

Register Of Planning Decisions			
REFERENCES	SUBJECT	COUNCIL DECISION	APPROVER
C0716 Item 6 5 JULY 2016	DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION - 412-416 LIVERPOOL ROAD, CROYDON	That; 1. Council as the consent authority pursuant to Clause 80(3) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 (as amended) grant deferred commencement consent to Development Application No. 10.2015.264.1 for demolition of existing structures and construction of a 3 storey residential flat building comprising 22 dwellings above 2 levels of basement car parking on Lot 100, DP 1124619, known as 412-416 Liverpool Road, Ashfield, subject to conditions appearing on pages 130-156 of the business paper; and 2. the deferred commencement plans shall be publicly notified to local residents for comment prior to approval of the plans and release of the operational consent.	Administrator
C0716 Item 7 5 JULY 2016	DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION - 132 CHURCH STREET, CROYDON (CENTENARY PARK)	That Development application No. 10.2014.94.1 for the demolition of the existing amenities building, erection of new amenities building at 132 Church Street, Croydon be modified in accordance with section 96(1a) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979, subject to conditions appearing on pages 226-227 of the business paper.	Administrator
C0716 Item 8 5 JULY 2016	DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION - 76 ALT STREET, ASHFIELD	That Council as the consent authority pursuant to Clause 80(1)(a) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 (as amended) approve Development Application No. 10.2015.188, subject to conditions appearing on pages 273-288 of the business paper.	Administrator

C0716 Item 9 5 JULY 2016	DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION - 30 LLEWELLYN STREET, MARRICKVILLE	That the development application No. 201600053 to carry out alterations and additions to an existing mixed use building to construct an additional first floor dwelling be APPROVED subject to conditions appearing on pages 330-341-of the business paper.	Administrator
C0716 Item 10 5 JULY 2016	DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION - 575 KING STREET, NEWTOWN	That the development application No. 201500750 to demolish existing structures and construct a 3 storey mixed use development comprising a retail tenancy on the ground floor and 7 dwellings above, with associated car parking be APPROVED subject to conditions appearing on pages 359-378 of the business paper.	Administrator
C0716 Item 6 26 JULY 2016	DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION - 230 VICTORIA STREET, ASHFIELD	PART A THAT Council as the consent authority pursuant to Clause 80(1) (a) of the environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 (as amended) approve Development Application No. 10.2016.53.1 for the carrying out of alterations to the existing building for use as a cafe on Lot: 11 DP: 1002099, known as Yeo Park 230 Victoria Street, Ashfield subject to conditions outlined in Attachment 6. PART B THAT Council investigate and consider the following: 1. the erection of signage in Yeo Park notifying users of Council's smoking policy within parks; 2. the erection of additional signage in Yeo Park outlining leash requirements for dog owners and the provision of additional litter bins; and 3. the erection of speed limits signs outside Yeo Park Infants School.	Administrator
C0816 Item 6 23 AUGUST 2016	DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION - 2-20 WESTON STREET. BALMAIN EAST	That the application be approved in accordance with the recommendation of the Leichhardt Planning Panel subject to the hours of operation of the proposed café being amended as follows: - Monday to Sunday including public holidays: 7.00am to 5.00pm.	Administrator

C0816 Item 3 23 AUGUST 2016	Development Application - 2-32 Smith Street Summer Hill	That; Council will not make a submission to the Sydney East Joint Regional Planning Panel. 2. That a condition requiring dilapidation report for 34 Edward Street, Summer Hill be added to the recommended conditions of consent.	1. Administrator
C0816 Item 5 23 AUGUST 2016	Development Application - 75 Milton Street, Ashfield	That the application be granted a deferred commencement approval in accordance with the conditions included in the report.	Administrator
C0816 Item 8 23 AUGUST 2016	Development Application - 23 Croydon Street, Petersham	That the development application to demolish part of the premises and carry out alterations and additions to an existing residential flat building fronting Croydon Street (Building A) containing 4 dwellings with a communal kitchen and BBQ area and the construction of a new 3 storey residential flat building to the rear (Building B) containing 18 dwellings with basement car parking be APPROVED subject to the conditions listed on pages 485-508 of the business paper, with the exception of condition 1 which is to be amended to refer to the updated plan references for DA04, Revision M, DA05, Revision K and DA11, Revision K.	Administrator
C0816 Item 7 23 AUGUST 2016	Development Application - Longport Street, Lewisham (2 - 32 Smith Street, Summer Hill)	That Council will not make a submission to the Panel in relation to this proposal.	Administrator
C0816 Item 4 23 AUGUST 2016	Development Application - 317- 331 Liverpool Road, Ashfield	That the application be granted a deferred commencement approval in accordance with the conditions included in the report.	Administrator
C0816 Item 9 23 AUGUST 2016	Amendment to Approved Voluntary Planning Agreement - Grove Street, Dulwich Hill	That Council enter into the Amended Voluntary Planning Agreement documented in Attachment 2.	Administrator
C0916 Item 2 27 September 2016	Development Application - 33 Smith Street, Summer Hill	That the matter be deferred to allow Council staff to give further consideration to the interface and stormwater issues affecting this development.	Administrator
C0916 Item 3 27 September 2016	Development Application - 66 Constitution Road, Dulwich Hill	That the matter be deferred to allow Council staff to give further consideration to the access and right of way issues affecting this development.	Administrator

C0916 Item 4 27 September 2016	Development Application - 351 Trafalgar Street, Lewisham	<p>THAT the application be APPROVED subject to the imposition of conditions in accordance with those outlined on pp. 293-316 of the Business Paper, and including endorsement of actions B and C contained therein.</p> <p><u>Amendment to the deferred commencement condition</u></p> <p>To ensure compliance with the apartment size requirements contained within the Apartment Design Guide (ADG), amended plans shall be submitted to the satisfaction of Council which indicate a revised layout. All apartments within the development shall comply with the minimum apartment sizes prescribed by the ADG in accordance with Objective 4D-1, specifically Apartments 2 and 4 shall be amended to be a minimum area of 70 sq metres. This change must not result in any increase to the building footprint or reduction in the size of the balconies, unless such balconies retain an area of at least 10 sq metres. <u>Condition 6 reworded:</u> 5 off-street car parking spaces must be provided and maintained at all times in accordance with the standards contained within Part 2.10 of Marrickville Development Control Plan 2011 - Parking. The parking must be allocated as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) A minimum of 3 spaces being allocated to the residential dwellings; and</li> <li>b) 2 accessible car parking spaces, 1 accessible car space per adaptable dwelling.</li> </ul> <p>All accessible car spaces must be provided and marked as disabled car parking spaces.</p>	Administrator
C0916 Item 5 27 September 2016	Development Application - 308-314 Stanmore Road, Petersham	<p>That the development application to demolish part of the premises and carry out alterations and additions to convert the existing residence into a 12 room hotel with a ground floor café, function rooms and florist be APPROVED subject to the conditions outlined on pp. 343-360 of the Business Paper, and including endorsement of actions B and C contained therein.</p>	Administrator

C0916 Item 6 27 September 2016	Development Application - 106 Carlton Crescent, Summer Hill	That this matter be deferred to allow Council to review the amended plans which have not been assessed, investigate the conditions around the walls and to consider the conditions the applicant would like to have reassessed.	Administrator
C0916 Item 7 27 September 2016	Section 82A Review Application - 669 King Street, St Peters	That the review request under Section 82A of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act to review Determination No. 201600157 dated 3 May 2016 be APPROVED and a new Determination be issued approving the application to carry out building works and use the ground floor as a commercial premises and first floor as a residence subject to the conditions on pp. 459-466 of the Business Paper.	Administrator
C0916 Item 8 27 September 2016	Planning Proposal for 55-63 Smith Street, Summer Hill	<p>1. Council resolve to progress a Planning Proposal to amend Ashfield Local Environmental Plan (LEP) 2013 to add the following additional uses to "Schedule 1 – Additional Permitted Uses" of the LEP for the properties at 55-63 Smith Street Summer Hill:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪Office Premises</li> <li>▪Business Premises</li> <li>▪Recreation facility (indoor)</li> <li>▪Self storage Units</li> </ul> <p>and that the applicant's Planning Proposal be amended to reflect the Council's resolution;</p> <p>2. accompanying the Planning Proposal there must be a site specific Development Control Plan produced by the applicant addressing the matters covered in the planning report, with the content being approved by Council;</p> <p>3. Council forward the Planning Proposal as amended in clause (1) to the Department of Planning and Environment for Gateway Determination to allow the LEP plan making process to commence under Section 56 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 (EP&amp;A Act);</p> <p>4. Council resolve to request The Department of Planning and Environment to issue a written authorization to Council's General Manager to exercise and implement delegations in accordance with Section 23 of the EP&amp; A Act 1979 to facilitate the plan making process following the Gateway Determination;</p>	Administrator

C0916 Item 8 (contd)		<p>5. following Gateway Determination by the Department of Planning and Environment, the Planning Proposal be progressed by Council, be put on formal public exhibition, and procedures carried out as required under the EP&amp; A Act 1979, with a later report on the public exhibition being submitted to the Council for consideration on whether to continue to finalise the Planning Proposal; and</p> <p>6. people who made a submission as part of the preliminary community engagement process be advised of Council's decision.</p>	
C0916 Item 9 27 September 2016	Planning Proposal for 100-102 Elliott Street, Balmain	Council resolve to make the Leichhardt Local Environmental Plan 2013 amendment to rezone parts of the subject site at 100-102 Elliott Street, Balmain to General Residential (R1), Business Park (B7), Public Recreation (RE1) and retain Local Centre (B2) for the rest of the property as detailed in the exhibited Planning Proposal and supporting documentation.	Administrator
C1016 Item 2 25 October 2016	Planning Proposal for Sydenham Station Creative Hub	<p>1. Receives and notes this report; 2. Endorses the Social Impact Assessment prepared for this project, at Attachment 1;</p> <p>3. Prepares a planning proposal for the Sydenham Station creative hub precinct and submits it to the Department of Planning &amp; Environment for Gateway determination subject to Areas A &amp; B as shown on the map at Attachment 2 being combined into one area to allow small bars, restaurants, cafes and creative uses as specified in Clause 6.12 of MELP2011 to be permitted within the existing IN1 General Industrial zone ;and</p> <p>4. Prepares and places on public exhibition with the planning proposal an economic study and strategy for a Development Control Plan (DCP) for the precinct should the planning proposal receive Gateway approval. The DCP to include (among other things) a creative industries policy, licensed premises controls and plans for public domain improvements.</p>	Administrator

<p>C1016 Item 13 25 October 2016</p>	<p>Planning Proposal – 101-103 Lilyfield Road, Lilyfield</p>	<p>1. The attached Planning Proposal be forwarded to the Minister for Planning for a Gateway determination in accordance with Section 56 of the Environmental Planning &amp; Assessment Act 1979;</p> <p>2.The Department of Planning and Environment be requested to delegate the plan making functions, in relation to the subject Planning Proposal, to Council;</p> <p>3. Following receipt of a Gateway determination, and compliance withany conditions, the Planning Proposal and supporting documentation be placed on public exhibition for a minimum of 28 days and public authorities be consulted on the Planning Proposal in accordance with the Gateway determination; and</p> <p>4.A report be presented to Council at the completion of the public exhibition period detailing submissions received and the outcome of consultation with public authorities.</p>	<p>Administrator</p>
--	--	--	----------------------

<p>C1216 Item 2 6 December 2016</p>	<p>Exhibition Draft Comprehensive Inner West DCP for Ashbury, Ashfield, Croydon, Croydon Park, Haberfield, Hurlstone Park And Summer Hill</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Council note the submissions raised in submissions received during the public exhibition of the draft DCP and that in accordance with Part 3, Section 21 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act Regulation 2000 these issues have been adequately considered by Council;</li> <li>2. the General Manager be authorised to make minor amendments to the DCP recommended in the report and any minor clerical amendments to the DCP which do not change the content and intent of the document;</li> <li>3. in accordance with Part 3, Clause 21 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act Regulation 2000, Council endorse and give public notice of the adoption of the Inner West Comprehensive DCP for Ashbury, Ashfield, Croydon, Croydon Park, Haberfield, Hurlstone Park and Summer Hill as shown at Attachment 1 and amended by resolution (2), and give public notice in the local newspaper within 28 days of Council's resolution;</li> <li>4. Council give notice in the local newspaper of the repeal of the Ashfield Development Control Plan 2007, Pursuant to Part 3, Clause 23 of EPA Act Regulation 2000; and</li> <li>5. Council provide the Secretary of the Department of Planning and Environment the DCP Plan pursuant to Part 3, Clause 25 AB of EPA Act Regulation 2000.</li> </ol>	<p>Administrator</p>
---	---	---	----------------------



<p>C1216 Item 3 6 December 2016</p>	<p>39 Smith Street Summer Hill - Planning Proposal</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Council resolve to progress a Planning Proposal to amend Ashfield Local Environmental Plan (LEP) 2013 to delete Heritage Item no 620, from Schedule 5 Environmental Heritage of the Ashfield LEP 2013;</li> <li>2. Council forward the Planning Proposal to the Department of Planning and Environment for Gateway Determination to allow the LEP plan amendment process to commence under Section 56 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 (EP&amp;A Act);</li> <li>3. Council resolve to request The Department of Planning and Environment to issue written authorization to Council's General Manager to exercise and implement delegations in accordance with Section 23 of the EP&amp; A Act 1979 to facilitate the plan making process following the Gateway determination;</li> <li>4. following the Gateway Determination by the Department of Planning and Environment, the Planning Proposal be progressed by Council, be put on formal public exhibition, and procedures carried out as required under the EP&amp; A Act 1979; and</li> <li>5. people who made a submission as part of the preliminary community engagement process be advised of Council's decision.</li> </ol>	<p>Administrator</p>
---	--	--	----------------------

C1216 Item 6 6 December 2016	67 - 73 Lords Road, Leichhardt - Planning Proposal Public Exhibition	<p>1. Note that the Planning Proposal to rezone 67-73 Lords Road, Leichhardt from IN2 Light Industrial to R3 Medium Density Residential and increase the maximum permissible floor space ratio (FSR) from 1:1 to 2.4:1 has been placed on public exhibition by the (SCPP);</p> <p>2. Endorse the attached draft submission (Attachment 3) which strongly objects to the Planning Proposal and recommends that the SCPP not support the proposal;</p> <p>3. Requests the SCPP to extend the public exhibition of the Planning Proposal by a further 28 days given the timing of the exhibition period;</p> <p>4. Request the Sydney Central Planning Panel as relevant planning authority holds a hearing on the issues raised in this submission under section 57(5) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 No 203; and</p> <p>5. Hold a public meeting early in 2017 to discuss the Proposal.</p>	Administrator
C1216 Item 11 6 December 2016	Floor Space Ratio (FSR) Review - Post-Gateway Determination Review	<p>1. Notes the Post-Gateway Review that has been issued; and</p> <p>2. Amends the planning proposal to be consistent with Option 3 of the FSR Review and proceed to public exhibition in accordance with Gateway Determination requirements.</p>	Administrator

<p>C1216 Item 12 6 December 2016</p>	<p>Planning Proposal for Small Bars: Leichhardt LEP 2013 &amp; Leichhardt DCP 2013 Amendments Public Exhibition Community Consultation</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The report and attached Planning Proposal and Leichhardt Development Control Plan 2013 Amendment be noted.</li> <li>2. Council resolves to adopt the amended Small Bars Planning Proposal to amend Schedule 2 of the Leichhardt Local Environmental Plan 2013 for a change of use exemption between small bars, restaurants and cafes in the B2 Local Centre zone.</li> <li>3. Council forwards the amended Planning Proposal to the Department of Planning and Environment and requests that it makes arrangements for the publication of LLEP 2013 amendment on the NSW Legislation website.</li> <li>4. Council endorses the amended Leichhardt Development Control Plan 2013 in accordance with the requirements of Clause 21 of Environmental Planning and Assessment Regulations 2000 and place a public notice in the local newspaper with the specified date of commencement.</li> </ol>	<p>Administrator</p>
--	--	--	----------------------

<p>C0217 Item 3 28 February 2017</p>	<p>Planning Proposal - 168 Norton Street, Leichhardt</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The attached Planning Proposal be forwarded to the Minister for Planning for a Gateway determination in accordance with Section 56 of the Environmental Planning &amp; Assessment Act 1979;</li> <li>2. The Department of Planning and Environment be requested to delegate the plan making functions, in relation to the subject Planning Proposal, to Council;</li> <li>3. Following receipt of a Gateway determination, and compliance with any conditions and following the required changes being made by the Proponent, the Planning Proposal and supporting documentation be placed on public exhibition for a minimum of 28 days and public authorities be consulted on the Planning Proposal in accordance with the Gateway determination; and</li> <li>4. A report be presented to Council at the completion of the public exhibition period detailing submissions received and the outcome of consultation with public authorities.</li> </ol>	<p>Administrator</p>
--	--	---	----------------------

C0217 Item 4 28 February 2017	Annandale Conservation Area Extension	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The attached Planning Proposal be forwarded to the Minister for Planning for a Gateway Determination in accordance with Section 56 of the Environmental Planning &amp; Assessment Act 1979;</li> <li>2. The Department of Planning and Environment be requested to delegate the plan making functions, in relation to the subject Planning Proposal, to Council;</li> <li>3. Following receipt of a Gateway Determination, and compliance with any conditions, the Planning Proposal and supporting documentation be placed on public exhibition for a minimum of 28 days and public authorities be consulted on the Planning Proposal in accordance with the Gateway Determination; and</li> <li>4. A report be presented to Council at the completion of the public exhibition period detailing submissions received and the outcome of consultation with public authorities.</li> </ol>	Administrator
C0217 Item 5 28 February 2017	Draft Marrickville Local Environmental Plan 2011 (Amendment No. X) - Change to the Land Use Table for the B7 Business Park Zone	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The report be received and noted;</li> <li>2. Council resolves to prepare a Planning Proposal to amend MLEP 2011 to delete “shop top housing” as a permissible use within the B7 Business Park zone and nominate itself as the Relevant Planning Authority;</li> <li>3. Council submits the draft Planning Proposal to the Department of Planning and Environment for Gateway determination; and</li> <li>4. Council resolves to publicly exhibit the draft Planning Proposal.</li> </ol>	Administrator
C0317 Item 6 28 March 2017	Planning Proposal Request - 183 & 203 New Canterbury Road, Lewisham	1. Support the planning proposed request for 183 & 203 New Canterbury Road, Lewisham to rezone and set development controls for the land;	Administrator
		2. Forward the planning proposal to the Minister for Planning for a Gateway determination in accordance with Section 56 of the Environmental Planning & Assessment Act 1979;	
		3. Request that it be delegated plan making functions in relation to the planning proposal; and	

		4. Resolves to develop site specific planning controls to apply to the future development at 183 New Canterbury Road for inclusion in MDCP 2011 Part 9.36 (Commercial Precinct 36) and that these be publicly exhibited concurrently with the planning proposal.	
C0417 Item 4 26 April 2017	Supplementary Report: Marrickville Heritage Review	<p>1. That Council adopts the recommendations contained within the 28 February 2017 report with the following amendments: *delete 30 Carrington Road, Marrickville from the planning proposal. *delete 149 Unwins Bridge Road, Tempe from the planning proposal. *delete 294 Livingstone Road Marrickville from the planning proposal. *delete 51 Frederick Street St Peters from the planning proposal. *delete 389 Illawarra Road Marrickville (Church of Christ) from the planning proposal. *delete 545 Princes Highway and 2 Samuel Street Tempe from the planning proposal</p> <p>2. That any further consideration of these items be carried out as part of a future heritage study.</p>	Administrator
C0417 Item 8 26 April 2017	Post Exhibition report: Draft Marrickville LEP 2011 Amendment - 85 Margaret St Petersham	<p>1. That the report be received and noted.</p> <p>2. That Council forwards the draft amendment to MLEP 2011 to the Department of Planning &amp; Environment seeking final approval and gazettal.</p>	Administrator
C0417 Item 9 26 April 2017	Draft Marrickville Local Environmental Plan 2011 Amendment - To protect employment land and support the viability of commercial activities in the B7 Business Park zone and on other business zoned land	<p>1. That the report be received and noted.</p> <p>2. That Council forwards the draft amendment to MLEP 2011 to the Department of Planning &amp; Environment seeking final approval and gazettal.</p>	Administrator
C0417 Item 11 26 April 2017	Local Approvals Policy - Public Exhibition Outcomes	<p>1. That Council adopts the draft Local Approvals Policy in accordance with section 166 of the <i>Local Government Act 1993</i></p> <p>2. That Council approves the proposed amendment to <i>Leichhardt Development Control Plan 2013</i> and adopt the amended <i>Leichhardt Development Control Plan 2013</i>.</p>	Administrator

C0517 Item 3 23 May 2017	Proposed Amendment to Floor Space Ratio controls in Leichhardt LEP 2013	1. That Council receive and note this report.	Administrator
		2. That Council request that an amendment to Leichhardt Local Environmental Plan 2013 be drafted by Parliamentary Counsel which reflects the planning proposal consistent with Option 3 of the Floor Space Ratio Review.	
		3. That Council seek the Department of Planning and Environment to notify the amendment.	
C0517 Item 5 23 May 2017	Post Exhibition Report: DRAFT MARRICKVILLE LOCAL ENVIRONMENTAL PLAN 2011 AMENDMENT - TO DELETE SHOP TOP HOUSING AS A USE PERMITTED WITH CONSENT IN THE B7 BUSINESS PARK ZONE	1. That the report be received and noted.	Administrator
		2. That Council forward the draft amendment to MLEP 2011 to the Department of Planning & Environment seeking final approval and gazettal.	
C0617 Item 5 27 June 2017	Victoria Road Precinct Planning Proposal	Council forwards the Victoria Road Planning Proposal to the Minister for Planning indicating its support for the Proposal subject to:	Administrator
		1. resolution of matters the subject of an unresolved objection from the Roads and Maritime Service; 2. there is to be no change to the existing IN1 – General Industrial zoning on the south eastern side of Victoria Road. This land forms part of the core Sydenham / Marrickville Industrial Area and should be preserved in line with the recommendations of the Marrickville Employment Lands Study and subsequent Review. Council will further consider its position subject to review of the Sydenham to Bankstown Urban Renewal Corridor Strategy;	

		3. with the exception of the properties on the north western side of Farr Street to be zoned R3 – Medium Density Residential, no properties in the precinct are to be zoned residential; the Danias Timbers Site / Timber Yards Sub-precinct to be zoned B4 – mixed Use (along Victoria Road and Sydenham Road) and B7 – Business Park (for the remainder of the sub-precinct) with an appropriate mix of employment and residential uses to be provided via site specific provision. The remainder of the precinct northwest of Victoria Road and north of Chalder Street to be zoned B5 – Business Development;	
		4. any intersection upgrade works necessitated by the planning proposal cannot require the acquisition of parts of Wicks Park or properties outside the area covered by the planning proposal;	
		5. the planning proposal must adequately deal with infrastructure planning, funding and delivery (including any required property acquisitions) in consultation with Council;	
		6. the planning proposal must reflect the urban design and built form recommendations provided by Rod Simpson and Council's Architectural Excellence Panel;	
		7. affordable housing being provided in accordance with the requirements of the Inner West Council Affordable Housing Policy;	
		8. the planning proposal cannot result in the loss of any existing areas of public open space and adequate new additional areas of public open space must be provided to service the new resident and worker population (e.g. an expansion and embellishment of Wicks Park);	
		9. the planning proposal must provide suitable mechanisms to deliver the new laneways and road connections required to service the rezoning and stated vision for the Victoria Road corridor; and	
		10. the planning proposal must adequately deal with identified potential heritage.	
C0617 Item 8 27 June 2017	Planning Proposal: 55-63 Smith Street Summer Hill	1. Council notes the report and response to submissions;	Administrator
		2. Council authorises the General Manager to finalise the Planning Proposal as outlined in this report;	



		3. the Planning Proposal be referred pursuant to Section 59 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 (the Act) to the NSW Parliamentary Counsel Office to seek an Opinion that the Plan may be made;	
		4. upon receipt of a Parliamentary Counsel Opinion, Council requests the Department of Planning and Environment to make the Plan; and	
		5. site specific Draft Development Control Plan contained in Attachment 5 be incorporated into the exhibition of future amendments to the “Inner West Comprehensive Development Control Plan 2016 Ashbury, Ashfield, Croydon, Croydon Park, Haberfield, Hurlstone Park and Summer Hill”.	
C0617 Item 10 27 June 2017	Post Exhibition Report - Draft Marrickville Local Environmental Plan 2011 (Amendment No. 12) - 180 Princes Highway, St Peters	1. the report be received and noted; and	Administrator
		2. Council forwards the draft amendment to MLEP 2011 to the Department of Planning & Environment seeking final approval and gazettal.	
C0617 Item 11 27 June 2017	3-7 & 13-17 Regent Street, 287-309 Trafalgar Street and 16-20 Fisher Street, Petersham	1. Council supports the planning proposal subject to: (i) The resident car parking on site to be capped at the rate contained in Part 2.10 of Marrickville Development Control Plan (MDCP) 2011; (ii) The proponent must engage an arborist to investigate the opportunities to retain the significant trees located on the corner of Regent Street and Fisher Street (Site 3) and adjust the building envelopes as may be required; (iii) an affordable housing contribution being made using Council’s Affordable Housing Policy and 15% target as a guide;	Administrator
		2. Council officers consider the Voluntary Planning Agreement (VPA) Offer in accordance with Council’s interim VPA Policy;	
		3. forward the planning proposal to the Minister for Planning for a Gateway determination in accordance with Section 56 of the Environmental Planning & Assessment Act 1979;	
		4. request that Council be delegated plan making functions in relation to the planning proposal; and	

		5. resolves to develop site specific planning controls to apply to the future development at 3-7 Regent Street (Site 1); 13-17 Regent Street (Site 2); and 287-309 Trafalgar Street & 16-20 Fisher Street (Site 3), Petersham for inclusion in Part 9.6 (Precinct 6) of MDCP 2011 and that these be publicly exhibited concurrently with the planning proposal.	
C0717 Item 7 25 July 2017	120c Old Canterbury Road Summer Hill - Planning Proposal	1. The Planning Proposal be amended to Council's satisfaction addressing the recommendations outlined in this report, including a Maximum Height of Building equating to 6 storeys relative to Old Canterbury Road and a reduced Maximum Floor Space Ratio;	Administrator
		2. Council authorises the Interim General Manager to be Council's delegate and use "the Authority" for the processing of the Planning Proposal as outlined in this report;	
		3. On satisfactory completion of Resolution 1 and 2, the Planning Proposal be referred pursuant to Section 56 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 (the Act) seeking a Gateway Determination and for Council to be the Relevant Planning Authority, and requesting the studies identified in the report be produced;	
		4. Council develop a site specific Draft Development Control Plan as outlined in this report and exhibit it concurrently with the Planning Proposal; and	
		5. Upon receipt of the Gateway Determination the Planning Proposal and Draft DCP be put on public exhibition pursuant to the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 (the Act).	
		6. Council Officers write to the NSW Roads and Maritime Service and request that an area wide traffic network analysis be prepared for the McGill Street precinct and surrounds to determine local area traffic management opportunities that may be implemented to mitigate and better manage traffic impacts in this locality.	

C0717 Item 8 25 July 2017	Planning Proposal: 58-76 Stanmore Road, 2-20 Tupper Street and 3-9 Alma Avenue, Stanmore	<p>1. Support the planning proposal request for 58-76 Stanmore Road, 2-20 Tupper Street and 3-9 Alma Avenue, Stanmore to rezone the land to facilitate the redevelopment of the site to include a new club premises, commercial floor space, a public plaza and residential accommodation with associated basement car parking subject to the following amendments:</p> <p>(a) The Land Zoning for Site C being amended to R3 Medium Density Residential;</p> <p>(b) Inclusion of MLEP 2011 Schedule 1 additional permitted use of a 'residential flat building' as part of a mixed use development;</p> <p>(c) Maximum height of building controls and number of storeys to be applied across the site as detailed in this report;</p> <p>(d) Floor space ratio controls to be developed for each site should the proposal obtain Gateway approval.</p> <p>2. Request the applicant to update the planning proposal report and associated documentation to ensure consistency between all documents;</p> <p>3. Forward the planning proposal to the Minister for Planning for a Gateway determination in accordance with Section 56 of the Environmental Planning &amp; Assessment Act 1979;</p> <p>4. Request that Council be delegated plan making functions in relation to the planning proposal;</p> <p>5. Resolve to develop site specific planning controls to apply to the future development at 58-76 Stanmore Road, 2-20 Tupper Street and 3-9 Alma Avenue, Stanmore for inclusion in MDCP 2011 Part 9.9 (Newington Precinct 9) consistent with the advice contained within this report and that the site specific controls be publicly exhibited concurrently with the planning proposal; and</p> <p>6. Consider the Voluntary Planning Agreement (VPA) offer in accordance with Council's interim VPA Policy.</p>	Administrator
C0717 Item 9 25 July 2017	Overview: Planning Proposal for Uniting Care Site at 15-17 Marion Street, Leichhardt	<p>1. Receive and note this report and attachments;</p> <p>2. Resolve to support the revised Planning Proposal as outlined in this Report.</p>	Administrator

		<p>3. Resolve to forward the revised Planning Proposal to the Minister for Planning and Environment for a Gateway Determination in accordance with Section 56 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979;</p> <p>4. Delegate the preparation of a revised draft Development Control Plan (DCP) that will reflect the revised Planning Proposal to the General Manager;</p> <p>5. Upon receipt of the Gateway Determination, the Planning Proposal should be put on public exhibition to meet the requirements of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979. The revised draft DCP should be exhibited concurrently and public authorities be consulted in accordance with the Gateway Determination; and</p> <p>6. That a post exhibition report be prepared for Council consideration</p>	
C0717 Item 10 25 July 2017	Planning Proposal and Draft Development Control Plan - 168 Norton Street Leichhardt: Community Consultation Outcomes	<p>1. Complete the drafting of a voluntary planning agreement in consultation with the Proponent and exhibit the Agreement in accordance with the Environmental Planning &amp; Assessment Act 1979;</p> <p>2. Amend the Leichhardt Local Environmental Plan 2013 at 168 Norton Street as detailed in the exhibited Planning Proposal;</p> <p>3. Liaise with the Parliamentary Counsel's Office and the Department of Planning and Environment to draft and finalise the LEP amendment;</p> <p>4. Following the completion of the above, request the Department of Planning and Environment to notify the Plan; and</p> <p>5. Delegate the adoption of the revised draft Development Control Plan for 168 Norton Street as detailed in this report to the General Manager.</p>	Administrator
C0717 Item 11 25 July 2017	2-6 Cavill Avenue Ashfield - Planning Proposal	<p>1. Support the Planning Proposal subject to amendments outlined in the report;</p> <p>2. Forward the Planning Proposal to the Minister of Planning for a Gateway Determination in accordance with Section 56 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act, and seek that Council use its delegated plan making functions to be the Relevant Planning Authority for the processing of the Planning Proposal;</p>	Administrator

		3. Authorise the Interim General Manager to be Council's delegate and "the Authorisation" to be the Relevant Planning Authority for the processing of the Planning Proposal; and	
		4. Develop a site specific Draft Development Control Plan as outlined in the report once the Gateway approval is received and exhibit the Draft DCP concurrently with the Planning Proposal.	
C0717 Item 12 25 July 2017	Planning Proposal No. DA201600376 at 466-480 New Canterbury Road and 26-38 Hercules Street, Dulwich Hill	<p>1. Council supports the development intention of the planning proposal as outlined by the concept design within the submitted Urban Design Report, dated 23 May 2017;</p> <p>2. The planning proposal zoning be altered, with Marrickville Local Environmental Plan (MLEP) 2011 Zoning Maps being amended from IN2 Light Industrial zone to:</p> <p>(a) RE1 Public Recreation zone for the land on the western edge of the site adjacent to the Light Rail line, and the proposed pocket park on the southeastern corner of the site (or RE2 Private Recreation for any of this land that Council does not agree to take ownership of);</p> <p>(b) B5 Business Development for Nos. 466-480 New Canterbury Road, (excluding the area proposed to be zoned RE1 Public Recreation) and the rear (approximately 9 m) parts of Nos. 26-28 Hercules Street, Dulwich Hill not proposed to be zoned RE1 Public Recreation or RE2 Private Recreation, being Lots 1, 2, 3 and 4 DP542147, Lot 1 DP540366, Lots 1, 2 and 3 DP236603 and rear (approximately 9 m) parts of Lot 4 DP540366 and Lot 14 Section 4 DP932, with an additional permitted use clause applying to such zoned land;</p> <p>(c) R4 High Density Residential for Nos. 34-38 Hercules Street, (excluding the area proposed to be zoned RE1 Public Recreation) and the rear of No. 474 New Canterbury Road fronting Hercules Street, Dulwich Hill, being Lots 5,6 and 7 DP236603 and Lot 2 DP540366; and</p> <p>(d) RE2 Private Recreation for No. 28 Hercules Street, (excluding the area proposed to be zoned B5 Business Development) and the part of No. 26 Hercules Street not proposed to be zoned RE1 Public Recreation or B5 Business Development, Dulwich Hill.</p>	Administrator

		<p>3. The planning proposal clause wording to be included in Schedule 1 Additional permitted uses be altered to read:</p> <p>Use of certain land at 466-480 New Canterbury Road and 26-38 Hercules Street, Dulwich Hill(1) This clause applies to land at 466-480 New Canterbury Road and 26-38 Hercules Street, Dulwich Hill, being Lots 1, 2, 3 and 4 DP542147; Lots 1, 2 and 4 DP540366; Lots 1, 2, 3, 5, 6 and 7 DP236603; and Lot 14 Section 4 DP932; (2) Development for the following purposes is permitted with consent:</p> <p>(a) residential flat buildings, as part of a mixed use development, on land zoned B5 Business Development but only if:</p> <p>(i) any dwelling located on the ground floor will not have frontage to New Canterbury Road; (b) neighbourhood shops on the land at 478-480 New Canterbury Road, Dulwich Hill, being Lots 1 and 2 DP236603 in Zone B5 Business Development; and</p> <p>(c) vehicular and pedestrian access, car parking and site facilities on the land at 34-38 Hercules Street and the rear of 474 New Canterbury Road, Dulwich Hill in Zone R4 High Density Residential to service the development on the land at 474-480 New Canterbury Road, Dulwich Hill in Zone B5 Business Development.</p>	
--	--	--	--

		<p>4. The planning proposal height of building (HoB) be altered, with MLEP 2011 HoB Maps being amended from no height identified to:</p> <p>(a) 17 metres for Nos. 466-472 New Canterbury Road, the front part of No. 474 New Canterbury Road, 476 New Canterbury Road, the front 7.5 metres of Nos. 478 and 480 New Canterbury Road and the rear (approximately 9 m) part of Nos. 26-28 Hercules Street, Dulwich Hill, being Lots 1, 2, 3 and 4 DP542147, Lot 1 DP540366, Lot 3 DP236603 the front 7.5m of Lots 1 and 2 DP236603, and the rear (approximately 9 m) part of Lot 4 DP540366 and Lot 14 Sec 4 DP932;</p> <p>(b) 20 metres for the rear part of No. 474 New Canterbury Road and No. 34 Hercules Street, Dulwich Hill, being Lot 2 DP540366 and Lot 7 DP236603;</p> <p>(c) 29 metres for the rear part behind the front 7.5 m of Nos. 478-480 New Canterbury Road, Dulwich Hill, being Lots 1 and 2 DP236603 (excluding the area proposed to be zoned RE1 Public Recreation, which is to have no height control indicated);</p> <p>(d) 32 metres for No. 36-38 Hercules Street, Dulwich Hill, being Lots 5 and 6 DP236603 (excluding the area proposed to be zoned RE1 Public Recreation, which is to have no height control indicated); and</p> <p>(e) 9.5 metres for the front (approximately 22 m) part of Nos. 26-28 Hercules Street not proposed to be zoned RE1 Public Recreation or B5 Business Development, Dulwich Hill, being the front (approximately 22 m) part of Lot 4 DP540366 and Lot 14 Sec 4 DP932 not proposed to be zoned RE1 Public Recreation or B5 Business Development.</p>	
--	--	---	--

		<p>5. The planning proposal floor space ratio (FSR) be altered, with MLEP 2011 FSR Maps being amended from 0.95:1 FSR to:</p> <p>(a) 3.0:1 for Nos. 466-472 New Canterbury Road and rear (approximately 9 m) part of Nos. 26-28 Hercules Street, Dulwich Hill, being Lots 1, 2, 3 and 4 DP542147, and rear (approximately 9 m) part of Lot 4 DP540366 and Lot 14 Sec 4 DP932;</p> <p>(b) 2.2:1 for Nos. 474-476 New Canterbury Road, and No. 34 Hercules Street (excluding the western (approximately 5.5m) part of No. 476 New Canterbury Road and the western (approximately 6.0-7.5m) part of No. 34 Hercules Street), Dulwich Hill, being Lots 1 and 2 DP540366 and Lots 3 and 7 DP236603 (excluding the western (approximately 5.5m) part of Lot 3 DP236603 and the western (approximately 6.0-7.5m) part of Lot 7 DP236603);</p> <p>(c) 3.3:1 for Nos. 478-480 New Canterbury Road, the western (approximately 5.5m) part of No. 476 New Canterbury Road, Nos. 36-38 Hercules Street, and the western (approximately 6.0-7.5m) part of No. 34 Hercules Street, Dulwich Hill, being Lots 1, 2, 5 and 6 DP236603, the western (approximately 5.5m) part of Lot 3 DP236603, and the western (approximately 6.0-7.5m) part of Lot 7 DP236603; (d) 0.6:1 for the front (approximately 22 m) part of Nos. 26-28 Hercules Street not proposed to be zoned RE1 Public Recreation or B5 Business Development, Dulwich Hill, being the front (approximately 22 m) part of Lot 4 DP540366 and Lot 14 Sec 4 DP932 not proposed to be zoned RE1 Public Recreation or B5 Business Development; and</p> <p>(e) No FSR indicated for the front (approximately 22 m) part of No. 26 Hercules Street, Dulwich Hill, being the front (approximately 22 m) part of Lot 14 Sec 4 DP932, relating to the land proposed to be zoned RE1 Public Recreation for a pocket park on the south-eastern corner of the site.</p> <p>6. Council officers consider the Voluntary Planning Agreement (VPA) Offer in accordance with Council's interim VPA Policy;</p> <p>7. The planning proposal, as altered by recommendations 2-5, be forwarded to the Minister for Planning for a Gateway determination in accordance with Section 56 of the Environmental Planning &amp; Assessment Act 1979;</p>	
--	--	--	--



		8. Council requests that delegated plan making functions be granted in relation to the planning proposal; and	
		9. Site specific planning controls be developed to apply to the future development at 466-480 New Canterbury Road and 26-38 Hercules Street, Dulwich Hill, for inclusion in Part 9.18 (Precinct 18 – Dulwich Hill Station North) of MDCP 2011 and that these be publicly exhibited concurrently with the planning proposal.	
C0717 Item 13 25 July 2017	101-103 Lilyfield Road Planning Proposal Report	1. Receive and note this report;	Administrator
		2. Complete the drafting of a voluntary planning agreement in consultation with the Proponent and exhibit the Agreement in accordance with the Environmental Planning & Assessment Act 1979;	
		3. Subject to resolution 2 above, request that an amendment to Leichhardt Local Environmental Plan 2013 be drafted by Parliamentary Counsel in support of this Planning Proposal that seeks an FSR of 1:1 and 'restaurants or cafes' and 'takeaway food and drink uses' up to 50sqm on the ground floor as additional permitted uses on the land; and	
		4. Include a height of building control that states the maximum height of the development is 5 storeys or RL 35.73 to the top of the lift overruns.	
C0717 Item 14 25 July 2017	Post Exhibition Report Draft Marrickville Local Environmental Plan 2011 (Amendment No. 13) - 34 Belmore Street, Enmore	1. The report be received and noted; and	Administrator
		2. Council forwards the draft amendment to MLEP 2011 to the Department of Planning & Environment seeking final approval and gazettal.	
C0717 Item 15 25 July 2017	Amendments to Inner West DCP 2016	1. Council support the amendments to the "Comprehensive Inner West DCP 2016 Ashbury, Ashfield, Croydon, Croydon Park, Haberfield, Hurlstone Park and Summer Hill" as indicated in this report and found in Attachment 1 for public exhibition;	Administrator

		<p>2. Council place the Draft amendments and supporting documents on public exhibition in accordance with the requirements of the Act and Environmental Planning and Assessment Regulation 2000 (the Regulation);</p> <p>3. The Interim General Manager be authorised to make any minor amendments to the Draft DCP content as required for its exhibition that do not result in any significant policy changes; and</p> <p>4. A post exhibition report be submitted for Council's consideration.</p>	
C0717 Item 16 25 July 2017	Marrickville Development Control Plan 2011 - Amendment to Part 2.22 Flood Management	<p>1. Receive and note the report;</p> <p>2. Adopt the updated Flood Planning Area Map in ATTACHMENT 1 and the updated Flood Liable Land Map in ATTACHMENT 2; and</p> <p>3. Resolve to publicly exhibit draft Marrickville Development Control Plan 2011 (Amendment No. 7) to amend Part 2.22 – Flood Management to incorporate the updated Flood Planning Area Map in ATTACHMENT 1 and the updated Flood Liable Land Map in ATTACHMENT 2.</p>	Administrator
C0717 Item 21 25 July 2017	39 Smith Street Summer Hill - Planning Proposal	<p>1. Council note this report and response to submissions;</p> <p>2. Council authorises the Interim General Manager to finalise the Planning Proposal as outlined in this report;</p> <p>3. The Planning Proposal be referred pursuant to Section 59 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 (the Act) to the NSW Parliamentary Counsel Office to seek an Opinion that the Plan may be made; and</p> <p>4. Upon receipt of a Parliamentary Counsel Opinion, Council request the Department of Planning and Environment to make the Plan.</p>	Administrator
C1017 Item 33 12 October 2017	Mayoral Minute: Carrington Road Planning Proposal	<p>1. Opposes, in its current form, the Carrington Road rezoning proposal, based on the vast range of impacts detailed in Council's letter to the proponent of 29 September 2017;</p> <p>2. Hold a public meeting on Thursday October 19 at 7:30 at Marrickville Town Hall to inform the community about the details of the Carrington Road rezoning proposal;</p> <p>3. Reiterate its opposition to the Sydenham to Bankstown Strategy which is the catalyst for the Carrington Road proposal;</p> <p>4. Invite Mirvac to present to the October 19 Public Meeting on their proposal; and</p>	<p>For Motion: Crs Byrne, Drury, Hesse, Kiat, Lockie, Porteous, Stamolis, Steer and York Against Motion: Crs Da Cruz, Passas and Raciti</p> <p>Absent: Councillor Iskandar</p>

		5. Seek advice from the relevant arts bodies about the impact of the loss of creative business in Carrington Road on the arts sector	
C1117 Item 7 21 November 2017	466-480 New Canterbury Road, 26-38 Hercules Street, Dulwich Hill - Planning Proposal	1. Receive and note this report;	For Motion: Crs Byrne, Da Cruz, Drury, Hesse, Kiat, Lockie, McKenna OAM, Passas, Porteous, Raciti, Stamolis, Steer and York Against Motion: Nil Absent: Crs Iskandar and Macri
		2. Accepts the role of Relevant Planning Authority for the Planning Proposal at 466-480 New Canterbury Road & 26-38 Hercules Street, Dulwich Hill;	
		3. Request the Department of Planning and Environment delegate to Council the Plan Making functions to make the LEP amendment;	
		4. Submits the Proponent's Planning Proposal to the Department of Planning and Environment for a Gateway Determination; and	
		5. Council reiterates our view that the maximum building height be no greater than 5-6 storeys alongside Dulwich Grove light rail station, and that any planning proposal on the subject land should seek to protect Dulwich Hill public school from overshadowing and privacy impacts.	
C1117 Item 6 21 November 2017	469-483 Balmain Road, Lilyfield - Planning Proposal	1. Adopt a position of opposition to the rezoning proposed, based on the objections submitted previously by Council officers;	For Motion: Crs Byrne, Drury, Iskandar, Macri, McKenna OAM, Raciti and York Against Motion: Crs Da Cruz, Hesse, Kiat, Lockie, Porteous and Steer
		2. Note that the NSW Government Greater Sydney Commission and the Planning Panel have failed to undertake community consultation prior to progressing the proposal to this stage; and	
		3. Write to the above mentioned agencies seeking that any further consideration of the proposal be deferred until proper community notification and consultation has taken place	

<p>C0218 Item 2 13 February 2018</p>	<p>114-140 Parramatta Road/Ormond Street/Gower Street/Tideswell Street/Liverpool Road, Ashfield - Planning Proposal</p>	<p>1. Council not support the Planning Proposal for the reasons given in the report, including that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) It fails the Strategic Merit test of the Planning Proposal Guidelines pursuant to Section 55(3) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act;</li> <li>b) It is inconsistent with the local council strategy being the Ashfield Urban Planning Strategy 2010;</li> <li>c) It is inconsistent with the Parramatta Road Corridor Urban Transformation Strategy and the recommended Land Use Zoning and Maximum Height of Buildings and Maximum Floor Space Ratio standards, and outside the staging period for development for 2016-2023;</li> <li>d) A significant part of the affected land is outside the Frame Area of the Implementation Plan of the Parramatta Road Corridor Urban Transformation Strategy for redevelopment of land, and is not part of any local strategy;</li> <li>e) It is inconsistent with Section 117 Direction – Clause 7.3 (4), in that it does not comply with the recommendations of the Parramatta Road Corridor Urban Transformation Strategy, and has not produced a “better outcome” pursuant to Section 117 Direction 7.3 (5);</li> <li>f) It will lead to a substantial loss of employment and urban services generating land;</li> <li>g) It will lead to a substantial adverse impact on the character of the local area due to its significantly excessive height and density, and will have an adverse social impact as demonstrated by the significant amount of objections to the proposal; and</li> <li>h) Support of the application would cause an adverse precedent and be contrary to the orderly development of land and the objectives of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act.</li> </ul> <p>2. Should the proponent request a Rezoning Review by the NSW Department of Planning and Environment, delegation is given to the Group Manager of Strategic Planning to lodge a submission to the review process in accordance with this report and Council’s resolution;</p>	<p>For Motion: Crs Byrne, Da Cruz, Drury, Hesse, Iskandar, Kiat, Lockie, Macri, McKenna OAM, Passas, Porteous, Raciti, Stamolis, Steer and York Against Motion: Nil</p>
--	---	--	---

		3. Notify the communities of Ashfield, Haberfield and Summer Hill of Council's position; and	
		4. Convene a meeting at Ashfield Park/ Ashfield Bowling Club to inform the community of Council's position.	
C0418 Item 6 10 April 2018	Petersham RSL - Planning Proposal and Draft Development Control Plan for 3-7 & 13-17 Regent Street, 287-309 Trafalgar Street and 16-20 Fisher Street, Petersham - Post Exhibition Report	<p>THAT:</p> <p>1. Council amend the Marrickville Local Environmental Plan 2011 at 3-7, 13-17 Regent Street, 287-309 Trafalgar Street and 16-20 Fisher Street, Petersham in accordance with the report;</p> <p>2. Council liaise with the Department of Planning and Environment and Parliamentary Counsel's Office to draft and finalise the LEP amendment;</p> <p>3. Council delegate the making of the LEP amendment to the Group Manager Strategic Planning;</p> <p>4. Following completion of (3) above, request the Department of Planning and Environment to notify the plan;</p> <p>5. Council place any proposed VPA on public exhibition prior to the finalisation of making the LEP amendment;</p> <p>6. Council delegate the adoption of the Marrickville Development Control Plan 2011 (Amendment No. 8) to amend Part 9.6 (Precinct 6) MDCP 2011 in accordance with the exhibited draft and the revised figures and recommendations contained within this report to the Group Manager Strategic Planning;</p> <p>7. Council request the Proponent to work with Council's Traffic Engineer to provide detailed information to enable resolution of the exact location of the median strip and to undertake consultation with Sydney Trains in regard to access to their training facility to determine what land may need to be dedicated at 287-309 Trafalgar Street to ensure a left-in/left-out to the new Club without compromising pedestrian safety and road efficiency as part of a future development application for Site 3;</p> <p>8. Council place a notice in a local newspaper advising of commencement of MDCP 2011 (Amendment No. 8);</p> <p>9. Council notify those persons who made a submission in relation to the draft MLEP and Draft MDCP 2011 to inform them of Council's resolution; and</p> <p>10. The amended diagram tabled by the proponent, replace the relevant diagram indicating the retail frontages in the plans to be adopted.</p>	<p>Motion Carried</p> <p>For Motion: Crs Byrne, Drury, Lockie, Macri, McKenna OAM, Passas, Raciti, Stamolis and York</p> <p>Against Motion: Crs Da Cruz, Hesse, Kiat, Porteous and Steer</p>

		11. Council in amending the Marrickville LEP, incorporate the recommendation of the AEP in relation to restricting the location of the proposed outdoor gaming area away from the street fronts of Trafalgar and Regent Streets.	
C0418 Item 7 10 April 2018	Planning Proposal - 21 - 35 John Street, Leichhardt	<p>1. Council not support the Planning Proposal for the reasons outlined in the report, including that:</p> <p>a) It fails the Strategic Merit Test of the Guidelines for preparing Planning Proposals pursuant to Section 3.33 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979;</p> <p>b) It is inconsistent with the Greater Sydney Region Plan 2018 and the Eastern City District Plan 2018 in relation to retention of all industrial lands;</p> <p>c) It is inconsistent with s.117 Direction 1.1 - Business and Industrial Zones and 7.1 - Implementation of A Plan for Growing Sydney;</p> <p>d) It is inconsistent with the Leichhardt Employment and Economic Development Plan 2013 - 2023 and would result in loss of employment and urban services land;</p> <p>e) It is a departure from a consistently held strategic planning position in former Leichhardt to resist rezoning industrial lands for residential purposes;</p> <p>f) The proposed built form controls sought through the Planning Proposal are inappropriate due to adverse amenity impacts on the adjoining low density residential area;</p> <p>g) Support of this Planning Proposal is likely to result in an adverse precedent and the associated loss of adjoining industrial sites in the Moore Street South precinct;</p> <p>h) In the context of persistent demand for a limited and decreasing supply of industrial land, a rezoning would dilute Council's ability to provide sufficient industrial land to accommodate future needs; and</p> <p>i) It is inconsistent with Inner West Council's Affordable Housing Policy (2016) for 15% affordable housing.</p>	<p>Motion Carried</p> <p>For Motion: Crs Byrne, Da Cruz, Hesse, Kiat, Lockie, McKenna OAM, Porteous, Raciti, Stamolis, Steer and York</p> <p>Against Motion: Nil</p> <p>Absent: Crs Drury, Macri and Passas</p>

		<p>2. Should the proponent request a Rezoning Review by the NSW Department of Planning and Environment, delegation is given to the Group Manager of Strategic Planning to lodge a submission to the review process in accordance with this report and Council's resolution; and</p> <p>3. In order to maximise Council's influence over this proposal site, should a Rezoning Review prove successful, Council accepts the role of Planning Proposal Authority (formerly Relevant Planning Authority) should the Department of Planning and Environment invite Council to perform that role.</p>	
C0418 Item 7 24 April 2018	Planning Proposal and Draft Development Control Plan - 17 Marion Street, Leichhardt: Community Consultation Outcomes	<p>1. Amend the Leichhardt Local Environmental Plan 2013 (LLEP) for 17 Marion Street, Leichhardt as detailed in this report;</p> <p>2. Liaise with the NSW Parliamentary Counsel's Office and the NSW Department of Planning and Environment to draft and finalise the LLEP amendment;</p> <p>3. Delegate the making of the LLEP to the General Manager;</p> <p>4. Following the completion of (3) above, request the Department of Planning and Environment to notify the Plan; and</p> <p>5. Adopt the draft Development Control Plan for 17 Marion Street, Leichhardt.</p>	<p>Motion Carried</p> <p>For Motion: Crs Byrne, Da Cruz, Hesse, Iskandar, Kiat, Lockie, Macri, McKenna OAM, Passas, Porteous, Raciti, Stamolis, Steer and York</p> <p>Against Motion: Nil</p> <p>Absent: Cr Drury</p>
C0418 Item 12 24 April 2018	Victoria Road Precinct, Marrickville - Development Control Plan Amendment	<p>THAT:</p> <p>1. The shadowing diagrams for Wicks Park within this report be included in the draft Victoria Road Precinct (Precinct 47) amendment to the Marrickville Development Control Plan 2011 at Attachment 1;</p> <p>2. Council resolves to publicly exhibit the draft Victoria Road Precinct (Precinct 47) amendment to the Marrickville Development Control Plan 2011 (April 2018 version) at Attachment 1; and</p> <p>3. The post exhibition report be reported back to Council no later than the first ordinary meeting in June.</p>	<p>Motion Carried</p> <p>For Motion: Crs Byrne, Da Cruz, Drury, Hesse, Iskandar, Kiat, Lockie, Macri, McKenna OAM, Porteous, Stamolis and Steer</p> <p>Against Motion: Crs Passas and Raciti</p> <p>Absent: Cr York</p>
C0418 Item 6 24 April 2018	Post Exhibition Report - Marrickville Development	<p>THAT Council:</p> <p>1. Receive and note the report;</p>	<p>Motion Carried</p> <p>For Motion: Crs Byrne, Da Cruz,</p>

	Control Plan 2011 - Amendment to Part 2.22 Flood Management	<p>2. Adopt Marrickville Development Control Plan 2011 (Amendment No. 7) to update the Flood Planning Area Map and Flood Liable Land Map in Part 2.22 – Flood Management to incorporate the adopted flood studies for Johnstons Creek, Alexandra Canal and Hawthorn Canal;</p> <p>3. Place a notice in a local newspaper advising of the commencement of Marrickville Development Control Plan 2011 (Amendment No. 7);</p> <p>4. Notify those persons who made a submission in relation to Draft Marrickville Development Control Plan 2011 (Amendment No. 7) to inform them of Council's decision; and</p> <p>5. Conduct an internal peer review of the flood study for a significant sample of properties identified on Hawthorn Canal.</p>	Drury, Hesse, Iskandar, Kiat, Lockie, Macri, McKenna OAM, Passas, Porteous, Stamolis, Steer and York Against Motion: Nil
C0518 Item 1 8 May 2018	Small Bars Proposed Amendment to Leichhardt Local Environmental Plan (LEP) 2013	<p>THAT Council:</p> <p>1. Resolves to not withdraw the Small Bars LEP Amendment;</p> <p>2. Writes to the Minister for Planning and the Department of Planning and Environment seeking reconsideration of the issue and requesting reversion to the approach initially supported by Parliamentary Counsel as outlined in the report; and</p> <p>3. Prepare an amended proposal utilising a complying development approval pathway if the Minister refuses to reconsider the Exempt Development Planning Proposal.</p>	<p>Motion Carried</p> <p>For Motion: Crs Byrne, Da Cruz, Drury, Hesse, Iskandar, Kiat, Lockie, Macri, McKenna OAM, Passas, Porteous, Stamolis and Steer</p> <p>Against Motion: Nil</p> <p>Absent: Cr Raciti</p>
C0518 Item 11 22 May 2018	Voluntary Planning Agreement - 168 Norton Street, Leichhardt	THAT Council enter into the Voluntary Planning Agreement for 168 Norton Street, Leichhardt provided in ATTACHMENT 1.	<p>Motion Carried</p> <p>For Motion: Crs Byrne, Da Cruz, Drury, Hesse, Kiat, McKenna OAM, Porteous, Raciti, Stamolis, Steer and York</p> <p>Against Motion: Nil</p> <p>Absent: Crs Marci, Passas and Raciti</p>



C0518 Item 12 22 May 2018	Voluntary Planning Agreement - 15-17 Marion Street, Leichhardt	THAT Council enter into the Voluntary Planning Agreement for 15-17 Marion Street, Leichhardt provided in ATTACHMENT 1.	Motion Carried For Motion: Crs Byrne, Da Cruz, Drury, Hesse, Kiat, Lockie, Macri, McKenna OAM, Passas, Porteous, Raciti, Stamolis, Steer and York Against Motion: Nil
C0518 Item 13 22 May 2018	Voluntary Planning Agreement - Marrickville Metro - 34 Victoria Road and 13-55 Edinburgh Road, Marrickville	THAT Council enter into the Voluntary Planning Agreement for Marrickville Metro – 34 Victoria Road and 13-55 Edinburgh Road, Marrickville provided in ATTACHMENT 1.	Motion Carried For Motion: Crs Byrne, Da Cruz, Drury, Kiat, Lockie, Macri, McKenna OAM, Passas, Porteous, Raciti, Stamolis and York Against Motion: Cr Hesse
C0718 Item 4 24 July 2018	Amendment No. 14 to Leichhardt Local Environmental Plan 2013 - 101 -103 Lilyfield Road, Lilyfield	THAT:	Motion Carried For Motion: Crs Byrne, Da Cruz, Drury, Iskandar, Kiat, Lockie, Macri, McKenna OAM, Porteous, Stamolis, Steer and York Against Motion: Nil Absent: Crs Passas and Raciti
		1. Council resolve to make the amendment to Leichhardt Local Environmental Plan 2013 for 101 - 103 Lilyfield Road, Lilyfield.	
		2. Council delegate the plan making function for the above amendment to the Group Manager Strategic Planning.	
C0718 Item 5 24 July 2018	Post Exhibition Report - Review of Planning Proposal and Development Control Plan Amendment Fees	3. The Plan, once made, be forwarded to the NSW Department of Planning and Environment for publication.	Motion Carried For Motion: Crs Byrne, Da Cruz, Drury, Iskandar, Kiat, Lockie, Macri, McKenna OAM, Porteous, Stamolis, Steer and York Against Motion: Nil Absent: Crs Passas and Raciti
		THAT Council:	
		1. Adopt the exhibited fees for planning proposals and development control plans amendments in accordance with the provisions of Local Government Act 1993;	
		2. Amend the Fees and Charges FY2018/19 to reflect the new fee structure.	

C0718 Item 6 24 July 2018	Planning Proposal at 2-6 Cavill Avenue Ashfield	THAT Council defer consideration of the developer's Planning Proposal for 2-6 Cavill Avenue Ashfield pending investigation of appropriate legal mechanisms by which Council can secure affordable housing as part of the anticipated affordable housing contribution.	Motion Carried For Motion: Crs Byrne, Da Cruz, Drury, Iskandar, Kiat, Lockie, McKenna OAM, Porteous, Stamolis, Steer and York Against Motion: Crs Macri and Raciti Absent: Cr Passas
C0718 Item 7 24 July 2018	Victoria Road Precinct, Marrickville - Development Control Plan Amendment	THAT the matter be deferred pending a meeting with interested councillors, Department of Planning, the Proponent and Council Officers. With the matter to be reported back to council within 1 month.	Motion Carried For Motion: Crs Byrne, Da Cruz, Drury, Iskandar, Kiat, Lockie, Macri, McKenna OAM, Passas, Porteous, Raciti, Stamolis, Steer and York Against Motion: Nil
C0718 Item 10 24 July 2018	Voluntary Planning Agreement - 101-103 Lilyfield Road, Lilyfield	THAT: 1. Council enter into the Voluntary Planning Agreement for 101-103 Lilyfield Road, Lilyfield provided in ATTACHMENT 1; and	Motion Carried For Motion: Crs Byrne, Da Cruz, Drury, Iskandar, Kiat, Lockie, Macri, McKenna OAM, Porteous, Stamolis, Steer and York Against Motion: Nil Absent: Crs Passas and Raciti
		2. A draft Voluntary Planning Agreement Policy be reported back to Council no later by October 2018.	
C0718 Item 11 24 July 2018	Voluntary Planning Agreement - Petersham RSL	THAT Council enter into the Voluntary Planning Agreement for Petersham RSL sites 1, 2 and 3 provided in ATTACHMENT 1	Motion Carried For Motion: Crs Byrne, Drury, Iskandar, Lockie, Macri, McKenna OAM, Stamolis and York Against Motion: Crs Da Cruz, Kiat, Porteous and Steer Absent: Crs Passas and Raciti

C0818(3) Item 14 28 August 2018	Victoria Road Precinct, Marrickville, Development Control Plan Amendment	THAT Council adopts the Victoria Road Precinct Development Control Plan as exhibited.	Motion Carried For Motion: Crs Byrne, Drury, Iskandar, Macri, McKenna OAM, Passas, Raciti and York Against Motion: Crs Da Cruz, Hesse, Kiat, Lockie, Porteous, Stamolis and Steer
C0918(1) Item 12 11 September 2018	Post Exhibition Report - Draft Marrickville Local Environmental Plan 2011 Amendment - Sydenham Station Creative Hub	THAT: 2. Council forward the draft amendment to MLEP 2011 to the Department of Planning & Environment seeking final approval and gazettal;	Motion Carried For Motion: Crs Da Cruz, Hesse, Kiat, Lockie, Macri, Passas, Porteous, Raciti, Stamolis and Steer Against Motion: Crs Byrne, Drury, Iskandar, McKenna OAM and York
		3. The Inner West Police Local Area Command, Sydney Water, Create NSW and those persons who made a submission in relation to the revised Planning Proposal be advised of Council's decision;	
		4. Council resolve to publicly exhibit draft Marrickville Development Control Plan 2011 (Amendment No. 9) to amend Part 9.43 – Sydney Steel (Precinct 43) of MDCP 2011 to incorporate provisions relating to Sydenham Station Creative Hub, as detailed in ATTACHMENT 3 of this report; and	
		5. The additional permitted uses on such land to 'cafes, restaurants and small bars' proposal be considered as part of the LEP review.	
C0918(1) Item 13 11 September 2018	Planning Proposal, Cyprus Club, 58-76 Stanmore Road, 2-20 Tupper Street and 3-9 Alma Avenue, Stanmore	THAT: 1. Council note the comments of the Local Planning Panel of 10 July 2018 on the Planning Proposal;	Motion Carried For Motion: Crs Byrne, Da Cruz, Drury, Iskandar, Kiat, Lockie, Macri, McKenna OAM, Passas, Porteous, Stamolis, Steer and York Against Motion: Cr Hesse Absent: Cr Raciti
		2. The attached Planning Proposal be forwarded to the Minister for Planning for a Gateway Determination in accordance with the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 with a recommendation that an additional traffic and street capacity study be provided prior to public exhibition;	

		<p>3. The Department of Planning and Environment be requested to delegate the plan making functions for the Planning Proposal to Council;</p> <p>4. Following receipt of a Gateway Determination and compliance with its conditions by the proponent, the Planning Proposal and supporting documentation be placed on public exhibition for a minimum of 28 days and public authorities be consulted in accordance with the Determination;</p> <p>5. A report be presented to Council on completion of the public exhibition, which will address submissions received;</p> <p>6. Council negotiate a Voluntary Planning Agreement (VPA) with the proponent with the intention of exhibiting the VPA at the same time as the Planning Proposal;</p> <p>7. The plans be amended before going in exhibition to comply with the height requirements ie no taller than 55 meters including aerials, cranes etc set out in the correspondence from Sydney Airport Corporation.</p> <p>8. Council note there now may be the opportunity for Council to obtain an affordable housing contribution pursuant to s 7.32 of the EPAA Act as an alternative to accepting a VPA offer from the owner;</p> <p>9. Council when forwarding the proposal to the Minister, request guidance from the Department on how Council may propose for this site an additional LEP amendment, supported by a scheme for dedications or contributions, pursuant to s 7.32 (old s 94F) of the EPAA Act, for the purpose of imposing a condition requiring the contribution of affordable housing under any future DA pursuant to s 7.32(2); and</p> <p>10. Council receive a report in relation to this guidance as soon as practicable.</p>	
--	--	---	--

C1018(1) Item 5 9 October 2018	Sydney Eastern City Planning Panel Report: DA201700185: 728 Princes Highway, Tempe	<p>THAT:</p> <p>1. Council make a submission endorsing the officers recommendations, in particular addressing the key objections raised by Council Officers, including:</p> <p>a. On Street Car Parking</p> <p>i. Up to 16 spaces along the northern side of Smith Street may be lost as a result of the proposal;</p> <p>ii. Further car spaces may be lost on the southern side of Smith Street near the Princes Highway as a result of the proposed signal configuration; and</p> <p>iii. Insufficient information submitted with the application to adequately identify the quantity of, and address the impact of the resultant loss of car parking on Smith Street.</p> <p>b. Traffic</p> <p>i. Queuing in Smith Street and the failure to identify measures to ameliorate the impact from the development;</p> <p>ii. The potential increase in traffic using Union Street; and</p> <p>iii. Insufficient information submitted with the application to adequately assess the impact of the development on the local street network in relation to increased traffic.</p>	<p>Motion Carried</p> <p>For Motion: Crs Byrne, Da Cruz, Drury, Hesse, Kiat, Lockie, Passas, Porteous, Stamolis and Steer</p> <p>Against Motion: Nil</p> <p>Absent: Crs Iskandar and Macri</p>
C1018(2) Item 11 30 October 2018	Planning Proposal for 2-6 Cavill Avenue, Ashfield - Supplementary Report on Affordable Housing Delivery Mechanisms	<p>THAT Council:</p> <p>1. Note this report and proceeds to amend Ashfield Local Environmental Plan (LEP) 2013 now as indicated in the report to Council 24 July 2018, and implement the requirements of Clause 4.3A of the LEP by having future development consent conditions that ensure affordable housing is provided for management by a community housing provider while remaining in the ownership of the developer or successor; and</p> <p>2. Resolves that for sites within "Area 1" in the Ashfield Town Centre identified on the Maximum Height of Building Map, where development is approved pursuant to Clause 4.3 A (3) of the Ashfield Local Environmental Plan 2013, Council confirms that that development consent conditions should be applied to ensure affordable housing is achieved and appropriately managed by a registered community housing provider in perpetuity.</p>	<p>Motion Carried</p> <p>For Motion: Crs Byrne, Da Cruz, Drury, Hesse, Kiat, Lockie, Macri, McKenna OAM, Passas, Porteous, Raciti, Stamolis and Steer</p> <p>Against Motion: Nil</p> <p>Absent: Cr Iskandar</p>

<p>C1018(2) Item 10 30 October 2018</p>	<p>Planning Proposal Assessment Report - 1-5 Chester Street, Annandale</p>	<p>THAT:</p> <p>1. Council does not support the Planning Proposal for the reasons outlined in the report including that:</p> <p>a) It fails the Strategic Merit Test of "A guide to preparing planning proposals" as it is inconsistent with key objectives and priorities of the Greater Sydney Region Plan 2018; Eastern City District Plan 2018; and Parramatta Road Corridor Urban Transformation Strategy (PRCUTS) 2016. Specifically, the Planning Proposal is inconsistent with the following elements of PRCUTS: i. Policy context and the Strategy's vision for the Corridor and especially for the Camperdown precinct which is for residential development including affordable, student and key workers accommodation to support biotechnology and employment uses;</p> <p>ii. Implementation Tool Kit including the Implementation Plan 2016-2023, Planning and Design Guidelines, Infrastructure Schedule and Urban Amenity Improvement Plan;</p> <p>iii. Reference Reports including the Precinct Transport Report, Fine Grain Study and Sustainability Implementation Plan;</p> <p>iv. Exceeds the Planning and Design Guidelines recommended density by 73.3% without satisfactorily demonstrating that the proposal would achieve better built form outcomes or design excellence; and</p> <p>v. Does not meet the requirements of the Parramatta Road Implementation Plan 2016 - 2023 'Out of Sequence Checklist' criteria. b) It is inconsistent with the Ministerial Directions issued under Section 9.1 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 including Directions No. 1.1 - Business and Industrial Zones, 7.1 - Implementation of A Plan for Growing Sydney and 7.3 - Parramatta Road Corridor Urban Transformation Strategy;</p> <p>c) It is inconsistent with the Inner West Council Community Strategic Plan 2018;</p>	<p>Motion Carried</p> <p>For Motion: Crs Byrne, Da Cruz, Drury, Hesse, Kiat, Lockie, Macri, McKenna OAM, Passas, Porteous, Raciti, Stamolis and Steer</p> <p>Against Motion: Nil</p> <p>Absent: Cr Iskandar</p>
---	--	---	---

		<p>d) It is inconsistent with Leichhardt Employment and Economic Development Plan 2013 - 2023, Leichhardt Employment Lands Study 2014 and Leichhardt Industrial Precinct Planning Report 2016 and would result in loss of employment and urban services land;</p> <p>e) It is premature in the light of the prospective outcomes of strategic planning studies and projects underway at State and Local Government levels;</p> <p>f) It does not demonstrate that it will make an adequate contribution towards the provision of affordable housing which is inconsistent with the objectives of the Greater Sydney Region Plan 2018, Eastern City District Plan 2018 and Council's Affordable Housing Policy; and</p> <p>g) Support of this Planning Proposal would result in a premature and adverse development precedent in the Camperdown Precinct and for other sites in the Parramatta Road Corridor Strategy area.</p>	
		<p>2. Should the proponent request a Rezoning Review by the NSW Department of Planning and Environment, delegation is given to the Group Manager of Strategic Planning to lodge a submission to the review process in accordance with this report and Council's related resolution.</p>	
		<p>4. Council prioritise preparation of a Masterplan for the Camperdown Precinct in collaboration with health and education stakeholders to support the development of innovative and incubator biotechnology activities in the area.</p>	
		<p>5. Council resolves as the planning proposal authority for the Inner West LGA to not accept any new private planning proposals in the urban renewal areas of Parramatta Road Corridor and Sydenham to Bankstown Corridor until the completion of the Inner West LEP, DCP and Infrastructure Contributions Plan.</p>	

		6. Council write to the Minister for Planning to request a similar arrangement to that provided for the City of Ryde Council whereby the rezoning review process is suspended for planning proposals in the Parramatta Road and Sydenham to Bankstown Corridors from 30 October 2018 to 1 November 2020.	
C1018(2) Item 12 30 October 2018	Planning Proposal - Precinct 75 - Mary, Edith, Roberts Streets, St Peters	<p>THAT:</p> <p>1. Council does not support the Planning Proposal in its current form for the reasons given in the planning report, including inadequate retention of existing levels of employment floorspace, stage agency submission on the adequacy of the capacity of the local street system, adverse impacts on residences, overdevelopment, and community concerns.</p> <p>2. Council would support an amended proposal for: (i) Retention of the existing IN2 - Light Industrial Use zone for the part of the site affected by the ANEF contours of 25-30, as indicated in the map in this report to prevent any residential use adversely impacted by aircraft noise. The remaining part of the site being re- zoned to permit residential and also employment generating uses;</p> <p>(ii) Reduced Maximum Building Height to ensure future buildings will have an appropriate scale and amenity impact on the existing house at Unwins Bridge Road, and houses between Edith Street and Silver Street and on Mary Street;</p> <p>(iii) Reduced Maximum Floor Space Ratio to correspond with reduced building heights in (ii); and</p> <p>(iv) Include 3.6 meter landscaped buffer and an enclosed and sound proofed structure for the ramp and exit to the car Park on Mary Street.</p>	<p>Motion Carried</p> <p>For Motion: Crs Byrne, Da Cruz, Drury, Hesse, Kiat, Lockie, Macri, McKenna</p> <p>OAM, Porteous, Stamolis and Steer</p> <p>Against Motion: Crs Passas and Raciti</p>



		<p>3. Council request the Department of Planning and Environment to confirm that it agrees with the recommended amendments to the Planning Proposal in (2) above, and requests that Council:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Make amendments to the Planning Proposal;</li> <li>- Forward the amended Planning Proposal to the Department for an amended Gateway Determination;</li> <li>- Produce a site specific Development Control Plan reflecting the amended Planning Proposal, and addressing the matters identified in the planning report to Council.</li> </ul>	
		<p>4. Should the Department of Planning and Environment not accept Recommendation 2, and support the B4 zoning for the site, Council requests the Planning Proposal be amended for:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(i) B4 Mixed Use land use zone, together with a site specific clause in the Marrickville LEP 2013 to maintain at least as much employment floorspace as currently exists on the site, retains historic buildings and prohibits any residential use within the parts of the site affected by the ANEF 25-30 contours;</li> <li>(ii) Reduced Maximum Building Height as identified in the Part 4.2 of the report to ensure future buildings will have an appropriate scale and amenity impact on the existing house at Unwins Bridge Road, and nearby houses between Edith Street and Silver Street, and</li> <li>(iii) Reduced Maximum Floor Space Ratio to correspond with reduced building heights as identified in Part 4.2 of the report.</li> </ul>	
		<p>5. The Department of Planning and Environment should be requested to confirm that Council should negotiate a Voluntary Planning Agreement with the site owner, prior to publication of the Planning Proposal on the NSW legislation website for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(i) Provision of affordable housing in accordance with Council's Affordable Housing Policy.</li> <li>(ii) Necessary road reservation improvements in Edith Street to cater for two way traffic access to the site, and also to make public domain improvements identified in the report.</li> <li>(iii) Necessary footpath provision in Mary Street to ensure there is continuous safe pedestrian travel along the street.</li> <li>(iv) Provide for creative industries.</li> </ul>	

		6. If the Department of Planning and Environment accepts Council's recommendations above in (3) and (4) the preparation of an amended Planning Proposal and a site specific Development Control Plan is delegated to the Group Manager Strategic Planning;	
		7. Council defer the assessment of the current Development Application on the site pending the outcomes of (6) above;	
		8. Council defer the assessment of any Development Application for the site pending the adoption of a site specific Development Control Plan in accordance with the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979, that supports the content of the recommended future amendment to the Marrickville LEP 2011 and addresses the issues identified in this report;	
		9. If the Department of Planning and Environment accepts Council's recommendations above in (3) and (4), Council will review the air quality analysis and continue to liaise with the RMS and the EPA regarding the air quality impacts from the WestConnex stacks, especially in the light of evidence presented at the WestConnex parliamentary inquiry and recent media reports that contradict the RMS's assessment; and	
		10. Council notes in relation to possible VPA negotiations for affordable housing, that Council may also be able to obtain an affordable housing contribution through a mandatory affordable housing contribution scheme, and that any future work on affordable housing contribution schemes should consider this precinct.	
C1218(1) Item 15 11 December 2018	Balmain Leagues Club Precinct Development Control Plan Amendment	<p>THAT:</p> <p>1. Council endorse the preparation of amended development control plan provisions for the Balmain Leagues Club Precinct under Leichhardt Development Control Plan 2000 that reflect the recommendations of the urban design and heritage analysis undertaken by Conybeare Morrison (CM+) and the peer review undertaken by SGS Economics &amp; Planning;</p>	<p>Motion Carried</p> <p>For Motion: Crs Byrne, Drury, Iskandar, Lockie, Macri, McKenna OAM, Passas, Raciti, Stamolis and York</p> <p>Against Motion: Crs Da Cruz, Hesse, Kiat, Porteous and Steer</p>

		<p>2. Once prepared, the amended development control plan be exhibited for a minimum of 28 days in accordance with the Environmental Planning and Assessment Regulation 2000 in early 2019;</p> <p>3. Following exhibition, a report on the revised development control plan and any submissions be presented to the Council for consideration;</p> <p>4. Council note the information provided by the Administrator of the Balmain Leagues Club about the proposed Deed of Company Arrangement for the merger of the Club and seek final confirmation of the outcome of this process prior to the DCP amendment being reported back to Council for adoption;</p> <p>5. Council reaffirm its opposition to the compulsory acquisition of the site by the NSW Government for construction of the proposed Western Harbour Tunnel; and</p> <p>6. Council reiterate its support for the planning proposal developed by Leichhardt Council in 2015 which reduced the FSR to 1:9:1 and reduced the height to 6-8 storeys as the appropriate intensity of development on this site.</p>	
--	--	---	--

C1218(1) Item 17 11 December 2018	Amendment to Inner West DCP 2016 for 2-6 Cavill Avenue Ashfield	<p>THAT Council adopt the site specific amendments for 2-6 Cavill Avenue, Ashfield to the “Inner West Comprehensive Development Control Plan 2016 for Ashbury, Ashfield, Croydon, Croydon Park, Haberfield, Hurlstone Park and Summer Hill” (DCP) as recommended in the report to Council of 24 July 2018 on the Planning Proposal and DCP for the site, and:</p> <p>a) Carry out the procedures under the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 for making the amendment to the Development Control Plan; and</p> <p>b) Place an advertisement in the local newspaper advising that Council has adopted the amendments to the Development Control Plan, which will come into force in the event and at the time Planning Proposal PP_2017_IWEST_012_00 LEP amendment for 2-6 Cavill Avenue Ashfield is published on the Legislation website.</p>	<p>Motion Carried</p> <p>For Motion: Crs Byrne, Da Cruz, Drury, Hesse, Iskandar, Kiat, Lockie, Macri, McKenna OAM, Passas, Porteous, Raciti, Stamolis, Steer and York</p> <p>Against Motion: Nil</p>
C1218(1) Item 21 11 December 2018	Proposed Change to the Boarding House Provisions under <del>State Environmental Planning</del>	<p>THAT:</p> <p>1. The report be received and noted; and</p>	<p>Motion Carried</p> <p>For Motion: Crs Byrne, Da Cruz, Drury, Hesse, Iskandar, Kiat</p>

	<p>Policy (Affordable Rental Housing) 2009 to Limit Boarding Houses in the R2 Low Density Residential zone to a Maximum of 12 Rooms</p>	<p>2. The Department of Planning and Environment be forwarded a copy of this report as Council's response to the consultation and be advised that:</p> <p>i. For the reasons detailed in the report, to ensure that the size and intensity of boarding house developments are compatible with the R2 Low Density Residential zone and to ensure that the design of all new boarding houses is compatible with the scale and character of the surrounding local area, the limit on the capacity of boarding houses on such zoned land should be based on the maximum number of residents rather than the maximum number of boarding rooms.</p> <p>ii. As detailed in the report the boarding house provisions in the State Environmental Planning Policy (Affordable Rental Housing) 2009 (ARHSEPP) do not apply to all land zoned R2 Low Density Residential zone under the Standard Instrument. To address the issues identified in the report and to ensure that a limit on the size of boarding houses applies to all land zoned R2 Low Density Residential under the Standard Instrument—Principal Local Environmental Plan regardless as to whether or not that land is within an “accessible area”, Clause 5.4 Controls relating to miscellaneous permissible uses should be amended to include an additional subclause reading as follows:</p> <p>“(11) Boarding houses on certain zoned land If development for the purposes of a boarding house is permitted under this Plan, the maximum capacity of the boarding house must not exceed 12 lodgers if the boarding house is on land zoned R2 Low Density Residential.”</p> <p>iii. The ARHSEPP provisions relating to boarding houses should be reviewed in a holistic manner rather than in the ad hoc approach proposed to ensure that a genuinely affordable housing product results.</p>	<p>Lockie, Macri, McKenna OAM, Passas, Porteous, Stamolis, Steer and York Against Motion: Nil Absent: Cr Raciti</p>
--	---	--	---

C1218(1) Item 45 11 December 2018	120C Old Canterbury Road, Summer Hill - VPA	<p>THAT the proposed Voluntary Planning Agreement for 120C Old Canterbury Road, Summer Hill be:</p> <p>1. Endorsed in principle, subject to The Yard 120C Pty Ltd (the proponent):</p> <p>a) Construct a park of approximately 300m<sup>2</sup> located within the Land and to provide rights of way for public access through the park to the Greenway corridor and the Lewisham Light Rail station from Old Canterbury Road and McGill Street;</p> <p>b) Provide 2 studio units which will be allocated to Affordable Housing units. The ownership of the units will be transferred to Inner West Council at the completion of the project;</p> <p>c) Community Office Space located within retail Ground Floor – 5 Year Rental Agreement \$1 Peppercorn rent per year – 35sqm office area; and</p> <p>d) Provide Council a payment of \$1,045,000 million to be used for public works in the community and surrounding area (Inner West Council will provide a summary of how this payment will be allocated at later date)</p>	<p>Motion Carried</p> <p>For Motion: Crs Byrne, Da Cruz, Drury, Hesse, Iskandar, Kiat, Lockie, Macri, McKenna OAM, Passas, Porteous, Raciti, Stamolis, Steer and York</p> <p>Against Motion: Nil</p>
C1218(1) Item 24 11 December 2018	Post Exhibition Report - Glebe Island Silos VPA	<p>2. Placed on public exhibition for a minimum of 28 days; and</p> <p>3. Reported back to Council after public exhibition</p> <p>THAT Council enter into the Voluntary Planning Agreement for Glebe Island Silos provided ATTACHMENT 1.</p>	<p>Motion Carried</p> <p>For Motion: Crs Byrne, Da Cruz, Drury, Hesse, Iskandar, Kiat, Lockie, Macri, McKenna OAM, Porteous, Raciti, Stamolis and Steer</p> <p>Against Motion: Nil</p> <p>Absent: Crs Passas and York</p>

<p>C0219(2) Item 7 12 February 2019</p>	<p>Planning Proposal - 67-75 Lords Road, Leichhardt</p>	<p>THAT:</p> <p>1. Council not support the Planning Proposal for 67-75 Lords Road, Leichhardt for the reasons outlined in the report including that:</p> <p>a) It fails the Strategic Merit Test of "A guide to preparing planning proposals" pursuant to Section 3.33(2)(c) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979;</p> <p>b) The proposal does not have merit and fails all of the six (6) criteria when assessed against the Parramatta Road implementation Plan 2016 - 2023 'Out of Sequence Checklist'. In particular, the proposal:</p> <p>i. Fails to satisfy Criteria 1 in that it does not adequately demonstrate that it meets the strategic, land use and development objectives outlined in the PRCUTS Implementation Plan and does not provide significant delivery, contribution or benefits for the Strategy's Corridor wide and Precinct vision. It is inconsistent with the recommended built form recommendations and does not demonstrate that the new development will achieve design excellence. The Proposal is also out of alignment with the short term growth projections identified in the strategy and does not demonstrate any significant net community, economic and environmental benefits for the Precinct;</p> <p>ii. Fails to satisfy Criteria 2 in that the Integrated Infrastructure Delivery Plan (IIDP) is inadequate because it is based on a concept plan for 235 dwellings in 23,158sqm of residential floorspace which at average large residential flat building dwelling gross floor area sizes of 76.35sqm could produce 303 dwellings at the development application stage;</p> <p>iii. Fails to satisfy Criteria 3 in that the community engagement is inadequate, has not demonstrated that there is an appropriate level of support or agreement for the proposal and has not demonstrated adequate readiness in terms of the extent of planning or business case development for key infrastructure projects;</p> <p>iv. Fails to satisfy Criteria 4 in that there is no certainty that the proposal achieves or exceeds the sustainability targets identified in this Strategy;</p>	<p>Motion Carried</p> <p>For Motion: Crs Byrne, Da Cruz, Drury, Hesse, Iskandar, Kiat, Lockie, Macri, McKenna OAM, Porteous, Raciti, Stamolis, Steer and York</p> <p>Against Motion: Nil</p> <p>Absent: Cr Passas</p>
---	---	---	---

		<p>v. Fails to satisfy Criteria 5 in that the proposal does not sufficiently demonstrate development feasibility analysis to meet this criterion given the Economic Impact Assessment and the feasibility advice is flawed and contains numerous assumptions, disclaimers and conclusions which are not supported; and</p> <p>vi. Fails to satisfy Criteria 6 in that the proposal does not sufficiently demonstrate a land use and development scenario that aligns with and responds to market conditions for the delivery of housing and employment for 2016 to 2023</p> <p>c) The Parramatta Road Corridor Transformation Strategy new dwelling targets for the Taverners Hill Precinct can readily be met and surpassed without rezoning this site;</p> <p>d) In the context of persistent demand and a low and decreasing supply of industrial land, a rezoning such as this would dilute Council's ability to provide sufficient industrial land to accommodate demand. The planning proposal would also result in:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i. inconsistency with the Leichhardt Industrial Lands Study 2014 (SGS, 2014), Leichhardt Employment and Economic Development Plan (EEDP) and the Leichhardt Industrial Precincts Planning Report (SGS, 2015);</li> <li>ii. a net loss of jobs in the local government area;</li> <li>iii. the loss of an economically viable employment precinct containing local services, light industrial and other non-industrial activities which contribute to the diversity of the economy, community activities and employment opportunities;</li> <li>iv. a lack of merit when assessed against the criteria established by the Leichhardt Employment and Economic Development Plan 2013-2023; and</li> <li>v. the lack of an appropriate Net Economic and Community Benefit Test as it does not address the wider issue of cumulative loss of employment lands in the local government area.</li> </ul> <p>e) It is inconsistent with the infrastructure sequencing of the PRCUTS and the submitted Integrated Infrastructure Delivery Plan (IIDP) and the offer to enter into a Voluntary Planning Agreement (VPA) are unsatisfactory given the lack of adequate contributions;</p>	
--	--	--	--



		<p>f) It is inconsistent with numerous Ministerial Directions pursuant to Section 9.1 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 including Directions 1.1 - Business and Industrial Zones, 7.1 - Implementation of A Plan for Growing Sydney and 7.3 - Parramatta Road Corridor Urban Transformation Strategy;</p> <p>g) It is inconsistent with the Inner West Council Community Strategic Plan: Our Inner West 2036 – Direction 2: Unique, liveable, networked neighbourhoods and Strategic Direction 3: Creative communities and a strong economy;</p> <p>h) It is inconsistent with the following elements of the Parramatta Road Corridor Urban Transformation Strategy:          ■■ Policy context and the Strategy's vision and key actions for the Corridor and Taverners Hill precinct including all seven (7) principles of the Strategy;          ■■ Implementation Tool Kit including the Implementation Plan 2016- 2023, Planning and Design Guidelines (including the Corridor wide, built form and Taverners Hill Guidelines), Infrastructure Schedule and Urban Amenity Improvement Plan; and          ■■ Reference Reports including the Precinct Transport Report, Economic Analysis, Fine Grain Study and Sustainability Implementation Plan.</p> <p>i) It is premature in the light of the prospective outcomes of strategic planning studies and projects underway at State and Local Government levels, particularly having regard to the lack of the Precinct-wide traffic study and supporting modelling which is required under the PRCUTS to be completed to consider the recommended land uses and densities, as well as future WestConnex conditions, and identify the necessary road improvements and upgrades required as part of any proposed renewal in the Precinct;</p> <p>j) It does not make an adequate contribution towards the provision of affordable housing as it is inconsistent with Council's Affordable Housing Policy 2016 which seeks a 15% contribution of gross floor area of the development as affordable housing and dedicated to Council in perpetuity;</p>	
--	--	--	--

		<p>k) It exceeds the Parramatta Road Corridor Urban Transformation Strategy recommended density by 500m<sup>2</sup> without satisfactorily demonstrating that the proposal would achieve better built form outcomes or design excellence;</p> <p>l) It fails to adequately assess the following matters given the insufficient or unsatisfactory supporting studies:</p> <p>i. Flooding in that the proposal is currently located within the southwest corner of the site where the flood depth is greatest and other unresolved design issues associated with the flood hazard on the site;</p> <p>ii. Heritage in that the Heritage Impact Statement does not adequately consider the potential heritage value of the existing buildings or whether there will be any adverse impacts on the heritage value of the nearby heritage items including the item at Lambert Park and Kegworth Public School;</p> <p>iii. Land contamination and State Environmental Planning Policy No 55 – Remediation of Land requirements in that the submitted Remedial Action Plan does not locate the known contamination on the site and relies on outdated sampling information;</p> <p>iv. Traffic impacts given an inadequate Traffic Report and supporting information is provided, particularly having regard to the likely impacts on Davies Lane of increased traffic generation;</p> <p>v. Public domain works and connections given the lack of an adequate outline of the proposed works and satisfactory arrangements being made with the relevant stakeholders for connections and linkages within and outside the site;</p> <p>vi. Economic analysis of the loss of employment land given the Economic Impact Analysis did not adequately justify this loss as it relied on the Regional and District Plans excluding the PRCUTS area from the overwhelming evidence contained in the relevant economic and industrial land literature on the loss of employment land; and</p> <p>vii. Sustainability targets and measures given the Sustainability Report was a generic and theoretical analysis of potential measures and failed to demonstrate that the proposal complies with the sustainability targets of the PRCUTS</p>	
--	--	---	--

		<p>m) It fails to adequately demonstrate consistency with a number of design quality principles of State Environmental Planning Policy No 65 – Design Quality of Residential Apartment Development and subsequently results in a number of urban design concerns with subsequent adverse impacts on both internal amenity and the amenity of adjoining properties including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i. Adverse impact in terms of context having regard to the proposal being out of character within the surrounding low density residential area and therefore inconsistent with Design Quality Principle 1;</li> <li>ii. Setback and separation, height and articulation of the built form concerns resulting in the proposal being inconsistent with the bulk form and scale requirements of Design Quality Principle 2;</li> <li>iii. The proposed FSR exceeds the PRCUTS controls and the scale of residential floor space proposed on this site is not required to meet the PRCUTS projections, thereby being inconsistent with Design Quality Principle 3;</li> <li>iv. The proposed height of the nine storey development (35m AHD or 32m) exceeds the PRCUTS recommended maximum height of 30m;</li> <li>v. The proposal does not satisfy the sustainability requirements of the PRCUTS and is inconsistent with Design Quality Principle 4;</li> <li>vi. Potential impacts on the amenity of the area and the site which is inconsistent with Design Quality Principle 6 including:- <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- visual impact from the bulk and scale of buildings,</li> <li>- overlooking of Davies Street properties,</li> <li>- inadequate location and quantity of common and public open space which lacks a sufficient interface with the public domain to be considered public space and overshadowing of open space.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	
		<p>2. Should the Proponent request a Rezoning Review by the NSW Department of Planning and Environment, delegation is given to the Group Manager Strategic Planning to lodge a submission to the review process in accordance with this report and Council's related resolution.</p>	

C0219(2) Item 8 12 February 2019	Planning Proposal - 120C Old Canterbury Road, Summer Hill	THAT: 1. Council defer the item to receive a further report clearly addressing potential improvements to traffic, parking and sunlight access in relation to this planning proposal, including the need for the proponent to provide any further studies or evidence, and advice considering whether this site would be better rezoned as part of the LEP project;	Motion Carried For Motion: Crs Byrne, Da Cruz, Hesse, Kiat, Lockie, Porteous, Stamolis, Steer and York Against Motion: Crs Drury, McKenna OAM, Passas and Raciti Absent: Crs Iskandar and Macri
		2. The community be consulted to identify the primary issues for improvement for this report; and	
		3. A site meeting for councillors to meet with local residents and planning staff be arranged and that this meeting be addressed in the report.	
C0319(1) Item 1 12 March 2019	Post Exhibition Report Draft Marrickville Local Environmental Plan 2011 (Amendment No. 17) - Heritage Listing of 73 and 73a the Boulevarde, Dulwich Hill	THAT Council 1. Support the amendment to Marrickville Local Environmental Plan (MLEP) 2011 listing 73 The Boulevarde and 73A The Boulevarde as items of local heritage significance;	Motion Carried For Motion: Crs Da Cruz, Drury, Hesse, Kiat, Lockie, Porteous, Stamolis, Steer and York Against Motion: Crs Byrne, Iskandar, Macri, Passas and Raciti
		2. Forwards the planning proposal to the Department of Planning and Environment and request that it makes arrangements for the publication of MLEP 2011 amendment on the NSW Legislation Website; and	
		3. Delegate to the Group Manager Strategic Planning the authority to finalise the making of the MLEP 2011 amendment.	
C0319(2) Item 2 26 March 2019	Post Exhibition Report – 120C Old Canterbury Road, Summer Hill VPA	THAT Council enter into the Voluntary Planning Agreement for 120C Old Canterbury Road Summer Hill provided in ATTACHMENT 1.	Motion Carried For Motion: Crs Byrne, Da Cruz, Drury, Hesse, Kiat, Lockie, Macri, McKenna OAM, Passas, Porteous, Raciti, Stamolis, Steer and York Against Motion: Nil Absent: Cr Iskandar
C0319(2) Item 3 26 March 2019	120C Old Canterbury Road, Summer Hill - Post-Exhibition Report	THAT Council: 1. Amend the Ashfield Local Environmental Plan (LEP) 2013 for 120C Old Canterbury Road, Summer Hill, as indicated in the report, in the terms of recommendation (3) below;	Motion Carried For Motion: Crs Byrne, Da Cruz, Drury, Hesse, Kiat, Lockie, Macri, McKenna

		2. Liaise with the Department of Planning and Environment (DPE) and Parliamentary Counsel's Office to draft and finalise the LEP Amendment;	OAM, Passas, Porteous, Raciti, Stamolis, Steer and York Against Motion: Nil Absent: Cr Iskandar
		3. Finalise the post-exhibited Voluntary Planning Agreement with the Proponent in accordance with the Environmental Planning & Assessment Act 1979 (EP&A Act);	
		4. Following the completion of (3) above request DPE to notify the Plan;	
		5. Adopt the site specific amendments for 120C Old Canterbury Road, Summer Hill in the "Inner West Comprehensive Development Control Plan 2016 for Ashbury, Ashfield, Croydon, Croydon Park, Haberfield, Hurlstone Park and Summer Hill" recommended in the report;	
		6. Delegate the making of the LEP amendments and the adoption of the amended site specific Development Control Plan as indicated in this report to the Group Manager Strategic Planning;	
		7. Note the concerns of the neighbouring residents and users of the childcare centre in relation to construction impacts, traffic, parking, over-shadowing and solar access, note that it is constrained in its ability to refuse the planning proposal in its current form on the basis of these concerns, and attempt to address these concerns at the DA stage; and	
		8. Write to the Minister for Transport to re-emphasise the urgent need for additional light rail services in the area, which are already at capacity during peak times.	
C0319(2) Item 4 26 March 2019	Post Exhibition Report - Draft Marrickville Local Environmental Plan 2011 (Amendment No. 4)	<p>THAT Council:</p> <p>1. Adopt draft Marrickville Local Environmental Plan 2011 (Amendment No. 4), as amended by the recommendations contained in Tables 1, 2 and 3 in Attachment 10 to this report;</p>	<p>Motion Carried</p> <p>For Motion: Crs Byrne, Da Cruz, Drury, Hesse, Kiat, Lockie, Macri, McKenna</p> <p>OAM, Passas, Porteous, Raciti,</p>

		2. Forward draft Marrickville Local Environmental Plan 2011 (Amendment No. 4), as amended by Part 1 of this recommendation, to the Department of Planning and Environment seeking final approval and gazettal;	Stamolis, Steer and York Against Motion: Nil Absent: Cr Iskandar
		3. Request Roads and Maritime Services to expedite its investigations to determine the future of the SP2 Classified Road reservations that currently apply to land in the Inner West Local Government Area and confirms the land currently identified for acquisition that is no longer required; and	
		4. Supports the removal of the proposal to zone land in and around Campbell St, St Peters as SP2 Classified Road and the proposals to zone land in James Street, Enmore and parts of 735-751 New Canterbury Road and 2 Kroombit Street, Dulwich Hill as SP2 Local Road	

C0519(2) Item 3 28 May 2019	C0519(2) Item 3 Sydney Eastern City Planning Panel Report: DA201800173: 3-7 & 13- 17 Regent Street, 287-309 Trafalgar Street & 16-20 Fisher Street, Petersham	<p>That Council make a submission to the City Eastern City Planning Panel in regards to Application 2018ECI013 DA (Petersham RSL redevelopment) stating that: Inner West Councillors believe that some of the proposed conditions with regards to Application 2018ECI013 contradict adopted Council policy positions and objectives including:</p> <p>☐ The proposal to ban live music within the Club or to limit it to prior to 10:00pm. This proposal is at odds with the adopted Council policy to promote and support live music and to protect the existing use rights of live music venues. The amenity of residents above the club can be protected by requiring the highest standard of noise insulation, allowing live music to continue. Inner West Councillors request that the Panel impose a condition requiring noise insulation that will allow the Club to continue to host live music to the extent it does currently. ☐ The proposed reduction in hours of operation. To impose an entirely unanticipated reduction in operating hours at the final step in the approval process risks making the development financial unviable in a commercial and a risk management sense. Council in support of the night time economy. As such an attempt to reduce the existing hours of use of the club is contradictory to this strongly supported policy position. Inner West Councillors instead call for the highest standards of noise insulation to be required as a condition instead, so that residential amenity can be upheld whilst allowing the Club to operate.</p>	<p>Motion Carried</p> <p>For Motion: Crs Byrne, Da Cruz, Drury, Iskandar, Kiat, Lockie, Macri, McKenna OAM, Porteous, Raciti, Stamolis and York</p> <p>Against Motion: Crs Hesse and Steer</p> <p>Absent: Cr Passas</p>
C0619(2) Item 2 25 June 2019	Balmain Leagues Club Precinct Development Control Plan Amendment	<p>THAT Council:</p> <p>1. Adopts the proposed amendments to Part D of Leichhardt Development Control Plan 2000 (DCP 2000) as outlined in Attachment 3;</p> <p>2. Publicly notifies its decision in the Inner West Courier within 28 days; and</p>	<p>Motion Carried</p> <p>For Motion: Crs Byrne, Drury, Iskandar, Kiat, Macri, McKenna OAM, Passas, Raciti and York</p> <p>Against Motion: Crs Da Cruz, Hesse, Lockie, Porteous, Stamolis</p>

		3. Advises those who made submissions and the Secretary of the NSW Department of Planning, Industry and Environment (DPI&E) of Council's decision.	and Steer
C0619(2) Item 4 25 June 2019	Post Exhibition Report - Amendment to DCPs (Housekeeping)	1. Adopt the proposed draft amendments as exhibited and other minor amendments as outlined in Attachments 1, 2 and 3 to align certain controls contained within the: a. Marrickville Development Control Plan 2011; b. Leichhardt Development Control Plan 2013; and c. Comprehensive Inner West Development Control Plan 2016 for Ashbury, Ashfield, Croydon, Croydon Park, Haberfield, Hurlstone Park and Summer Hill (Ashfield DCP).	Motion Carried For Motion: Crs Byrne, Da Cruz, Drury, Hesse, Iskandar, Kiat, Lockie, Macri, McKenna OAM, Passas, Porteous, Raciti, Stamolis, Steer and York Against Motion: Nil
		2. Gives public notice of its decision with 28 days;	
		3. Write to those who made submissions and the Secretary of the NSW Department of Planning and Environment advising them of Council's decision;	
		4. Include a savings provisions that states the following to each amended DCP: "If an application has been made before the commencement of the amendment to this DCP in relation to land to which the DCP applies, and the application has not been finally determined before that commencement, the application must be determined as if the DCP had not commenced. All applications received after the commencement date of an amendment to the DCP are subject to the DCP as amended."	
		5. DCP's be amended to include a provision that allows car sharing options within the whole LGA.	



C0619(2) Item 6 25 June 2019	Sydney Eastern City Planning Panel Report: DA201700185: 728-750 Princes Highway, Tempe (Bunnings)	That Council make a submission to the Sydney Eastern City Planning Panel (SECPP) objecting to the proposal on the following grounds: i The increase in traffic impacts on the local road network ie Union Street, Smith Street, Foreman Street and South Streets with customers seeking alternate routes on exit from the site; ii The loss of on-street parking along Princes Highway and Smith Street. Should the development be supported by the Panel, Council insists a condition be imposed on any consent granted requiring a Local Area Traffic Management study be submitted to Council's satisfaction addressing the works required to be undertaken to combat any adverse traffic impacts as a result of the proposal.	Motion Carried For Motion: Crs Byrne, Da Cruz, Drury, Hesse, Kiat, Lockie, McKenna OAM, Porteous, Raciti, Stamolis, Steer and York Against Motion: Nil Absent: Crs Macri, Passas and Iskandar
C0719(2) Item 3 23 July 2019	Sydney Eastern City Planning Panel Report: DA201700558: 1-9 Rich Street, Marrickville	THAT Council endorse the staff's supplementary report in relation to the proposal	Motion Carried For Motion: Crs Byrne, Da Cruz, Drury, Iskandar, Lockie, Macri, McKenna OAM, Passas, Porteous, Raciti, Stamolis, Steer and York Against Motion: Crs Hesse and Kiat
C0819(2) Item 2 27 August 2019	Sydney Eastern City Planning Panel Report: DA10.2018.220: 74 – 75 Carlton Crescent Summer Hill	THAT: 1. A submission be made to the Sydney East City Planning Panel in relation to DA 10.2018.220 74-75 Carlton Crescent Summer Hill 2. The submission include the reasons for refusal as outlines in the officers assessment report; and 3. The development be refused for the following reasons: The proposal does not satisfy the conditions of the: a. SEPP ARH Clause 29 (2)(e) which requires 0.5 parking spaces for each room; b. The inner West Comprehensive DCP 2016 requires a minimum of one parking space per staff member; and c. The BCC and NCC codes for disabled spaces for a Class 3 development requires at least one car space.	Motion Carried For Motion: Crs Byrne, Da Cruz, Hesse, Iskandar, Lockie, Macri, McKenna OAM, Raciti, Stamolis, Steer and York Against Motion: Nil Absent: Crs Kiat and Passas

		<p>4. Council's submission also request that the following matters be dealt with in the determination:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>☐ Cross-ventilation</li> <li>☐ Natural drying of washing</li> <li>☐ Flood/WSUD</li> <li>☐ Bicycle path access and signage between storage and cycle-route and station</li> <li>☐ Bicycle charging facilities</li> <li>☐ Food waste management plan.</li> </ul> <p>5. If the panel decides to approve the development that the following conditions be added:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. The footpath from the site to the SH hotel car park driveway, be upgraded to be fully accessible, including grass verge; and</li> <li>b. That the fire hydrant and associated infrastructure be located in a recessed area, enclosed and appropriately sign posted</li> </ul>	
C1019(1) Item 6 8 October 2019	Planning Proposal for 36 Lonsdale Street and 64-70 Brenan Street, Lilyfield	<p>1. Council does not support the proponent's Planning Proposal for the reasons recommended to the IWLPP identified in Attachment 1;</p> <p>2. Council does not support the amended Planning Proposal prepared by Council officers and recommended by the IWLPP in Attachment 2 and 3; and</p> <p>3. A new Planning Proposal be developed which fully addresses the concerns of neighbours with regards to overlooking and overshadowing of their properties.</p>	<p>Motion Carried</p> <p>For Motion: Crs Byrne, Da Cruz, Hesse, Lockie, Porteous, Stamolis and Steer</p> <p>Against Motion: Crs Drury, Kiat, Macri, McKenna OAM, Raciti and York</p> <p>Absent: Crs Iskandar and Passas</p>
C1219(1) Item 5 10 December 2019	Planning Proposal - 245 Marion Street, Leichhardt	<p>THAT Council:</p> <p>1. Not support the Planning Proposal for 245 Marion Street, Leichhardt for the reasons recommended in the Council officers' assessment report (Attachment1); and</p> <p>2. Should the Proponent request a Rezoning Review by the NSW Department of Planning, Industry and Environment (DPIE), delegation is given to the Senior Manager Planning to lodge a submission in accordance with this report.</p>	<p>Motion Carried For Motion: Crs Byrne, Da Cruz, Drury, Hesse, Kiat, Lockie, Macri, McKenna OAM, Passas, Porteous, Raciti, Stamolis, Steer and York</p> <p>Against Motion: Nil</p> <p>Absent: Cr Iskandar</p>

C0320(1) Item 6 3 March 2020	Sydney Eastern City Planning Panel Report: DA201900096 – 182-198 Victoria Road and 28-30 Faversham Street, Marrickville	THAT Council support the staff submission and delete the requirement for 'no right turn' in the AM peak into the development from Victoria Road and review in 12 months.	Motion Carried For Motion: Crs Byrne, Drury, Iskandar, Macri, McKenna OAM, Passas, Raciti, Stamolis and York Against Motion: Crs Da Cruz, Hesse, Lockie, Porteous and Steer Absent: Cr Kiat
C0420(2) Item 11 28 April 2020	Precinct 75, St Peters – Voluntary Planning Agreement	THAT the proposed Voluntary Planning Agreement for Precinct 75, St Peters (Site 73- 83 Mary, 50-52 Edith & 43 Roberts Streets, St Peters) be: 1. Endorsed in principle, subject to JVM Holdings Pty Ltd (the proponent): a) Dedication of fully fitted out Artist Studios of more than 239m2 in size; b) At least half of the monetary contribution of \$2,000,000 to be used for affordable housing or public domain upgrades be used for affordable housing by way of contribution to the affordable housing fund; c) Central open public space of more than 600m2 in size; and d) Pocket Park open space on Roberts Street.	Motion Carried For Motion: Crs Byrne, Da Cruz, Drury, Hesse, Iskandar, Kiat, Lockie, Macri, McKenna OAM, Raciti, Stamolis, Steer and York Against Motion: Cr Porteous Absent: Cr Passas
		2. Placed on public exhibition for a minimum of 28 days; and	
		3. Reported back to Council after public exhibition.	

C0520(2) Item 2 26 May 2020	Planning Proposal - 1-5 Chester Street, Annandale	<p>THAT Council: 1. Support the Planning Proposal for 1-5 Chester Street Annandale and the associated site-specific Leichhardt Development Control Plan (DCP) 2013 amendment for the reasons recommended in the Council officers' assessment report with the following amendments:</p> <p>a) The draft DCP be amended to require a 4 Star Building Rating for the Residential Component (including natural drying facilities;</p> <p>b) The planning proposal and DCP address the noise and privacy impacts on adjacent residential properties by amending Planning Proposal provisions:</p> <p>I. In Part 2 page 16 to "Development consent must not be granted under clause (3) unless the consent authority is satisfied that the development will not result in significant adverse amenity impacts, such as noise and loss of privacy, on the surrounding neighbourhood."; and</p> <p>II. And including DCP Provisions: Section G11.10 Visual and Acoustic Privacy Objective O2 and G11.8 Student Accommodation Objective 2 and following provisions "Boarding house is to be designed to minimise and mitigate any impacts on the visual and acoustic privacy of neighbouring buildings by locating: the main entry point at the front of the site, away from side boundary areas near adjoining properties; screen fencing, plantings, and acoustic barriers in appropriate locations; and double glazed windows where noise transmission affects neighbouring buildings."</p> <p>c) The exhibition of the planning proposal to clearly set out what is permitted in the current zoning and what will be permitted in the proposed zoning; and</p> <p>d) The exhibition of the planning proposal be notified in hardcopy to surrounding properties in Chester Street (East and West), Taylor Street (south of Booth Street), Susan Street and Susan Lane, Nelson Street, Gehrig Lane, Guihen Street, Gordon and Water Streets.</p>	<p>Motion Carried</p> <p>For Motion: Crs Byrne, Da Cruz, Drury, Hesse, Iskandar, Kiat, Lockie, Macri, McKenna OAM, Passas, Raciti, Stamolis, Steer and York</p> <p>Against Motion: Cr Porteous</p>
		<p>2. Forward the Planning Proposal to Minister for Planning and Public Spaces for a Gateway Determination in accordance with Section 3.33 of the Environmental Planning &amp; Assessment Act 1979;</p>	
		<p>3. Request the Minister for Planning and Public Spaces to delegate the plan-making functions for the Planning Proposal to Council;</p>	

		4. Subject to receiving a favourable Gateway Determination, place the Planning Proposal and draft DCP amendment on public exhibition to meet the requirements of the Gateway Determination and Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979; and	
		5. Receive a post exhibition report for its consideration.	
C0520(2) Item 15 26 May 2020	1-5 Chester Street, Annandale – Voluntary Planning Agreement	<p>THAT the proposed Voluntary Planning Agreement for 1-5 Chester Street, Annandale be:</p> <p>1. Endorsed in principle, subject to Corvas Pty Ltd (the proponent):</p> <p>a) Stratum dedication of through site link;</p> <p>b) Works in kind and embellishment for though site link; and</p> <p>c) Monetary contribution of \$95,000.</p> <p>2. Placed on public exhibition for a minimum of 28 days;</p> <p>3. Reported back to Council after public exhibition; and</p> <p>4. The monetary contribution component of the VPA be dedicated to the Affordable Housing Fund in accordance with Council's Affordable Housing Policy.</p>	<p>Motion Carried</p> <p>For Motion: Crs Byrne, Da Cruz, Drury, Hesse, Iskandar, Kiat, Lockie, McKenna OAM, Stamolis, Steer and York</p> <p>Against Motion: Crs Macri, Passas, Porteous and Raciti</p>
C0620(3) Item 1 23 June 2020	Deferred Post Exhibition Report – Draft Inner West Local Environmental Plan 2020 And Associated DCP Amendments	<p>THAT Council:</p> <p>1. Endorse the planning proposal to facilitate the draft Inner West Local Environmental Plan 2020 (LEP 2020) as exhibited with minor amendments outlined in Attachment 1 and as amended to correct administrative errors identified when mapping Schedule 5 Environmental Heritage;</p> <p>2. Notes that Council does not have delegation to make the LEP, submit the planning proposal as amended by Part 1 of this resolution to the Department of Planning Industry and Environment for making;</p>	<p>Motion Carried</p> <p>For Motion: Crs Byrne, Da Cruz, Drury, Hesse, Iskandar, Kiat, Lockie, Macri, McKenna OAM, Raciti, Steer and York</p> <p>Against Motion: Crs Passas, Porteous and Stamolis</p>

		<p>3. Adopt the exhibited amendments in relation to the removal of the notification chapters from the following Development Control Plans (DCPs):</p> <p>a) Marrickville DCP 2011;</p> <p>b) Leichhardt DCP 2013 as well as the deletion of Clause C25(c) (car share); and</p> <p>c) Comprehensive Inner West DCP 2016 for Ashbury, Ashfield, Croydon, Croydon Park, Haberfield, Hurlstone Park and Summer Hill (Ashfield DCP).</p>	
		<p>4. Adopt the rest of the exhibited amendments to the DCPs, with the exception of changes that relate to the Moore Street Industrial Precinct in Leichhardt DCP 2013, and align the date the amended DCPs come into force with the finalisation of the Inner West Local Environmental Plan 2020 by the Department of Planning Industry and Environment;</p>	
		<p>5. Reinstate 'hotel or motel accommodation' as land use permitted with consent in the B5 Business Development zone."; and</p>	
		<p>6. Respond to Minister Stokes' offer of a revised timeline with confirmation that Inner West Council will retain the originally agreed timeframe, on the basis that Council prefers not to shorten the length of time available for Phase 2 of the LEP process, which is required in the revised timeline offered by the Minister.</p>	
C0620(3) Item 3 23 June 2020	Heritage Listing - 389 Illawarra Road, Marrickville	<p>THAT Council:</p> <p>1. Endorse and forward the planning proposal for 389 Illawarra Road Marrickville for the reasons set out in Attachment 1 to the Department of Planning, Industry and Environment (DPIE) with a request for Gateway determination under section 3.34 of Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979;</p>	<p>Motion Carried</p> <p>For Motion: Crs Byrne, Da Cruz, Drury, Hesse, Iskandar, Kiat, Lockie, Macri, McKenna OAM, Porteous, Raciti, Stamolis, Steer and York</p> <p>Against Motion: Nil</p>
		<p>2. Request delegation of the plan-making functions for this planning proposal to the Chief Executive Officer; and</p>	

		3. Following receipt of a favourable Gateway Determination, place the planning proposal on public exhibition to comply with the requirements of that Determination as well as Council receiving a post exhibition report for its consideration.	
C0620(3) Item 4 23 June 2020	Planning Proposal - 36 Lonsdale Street and 64-70 Brenan Street, Lilyfield	<p>THAT Council:</p> <p>1. Endorse and forward the amended Planning Proposal prepared by Council officers for 36 Lonsdale Street and 64-70 Brenan Street, Lilyfield for the reasons set out in Attachment 1 to the Department of Planning Industry and Environment (DPIE) for a Gateway determination under section 3.34 of Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979;</p>	<p>Motion Carried For Motion: Crs Byrne, Da Cruz, Drury, Hesse, Iskandar, Kiat, Lockie, Macri, McKenna OAM, Porteous, Raciti, Stamolis, Steer and York Against Motion: Nil Absent: Cr Passas</p>
		2. Request delegation of the plan-making functions for this planning proposal to the Chief Executive Officer; and	
		3. Following receipt of a favourable Gateway Determination, place the planning proposal on public exhibition to comply with the requirements of that Determination and as well as Council receiving a post exhibition report for its consideration.	
C0620(3) Item 7 23 June 2020	Precinct 75, St Peters Voluntary Planning Agreement public exhibition	<p>THAT Council:</p> <p>1. Enter into the Voluntary Planning Agreement for Precinct 75, St Peters, as provided in Attachment 1 to this report;</p>	<p>Motion Carried For Motion: Crs Byrne, Da Cruz, Drury, Hesse, Iskandar, Kiat, Lockie, Macri, McKenna OAM, Passas, Raciti, Stamolis, Steer and York Against Motion: Cr Porteous</p>
		2. Note that the DCP will require the development to include affordable housing, and that this is VPA entirely separate and in no way contributes to this requirement; and	
		3. Review its consultation process for Voluntary Planning Agreements to see where improvements could be made.	

C0620(3) Item 17 23 June 2020	1-13 Parramatta Road, Annandale - Voluntary Planning Agreement	<p>THAT Council:</p> <p>THAT the proposed Voluntary Planning Agreement for 1-13 Parramatta Road, Annandale be:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Endorsed in principle, subject to the proponent providing:               <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) Land dedication (McCarthy Lane) – 67.991m<sup>2</sup>;</li> <li>b) Pedestrian Corridor (Johnston’s Creek) 3500mm wide dedication stratum of 168.04 square metres; and</li> <li>c) Works in kind which include public stairs, a lift and embellishments works</li> </ol> </li> <li>2. Place the Voluntary Planning Agreement on public exhibition for a minimum of 28 days and receive a further report after the exhibition period.</li> </ol>	<p>Motion Carried</p> <p>For Motion: Crs Byrne, Da Cruz, Drury, Hesse, Iskandar, Kiat, Lockie, Macri, McKenna OAM, Passas, Raciti, Stamolis, Steer and York</p> <p>Against Motion: Cr Porteous</p>
C0720(1) Item 3 28 July 2020	Planning Proposal - 13-55 Edinburgh Road, Marrickville	<p>THAT Council:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Endorse and forward the planning proposal prepared by Council Officers for 13-55 Edinburgh Road Marrickville (Attachment 1) to the Department of Planning, Industry and Environment (DPIE) for a Gateway Determination in accordance with Section 3.34 of the Environmental Planning &amp; Assessment Act 1979;</li> <li>2. Request delegation of the plan-making functions for this planning proposal; and</li> <li>3. Following receipt of a favourable Gateway determination, place the planning proposal on public exhibition and receive a post exhibition report for its consideration.</li> </ol>	<p>Motion Carried</p> <p>For Motion: Crs Byrne, Da Cruz, Drury, Hesse, Kiat, Lockie, Macri, McKenna OAM, Passas, Stamolis, Steer and York</p> <p>Against Motion: Nil</p>
C0720(1) Item 4 28 July 2020	Public Exhibition of Planning Proposal – 466-480 New Canterbury Road and 26-38 Hercules Street, Dulwich Hill	THAT Council note the outcomes of community consultation and recommends to the Minister for Planning and Public Spaces that the planning proposal should not proceed for reasons outlined in Attachment 1.	<p>Motion Carried</p> <p>For Motion: Crs Byrne, Da Cruz, Drury, Hesse, Kiat, Lockie, Macri, McKenna OAM, Passas, Stamolis, Steer and York</p> <p>Against Motion: Nil</p>



C0820(1) Item 5 11 August 2020	776 Parramatta Road Lewisham - Voluntary Planning Agreement	<p>THAT Council:</p> <p>1. Enter into the Voluntary Planning Agreement shown as Attachment 1 for 776 Parramatta Road Lewisham with Moweno Pty Ltd (the proponent) where the proponent will provide Council with a monetary contribution of \$10,000; and</p> <p>2. Notes that the administrative processes followed to reach this VPA were unique and that Council will generally follow its VPA policy in the negotiation of future VPAs.</p>	<p>Motion Carried</p> <p>For Motion: Crs Da Cruz, Drury, Hesse, Iskandar, Kiat, Lockie, Macri, McKenna OAM, Passas, Raciti, Stamolis, Steer and York</p> <p>Against Motion: Nil</p>
C0221(1) Item 1 9 February 2021	Post Exhibition - Planning Proposal and Draft Leichhardt Development Control Plan - 1- 5 Chester Street, Annandale	<p>THAT Council:</p> <p>1. Note the engagement outcomes from the public exhibition of the Planning Proposal and the associated site-specific Leichhardt Development Control Plan 2013 for 1-5 Chester Street, Annandale as detailed in Attachment 1;</p> <p>2. In response to submissions, endorse the proposed minor additions to the exhibited site-specific Leichhardt Development Control Plan 2013 as outlined on page 2 of this report;</p>	<p>Motion Carried</p> <p>For Motion: Crs Da Cruz, Drury, Iskandar, Lockie, Macri, McKenna OAM, Raciti and Steer</p> <p>Against Motion: Crs Byrne, Hesse, Kiat, Passas, Porteous and Stamolis</p>

		<p>3. Endorse the amendments to the Leichhardt Local Environmental Plan 2013 and the associated site-specific Leichhardt Development Control Plan 2013 for 1-5 Chester Street, Annandale:</p> <p>a) As detailed in Attachment 2;</p> <p>b) To ensure bicycle and motorcycle parking is prioritised, the DCP be amended to increase the provision of bicycle parking to a rate of 1 bicycle space per 3 student housing rooms, 1 visitor bicycle space per 10 student housing rooms and 1 motorcycle space per 5 student housing rooms; and</p> <p>c) For G11.13 Parking Access Objective O2 to read “To minimize car parking to encourage active transport and car sharing”</p>	
		<p>4. Note that Council does not have the delegation to make the LEP amendment and submit the Planning Proposal to the Department of Planning, Industry and Environment Minister to make the amendment under Section 3.36 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979; and</p>	
		<p>5. Adopt the site-specific amendment to the Leichhardt Development Control Plan 2013 to come into effect on the same date as the Leichhardt Local Environmental Plan amendment is published on the NSW legislation website.</p>	
C0321(2) Item 25 9 March 2021	Mayoral Minute: 55 Smith Street, Summer Hill	<p>THAT Council:</p> <p>1. Writes to the Chair of the Inner West Local Planning Panel, which will consider the development application for 55 Smith Street, Summer Hill, requesting that the Panel convene a meeting with Summer Hill residents regarding the proposal in order to hear their views, and further requests that the Panel hearing at which the application is to be considered be held in Summer Hill or Ashfield in order to allow residents to attend and their views be heard;</p>	<p>Motion Carried</p> <p>For Motion: Crs Byrne, Da Cruz, Hesse, Iskandar, Kiat, Lockie, McKenna OAM, Passas, Porteous, Stamolis, Steer and York</p> <p>Against Motion: Nil</p>

		<p>2. Letterboxes all residents in Summer Hill to update them about the status of the development application for 55 Smith Street, explains the process through which the proposal will be considered by the State Government appointed Planning Panel and how residents can make individual submissions to the Panel and participate in the Panel's hearing; and</p> <p>3. Receives a report at the April Ordinary meeting summarising all significant residential development proposals (25 dwellings or more) that are under assessment or have been approved in this term of Council.</p>	
C0321(2) Item 3 9 March 2021	Balmain Leagues Voluntary Planning Agreement Engagement Outcomes Report	THAT Council enter into the Voluntary Planning Agreement for Balmain Leagues Site 138-152 Victoria Road, Rozelle.	<p>Motion Carried</p> <p>For Motion: Crs Byrne, Da Cruz, Iskandar, Kiat, Lockie, Macri, McKenna OAM, Passas, Stamolis, Steer and York</p> <p>Against Motion: Crs Hesse and Porteous</p>
C0421(1) Item 12 13 April 2021	Post Exhibition Report - 120C Old Canterbury Road, Summer Hill - Variation to VPA	THAT Council enter into the Deed of Variation of Voluntary Planning Agreement for 120C Old Canterbury Road, Summer Hill as provided in Attachment 1 to this report.	<p>Motion Carried</p> <p>For Motion: Crs Byrne, Da Cruz, Drury, Iskandar, Kiat, Macri, McKenna OAM, Porteous, Raciti, Stamolis, Steer and York</p> <p>Against Motion: Cr Hesse</p> <p>Absent: Cr Passas</p>
C0421(1) Item 13 13 April 2021	Post Exhibition Report - 1-5 Chester Street Annandale - Voluntary Planning Agreement	<p>THAT Council:</p> <p>1. Enter into the Voluntary Planning Agreement for 1-5 Chester Street, Annandale as provided in Attachment 1 to this report; and</p> <p>2. Note that the cash component is to be held in Council's Affordable Housing Fund in accordance with Council's resolution of 26 May 2020 (C0520(2) Item 15).</p>	<p>Motion Carried</p> <p>For Motion: Crs Byrne, Da Cruz, Drury, Hesse, Iskandar, Kiat, McKenna OAM, Raciti, Steer and York</p> <p>Against Motion: Crs Macri, Passas, Porteous and Stamolis</p>

C0421(1) Item 19 13 April 2021	55, 57 & 61-63 Smith Street, Summer Hill Development Application (DA/2020/1022)	THAT Council: 1. Receive and note this report; and	Motion Carried For Motion: Crs Byrne, Da Cruz, Drury, Hesse, Iskandar, Kiat, Macri, McKenna OAM, Passas, Porteous, Raciti, Stamolis, Steer and York Against Motion: Nil
		2. Write to the Housing minister to ask them immediately enact the State Environmental Planning Policy (Housing Diversity) 2020 (Housing Diversity SEPP)	
C0521(1) Item 2 11 May 2021	Post Exhibition of Planning Proposal - 13-55 Edinburgh Road, Marrickville	THAT Council: 1. Note the engagement outcomes of the public exhibition of the Planning Proposal;	Motion Carried For Motion: Crs Byrne, Da Cruz, Drury, Iskandar, Lockie, Macri, McKenna OAM, Passas, Raciti, Stamolis and York Against Motion: Crs Hesse, Kiat, Porteous and Steer
		2. Endorse the amendments to the Marrickville Local Environmental Plan 2011 for 13-55 Edinburgh Road Marrickville detailed in the revised Planning Proposal as informed by the Post Exhibition Report; and	
		3. Submit the Planning Proposal and liaise with the Department of Planning, Industry and Environment Minister to make the amendment under section 3.36 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979.	
C0521(1) Item 3 11 May 2021	Post Exhibition Report - 36 Lonsdale and 64-70 Brenan Streets, Lilyfield - Voluntary Planning Agreement	THAT Council enter into the Voluntary Planning Agreement for 36 Lonsdale and 64-70 Brenan Streets, Lilyfield.	Motion Carried For Motion: Crs Byrne, Da Cruz, Drury, Iskandar, Kiat, Lockie, Macri, McKenna OAM, Passas, Raciti, Stamolis, Steer and York Against Motion: Crs Hesse and Porteous

C0821(1) Item 2 3 August 2021	Adoption of Planning Proposal - 36 Lonsdale Street and 64-70 Brenan Street, Lilyfield	<p>THAT Council:</p> <p>1. Endorse the amendments to the Leichhardt Local Environmental Plan (LEP) 2013 for 36 Lonsdale Street and 64-70 Brenan Street, Lilyfield and submit the Planning Proposal to the Department of Planning, Industry and Environment Minister to make the amendment under section 3.36 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979;</p> <p>2. Refer the site-specific amendment to the Leichhardt Development Control Plan (DCP) 2013 for 36 Lonsdale Street and 64-70 Brenan Street, Lilyfield to the Inner West Council Architectural Excellence and Design Review Panel and report any comments the Panel may make to Council for adoption of the DCP amendment, should the NSW Department of Planning Industry and Environment make the LEP amendments referred to recommendation 1 above; and</p> <p>3. The site-specific amendment to the Leichhardt Development Control Plan (DCP) 2013 for 36 Lonsdale Street and 64-70 Brenan Street, Lilyfield incorporate relevant energy and water management (including natural drying facilities and 4* energy rating) controls consistent with State Environmental Planning Policy (Building Sustainability Index: BASIX) &amp; State Environmental Planning Policy 65 – Design Quality of Residential Apartment Development.’</p>	<p>Motion Carried</p> <p>For Motion: Crs Da Cruz, Drury, Hesse, Kiat, Lockie, Porteous, Stamolis, Steer and York</p> <p>Against Motion: Crs Byrne, Macri, Passas and Raciti</p>
C0921(2) Item 4 14 September 2021	Notice of Motion: The Livable House - Review of Council Planning Instruments for Mobility Access and Disability Needs	<p>THAT Council:</p> <p>1. Review Council’s planning instruments including the Local Environment Plan and Development Control Plan to ascertain what changes are needed to ensure that residents with mobility access or disability needs are able to modify their homes as needed to enable them to remain in their homes. The review should refer to the most current available standards including Australian Standard AS 4299-1995 Adaptable Housing, Australian Standard AS 1428.1 and other relevant standards and government policies aimed at enabling people to modify their homes for their needs;</p>	<p>Motion Carried</p> <p>For Motion: Crs Byrne, Da Cruz, Drury, Hesse, Kiat, Lockie, Macri, McKenna OAM, Passas, Porteous, Raciti, Stamolis, Steer and York</p> <p>Against Motion: Nil</p> <p>Absent: Cr Iskandar</p>

		2. Include their recommendations in the current review of the Local Environment Plan and Development Control Plan and report to Councillors when the review is completed; and	
		2. Include their recommendations in the current review of the Local Environment Plan and Development Control Plan and report to Councillors when the review is completed; and	
C0221(1) Item 1 9 February 2022	Post Exhibition - Planning Proposal and Draft Leichhardt Development Control Plan - 1- 5 Chester Street, Annandale	THAT Council: 1. Note the engagement outcomes from the public exhibition of the Planning Proposal and the associated site-specific Leichhardt Development Control Plan 2013 for 1-5 Chester Street, Annandale as detailed in Attachment 1;	Motion Carried For Motion: Crs Da Cruz, Drury, Iskandar, Lockie, Macri, McKenna OAM, Raciti and Steer Against Motion: Crs Byrne, Hesse, Kiat, Passas, Porteous and Stamolis
		2. In response to submissions, endorse the proposed minor additions to the exhibited site-specific Leichhardt Development Control Plan 2013 as outlined on page 2 of this report;	
		3. Endorse the amendments to the Leichhardt Local Environmental Plan 2013 and the associated site-specific Leichhardt Development Control Plan 2013 for 1-5 Chester Street, Annandale: a) As detailed in Attachment 2; b) To ensure bicycle and motorcycle parking is prioritised, the DCP be amended to increase the provision of bicycle parking to a rate of 1 bicycle space per 3 student housing rooms, 1 visitor bicycle space per 10 student housing rooms and 1 motorcycle space per 5 student housing rooms; and c) For G11.13 Parking Access Objective O2 to read "To minimize car parking to encourage active transport and car sharing"	

[illegible]