR SIGN SERVICES PTY LIMITED OF UNIT 3 LEVEL 1, 71-73 LORDS RD LEICHHARDT EXPIRES 28/2/2000 OPTION OF RENEWAL 3 YEARS
- 3963473 LEASE TO COLEBUILD PTY LIMITED OF UNIT 9, LEVEL 2, 67-69 LORDS RD, LEICHHARDT. EXPIRES: 31/7/2000. OPTION OF RENEWAL: 3 YEARS.
- 6664827 LEASE TO JOHAN OLOF BLOMQUIST, STEVEN PRICE & MICHAEL SIEGENTHALER UNIT 3, 67-69 LORDS ROAD, LEICHHARDT. EXPIRES: 6/2/2003. OPTION OF RENEWAL: 3 YEARS.
- 6664828 LEASE TO BOX & DICE PTY LTD UNIT 4, 67-69 LORDS

END OF PAGE 1 - CONTINUED OVER

EMS ALSP

PRINTED ON 27/10/2004

LAND AND PROPERTY INFORMATION NEW SOUTH WALES - TITLE SEARCH
-----FOLIO: 1/940543

PAGE 2



SECOND SCHEDULE (18 NOTIFICATIONS) (CONTINUED)

- ROAD, LEICHHARDT. EXPIRES: 20/11/2002. OPTION OF RENEWAL: 3 YEARS.
11. 6743632 LEASE TO RICK ECKERSLEY CONCEPTS PTY. LIMITED OF UNIT 1, 71-73 LORDS ROAD, LEICHHARDT. EXPIRES: 6/2/2003. OPTION OF RENEWAL: 3 YEARS.
- 7827466 TRANSFER OF LEASE 6743632 LESSEE NOW SOFRACO INTERNATIONAL PTY. LIMITED
- 7827467 VARIATION OF LEASE 6743632
12. 8651650 LEASE TO UNITED DISPLAYS PTY LIMITED OF UNIT 5/67-69 LORDS ROAD, LEICHHARDT. EXPIRES: 21/12/2004. OPTION OF RENEWAL: 3 YEARS.
13. 8651651 LEASE TO SYSTEMS SUPPLY PTY LIMITED OF UNIT 1/67-69 LORDS ROAD, LEICHHARDT. EXPIRES: 31/1/2005. OPTION OF RENEWAL: 3 YEARS.
14. 8651652 LEASE TO SYSTEMS SUPPLY PTY LIMITED OF UNIT 8/67-69 LORDS ROAD, LEICHHARDT. EXPIRES: 31/1/2005. OPTION OF RENEWAL: 3 YEARS.
15. 8713741 LEASE TO JOHN COSCO OF UNIT 11, 67-73 LORDS ROAD, LEICHHARDT.. EXPIRES: 31/5/2007.
- 8866169 VARIATION OF LEASE 8713741
16. 8713742 LEASE TO COKO TOYS PTY LIMITED OF UNIT 2, 67-69 LORDS ROAD, LEICHHARDT.. EXPIRES: 31/10/2003. OPTION OF RENEWAL: 3 YEARS.
17. 8903637 LEASE TO BINDERS AUSTRALIA PTY LTD OF UNIT 2, 71-73 LORDS ROAD, LEICHHARDT. EXPIRES: 31/5/2005.
18. AA776912 MORTGAGE TO PERPETUAL NOMINEES LIMITED

NOTATIONS

UNREGISTERED DEALINGS: NIL

*** END OF SEARCH ***



AMENDMENTS AND/OR ADDITIONS NOTED ON
 PLAN IN REGISTRAR GENERAL'S OFFICE

I, Bruce Richard Davies, Under Secretary for Lands and Registrar General for New South Wales, certify that this negative is a photograph made as a permanent record of a document in my custody this day.

22nd February, 1984

H9660
 PLAN
 of part of the land comprised in
 Certificates of Title Vol. 710 fol. 93, Vol. 1054 fol. 105 & Vol. 1217 fol. 150
 Parish of Petersham County of Cumberland

DECLARATION
 I, Alex Hope, Auctioneer
 of 75 Pitt St Sydney
 Transact straightly between under the Real Property Act do hereby solemnly
 declare that the plan and measurements shown on this plan are
 correct for the purposes of the said Act, and that the said plan and the survey of
 the land to which the same refer, have been prepared and made by me, or under my
 immediate supervision and I make this solemn declaration conscientiously believing
 the same to be true, and by virtue of the provisions of the Oaths Act 1900

John Lawson, Solicitor
 of August, 1912
 describes attached to transfer dated the 23rd day
 of August, 1912
 subscribed and declared before me as Sydney
 J. Haydon, Registrar
 Date of Survey, 18th Oct. 1912
 Assumed Magnetic Meridian 9° 30 East
 Assumed Mean Height

Part Area contained in
 Certificates of C of T Vol 1217 fol 150
 Vol 1054 fol 105 & 106
 Vol 710 fol 93
 Total 1.2.4 1/4
 Net 4.14.07
 Net of the area 11

Handwritten notes and signatures, including 'John Lawson' and 'Alex Hope'.

NEW SOUTH WALES



STATE OF TITLE
PROPERTY ACT, 1900



Vol. 15444 Fol. 245

First Title Old System
Prior Title Vol. 3129 Fol. 58



DATE
ISSUED 14 7 1986

I certify that the person named in the First Schedule is the right-tered proprietor of an estate in fee simple (or such other estate or interest as is set out below) in the land described subject to the recordings appearing in the Second Schedule and to the provisions of the Real Property Act, 1900.

CANCELLED
Registrar General.



SEE AUTO FOLIO

LAND REFERRED TO

Lot 1 in DP940543 at Leichhardt in the Municipality of Leichhardt Parish of Petersham County of Cumberland.

Title Diagram: DP940543.

FIRST SCHEDULE

AMALGAMATED WIRELESS (AUSTRALASIA) LIMITED.

J667582

SECOND SCHEDULE

1. Reservations and conditions in the Crown grant.
2. T602582 Lease to Westpac Banking Corporation of premises being the whole of the ground floor areas of buildings 49 and 50 at 67 Lord Street, Leichhardt. Expires 1-5-1986. Option of renewal expires 8-1-1987.
3. T804122 Lease to Westpac Banking Corporation of premises being the whole of the top floor area of building 49 at 67 Lord Street, Leichhardt. Expires 30-6-1986. Option of renewal expires 8-1-1987.
4. W321534 Lease to The Sydney County Council of substation premises No.2305 as shown in plan with W321534. Expires 31-12-2035.

THIS DOCUMENT IS VALID AGAINST ALL OTHERS EXCEPT TO THE EXTENT OF THE RECORDS OF THE REGISTRAR GENERAL

FIRST SCHEDULE (continued)
REGISTERED PROPRIETOR

Registrar General

CANCELLED

SEE NOTE 70110

SECOND SCHEDULE (continued)

PARTICULARS

Registrar General

CANCELLATION

637/23 Lease to Westpac Banking Corporation of premises being the Ground Floor areas of Buildings 49 and 50, 67 Lords Road, Leichhardt and the top floor of Building 49, 67 Lords Road, Leichhardt. Expires 30-4-1989. Option of renewal 3 years. Registered: 8-1-1987



NOTATIONS AND UNREGISTERED DEALINGS

NOTE: ENTRIES RULED THROUGH AND AUTHENTICATED BY THE SEAL OF THE REGISTRAR GENERAL ARE CANCELLED

Form: 01T
Licence: 01-05-025
Licensee: Leslie Caplan & Grunstein

①

TRANSFER



8651649G

New South Wales
Real Property Act 1900

PRIVACY NOTE: this information is legally required and will become part of the public record

STAMP DUTY

Office of State Revenue use only	NEW SOUTH WALES DUTY 23-05-2002 0000959087-001 SECTION 54(3) DUTY \$ *****10.00
----------------------------------	--

(A) TORRENS TITLE

If appropriate, specify the part transferred
1/940543

(B) LODGED BY

Delivery Box	Name, Address or DX and Telephone BOX 302G Burkhart Legal Tel: 9231 0122 Fax: 9262 1904 Reference (optional):	<i>Lca/</i> <i>Clywin</i>	CODES T TW (Sheriff)
--------------	--	------------------------------	---

(C) TRANSFEROR

TRIGAMIST HOLDINGS PTY LIMITED (ACN 054968875)

(D) CONSIDERATION

The transferor acknowledges receipt of the consideration of NIL and as regards

(E) ESTATE

the land specified above transfers to the transferee an estate in fee simple.

(F) SHARE

TRANSFERRED

(G)

Encumbrances (if applicable) 1. MTGE 0298465 2. 3.

(H) TRANSFEREE

CLYWIN PTY LIMITED (ACN 091605480)

TENANCY:

(I)

DATE

26 SEPTEMBER 2001

(J)

Certified correct for the purposes of the Real Property Act 1900 by the corporation named below the common seal of which was affixed pursuant to the authority specified and in the presence of the authorised person(s) whose signature(s) appears(s) below.

Corporation: **TRIGAMIST HOLDINGS PTY LTD**

Authority: *Kay Cosco*

Signature of authorised person:

KAY COSCO

Name of authorised person:

Office held: **SECRETARY**



Signature of authorised person:

John Cosco

Name of authorised person:

Office held: **DIRECTOR**

Certified correct for the purposes of the Real Property Act 1900 by the person whose signature appears below.

Signature: *[Signature]*

Signatory's name: **GARY GRUNSTEIN**

Signatory's capacity: **SOLICITOR**

2k
[Signature]

LAND AND PROPERTY INFORMATION NEW SOUTH WALES - HISTORICAL SEARCH

SEARCH DATE

27/10/2004 7:31PM

FOLIO: 1/940543

PAGE 2

Recorded	Number	Type of Instrument	C.T. Issue
-----	-----	-----	-----
21/8/1997	3340081	REQUEST	
21/8/1997	3340082	LEASE	
21/8/1997	3340083	LEASE	
21/8/1997	3340084	LEASE	EDITION 14
4/3/1998	3834256	LEASE	
4/3/1998	3834257	LEASE	EDITION 15
5/5/1998	3963473	LEASE	EDITION 16
23/3/2000	6664826	LEASE	
23/3/2000	6664827	LEASE	
23/3/2000	6664828	LEASE	EDITION 17
1/5/2000	6743632	LEASE	EDITION 18
1/5/2000	6743644	DEPARTMENTAL DEALING	EDITION 19
3/8/2001	7827466	TRANSFER OF LEASE	
3/8/2001	7827467	VARIATION OF LEASE	
3/6/2002	8651649	TRANSFER	
3/6/2002	8651650	LEASE	
3/6/2002	8651651	LEASE	
3/6/2002	8651652	LEASE	EDITION 20
25/6/2002	8713741	LEASE	
25/6/2002	8713742	LEASE	EDITION 21
14/8/2002	8866169	VARIATION OF LEASE	EDITION 22
27/8/2002	8903637	LEASE	EDITION 23
17/1/2003	9297112	DISCHARGE OF MORTGAGE	
17/1/2003	9297113	TRANSFER	
17/1/2003	9297114	MORTGAGE	EDITION 24
5/7/2004	AA776911	DISCHARGE OF MORTGAGE	
5/7/2004	AA776912	MORTGAGE	EDITION 25

*** END OF SEARCH ***

Information Provided Through
 Advance Legal Search Pty Ltd
 Ph. 0297541390 Fax. 0297541364
 DX

Historical Search

EziSearch
 An Approved LPI NSW
 Information Broker

LAND AND PROPERTY INFORMATION NEW SOUTH WALES - HISTORICAL SEARCH

SEARCH DATE

27/10/2004 7:31PM

FOLIO: 1/940543

First Title(s): SEE PRIOR TITLE(S)
 Prior Title(s): VOL 15444 FOL 245

Recorded -----	Number -----	Type of Instrument -----	C.T. Issue -----
21/8/1988		TITLE AUTOMATION PROJECT	LOT RECORDED FOLIO NOT CREATED
21/12/1988		CONVERTED TO COMPUTER FOLIO	FOLIO CREATED CT NOT ISSUED
14/6/1991	Z702792	REQUEST	EDITION 1
12/9/1991	Z914134	CAVEAT	
4/8/1992	E655159	WITHDRAWAL OF CAVEAT	
4/8/1992	E655160	<u>TRANSFER</u>	EDITION 2
24/9/1992	E782853	LEASE	EDITION 3
25/6/1993	I437817	LEASE	EDITION 4
9/9/1993	I633225	LEASE	EDITION 5
22/11/1993	I811911	LEASE	EDITION 6
6/12/1993	I848607	LEASE	
6/12/1993	I848608	LEASE	EDITION 7
4/3/1994	U78028	LEASE	EDITION 8
31/3/1994	U147757	LEASE	EDITION 9
13/5/1994	U262326	TRANSFER OF LEASE	
2/12/1994	U836239	REQUEST	
2/12/1994	U836240	LEASE	EDITION 10
13/6/1995	O298465	MORTGAGE	EDITION 11
24/1/1997	2786076	LEASE	EDITION 12
28/4/1997	3011043	LEASE	
28/4/1997	3011044	LEASE	EDITION 13

END OF PAGE 1 - CONTINUED OVER

EMS ALSP

PRINTED ON 27/10/2004

* ANY ENTRIES PRECEDED BY AN ASTERISK DO NOT APPEAR ON THE CURRENT EDITION OF TITLE. WARNING: THE INFORMATION APPEARING UNDER NOTATIONS HAS NOT BEEN FORMALLY RECORDED IN THE REGISTER. ADVANCE LEGAL SEARCH PTY LTD CERTIFES THAT THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN PROVIDED ELECTRONICALLY BY THE REGISTRAR-GENERAL IN ACCORDANCE WITH SECTION 96B(2) OF THE REAL PROPERTY ACT, 1900. (PROVIDED THROUGH EZISEARCH)

APPENDIX N: SAFEWORk SEARCH RECORDS

BL 10
Bx 78

35/003472



N.S.W. GOVERNMENT DEPARTMENT OF INDUSTRIAL RELATIONS
DANGEROUS GOODS BRANCH

ANAKAMARA WIRELESS (A/CIA) LTD
67 LORDS RD
LEICHHARDT 2040

FILE SCANNED

DATE

29 OCT 1991

BY

MG

DISK NAME

DGSA

WCA - Unclassified

Recfind File

35/003472

WorkCover Authority of NSW

Custodian Licensing Unit - OHS
Created 1/01/1975



HEALTH & SAFETY MANAGEMENT - LICENSING - Dangerous Goods Keeping
Licence 35/003472 - Leichhardt, 67 Lords Rd

~~Leichhardt (A/CIA)~~

35/003472

BL10
Bx 78

DISPOSAL DATE



35/003472

NT



35/003472

EXPIRED

ROYAL CROWN GROUP

Lvl. 7, 350 Kent Street,
Sydney NSW 2000.
Tel. (02) 9262-5580
Fax. (02) 9262-3775

N & E Royal Investments Pty Limited ACN 054 459 979
Crown Constructions Pty Limited ACN 003 465 834

Sender

Attention: Khan Sivanathan

Company: Work Care

Facsimile No.: 9370-6104

Date: 20/5/02 No. of Pages: 2 (inc. this page)

RE: 67-73 hards road, heichholt.

Dear Khan,

We have obtained the Work Care report for a portion of a property that we have purchased, however, the search did not include the additional parcel of land.

RECEIVED
20 MAY 2002
SCIENTIFIC SERVICES
BRANCH

We would ask that we be provided with a letter notifying whether there are any ~~longer~~ encumbered hazardous goods on this parcel of land.

Regards
Peter [Signature]

This message is intended for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed and may contain information that is privileged, confidential and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient or the employee or agent responsible for delivering the message to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately so that we can arrange for it to be returned.



SCIENTIFIC SERVICES BRANCH
Dangerous Goods Licensing
Ph. (02) 9370 5187 fax (02) 9370 6104

Attn: Jenny WALSH
HLA-Envirosciences Pty Limited
Level 2, 55-65 Grandview Street
Pymble NSW 2073



11th October 2000

Dear Jenny,

REQUEST FOR INFORMATION ON LICENCES TO KEEP DANGEROUS GOODS PREMISES at LORDS RD, LEICHHARDT

I refer to your fax of 25th August 2000 requesting information on Licences to Keep Dangerous Goods for a site at Lords Rd, Leichhardt

A thorough search has not located any records pertaining to the above-mentioned premises.

An invoice for this information will be forwarded separately.

If you have any further queries, please contact WorkCover's Dangerous Goods Licensing staff ☎ (02) 9370 5187 or Chemical Safety Unit ☎ (02) 9370 5210.

Yours faithfully

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Kham Sirimanotham'.

Kham SIRIMANOTHAM
Team Leader, Dangerous Goods Licensing

Encs.

6122

35/003472

OHS LICENSING UNIT
Dangerous Goods Licensing
ph. (02) 9370 5187 fax (02) 9370 6122
e-mail:scid@workcover.nsw.gov.au



AMALGAMATED WIRELESS (A'ASIA) LTD
BOX 218 P O
LEICHHARDT NSW 2040

18 November 2001

Dear Sir/Madam

RE: SECURITY OF DANGEROUS GOODS AT YOUR SITE

PREMISES: 67 LORDS RD, LEICHHARDT 2040 .

As a consequence of the 11 September 2001 terrorist attacks on New York and Washington, the New South Wales Government is taking every measure necessary to ensure the security of the State's residents and property.

One of these measures involves the security of certain dangerous goods with high potential for public harm. This letter is intended to remind you of your obligation to ensure the security of your premises at all times and to be mindful that for the time being there may be an increased risk of theft of dangerous goods.

Under clause 17 of the Dangerous Goods (General) Regulation 1999 you are responsible, amongst other things, for ensuring that unauthorised persons do not have access to those areas where you keep dangerous goods.

We therefore ask that you take steps, at your earliest convenience, to:

- conduct an inspection of your facilities for storing chemicals, checking the condition of your stock and your inventories;
- re-assess security arrangements in place (more advisory information on security aspects will be available from the NSW Police in the near future);
- review your on-site procedures for emergency response and to remove any material accumulated around storage facilities which may hinder a clear view of unforeseen interference or unusual devices etc.


Should you at any time find that there has been a theft of dangerous goods or if there are other aspects of concern relating to site security issues, please immediately advise the NSW Police Chemical Operations Unit by phone on (02) 9316 8133 and the NSW WorkCover Authority Chemical Management Unit on (02) 9370 5164.

Thank you for your cooperation in this matter.

Yours sincerely

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'M. Patterson', with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

Michele Patterson
Assistant General Manager
OHS Division





RECEIVED
SERVICE CENTRE
4 DEC 2001

POSTAGE
PAID
AUSTRALIA

SWLF ML540 NOV 23

RETURN TO SENDER

No such Street/Number
 Insufficiently Addressed
 Unknown at Address
 Left Address
 Refused Initials
 Box / Bag Cancelled
 Unclaimed

28/11

Removeable Label

8838522 (JUL/00)

ALL MAIL TO GPO BOX 5364 SYDNEY 2001

Workcover New South Wales, 400 Kent Street, Sydney 2000. Telephone (02) 9370 5000 .

DX 13067 Market Street, Sydney



Reference 35/003472

WORKCOVER AUTHORITY



The Manager
Trigamist Holdings P/L
C/o Mr J Cosco
PO Box 74
LEICHHARDT NSW 2040

SCIENTIFIC SERVICES BRANCH
Dangerous Goods Licensing
ph. (02) 370 5184 fax (02) 370 6105

12 July 1995

Dear Sir

**RE: NON RENEWAL OF LICENCE FOR THE KEEPING OF DANGEROUS GOODS
NO. 35/003472 67 LORDS ROAD, LEICHHARDT**

Leichhardt Council has advised us that you still own the abovementioned site. This site was previously licensed for storage of the following dangerous goods:

- | | | | |
|---|------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|
| 1 | Underground tank | Flammable Liquids | 20,000 L maximum capacity |
| 2 | Underground tank | Flammable Liquids | 10,000 L maximum capacity |
| 3 | Roofed Store | Flammable Liquids | 5,000 L maximum capacity |

This licence expired in 1992.

If dangerous goods are still being kept at this site, the licence must be renewed and transferred into your name. **To renew the licence**, please fully complete and return the enclosed application form. If you are leasing the site to someone else and they are using the dangerous goods, please tell them to fill out and return the form so the licence can be renewed in their name. If extra depots need to be added to the licence, please include a plan stamped by an accredited consultant for these depots.

**** Please note that even though the site was previously licensed, you still need to fill out all sections of the enclosed application form to renew the licence on our new database system.**

If the licence is not to be renewed, please provide the Chief Inspector of Dangerous Goods, WorkCover Authority with a signed statement giving the reason why the licence is no longer required (*eg. site sold, lease ended or storage removed*).

- If the depots have been removed from the site or are no longer used for storing dangerous goods, please advise the date the goods/depots were removed and by whom *see specific information enclosed for underground tanks.*

If you have any queries, please phone licensing staff on 370 5184.

Yours sincerely

Angela McLaren
Dangerous Goods Licensing

*Expire pls. Note status of
1/3 tanks not known.
[Signature] 16/8/99*



3/7/95

3679173

Lisa at Leichardt Council

says owner of

67-73 Lords Rd Leichardt

is Trigamist Holdings P/L

c/o Mr J Cosco

PO Box 74

LEICHHARDT.

Allen

1942

Case of the ...

...

...

...

...

...

...

...

*** TRANSMISSION REPORT ***

S.I.D. :


SCIENTIFIC SERVICES N° :

61 2 3706105

Date : 03/07/95 09:39

Date/Time	3-07 9:38
Dialled number	03679111
Subscriber	61 2 367 9111
Durat.	0'30"
Mode	NORM
Name	
Pages	1
Status	Correct

41



WORKCOVER AUTHORITY

FAX

<p>TO <i>The Rates Section Leichhardt Municipal Council</i></p>	<p>FAX 367 9111 NUMBER OF PAGES INCLUDING THIS ONE 1</p>
<p>FROM <i>Angela M'Laren Dangerous Goods Licensing</i></p>	<p>FAX 370 6105 PHONE 370 5184 DATE 3/7/95</p>

Would you please provide us with the name and mailing address of the owner of the following site, to enable us to enquire into their licence for keeping dangerous goods:-


*67 Lords Rd, Leichhardt
(Formerly occupied by Trigamist Holdings Pt/L).*

*Thank you,
Angela*



WORKCOVER AUTHORITY

1 Rosebery Avenue Rosebery NSW 2018



POSTAGE
PAID
AUSTRALIA

RETURN TO SENDER	
Insufficiently Addressed	
No Such Street	
No Such Number	
Unknown at Address	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Left Address	
Refused	
Deceased	
Beat No.	23/3
INITIALS	



4 SR 16

4 SR 16

4 SR

Reference 35-003472

WORKCOVER AUTHORITY



CHEMICAL SAFETY UNIT
400 Kent St; SYDNEY 2000
Locked bag 10; Clarence St; SYDNEY 2000
Phone = 370-5186

TRIGAMIST HOLDINGS P/L
67 LORD STREET
LEICHHARDT 2040

19 MAR 1992

37

Dear Sir/Madam,

RE: LICENCE FOR THE KEEPING OF DANGEROUS GOODS

Advice has been received that you have taken over the premises previously occupied by

AMALGAMATED WIRELESS (A'ASIA) CO.

The Occupier
(previously...)

Done
M 26/3/92

These premises are licensed for the keeping of the following dangerous goods:

- | | | |
|-----------------|-------------------|--------|
| 1. 4/6 Tank | flammable liquids | 20,000 |
| 2. 1/6 Tank | _____ | 10,000 |
| 3. Roofed store | _____ | 5,000 |

Lords
—
Rd
—

If you are keeping dangerous goods, the licence must be transferred to your name. Please complete and return the enclosed application form.

Leichhardt
Council

If dangerous goods in licensable quantities are no longer kept on the above premises, please advise details and date of removal. In the case of unused underground petrol or kerosene tanks a certificate of abandonment pursuant to Clause 138 of the Dangerous Goods Regulation, 1978 is required for cancellation of licence (see the back of this letter for guidance notes).

Yours faithfully,

for Chief Inspector,
Dangerous Goods

Angela,
pls write to council &
request name of occupier

Tu
28/6/95

encs.

ABANDONING OF UNDERGROUND TANKS

The Dangerous Goods Act, 1975 (Clause 138 of its Regulation) requires that underground tanks which have not been used for a continuous period of 28 days shall be sealed until :

- (1) they are to be used again; or
- (2) a period of three (3) months has elapsed.

After three (3) months, the tanks must be abandoned (i.e. removed or rendered safe). The accepted procedures for abandoning underground tanks are as follows :

- (1) the tank is removed from the ground and taken to an appropriate place for disposal; or
- (2) the tank is filled with an inert solid, such as sand, concrete or earth and all service pipes including fill, supply, dip and vent lines are disconnected; or
- (3) the tank is gas freed (i.e. all liquid and vapour is removed from the tank), the tank is filled with water containing a suitable corrosion inhibitor and openings into the tank are permanently sealed (with a screwed metal cap or other equally effective device). The vent pipe must be cut off at ground level and the remaining pipe also permanently sealed.

Would you please advise me whether the tanks are expected to be used again in the next three (3) months or abandoned.

If they are to be abandoned, a certificate detailing the locations of the tanks and the method of abandonment must be forwarded to this office upon completion of the work.

KEEPING LICENCE EXPLANATORY NOTES

1. Name of applicant in full: Full name(s) including given name(s) or holding company name (if any) must be supplied.
2. Nature of premises: State whether premises are a dwelling, service station, fuel storage depot, general store, farm, mine site, etc.
3. Type of depot: Describe depot as "aboveground tank", "underground tank", "magazine", "roofless package store", "roofed package store", "cylinder store" (or where not more than two LPG decanting cylinders of each of a capacity not exceeding 50kg are kept) "decanting cylinders". For safety cartridges describe as "in original packages".
4. If space is insufficient for depot particulars, attach a separate list, showing the type of each depot (as per 3 above), and indicating contents and capacities of each in litres, kilograms etc.

Licences are issued, for a specific site, annually and may NOT be altered and/or transferred to a new applicant without the approval of the Chief Inspector of Dangerous Goods.

Dangerous Goods are divided into classes and an explanation is shown hereunder. Certain dangerous goods may be kept without a licence, providing the quantity of the goods does not exceed the amount specified in the exemptions listed below.

EXPLANATION OF DANGEROUS GOODS AND THEIR CLASSES

- 1.1 Blasting explosives (including detonators).
- 1.4 Fireworks and/or safety cartridges.
- 2.1 Flammable gases (e.g. L.P.G. or acetylene).
- 2.2) Poison cryogenic gases (e.g. ammonia, chlorine, liquid oxygen).
- 2.3)
- 3.1) Flammable Liquids (e.g. any or all of, petrol, kerosene, methylated spirits, solvents).
- 3.2)
- 3.3 Combustible liquids (e.g. distillate, diesel fuel, heating oil).
- 4 Flammable solids (e.g. nitro cellulose).
- 5 Oxidising substances (e.g. pool chlorine ammonia nitrate).
- 6.1 (a) Poisons (e.g. sodium cyanide, pesticides);
(b) Harmful substances (e.g. sodium fluoro-silicate, pesticides, lead acetate).
- 6.2 Infectious substances (e.g. bacterial cultures).
- 7 Radioactive substances (storage of Class 7 is NOT covered by the Dangerous Goods Act, 1975).
- 8 Corrosives (e.g. hydrochloric acid, sulphuric acid, sodium hydroxide and sodium hypochlorite).

EXEMPTIONS FROM LICENCE

1. *Acetylene*: Storage of 60 cu.m or less of acetylene per premises (i.e. up to and including 8 x "G" class cylinders, the most common size of acetylene cylinder).
2. *Distillate, Diesel Fuel, Heating Oil*: Storage of 50,000 litres or less per tank.
3. *Petrol*: Storage of 100 litres or less per premises.
4. *Kerosene*: Storage of 1,000 litres or less per premises, when stored aboveground.
5. *All dangerous goods of classes 6 and 8*: any quantity.

Please contact the WorkCover Authority, Chemical Safety Unit, for further exemptions.

OTHER WORKCOVER AUTHORITY OFFICES - Advice on Dangerous Goods* can be also be obtained from the following offices.

GOSFORD	(043) 24 3384	BANKSTOWN	(02) 707 2500
NEWCASTLE	(049) 26 5400	CITY	(02) 370 5034
ORANGE	(063) 61 7070	HURSTVILLE	(02) 580 0366
PORT MACQUARIE	(065) 84 1188	PARRAMATTA	(02) 595 3600
WAGGA WAGGA	(069) 23 0692	ST LEONARDS	(02) 906 2055
WOLLONGONG	(0420) 26 9033		

* ALL LICENSING ENQUIRIES to Chemical Safety Unit: Tel: (02) 370 5191 or (02) 370 5192

The completed and signed form is to be forwarded to the Chief Inspector of Dangerous Goods, Locked Bag 10, P.O. CLARENCE STREET NSW 2000. Licences will be issued within four (4) weeks of receipt of completed form within the Authority.

FAILURE TO ANSWER ALL QUESTIONS WILL RESULT IN A DELAY IN THE ISSUE OF YOUR LICENCE.

WORKCOVER AUTHORITY
DANGEROUS GOODS ACT, 1975

APPLICATION FOR LICENCE (or AMENDMENT or TRANSFER of LICENCE)*
FOR THE KEEPING OF DANGEROUS GOODS

(* Delete whichever is not required)

MARCH

Name of Applicant in full (see Item 1 - Explanatory notes - page 4)			
Trading name or occupier's name (if any)			
Postal Address		Postcode	
Address of the premises to be licensed. (Including Street No.)		67 Lord Street	LEICHHARDT Postcode 2040
Nature of premises (See Item 2 - Explanatory notes - page 4)			
Telephone number of applicant	STD Code	Number	Contact Person:

Particulars of type of all depots and maximum quantities of dangerous goods to be kept at any one time.

Depot number	Type of depot (See item 3 - Explanatory notes - page 4)	Storage capacity	Dangerous goods	C & C Office use only
			Product being stored	
1				
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				

Has site plan been approved by the Dangerous Goods Branch? Yes No
If yes, no plans required. If no, please attach site plan, or provide sketch plan overleaf which has been stamped by an accredited consultant.

Have premises previously been licensed? Yes No
If, yes, state name of previous occupier, and licence No. (if known)

Name of oil company supplying flammable liquid and/or flammable gases (if applicable).

Signature of applicant..... Date

For external explosives magazine(s), please fill in page 3.


FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

CERTIFICATE OF INSPECTION

I, being an Inspector under the Dangerous Goods Act, 1975, do hereby certify that the premises described above do comply with the requirements of the Dangerous Goods Act, 1975, and the Dangerous Goods Regulation with regard to their situation and construction for the keeping of dangerous goods of the nature and in the quantity specified.

Signature of Inspector..... Date.....

SKETCH PLAN OF SITE



Show positions of Depot(s) with:-

- (1) distances from public places and protected works;
- (2) street names;
- (3) nature and details of adjacent properties.

INFORMATION TO BE PROVIDED FOR LICENSING OF EXTERNAL EXPLOSIVE MAGAZINES

- 1. (a) Construction of
 - (i) Walls (ii) Roof (iii) Shade roof (iv) Door(s) (v) Lock(s) (vi) Lining (vii) Lighting conductor
- (b) Internal dimensions (mm)

2. Special attention is directed to the necessity for filling in accurately the distances from each of the undermentioned places, irrespective of the quantity of Explosives intended to be kept. If any of the "Protected Places or Protected Works" specified below, are not within radius of 3 kms of the Magazine, place the word NIL against such place or works.

PROTECTED PLACES or PROTECTED WORKS	Distance in metres from magazine to nearest works or place	
	Not occupied or used by applicant	Occupied or used by applicant
Public place		
Waterway used for navigation		
Reservoir (public or private)		
River or sea wall		
Bridge		
Dock, wharf, pier or jetty		
Any furnace, kiln, forge or fire for manufacturing purposes or for the use of any boiler, engine or machine		
Aboveground water main or water supply channel		
Electrical power transmission line		
Radio or television transmitter		
Shop		
Store or warehouse		
Factory		
Other building or timber yard in which any person is employed or engaged in any trade, business or profession		
Magazine or premises licensed for the keeping of explosives		
Depot for other dangerous goods		
Railway, tramway or aerodrome		
Any dwelling house		
Any church, chapel, college, school or theatre		
Hospital		
Government or public building		
Any other building or structure in or about which persons are usually present or from time to time assemble		



AWA Limited ACN 000 005 916
Level 6, 15 Talavera Rd, North Ryde
NSW 2113 Australia
PO Box 96 North Ryde NSW 2113

Telephone (02) 887 7111
Int'l + 61 2 887 7111
Facsimile (02) 887 7616
Telex AA20623

17 March 1992

Work Cover Authority
Chemical Safety Unit
400 Kent Street
SYDNEY NSW 2000



Dear Sir,

Re: **Licence No. 35/003472**

We refer to the application for renewal notice for the above licence which you issued on 26 February, 1992.

The property at 67 Lord Street, Leichhardt to which this licence applies was sold on March 13, 1992 to Trigamist Holdings Pty Ltd (ACN 054 968 875). It was vacated by AWA Limited on 8 June, 1991 at which time all chemicals were removed.

We do not wish to renew this licence.

letter + DG 1

Yours faithfully,

A CASTELLI
PROPERTY MANAGER

TC:at:007



LICENCE No. 35 003472.9

DANGEROUS GOODS ACT, 1975

APPLICATION FOR LICENCE (or AMENDMENT or TRANSFER of LICENCE) FOR THE KEEPING OF DANGEROUS GOODS

Application is hereby made for ~~the transfer of the licence~~ ^{a licence (or amendment of the licence)} for the keeping of dangerous goods in or on the premises described below.

(*delete whichever is not required)

FEE: \$10.00 per Depot



7922 15/02/82 n3A

Name of Applicant in full (see over)	Surname	Given Names
Trading name or occupier's name (if any)	AMALGAMATED WIRELESS (A/SIA) LTD	
Postal address	P.O. Box 218 LEICHHARDT	Postcode 2040
Telephone number of applicant	STD Code	Number 560 8644
Address of the premises in or on which the depot or depots are situated (including street number, if any)	67 LODGE ST LEICHHARDT	Postcode 2040
Nature of premises (see over)	FACTORY	

~~PLEASE ATTACH SITE PLAN~~

Particulars of type of depots and maximum quantities of dangerous goods to be kept at any one time.

Depot number	Type of depot (see over)	Storage capacity	Dangerous goods	
			Product being stored	C & C Office use only
1	Underground	15000	3.1	2 020 24
2	" "	12000	3.1	2 020 14
3	Roofed Package	4500	3.1 3.2 3.3	6 020 53
4				
5				
6				
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				



TH ✓

Name of company supplying flammable liquid (if any) Amropol

Have premises previously been licensed? Yes

If known, state name of previous occupier A.W. D (A/SIA) LTD Licence No. 35.003472.9

X Signature of applicant [Signature] Date _____

~~For external explosives magazines, please fill in side 2.~~

LICENCE No. FOR OFFICE USE ONLY CERTIFICATE OF INSPECTION

I, K.O. BISHOP being an Inspector under the Dangerous Goods Act, 1975, do hereby certify that the premises described above do comply with the requirements of the Dangerous Goods Act, 1975, and the Dangerous Goods Regulation with regard to their situation and construction for the keeping of dangerous goods of the nature and in the quantity specified.

Signature of Inspector [Signature] Date 12.7.83

For each external explosives magazine, supply the following additional information.

1. (a) Construction of
 - (i) Walls
 - (ii) Roof
 - (iii) Shade roof
 - (iv) Door(s)
 - (v) Lock(s)
 - (vi) Lining
 - (vii) Lightning conductor
 - (b) Internal dimensions (mm)
2. Special attention is directed to the necessity for filling in accurately the distances from each of the undermentioned places, irrespective of the quantity of Explosives intended to be kept. If any of the "Protected Places or Protected Works" specified below, are not within a radius of 3 km of the Magazine, place the word NIL against such place or works.



PROTECTED PLACES or PROTECTED WORKS	Distance in metres from magazine to nearest works or place	
	Not occupied or used by applicant	Occupied or used by applicant
Public place		
Waterway used for navigation		
Reservoir (public or private)		
River or sea wall		
Bridge		
Dock, wharf, pier or jetty		
Any furnace, kiln, forge or fire for manufacturing purposes or for the use of any boiler, engine, or machine		
Aboveground water main or water supply channel		
Electrical power transmission line		
Radio or television transmitter		
Shop		
Store or warehouse		
Factory		
Other building or timber yard in which any person is employed or engaged in any trade, business or profession		
Magazine or premises licensed for the keeping of explosives		
Depot for other dangerous goods		
Railway, tramway or aerodrome		
Any dwelling house		
Any church, chapel, college, school or theatre		
Hospital		
Government or public building		
Any other building or structure in or about which persons are usually present or from time to time assemble		

FORM DG1

EXPLANATORY NOTES

1. Name of applicant in full: Full name(s) including given name(s) or holding company name (if any) must be supplied.
2. Nature of premises: State whether premises are a dwelling, service station, fuel storage depot, general store, farm, mine site, etc.
3. Type of depot: Describe depot as "aboveground tank", "underground tank", "magazine" "roofless package store", "roofed package store", "cylinder store" (or where not more than two LPG decanting cylinders each of a capacity not exceeding 50 kg are kept) "decanting cylinders". For safety cartridges describe as "in original packages".
4. If space is insufficient for depot particulars, attach a separate list.
5. For each magazine supply additional information above.
6. A site plan of the premises showing the position(s) of the depot(s) with distances from protected works and public places is to be attached.
7. The completed form and site plan accompanied by the prescribed fee (\$10 per depot) should be forwarded to:

Chief Inspector,
 Dangerous Goods Branch,
 Box 846, P.O.,
 Darlinghurst, N.S.W. 2010
 (6th Floor, 1 Oxford Street).

FAILURE TO ANSWER ALL QUESTIONS WILL RESULT IN A DELAY IN THE ISSUE OF YOUR LICENCE

Refer to Cannon

The Manager
Military Services Division
Amalgamated Wireless (Australasia) Ltd
PO Box 218
LEICHHARDT NSW 2040

35.003472.9 EX ALB:MF
MSD/81/WNB:M1/265
266 8632

11 September 1981

ATTENTION: W.N. BAKER

Dear Sir

PROPOSED ADDITIONAL UNDERGROUND TANK (12000 LITRE) FOR STORAGE OF PETROL

The plan forwarded with your letter of 3 September, 1981, is approved subject to compliance with AS 1940-1976. As only one copy was received it will be retained for records.

Yours faithfully

A L BARTLETT
Senior Inspector, Dangerous Goods

WEST
R/S 15-11-81





Marine and Aviation Divisions
67 LORDS ROAD LEICHHARDT NSW 2040

AMALGAMATED WIRELESS (AUSTRALASIA) LIMITED

PO BOX 218 LEICHHARDT NSW 2040
TELEGRAMS "RADSERV" SYDNEY
TELEPHONE 560 8644
TELEX AA 24493

MSD/81/WNB:MI/265

3rd September, 1981

Chief Inspector of Dangerous Goods,
Department of Industrial Relations and Technology,
Box 847, P.O,
DARLINGHURST. N.S.W. 2010



Dear Sir,


Due to an expansion of activities at our Leichhardt premises we wish to increase the existing underground petrol storage capacity.

It is proposed that an additional underground tank of approximately 13,000 litre capacity interlinked with the existing tank and bowser be installed as indicated on the attached plan.

Your approval is sought accordingly.

Our existing licence is registration number 35 00347 29.

Yours faithfully,
AMALGAMATED WIRELESS (AUSTRALASIA) LIMITED,



W. N. Baker.

MANAGER. MILITARY SERVICES DIVISION.
LEICHHARDT GROUP.



[The main body of the page contains extremely faint, illegible text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the paper.]

INFLAMMABLE LIQUID ACT, 1915 (AS AMENDED)

Application for Registration of Premises or Store Licence under Division **A** or for the transfer alteration or amendment of any such Registration or Licence, for the keeping of Inflammable Liquid and/or Dangerous Goods, in accordance with the provisions of the Inflammable Liquid Act, 1915 (as amended), for the ensuing year.

SEE PAGE 4 FOR DETAILS OF FEES PAYABLE AND DISTANCES FROM PROTECTED WORKS *ampol*

DIRECTIONS

- Applications must be forwarded to the Chief Inspector of Inflammable Liquid, Explosives Department, Box R216, Royal Exchange Sydney, N.S.W. 2000 and must be accompanied by the prescribed fee.
- Registration of Premises - For quantities not exceeding 300 gallons of mineral oil and 100 gallons of mineral spirit, if kept together; or 800 gallons of mineral oil and 100 gallons of mineral spirit, if kept in separate depots; or 500 gallons of mineral spirit, if kept in an underground tank depot; or 800 gallons of mineral oil and 500 gallons of mineral spirit, if mineral spirit is kept in an underground tank depot.
- In addition to, or in lieu of the above, similar quantities of Dangerous Goods of Classes 1 and 2 may be kept under the like conditions; reading Dangerous Goods of Class 1 for the words Mineral Spirit and Dangerous Goods of Class 2 for the words Mineral Oil.
- Store Licence, Div. A - For quantities in excess of those stated above, but not exceeding 4,000 gallons mineral oil and/or mineral spirit, and/or Dangerous Goods of Classes 1, 2 and 9.
- Store Licence, Div. B (Fee See Regulation 7) - For quantities exceeding 4,000 gallons of mineral spirit, and/or Dangerous Goods of Classes 1 and 2, and/or Dangerous Goods of Class 3.
- For the keeping of Dangerous Goods of Classes 3 and/or 4.

made 15/3/75

Amendment 4/2 ASX772(3) extra storage for 12 depots

1. Name of occupier including full christian names.	<u>ARMAGANSD WIRELESS</u> <u>(AUSTRALIA) LTD</u>
2. Trading Name (if any)	
3. Locality of the premises in which the depot or depots are situated	No. or Name <u>67</u> Street <u>LORDS RD</u> Town <u>LEICHHARDS</u>
4. Postal address	<u>PO Box 218 Leichhardt</u> Postcode <u>2040</u>
5. Occupation	Radio Servicing <u>RADIO SERVICING</u>
6. Nature of premises (dwelling, garage etc.)	<u>factory</u>
7. Particulars of construction of depots and maximum quantities of inflammable liquid and/or Dangerous Goods to be kept at any one time.	

PLEASE ATTACH PLAN OF PREMISES

Depot No.	Construction of depots*			Inflammable liquid		Dangerous goods						
	Walls	Roof	Floor	Mineral spirit gallons	Mineral oil gallons	Class 1 gallons	Class 2 gallons	Class 3 lb	Class 4 cu ft	Class 5A water gal	Class 5B water gal	Class 9 gallons
1	<u>Brick</u>	<u>Iron</u>	<u>concrete</u>		<u>1000</u>							
2				<u>3000</u>								
3												
4												
5												
6												
7												
8												
9												
10												

PUBLIC REVENUE A/c.
4/5/74
4.00
(Date) 7/5/74
Receipt No. 6558

*If product is kept in tanks describe depots as underground or aboveground tanks.

Signature of applicant [Signature]

Date of application 6th May 1974

CERTIFICATE OF INSPECTION

I, GARVIN P. GILKIN being an Inspector under the Inflammable Liquid Act, 1915 (as amended), do hereby certify that the premises or store herein referred to and described is suitable with regard to its situation and construction for the safe keeping of inflammable liquid and/or dangerous goods in quantity and nature specified.

Place Sydney
Date 17.5.74

Signature of Inspector [Signature]

Make Rough Sketches showing:

Ground plans of premises showing position of depot or depots and adjacent buildings, also distances separating depots and buildings.

Sketch of depot or depots showing provision made for ventilation, also inside dimensions (length, width, and depth) of the pit or lower portion, designed to prevent outflow.

EXPLANATORY

Inflammable Liquid -

Mineral Oil - includes kerosene, mineral turpentine and white spirit (for cleaning), and compositions containing same.
Mineral Spirit - includes petrol, benzene, benzolene, benzol and naphtha, and compositions containing same.

Dangerous Goods -

Class 1 - acetal, acetaldehyde, acetone, acrolein, amyl mercaptan, butyl acetate, butyl mercaptan, butyl propionate, crotonaldehyde, dichloro-ethylene, diethylketone, dioxane, diethylamine, dimethyl hydrazine, dipropylamine, divinyl ether, dipropyl ether, ethyl acetate, ethyl acrylate, ethyl chloride, ethyl ether, dichloroethane (ethylene dichloride), ethyl mercaptan, ethyl methacrylate, ethyl methyl ether, ethyl propyl ether, ethyl propionate, methyl propyl ketone, methyl acetate, methyl acrylate, methylal, methyl ethyl ether, methyl ethyl ketone, methyl methacrylate, methyl vinyl ketone, methyl vinyl acetate, piperidine, propanal, propyl acetate, propylamine, propylene oxide, pyridine, tetrahydrofuran, thiophene, triethylamine, valeraldehyde, vinyl acetate, vinyl allyl ether, vinyl butyl ether, vinyl butyrate, vinyl cyanide (acrylonitrile), vinylidene chloride, vinyl ethyl ether, vinyl propyl ether, vinyl propionate, any combination of substances of an inflammable character suitable for use as an industrial solvent and having a true flashing point of less than 73 degrees Fahrenheit, manufactured products, containing organic solvents, having a true flashing point of less than 73 degrees Fahrenheit.

Class 2 - acetic acid, acetyl acetone, acetic anhydride, allyl alcohol, amyl acetate, amyl alcohol, butyl alcohol, butyl methacrylate, chlorobenzene, cyclohexanone, dibutyl ether, dibutyl ketone, dipentene, epichlorohydrin, ethanol (ethyl alcohol), ethyl benzene, ethylene diamine, furfural, mesityl oxide, methyl alcohol, methyl amyl ketone, methyl butyl ketone, pine oil (having a flashing point below 150°F), propyl benzene, propanol, vegetable turpentine, vinyl benzene (styrene monomer), any liquid containing more than 50 per centum ethyl alcohol, manufactured products, containing organic solvents, having a true flashing point of 73 degrees Fahrenheit and above but not exceeding 150 degrees Fahrenheit.

Class 3 - nitro-cellulose moistened with an alcohol, nitro-cellulose product.

Class 4 - compressed or dissolved acetylene contained in a porous substance.


Class 5 (A) - liquefied inflammable gases (liquefied petroleum gas, vinyl chloride, ethylene chloride, ethylene oxide, butadiene, methylamine, dimethylamine and trimethylamine).

Class 5(B) - anhydrous ammonia.

Class 9 - Carbon disulphide, ethyl nitrite.

133-11/42

GIVE SKETCH HEREUNDER SHOWING POSITION OF PUMP TANKS, PIPELINES
AND FILL POINT (S) AND DISTANCE IN FEET.




AWA. MARINE & AVIATION
SERVICE DIVSN.
67 Loros Rd.
Leichhardt.

Seller's
Signature _____


Representative's
Signature _____





GIVE SKETCH HEREUNDER SHOWING POSITION OF PUMP TANKS, PIPELINES AND FILL POINT (S) AND DISTANCE IN FEET.

AMPOL PETROLEUM LTD.
MANSFIELD STREET,
BALMAIN, 2041 N.S.W.



67 LORDS RD, LEICHHARDT.

Reseller's
Signature _____

Representative's
Signature W Leigh

EXPLOSIVES DEPT.
P SSED

W Leigh
For Chief Inspector
4/5/73



THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO

INSPECTION RECORD

Licence No. A. 3472.


Licenses: Amalgamated Wireless (Aust) Pty Ltd.

Address: 67 Lord's Rd. Leichhardt.

Storage licensed: 1/3000 m.s. B. P. G. 300 m.s. m.o.P. 4. 192.

Amprat

Sketch of Premises (Dimensions of depot and distance of same from adjoining "protected works" to be shown).



Inspected	Initials	Requisitions made or state of depot
13. 3-73	H.b.	Sat

The Chief Inspector of Inflammable Liquids,

~~Box 48, G.P.O.,~~ *R 216*

Sydney.

Royal Exchange.
2000.



INFLAMMABLE LIQUID ACT, 1915, AS AMENDED

CONTRACTOR'S CERTIFICATE
Underground Tanks

I hereby certify that the tank(s) as indicated below recently installed by me at the undermentioned premises have fill, dip and suction pipes as detailed hereunder.

Petroleum Company *AMPOL PETROLEUM LIMITED*

Address of Premises *67 LOADS ROAD LEICHHARDT.*

Name of Occupant *AMALGAMATED WIRELESS AUSTRALIA.*

Capacity of each tank and distance in inches between bottom of tank and lower end of internal pipes.

Capacity (Gallons)	Suction Pipe (Inches)	Fill Pipe (Inches)	Dip Pipe (Inches)
<i>3000.</i>	<i>4 1/2"</i>	<i>1 1/2"</i>	<i>1 1/2"</i>

PETER GORMAN PTY. LIMITED

Signed *Peter Gorman.*


Date *28 February 1973.*

PETER GORMAN PTY. LIMITED
51 CARLINGFORD STREET
SEFTON, 2162 N.S.W.

PHONE
644-6772

PA-769

35.003472-7



DANGEROUS GOODS BRANCH
 PASSED
[Signature]
 FOR CHIEF INSPECTOR
 17/8/89
 SUBJECT TO COMPLIANCE WITH REGULATIONS

THE COMMONWEALTH INDUSTRIAL GASES	
COMPANY:	ANA LTD 67 LORDS ROAD LEICHARDT
PROPOSAL:	VIE 3000 LIQUID NITROGEN TANK
SCALE:	N.T.S.

10-11



10-11

10-11


10-11

AMALGAMATED WIRELESS (AUSTRALASIA) LIMITED.
67 LORDS ROAD LIECHHARDT
PROPOSED ADDITIONAL FUEL STORAGE


SCALE 1:96



PROPOSED PERIMETER OF EXCAVATION





EXCAVATION PERIMETER OF EXISTING TANK




ELECTRICITY

SWITCH



LORDS ROAD





113

AMALGAMATED WIRELESS (AUSTRALASIA) LTD.

A 3472

CALLING RADIO MANUFACTURERS

ADDRESS LEICHHARDT, 2040. 67

Lords Road

99.00

DESCRIPTION OF PREMISES

FACTORY

(Parramatta Road Ashfield)

Depot No.	Construction of Premises			Mineral Spirit	Mineral Oil	Dangerous Goods				
	Walls	Roof	Floor	Gallons	Gallons	Class 1 Gallons	Class 2 Gallons	Class 3 Lbs.	Class 4 Cubic Feet	Class 5 Lbs.
1	BRICK	CORR. IRON CONCRETE								

OBSOLETE
NEW CARD MADE OUT - FILE

Fee Paid			Fee Paid			Remarks
Date	Receipt No.	For Year Ending	Date	Receipt No.	For Year Ending	
23-10-64	0426	28-2-65				Pur. F. Dickin Pty Ltd
13-4-65	9503	28-2-66				
15-3-66	9540	28-2-67				
14-3-67	0423	29-2-68				
22-3-68	9642	28-2-69				
10-2-69	8360	15-3-70				
16-2-70	4099	1971				
23-2-71	7364	15-3-72				
22-2-72	8508	15-3-73				

OVERSIZE

CARD

1

INSPECTION RECORD


Licence No. _____

Licensee: A. W. A. Aust. Pty Ltd.

Address: 67 Lords Road, Leichhardt.

Storage licensed: _____

Sketch of Premises (Dimensions of depot and distance of same from adjoining "protected works" to be shown).




FACTORY.

L
O
R
D
S

R
O
A
D.

Inspected	Initials	Requisitions made or state of depot
16/7/64	rlb	Sat





B1015126

Government Records Repository




F009865689




OVERSIZE

CARD



1



SECTIONAL VIEW B-B



SECTIONAL VIEW A-A



- Note - a) Total number of ventilators (16)
- b) Number of ventilators exposed to outside air (8)
- c) All ventilators covered inside with a fine metal gauze (30 mesh)
- d) Under side of roof lined with metal
- e) Door lined on both sides with metal
- f) Number of skylights (only)

INFLAMMABLE LIQUID STORE
 AS EXISTING ON PREMISES
 67 LORDS ROAD
 LEICHHARDT

AMALGAMATED WIRELESS (AUSTRALASIA) LIMITED
 554 PARRAMATTA ROAD
 ASHFIELD
 (17-6-64)

APPENDIX O: STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
1	UCL Statistics for Uncensored Full Data Sets											
2												
3	User Selected Options											
4	Date/Time of Computation			5/07/2022 11:32:56 AM								
5	From File			WorkSheet_a.xls								
6	Full Precision			OFF								
7	Confidence Coefficient			95%								
8	Number of Bootstrap Operations			2000								
9												
10												
11	C0											
12												
13	General Statistics											
14	Total Number of Observations				39		Number of Distinct Observations				17	
15							Number of Missing Observations				8	
16	Minimum				0.05		Mean				0.384	
17	Maximum				1.5		Median				0.2	
18	SD				0.419		Std. Error of Mean				0.0671	
19	Coefficient of Variation				1.091		Skewness				1.247	
20												
21	Normal GOF Test											
22	Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic				0.784		Shapiro Wilk GOF Test					
23	5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value				0.939		Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level					
24	Lilliefors Test Statistic				0.234		Lilliefors GOF Test					
25	5% Lilliefors Critical Value				0.142		Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level					
26	Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level											
27												
28	Assuming Normal Distribution											
29	95% Normal UCL					95% UCLs (Adjusted for Skewness)						
30	95% Student's-t UCL				0.497		95% Adjusted-CLT UCL (Chen-1995)				0.509	
31							95% Modified-t UCL (Johnson-1978)				0.499	
32												
33	Gamma GOF Test											
34	A-D Test Statistic				1.615		Anderson-Darling Gamma GOF Test					
35	5% A-D Critical Value				0.782		Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level					
36	K-S Test Statistic				0.212		Kolmogrov-Smirnoff Gamma GOF Test					
37	5% K-S Critical Value				0.146		Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level					
38	Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level											
39												
40	Gamma Statistics											
41	k hat (MLE)				0.914		k star (bias corrected MLE)				0.861	
42	Theta hat (MLE)				0.42		Theta star (bias corrected MLE)				0.446	
43	nu hat (MLE)				71.33		nu star (bias corrected)				67.17	
44	MLE Mean (bias corrected)				0.384		MLE Sd (bias corrected)				0.414	
45							Approximate Chi Square Value (0.05)				49.31	
46	Adjusted Level of Significance				0.0437		Adjusted Chi Square Value				48.71	
47												
48	Assuming Gamma Distribution											
49	95% Approximate Gamma UCL (use when n>=50))				0.523		95% Adjusted Gamma UCL (use when n<50)				0.53	
50												
51	Lognormal GOF Test											
52	Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic				0.878		Shapiro Wilk Lognormal GOF Test					

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
53	5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value					0.939	Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level					
54	Lilliefors Test Statistic					0.186	Lilliefors Lognormal GOF Test					
55	5% Lilliefors Critical Value					0.142	Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level					
56	Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level											
57												
58	Lognormal Statistics											
59	Minimum of Logged Data					-2.996	Mean of logged Data					-1.595
60	Maximum of Logged Data					0.405	SD of logged Data					1.185
61												
62	Assuming Lognormal Distribution											
63	95% H-UCL					0.678	90% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL					0.673
64	95% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL					0.797	97.5% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL					0.97
65	99% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL					1.31						
66												
67	Nonparametric Distribution Free UCL Statistics											
68	Data do not follow a Discernible Distribution (0.05)											
69												
70	Nonparametric Distribution Free UCLs											
71	95% CLT UCL					0.494	95% Jackknife UCL					0.497
72	95% Standard Bootstrap UCL					0.492	95% Bootstrap-t UCL					0.516
73	95% Hall's Bootstrap UCL					0.502	95% Percentile Bootstrap UCL					0.496
74	95% BCA Bootstrap UCL					0.501						
75	90% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL					0.585	95% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL					0.676
76	97.5% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL					0.803	99% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL					1.052
77												
78	Suggested UCL to Use											
79	95% Chebyshev (Mean, Sd) UCL					0.676						
80												
81	Note: Suggestions regarding the selection of a 95% UCL are provided to help the user to select the most appropriate 95% UCL.											
82	These recommendations are based upon the results of the simulation studies summarized in Singh, Singh, and Iaci (2002)											
83	and Singh and Singh (2003). However, simulations results will not cover all Real World data sets.											
84	For additional insight the user may want to consult a statistician.											
85												

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
1	UCL Statistics for Uncensored Full Data Sets											
2												
3	User Selected Options											
4	Date/Time of Computation		5/07/2022 11:36:43 AM									
5	From File		WorkSheet_b.xls									
6	Full Precision		OFF									
7	Confidence Coefficient		95%									
8	Number of Bootstrap Operations		2000									
9												
10												
11	C0											
12												
13	General Statistics											
14	Total Number of Observations				27		Number of Distinct Observations				12	
15							Number of Missing Observations				4	
16	Minimum				0.2		Mean				0.963	
17	Maximum				3.6		Median				0.5	
18	SD				0.855		Std. Error of Mean				0.165	
19	Coefficient of Variation				0.888		Skewness				2	
20												
21	Normal GOF Test											
22	Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic				0.674		Shapiro Wilk GOF Test					
23	5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value				0.923		Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level					
24	Lilliefors Test Statistic				0.335		Lilliefors GOF Test					
25	5% Lilliefors Critical Value				0.171		Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level					
26	Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level											
27												
28	Assuming Normal Distribution											
29	95% Normal UCL						95% UCLs (Adjusted for Skewness)					
30	95% Student's-t UCL				1.244		95% Adjusted-CLT UCL (Chen-1995)				1.301	
31							95% Modified-t UCL (Johnson-1978)				1.254	
32												
33	Gamma GOF Test											
34	A-D Test Statistic				3.119		Anderson-Darling Gamma GOF Test					
35	5% A-D Critical Value				0.756		Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level					
36	K-S Test Statistic				0.354		Kolmogrov-Smirnoff Gamma GOF Test					
37	5% K-S Critical Value				0.17		Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level					
38	Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level											
39												
40	Gamma Statistics											
41	k hat (MLE)				2.028		k star (bias corrected MLE)				1.827	
42	Theta hat (MLE)				0.475		Theta star (bias corrected MLE)				0.527	
43	nu hat (MLE)				109.5		nu star (bias corrected)				98.66	
44	MLE Mean (bias corrected)				0.963		MLE Sd (bias corrected)				0.712	
45							Approximate Chi Square Value (0.05)				76.75	
46	Adjusted Level of Significance				0.0401		Adjusted Chi Square Value				75.51	
47												
48	Assuming Gamma Distribution											
49	95% Approximate Gamma UCL (use when n>=50))				1.238		95% Adjusted Gamma UCL (use when n<50)				1.258	
50												
51	Lognormal GOF Test											
52	Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic				0.8		Shapiro Wilk Lognormal GOF Test					

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
53	5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value					0.923	Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level					
54	Lilliefors Test Statistic					0.343	Lilliefors Lognormal GOF Test					
55	5% Lilliefors Critical Value					0.171	Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level					
56	Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level											
57												
58	Lognormal Statistics											
59	Minimum of Logged Data					-1.609	Mean of logged Data					-0.304
60	Maximum of Logged Data					1.281	SD of logged Data					0.69
61												
62	Assuming Lognormal Distribution											
63	95% H-UCL					1.252	90% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL					1.323
64	95% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL					1.503	97.5% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL					1.752
65	99% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL					2.242						
66												
67	Nonparametric Distribution Free UCL Statistics											
68	Data do not follow a Discernible Distribution (0.05)											
69												
70	Nonparametric Distribution Free UCLs											
71	95% CLT UCL					1.234	95% Jackknife UCL					1.244
72	95% Standard Bootstrap UCL					1.222	95% Bootstrap-t UCL					1.364
73	95% Hall's Bootstrap UCL					1.379	95% Percentile Bootstrap UCL					1.241
74	95% BCA Bootstrap UCL					1.3						
75	90% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL					1.457	95% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL					1.681
76	97.5% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL					1.991	99% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL					2.601
77												
78	Suggested UCL to Use											
79	95% Chebyshev (Mean, Sd) UCL					1.681						
80												
81	Note: Suggestions regarding the selection of a 95% UCL are provided to help the user to select the most appropriate 95% UCL.											
82	These recommendations are based upon the results of the simulation studies summarized in Singh, Singh, and Iaci (2002)											
83	and Singh and Singh (2003). However, simulations results will not cover all Real World data sets.											
84	For additional insight the user may want to consult a statistician.											
85												

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
1	UCL Statistics for Uncensored Full Data Sets											
2												
3	User Selected Options											
4	Date/Time of Computation		5/07/2022 12:27:35 PM									
5	From File		WorkSheet.xls									
6	Full Precision		OFF									
7	Confidence Coefficient		95%									
8	Number of Bootstrap Operations		2000									
9												
10												
11	C0											
12												
13	General Statistics											
14	Total Number of Observations				44		Number of Distinct Observations				32	
15							Number of Missing Observations				3	
16	Minimum				0.05		Mean				18.63	
17	Maximum				422		Median				2.25	
18	SD				65.06		Std. Error of Mean				9.808	
19	Coefficient of Variation				3.493		Skewness				5.847	
20												
21	Normal GOF Test											
22	Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic				0.303		Shapiro Wilk GOF Test					
23	5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value				0.944		Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level					
24	Lilliefors Test Statistic				0.404		Lilliefors GOF Test					
25	5% Lilliefors Critical Value				0.134		Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level					
26	Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level											
27												
28	Assuming Normal Distribution											
29	95% Normal UCL						95% UCLs (Adjusted for Skewness)					
30	95% Student's-t UCL				35.12		95% Adjusted-CLT UCL (Chen-1995)				44	
31							95% Modified-t UCL (Johnson-1978)				36.56	
32												
33	Gamma GOF Test											
34	A-D Test Statistic				2.125		Anderson-Darling Gamma GOF Test					
35	5% A-D Critical Value				0.863		Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level					
36	K-S Test Statistic				0.21		Kolmogrov-Smirnoff Gamma GOF Test					
37	5% K-S Critical Value				0.145		Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level					
38	Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level											
39												
40	Gamma Statistics											
41	k hat (MLE)				0.295		k star (bias corrected MLE)				0.29	
42	Theta hat (MLE)				63.06		Theta star (bias corrected MLE)				64.14	
43	nu hat (MLE)				26		nu star (bias corrected)				25.56	
44	MLE Mean (bias corrected)				18.63		MLE Sd (bias corrected)				34.57	
45							Approximate Chi Square Value (0.05)				15.04	
46	Adjusted Level of Significance				0.0445		Adjusted Chi Square Value				14.76	
47												
48	Assuming Gamma Distribution											
49	95% Approximate Gamma UCL (use when n>=50))				31.66		95% Adjusted Gamma UCL (use when n<50)				32.25	
50												
51	Lognormal GOF Test											
52	Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic				0.938		Shapiro Wilk Lognormal GOF Test					

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
53	5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value					0.944	Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level					
54	Lilliefors Test Statistic					0.119	Lilliefors Lognormal GOF Test					
55	5% Lilliefors Critical Value					0.134	Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level					
56	Data appear Approximate Lognormal at 5% Significance Level											
57												
58	Lognormal Statistics											
59	Minimum of Logged Data					-2.996	Mean of logged Data					0.584
60	Maximum of Logged Data					6.045	SD of logged Data					2.365
61												
62	Assuming Lognormal Distribution											
63	95% H-UCL					134.3	90% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL					62.07
64	95% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL					79.36	97.5% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL					103.4
65	99% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL					150.5						
66												
67	Nonparametric Distribution Free UCL Statistics											
68	Data appear to follow a Discernible Distribution at 5% Significance Level											
69												
70	Nonparametric Distribution Free UCLs											
71	95% CLT UCL					34.76	95% Jackknife UCL					35.12
72	95% Standard Bootstrap UCL					34.79	95% Bootstrap-t UCL					79.07
73	95% Hall's Bootstrap UCL					83.92	95% Percentile Bootstrap UCL					36.63
74	95% BCA Bootstrap UCL					48.52						
75	90% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL					48.05	95% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL					61.38
76	97.5% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL					79.88	99% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL					116.2
77												
78	Suggested UCL to Use											
79	97.5% Chebyshev (Mean, Sd) UCL					79.88						
80												
81	Note: Suggestions regarding the selection of a 95% UCL are provided to help the user to select the most appropriate 95% UCL.											
82	These recommendations are based upon the results of the simulation studies summarized in Singh, Singh, and Iaci (2002)											
83	and Singh and Singh (2003). However, simulations results will not cover all Real World data sets.											
84	For additional insight the user may want to consult a statistician.											
85												

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
1	UCL Statistics for Uncensored Full Data Sets											
2												
3	User Selected Options											
4	Date/Time of Computation		5/07/2022 11:22:53 AM									
5	From File		WorkSheet.xls									
6	Full Precision		OFF									
7	Confidence Coefficient		95%									
8	Number of Bootstrap Operations		2000									
9												
10												
11	C0											
12												
13	General Statistics											
14	Total Number of Observations				50		Number of Distinct Observations				41	
15							Number of Missing Observations				0	
16	Minimum				2		Mean				128.6	
17	Maximum				1200		Median				51	
18	SD				212.8		Std. Error of Mean				30.1	
19	Coefficient of Variation				1.654		Skewness				3.713	
20												
21	Normal GOF Test											
22	Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic				0.56		Shapiro Wilk GOF Test					
23	5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value				0.947		Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level					
24	Lilliefors Test Statistic				0.276		Lilliefors GOF Test					
25	5% Lilliefors Critical Value				0.125		Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level					
26	Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level											
27												
28	Assuming Normal Distribution											
29	95% Normal UCL						95% UCLs (Adjusted for Skewness)					
30	95% Student's-t UCL				179.1		95% Adjusted-CLT UCL (Chen-1995)				195	
31							95% Modified-t UCL (Johnson-1978)				181.7	
32												
33	Gamma GOF Test											
34	A-D Test Statistic				0.887		Anderson-Darling Gamma GOF Test					
35	5% A-D Critical Value				0.796		Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level					
36	K-S Test Statistic				0.121		Kolmogrov-Smirnoff Gamma GOF Test					
37	5% K-S Critical Value				0.131		Detected data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level					
38	Detected data follow Appr. Gamma Distribution at 5% Significance Level											
39												
40	Gamma Statistics											
41	k hat (MLE)				0.72		k star (bias corrected MLE)				0.691	
42	Theta hat (MLE)				178.6		Theta star (bias corrected MLE)				186.3	
43	nu hat (MLE)				72.04		nu star (bias corrected)				69.06	
44	MLE Mean (bias corrected)				128.6		MLE Sd (bias corrected)				154.8	
45							Approximate Chi Square Value (0.05)				50.93	
46	Adjusted Level of Significance				0.0452		Adjusted Chi Square Value				50.46	
47												
48	Assuming Gamma Distribution											
49	95% Approximate Gamma UCL (use when n>=50)				174.4		95% Adjusted Gamma UCL (use when n<50)				176	
50												
51	Lognormal GOF Test											
52	Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic				0.985		Shapiro Wilk Lognormal GOF Test					

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
53	5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value					0.947	Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level					
54	Lilliefors Test Statistic					0.0612	Lilliefors Lognormal GOF Test					
55	5% Lilliefors Critical Value					0.125	Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level					
56	Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level											
57												
58	Lognormal Statistics											
59	Minimum of Logged Data					0.693	Mean of logged Data					4.022
60	Maximum of Logged Data					7.09	SD of logged Data					1.358
61												
62	Assuming Lognormal Distribution											
63	95% H-UCL					239.8	90% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL					236.1
64	95% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL					281.6	97.5% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL					344.8
65	99% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL					468.8						
66												
67	Nonparametric Distribution Free UCL Statistics											
68	Data appear to follow a Discernible Distribution at 5% Significance Level											
69												
70	Nonparametric Distribution Free UCLs											
71	95% CLT UCL					178.1	95% Jackknife UCL					179.1
72	95% Standard Bootstrap UCL					177.9	95% Bootstrap-t UCL					226.4
73	95% Hall's Bootstrap UCL					420.1	95% Percentile Bootstrap UCL					181
74	95% BCA Bootstrap UCL					200.7						
75	90% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL					218.9	95% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL					259.8
76	97.5% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL					316.6	99% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL					428.1
77												
78	Suggested UCL to Use											
79	95% Approximate Gamma UCL					174.4						
80												
81	Note: Suggestions regarding the selection of a 95% UCL are provided to help the user to select the most appropriate 95% UCL.											
82	These recommendations are based upon the results of the simulation studies summarized in Singh, Singh, and Iaci (2002)											
83	and Singh and Singh (2003). However, simulations results will not cover all Real World data sets.											
84	For additional insight the user may want to consult a statistician.											
85												