

## **Register Of Planning Decisions**

REFERENCES	SUBJECT	COUNCIL DECISION	
			APPROVER
C0716 Item 6	DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION -	That;	
5 JULY 2016	412-416 LIVERPOOL ROAD, CROYDON	1. Council as the consent authority pursuant to Clause 80(3) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act	
		1979 (as amended) grant deferred commencement consent to Development Application No. 10.2015.264.1 for	
		demolition of existing structures and construction of a 3 storey residential flat building comprising 22 dwellings	
		above 2 levels of basement car parking on Lot 100, DP 1124619, known as 412-416 Liverpool Road, Ashfield,	
		subject to conditions appearing on pages 130- 156 of the business paper; and	
		2. the deferred commencement plans shall be publicly notified to local residents for comment prior to approval of	
		the plans and release of the	
		operational consent.	Administrator
C0716 Item 7	DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION - 132 CHURCH STREET, CROYDON (CENTENARY PARK)	That Development application No. 10.2014.94.1 for the demolition of the existing amenities building, erection of	
5 JULY 2016		new amenities building at 132 Church Street, Croydon be modified in accordance with section 96(1a) of the	
		Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979, subject to conditions appearing on pages 226-227 of the	
		business paper.	Administrator
C0716 Item 8	DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION -	That Council as the consent authority pursuant to Clause	
5 JULY 2016	76 ALT STREET, ASHFIELD	80(1)(a) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 (as amended) approve	
		Development Application No. 10.2015.188, subject to conditions appearing on pages 273-	Administrator
C0716 Item 9	DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION - 30 LLEWELLYN STREET, MARRICKVILLE	288 of the business paper.  That the development application No. 201600053 to carry out alterations and additions to an existing mixed use	Administrator
5 JULY 2016	DEVELOR MENT / II FEIGHTON SO ELEVELET TO MEET / IN MINION TELE	building to construct an additional first floor dwelling be APPROVED subject to conditions appearing on pages	
		330-341-of the business paper.	Administrator
C0716 Item 10	DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION -	That the development application No. 201500750 to	
5 JULY 2016	575 KING STREET, NEWTOWN	demolish existing structures and construct a 3 storey mixed use development comprising a retail tenancy on the	
		ground floor and 7 dwellings above, with associated car parking be APPROVED subject to conditions appearing on	
		pages 359-378 of the business paper.	Administrator
C0716 Item 6	DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION -	PART A	
26 JULY 2016	230 VICTORIA STREET, ASHFIELD	THAT Council as the consent authority pursuant to Clause 80(1) (a) of the environmental Planning and Assessment	
		Act 1979 (as amended) approve Development Application No. 10.2016.53.1 for the carrying out of alterations to	
		the existing building for use as a cafe on Lot: 11 DP: 1002099, known as Yeo Park 230 Victoria Street, Ashfield subject to conditions outlined in Attachment 6.	
		PART B	
		THAT Council investigate and consider the following:	
		1. the erection of signage in Yeo Park notifying users of Council's smoking policy within parks;	
		2. the erection of additional signage in Yeo Park outlining leash requirements for dog owners and the provision of	
		additional litter bins; and	
		3. the erection of speed limits signs outside Yeo Park Infants School.	Administrator
C0816 Item 6	DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION - 2-20 WESTON STREET. BALMAIN EAST	That the application be approved in accordance with the recommendation of the Leichhardt Planning Panel	
23 AUGUST 2016		subject to the hours of operation of the proposed café being amended as follows:	Administrator
C0816 Item 3	Development Application - 2-32 Smith Street Summer Hill	- Monday to Sunday including public holidays: 7.00am to 5.00pm.  That:  1.	Administrator
23 AUGUST 2016	Development Application - 2-32 Smith Street Summer Till	Council will not make a submission to the Sydney East Joint Regional Planning Panel.	
257100051 2010		2. That a a condition requiring dilapidation report for 34 Edward Street, Summer Hill be added to the	
		recommended conditions of consent.	Administrator
C0816 Item 5	Development Application - 75	That the application be granted a deferred commencement approval in	
23 AUGUST 2016	Milton Street, Ashfield	accordance with the conditions included in the report.	Administrator
C0816 Item 8	Development Application - 23 Croydon Street, Petersham	That the development application to demolish part of the premises and carry out alterations and additions to an	
23 AUGUST 2016		existing residential flat building fronting Croydon Street (Building A) containing 4 dwellings with a ommunal	
		kitchen and BBQ area and the construction of a new 3 storey residential flat building to the rear (Building B)	
		containing 18 dwellings with basement car parking be APPROVED subject to the conditions listed on pages 485-508 of the business paper, with the exception of condition 1 which is to be amended to refer to the updated	
		plan references for DA04, Revision M, DA05, Revision K and DA11, Revision K.	Administrator
C0816 Item 7	Development Application - Longport Street, Lewisham (2 - 32 Smith Street, Summer Hill)	That Council will not make a submission to the Panel in relation to this proposal.	
23 AUGUST 2016	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		Administrator
C0816 Item 4	Development Application - 317-	That the application be granted a deferred commencement approval in	
23 AUGUST 2016	331 Liverpool Road, Ashfield	accordance with the conditions included in the report.	Administrator

CODA C Harris O	Annual de la Annual de Malantana Dianaira Annual de	The Council actorists the Asserted Websites Disselve Asserted to the Council State of the Cou	T
	Amendment to Approved Voluntary Planning Agreement - Grove Street, Dulwich Hill	That Council enter into the Amended Voluntary Planning Agreement documented in Attachment 2.	Administrator
	Development Application -	That the matter be deferred to allow Council staff to give further consideration to the interface and stormwater	Administrator
	33 Smith Street, Summer Hill	issues affecting this	
		development.	Administrator
C0916 Item 3 D	Development Application - 66 Constitution Road, Dulwich Hill	That the matter be deferred to allow Council staff to give further consideration to the access and right of way	
27 September 2016		issues affecting this development.	Administrator
	Development Application - 351 Trafalgar Street, Lewisham	THAT the application be APPROVED subject to the imposition of conditions in accordance with those outlined on	
27 September 2016		pp. 293-316 of the Business Paper, and including endorsement of actions B and C contained therein.	
		Amendment to the deferred commencement condition  To ensure compliance with the apartment size requirements contained within the Apartment Design Guide (ADG),	
		amended plans shall be submitted to the satisfaction of Council which indicate a revised layout. All apartments	
		within the development shall comply with the minimum apartment sizes prescribed by the ADG in accordance	
		with Objective 4D-1, specifically Apartments 2 and 4 shall be amended to be a minimum area of 70 sq metres.	
		This change must not result in any increase to the building footprint or reduction in the size of the balconies,	
		unless such balconies retain an area of at least 10 sq metres. Condition 6 reworded:	
		5 off-street car parking spaces must be provided and maintained at all times in accordance with the standards	
		contained within Part 2.10 of Marrickville Development Control Plan 2011 - Parking. The parking must be allocated	
		as follows: a) A minimum of 3 spaces being allocated to the residential dwellings; and	
		b) 2 accessible car parking spaces, 1 accessible car space per adaptable dwelling.	
		All accessible car spaces must be provided and marked as disabled car parking spaces.	
C0916 Item 5 D	Development Application - 308- 314 Stanmore Road, Petersham	That the development application to demolish part of the premises and carry out alterations and additions to	Administrator
27 September 2016	Development Application - 308- 314 Stanmore Koad, Petersnam	convert the existing residence into a 12 room hotel with a ground floor café, function rooms and florist be	
27 September 2010		APPROVED subject to the conditions outlined on pp. 343-360 of the Business Paper, and including endorsement	
		of actions B and C contained therein.	Administrator
C0916 Item 6 D	Development Application - 106 Carlton Crescent, Summer Hill	That this matter be deferred to allow Council to review the amended plans which have not been assessed,	
27 September 2016		investigate the conditions around the walls and to consider the conditions the applicant would like to have	
		reassessed.	Administrator
	Section 82A Review Application -	That the review request under Section 82A of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act to review	
27 September 2016 6	669 King Street, St Peters	Determination No. 201600157 dated 3 May 2016 be APPROVED and a new Determination be issued approving the application to carry out building works and use the ground floor as a commercial premises and first floor as a	
		residence subject to the conditions on pp. 459-466 of the Business Paper.	Administrator
C0916 Item 8 P	Planning Proposal for 55-63 Smith Street, Summer Hill	Council resolve to progress a Planning Proposal to amend Ashfield Local Environmental Plan (LEP) 2013 to add	
27 September 2016		the following additional uses to "Schedule 1 – Additional Permitted Uses" of the LEP for the properties at 55-63	
		Smith Street Summer Hill:	
		•Office Premises	
		Business Premises	
		Recreation facility (indoor) Self storage Units	
		and that the applicant's Planning Proposal be amended to reflect the Council's resolution;	
		2. accompanying the Planning Proposal there must be a site specific Development Control Plan produced by the	
		applicant addressing the matters covered in the planning report, with the content being approved by Council;	
		3. Council forward the Planning Proposal as amended in clause (1) to the Department of Planning and	
		Environment for Gateway Determination to allow the LEP plan making process to commence under Section 56 of	
		the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 (EP&A Act);	
		4. Council resolve to request The Department of Planning and Environment to issue a written authorization to	
		Council's General Manager to exercise and implement delegations in accordance with Section 23 of the EP& A Act 1979 to facilitate the plan making process following the Gateway Determination;	
			Administrator
C0916 Item 8 (contd)		5. following Gateway Determination by the Department of Planning and Environment, the Planning Proposal be	
		progressed by Council, be put on formal public exhibition, and procedures carried out as required under the EP&	
		A Act 1979, with a later report on the public exhibition being submitted to the Council for consideration on	
		whether to continue to finalise the Planning Proposal; and 6. people who made a submission as part of the preliminary community engagement process be advised of	
		Council's decision.	
C0916 Item 9 P	Planning Proposal for 100-102 Elliott Street, Balmain	Council resolve to make the Leichhardt Local Environmental Plan 2013 amendment to rezone parts of the subject	
27 September 2016		site at 100-102 Elliott Street, Balmain to General Residential (R1), Business Park (B7), Public Recreation (RE1) and	
		retain Local Centre (B2) for the rest of the property as detailed in the exhibited Planning Proposal and supporting	
		documentation.	Administrator

C1016 Ham 3	Diamaina Dramanal for Sudanham Station Creative Hub	1 Description and national in concept, 2 Endougant the Control Invest Assessment and Assessment States	1
C1016 Item 2	Planning Proposal for Sydenham Station Creative Hub	1. Receives and notes this report; 2. Endorses the Social Impact Assessment prepared for this project, at	
25 October 2016		Attachment 1;	
		3. Prepares a planning proposal for the Sydenham Station creative hub precinct and submits it to the Department	
		of Planning & Environment for Gateway determination subject to Areas A & B as shown on the map	
		at Attachment 2 being combined into one area to allow small bars, restaurants, cafes and creative uses as	
		specified in Clause 6.12 of MELP2011 to be permitted within the existing IN1 General Industrial zone	
		and	
		4. Prepares and places on public exhibition with the planning proposalan economic study and strategy for a	
		Development Control Plan (DCP) for the precinct should the planning proposal receive Gateway approval. The DCP	,
		to include (among other things) a creative industries policy, licensed premises controls and plans for public	
		domain improvements.	
		domain improvements.	Administrator
C1016 Item 13	Planning Proposal – 101-103 Lilyfield Road, Lilyfield	1. The attached Planning Proposal be forwarded to the Minister for Planning for a Gateway determination in	
25 October 2016		accordance with Section 56 of the Environmental Planning & Assessment Act 1979;	
25 0010001 2010		2.The Department of Planning and Environment be requested to delegate the plan making functions, in relation to	
		the subject Planning Proposal, to Council;	
		3. Following receipt of a Gateway determination, and compliance withany conditions, the Planning Proposal and	
		supporting documentation be placed on public exhibition for a minimum of 28 days and public authorities be	
		consulted on the Planning Proposal in accordance with the Gateway determination; and	
		4.A report be presented to Council at the completion of the public exhibition period detailing submissions	
1		received and the outcome of consultation with public authorities.	
			Administrator
C1216 Item 2	Exhibition Draft Comprehensive Inner West DCP for Ashbury, Ashfield, Croydon, Croydon Park, Haberfield,	1. Council note the submissions raised in submissions received during the public exhibition of the draft DCP and	
6 December 2016	Hurlstone Park And Summer Hill	that in accordance with Part 3, Section 21 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act Regulation 2000	
		these issues have been adequately considered by Council;	
		2. the General Manager be authorised to make minor amendments to the DCP recommended in the report and	
		any minor clerical amendments to the DCP which do not change the content and intent of the document;	
		3. in accordance with Part 3, Clause 21 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act Regulation 2000,	
		Council endorse and give public notice of the adoption of the Inner West Comprehensive DCP for Ashbury,	
		Ashfield, Croydon, Croydon Park, Haberfield, Hurlstone Park and Summer Hill as shown at Attachment 1 and	
		amended by resolution (2), and give public notice in the local newspaper within 28 days of Council's resolution;	
		4. Council give notice in the local newspaper of the repeal of the Ashfield Development Control Plan 2007,	
		Pursuant to Part 3, Clause 23 of EPA Act Regulation 2000; and	
		, g ,	
		5. Council provide the Secretary of the Department of Planning and Environment the DCP Plan pursuant to Part 3,	
		Clause 25 AB of EPA Act Regulation 2000.	
			Administrator
C1216 Item 3	39 Smith Street Summer Hill -	1. Council resolve to progress a Planning Proposal to amend Ashfield Local Environmental Plan (LEP) 2013 to	
6 December 2016	Planning Proposal	delete Heritage Item no 620, from Schedule 5 Environmental Heritage of the Ashfield LEP 2013;	
		2. Council forward the Planning Proposal to the Department of Planning and Environment for Gateway	
1		Determination to allow the LEP plan amendment process to commence under Section 56 of the Environmental	
1		Planning and Assessment Act 1979 (EP&A Act);	
1		3. Council resolve to request The Department of Planning and Environment to issue written authorization to	
1			
1		Council's General Manager to exercise and implement delegations in accordance with Section 23 of the EP& A Act	
1		1979 to facilitate the plan making process following the Gateway determination;	
1		4. following the Gateway Determination by the Department of Planning and Environment, the Planning Proposal	
1		be progressed by Council, be put on formal public exhibition, and procedures carried out as required under the	
		EP& A Act 1979; and	
		5. people who made a submission as part of the preliminary community engagement process be advised of	
		Council's decision	Administrator
C1216 Item 6	67 - 73 Lords Road, Leichhardt - Planning Proposal Public Exhibition	1. Note that the Planning Proposal to rezone 67-73 Lords Road, Leichhardt from IN2 Light Industrial to R3	
6 December 2016		Medium Density Residential and increase the maximum permissible floor space ratio (FSR) from 1:1 to 2.4:1 has	
1		been placed on public exhibition by the (SCPP);	
1		2. Endorse the attached draft submission (Attachment 3) which strongly objects to the Planning Proposal and	
1		recommends that the SCPP not support the proposal;	
1		3. Requests the SCPP to extend the public exhibition of the Planning Proposal by a further 28 days given the	
1		timing of the exhibition period;	
1		4. Request the Sydney Central Planning Panel as relevant planning authority holds a hearing on the issues raised	
1		in this submission under section 57(5) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 No 203; and	
1		5. Hold a public meeting early in 2017 to discuss the Proposal.	
1		5. There is public infecting early in 2017 to discuss the Hoposal.	Administrator
C1216 Item 11	Floor Space Ratio (FSR) Review - Post-Gateway Determination Review	1. Notes the Post-Gateway Review that has been issued; and	
6 December 2016	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	2. Amends the planning proposal to be consistent with Option 3 of the FSR Review and proceed to public	
		exhibition in accordance with Gateway Determination requirements.	Administrator
L			1

Face and the second			I
C1216 Item 12	Planning Proposal for Small Bars: Leichhardt LEP 2013 & Leichhardt DCP 2013 Amendments Public Exhibition	1. The report and attached Planning Proposal and Leichhardt Development Control Plan 2013 Amendment be	
6 December 2016	Community Consultation	noted.	
		2. Council resolves to adopt the amended Small Bars Planning Proposal to amend Schedule 2 of the Leichhardt	
		Local Environmental Plan 2013 for a change of use exemption between small bars, restaurants and cafes in the B2	
		Local Centre zone.	
		3. Council forwards the amended Planning Proposal to the Department of Planning and Environment and requests	
		that it makes arrangements for the publication of LLEP 2013 amendment on the NSW Legislation website.	
		4. Council endorses the amended Leichhardt Development Control Plan 2013 in accordance with the	
		requirements of Clause 21 of Environmental Planning and Assessment Regulations 2000 and place a public notice	
		in the local newspaper with the specified date of commencement.	
			Administrator
C0217 Item 3	Planning Proposal - 168 Norton Street, Leichhardt	1. The attached Planning Proposal be forwarded to the Minister for Planning for a Gateway determination in	
28 February 2017		accordance with Section 56 of the Environmental Planning & Assessment Act 1979;	
		2. The Department of Planning and Environment be requested to delegate the plan making functions, in relation	
		to the subject Planning Proposal, to Council;	
		3. Following receipt of a Gateway determination, and compliance with any conditions and following the required	
		changes being made by the Proponent, the Planning Proposal and supporting documentation be placed on public	
		exhibition for a minimum of 28 days and public authorities be consulted on the Planning Proposal in accordance	
		with the Gateway determination; and	
		4. A report be presented to Council at the completion of the public exhibition period detailing submissions	
		received and the outcome of consultation with public authorities.	Administrator
C0217 Item 4	Annandale Conservation Area Extension	The attached Planning Proposal be forwarded to the Minister for Planning for a Gateway Determination in	
28 February 2017		accordance with Section 56 of the Environmental Planning & Assessment Act 1979;	
•		2. The Department of Planning and Environment be requested to delegate the plan making functions, in relation	
		to the subject Planning Proposal, to Council;	
		3. Following receipt of a Gateway Determination, and compliance with any conditions, the Planning Proposal and	
		supporting documentation be placed on public exhibition for a minimum of 28 days and public authorities be	
		consulted on the Planning Proposal in accordance with the Gateway Determination; and	
		4. A report be presented to Council at the completion of the public exhibition period detailing submissions	
		received and the outcome of consultation with public authorities.	
		received and the outcome of consultation with public authorities.	Administrator
C0217 Item 5	Draft Marrickville Local Environmental Plan 2011 (Amendment No. X) - Change to the Land Use Table for the B7	1. The report be received and noted;	
28 February 2017	Business Park Zone	Council resolves to prepare a Planning Proposal to amend MLEP 2011 to delete "shop top housing" as a	
		permissible use within the B7 Business Park zone and nominate itself as the Relevant Planning Authority;	
		3. Council submits the draft Planning Proposal to the Department of Planning and Environment for Gateway	
		determination; and	
		4. Council resolves to publicly exhibit the draft Planning Proposal.	Administrator
C0317 Item 6	Planning Proposal Request - 183 & 203 New Canterbury Road, Lewisham	Support the planning proposed request for 183 & 203 New Canterbury	
28 March 2017	. Idaming . I open a request 200 a 200 men canteroary riods, cernsian	Road, Lewisham to rezone and set development controls for the land;	
20 11101011 2027		Forward the planning proposal to the Minister for Planning for a Gateway determination in accordance with	
		Section 56 of the	
		Environmental Planning & Assessment Act 1979:	
		Request that it be delegated plan making functions in relation to the planning proposal; and	Administrator
		4. Resolves to develop site specific planning controls to apply to the future development at 183 New Canterbury	
		Road for inclusion in MDCP 2011 Part 9.36 (Commercial Precinct 36) and that these be publicly	
1		exhibited concurrently with the planning proposal.	
C0417 Item 4	Supplementary Report: Marrickville Heritage Review	That Council adopts the recommendations contained within the 28 February 2017 report with the following	
26 April 2017	Targette in the control of the contr	amendments:*delete 30 Carrington Road, Marrickville from the planning proposal. *delete 149 Unwins Bridge	
20 April 2017		Road, Tempe from the planning proposal. *delete 294 Livingstone Road Marrickville from the planning proposal.	
		*delete 51 Frederick Street St Peters from the planning proposal. *delete 389 Illawarra Road Marrickville (Church	
		of Christ) from the planning proposal.	
		*delete 545 Princes Highway and 2 Samuel Street Tempe from the planning proposal	
		That any further consideration of these items be carried out as part of a future heritage study.	Administrator
C0417 Item 8	Post Exhibition report: Draft Marrickville LEP 2011 Amendment - 85 Margaret St Petersham	That any further consideration of these items be carried out as part of a future fieldage study.      That the report be received and noted.	
26 April 2017	1 OSC EXTINSION TEPOTE. STATE INIATTICAVILLE EEF ZOTT ATHEIRIGHTETE - OS INIAI BATEL SE FELEISTATI	That the report be received and noted.     That Council forwards the draft amendment to MLEP 2011 to the Department of Planning & Environment	1
20 Whili 2017		seeking final approval and	
1			Administrator
C0417 Item 9	Draft Marrickville Local Environmental Plan 2011 Amendment - To protect employment land and support the	gazettal.  1. That the report be received and noted.	Authinistrator
			1
26 April 2017	viability of commercial activities in the B7 Business Park zone and on other business zoned land	2.That Council forwards the draft amendment to MLEP 2011 to the Department of Planning & Environment	
		seeking final approval and	A durintable
C0447 H 44	Level Assessed Belling Dublic Fabilities Outcomes	gazettal.	Administrator
C0417 Item 11	Local Approvals Policy - Public Exhibition Outcomes	That Council adopts the draft Local Approvals Policy in accordance with	
26 April 2017		section 166 of the Local Government Act 1993	J

1		2. That Council agreement has a reasonable and the distribution	<b>¬</b>
		2. That Council approves the proposed amendment to <i>Leichhardt</i>	A destrolation
C0547 H 2	Developed Assessed as a second control of the second control of th	Development Control Plan 2013 and adopt the amended Leichhardt Development Control Plan 2013.	Administrator
C0517 Item 3	Proposed Amendment to Floor Space Ratio controls in Leichhardt LEP 2013	1. That Council receive and note this report.	
23 May 2017		2. That Council request that an amendment to Leichhardt Local Environmental Plan 2013 be drafted by	
		Parliamentary Counsel which reflects the planning proposal consistent with Option 3 of the Floor Space	
		Ratio Review.	
		3. That Council seek the Department of Planning and Environment to	
		notify the amendment.	Administrator
C0517 Item 5	Post Exhibition Report: DRAFT MARRICKVILLE LOCAL ENVIRONMENTAL PLAN 2011	1. That the report be received and noted.	
23 May 2017	AMENDMENT - TO DELETE SHOP TOP HOUSING AS A USE PERMITTED WITH CONSENT IN	2. That Council forward the draft amendment to MLEP 2011 to the Department of Planning & Environment	
	THE B7 BUSINESS PARK ZONE	seeking final approval and gazettal.	Administrator
C0617 Item 5	Victoria Road Precinct Planning Proposal	Council forwards the Victoria Road Planning Proposal to the Minister for	
27 June 2017		Planning indicating its support for the Proposal subject to:	
		1. resolution of matters the subject of an unresolved objection from the Roads and Maritime Service;	
		2. there is to be no change to the existing IN1 – General Industrial zoning	
		on the south eastern side of Victoria Road. This land forms part of the core Sydenham / Marrickville Industrial	
		Area and should be preserved in line with the recommendations of the Marrickville Employment Lands Study and	
		subsequent Review. Council will further consider its position subject to review of the Sydenham to Bankstown	
		Urban Renewal Corridor Strategy:	Administrator
		3. with the exception of the properties on the north western side of Farr Street to be zoned R3 – Medium Density	
		Residential, no properties in the precinct are to be zoned residential; the Danias Timbers Site / Timber Yards	
		Sub-precinct to be zoned B4 –	
		· ·	
		mixed Use (along Victoria Road and Sydenham Road) and B7 – Business Park (for the remainder of the	
		sub-precinct) with an appropriate mix of employment and residential uses to be provided via site specific	
		provision.	
		The remainder of the precinct northwest	
		of Victoria Road and north of Chalder Street to be zoned B5 – Business Development:	
		4. any intersection upgrade works necessitated by the planning proposal	
		cannot require the acquisition of parts of Wicks Park or properties outside the area covered by the planning	
		proposal;	
		5. the planning proposal must adequately deal with infrastructure	
		planning, funding and delivery (including any required property acquisitions) in consultation with Council;	
		6. the planning proposal must reflect the urban design and built form	
		recommendations provided by Rod Simpson and Council's Architectural Excellence Panel;	
		7. affordable housing being provided in accordance with the requirements of the Inner West Council Affordable	
		Housing Policy;	
		8. the planning proposal cannot result in the loss of any existing areas of	
		public open space and adequate new additional areas of public open space must be provided to service the new	
		resident and worker population (e.g. an expansion and embellishment of Wicks Park);	
		9. the planning proposal must provide suitable mechanisms to deliver the new laneways and road connections	<del>-</del>
		required to service the rezoning and stated vision for the Victoria	
		Road corridor: and	
		10. the planning proposal must adequately deal with identified potential	
C0617 Item 8	Diamaina Dramacal, FF C2 Smith Street Common Hill	heritage.  1. Council notes the report and response to submissions;	
	Planning Proposal: 55-63 Smith Street Summer Hill		4
27 June 2017		2. Council authorises the General Manager to finalise the Planning	
		Proposal as outlined in this report;	Administrator
		3. the Planning Proposal be referred pursuant to Section 59 of the	
		Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 (the Act) to the NSW Parliamentary Counsel Office to seek an	
		Opinion that the Plan may be made;	
		4. upon receipt of a Parliamentary Counsel Opinion, Council requests the	
		Department of Planning and Environment to make the Plan; and	_
		5. site specific Draft Development Control Plan contained in Attachment 5	
		be incorporated into the exhibition of future amendments to the "Inner West Comprehensive	
		Development Control Plan 2016 Ashbury, Ashfield, Croydon, Croydon Park, Haberfield, Hurlstone Park and	
		Summer Hill".	
C0617 Item 10	Post Exhibition Report - Draft Marrickville Local Environmental Plan 2011 (Amendment No. 12) - 180 Princes	1. the report be received and noted; and	
27 June 2017	Highway, St Peters	Council forwards the draft amendment to MLEP 2011 to the Department of Planning & Environment seeking	1
	g=1/	final approval and gazettal.	Administrator
		Town abbrevia and Gazzaner.	

COC47 H 44	2.7.0.42.47 December 1927, 200 Terfolosoftware and 4.6.20 Fisher Street Detection	In Council accounts the advantage countries to	
C0617 Item 11	3-7 & 13-17 Regent Street, 287- 309 Trafalgar Street and 16-20 Fisher Street, Petersham	1. Council supports the planning proposal subject to:	
27 June 2017		(i) The resident car parking on site to be capped at the rate contained in Part 2.10 of Marrickville Development	
		Control Plan (MDCP) 2011;	
		(ii) The proponent must engage an arborist to investigate the opportunities to retain the significant trees located	
		on the corner of Regent Street and Fisher Street (Site 3) and adjust the building envelopes as may be required;	
		(iii) an affordable housing contribution being made using Council's Affordable Housing Policy and 15% target as a	
		guide;	
		Council officers consider the Voluntary Planning Agreement (VPA) Offer	4
		, , , ,	
		in accordance with Council's interim VPA Policy;  3. forward the planning proposal to the Minister for Planning for a	-
		Gateway determination in accordance with Section 56 of the Environmental Planning & Assessment Act 1979;	
		Gateway determination in accordance with Section 56 of the Environmental Planning & Assessment Act 1979;	
		4. request that Council be delegated plan making functions in relation to	
		the planning proposal; and	Administrator
		5. resolves to develop site specific planning controls to apply to the future	
		development at 3-7 Regent Street (Site 1); 13-17 Regent Street (Site 2); and 287-309 Trafalgar Street & 16-20	
		Fisher Street (Site 3), Petersham for inclusion in Part 9.6 (Precinct 6) of MDCP 2011 and that these be publicly	
		exhibited concurrently with the planning proposal.	
C0717 Item 7	120c Old Canterbury Road Summer Hill - Planning Proposal	1. The Planning Proposal be amended to Council's satisfaction addressing the recommendations outlined in this	
25 July 2017		report, including a Maximum Height of Building equating to 6 storeys relative to Old Canterbury Road and a	
		reduced Maximum Floor Space Ratio;	
		2. Council authorises the Interim General Manager to be Council's	
		delegate and use "the Authority" for the processing of the Planning Proposal as outlined in	
		this report;	
		3. On satisfactory completion of Resolution 1 and 2, the Planning Proposal	
		be referred pursuant to Section 56 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 (the Act) seeking a	
		Gateway Determination and for Council to be the Relevant Planning Authority, and requesting the studies	
		identified in the report be produced;	
		4. Council develop a site specific Draft Development Control Plan as	
		outlined in this report and exhibit it concurrently with the Planning Proposal; and	
		5. Upon receipt of the Gateway Determination the Planning Proposal and	
		Draft DCP be put on public exhibition pursuant to the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 (the Act).	
			4
		6. Council Officers write to the NSW Roads and Maritime Service and	
		request that an area wide traffic network analysis be prepared for the McGill Street precinct	
		and surrounds to determine local area traffic management opportunities that may be implemented to mitigate and better manage traffic impacts in this locality.	Administrator
C0717 Item 8	Planning Proposal: 58-76 Stanmore Road, 2-20 Tupper Street and 3-9 Alma Avenue, Stanmore	1. Support the planning proposal request for 58-76 Stanmore Road, 2-20 Tupper Street and 3-9 Alma Avenue,	Administrator
25 July 2017	rialining rroposal. 36-70 Stammore Road, 2-20 rupper Street and 3-9 Aima Avenue, Stammore	Stanmore to rezone the land to facilitate the redevelopment of the site to include a new club premises,	
25 July 2017		commercial floor space, a public plaza and residential accommodation with associated basement car parking	
		subject to the following amendments:	
		(a) The Land Zoning for Site C being amended to R3 Medium Density Residential;	
		(b) Inclusion of MLEP 2011 Schedule 1 additional permitted use of a 'residential flat building' as part of a mixed	
		use development;	
		(c) Maximum height of building controls and number of storeys to be applied across the site as detailed in this	
		report;	
		(d) Floor space ratio controls to be developed for each site should the	
		proposal obtain Gateway approval.	
		Request the applicant to update the planning proposal report and associated documentation to ensure	]
		consistency between all documents;	
		3. Forward the planning proposal to the Minister for Planning for a	
		Gateway determination in accordance with Section 56 of the Environmental Planning & Assessment Act 1979;	
			4
		4. Request that Council be delegated plan making functions in relation to	
		the planning proposal;	4
		5. Resolve to develop site specific planning controls to apply to the future development at 58-76 Stammore Road,	
		2-20 Tupper Street and 3-9 Alma Avenue, Stanmore for inclusion in MDCP 2011 Part 9.9 (Newington Precinct 9)	
		consistent	
		with the advice contained within this report and that the site specific controls be publicly exhibited concurrently	
		with the planning proposal; and	-
		6. Consider the Voluntary Planning Agreement (VPA) offer in accordance	Administrator
C0717 Item 9	Overview: Planning Proposal for Uniting Care Site at 15-17 Marion Street, Leichhardt	with Council's interim VPA Policy.  1. Receive and note this report and attachments;	Autilitisti atOl
		LL Receive and note this report and attachments:	

25 July 2017  2. Resolve to support the revised Planning Proposal as outlined in this Report.  3. Resolve to forward the revised Planning Proposal to the Minister for	Administrator
3. Resolve to forward the revised Planning Proposal to the Minister for	Administrator
y ,	
Planning and Environment for a Gateway Determination in accordance with Section 56 of the Environmental	
Planning and Assessment Act 1979;	
4. Delegate the preparation of a revised draft Development Control Plan	
(DCP) that will reflect the revised Planning Proposal to the General Manager;	
5. Upon receipt of the Gateway Determination, the Planning Proposal should be put on public exhibition to r	neet
the requirements of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979. The revised draft DCP should be	
exhibited concurrently and public authorities be consulted in accordance with the Gateway Determination; a	nd
6. That a post exhibition report be prepared for Council consideration	
C0717 Item 10 Planning Proposal and Draft Development Control Plan - 168 Norton Street Leichhardt: Community Consultation 1. Complete the drafting of a voluntary planning agreement in consultation with the Proponent and exhibit t	ne
25 July 2017 Outcomes Agreement in accordance with the Environmental Planning & Assessment Act 1979;	
2. Amend the Leichhardt Local Environmental Plan 2013 at 168 Norton	
Street as detailed in the exhibited Planning Proposal;	
3. Liaise with the Parliamentary Counsel's Office and the Department of	
Planning and Environment to draft and finalise the LEP amendment;	
4. Following the completion of the above, request the Department of	
Planning and Environment to notify the Plan, and	
5. Delegate the adoption of the revised draft Development Control Plan	
for 168 Norton Street as detailed in this report to the General Manager.	Administrator
C0717 Item 11 2-6 Cavill Avenue Ashfield 1. Support the Planning Proposal subject to amendments outlined in the	
25 July 2017 Planning Proposal report;	
2. Forward the Planning Proposal to the Minister of Planning for a Gateway Determination in accordance with	1
Section 56 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act, and seek that Council use its delegated plan	
making functions to be the Relevant Planning Authority for the processing of the Planning Proposal;	
3. Authorise the Interim General Manager to be Council's delegate and	
"the Authorisation" to be the Relevant Planning Authority for the processing of the Planning Proposal; and	
4. Develop a site specific Draft Development Control Plan as outlined in	
the report once the Gateway approval is received and exhibit the Draft DCP concurrently with the Planning	
Proposal.	Administrator
C0717 Item 12 Planning Proposal No. DA201600376 at 466-480 New 1. Council supports the development intention of the planning proposal as outlined by the concept design w	thin
25 July 2017 Canterbury Road and 26-38 Hercules Street, Dulwich Hill the submitted Urban Design Report, dated 23 May 2017;	
2. The planning proposal zoning be altered, with Marrickville Local Environmental Plan (MLEP) 2011 Zoning I	1aps
being amended from IN2 Light Industrial zone to:	
(a) RE1 Public Recreation zone for the land on the western edge of the site adjacent to the Light Rail line, and	the
proposed pocket park on the southeastern corner of the site (or RE2 Private Recreation for any of this land t	nat
Council does not agree to take ownership of);	
(b) B5 Business Development for Nos. 466-480 New Canterbury Road, (excluding the area proposed to be zo	ned
RE1 Public Recreation) and the rear (approximately 9 m) parts of Nos. 26-28 Hercules Street, Dulwich Hill no	
proposed to be zoned RE1 Public Recreation or RE2 Private Recreation, being Lots 1, 2, 3 and 4 DP542147, Lu	t1
DPS40366, Lots 1, 2 and 3 DP236603 and rear (approximately 9 m) parts of Lot 4 DP540366 and Lot 14 Section	n 4
DP932, with an additional permitted use clause applying to such	
zoned land;	
(c) R4 High Density Residential for Nos. 34-38 Hercules Street, (excluding the area proposed to be zoned RE1	
Public Recreation) and the rear of No. 474 New Canterbury Road fronting Hercules Street, Dulwich Hill, bein	
5,6 and 7 DP236603 and Lot 2 DP540366; and	
(d) RE2 Private Recreation for No. 28 Hercules Street, (excluding the area proposed to be zoned B5 Business	
Development) and the part of No. 26 Hercules Street not proposed to be zoned RE1 Public Recreation or B5	
Ruciners Davidonment Pullwich Hill	Administrator

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,	
	3. The planning proposal clause wording to be included in Schedule 1 Additional permitted uses be altered to read: Use of certain land at 466-480 New Canterbury Road and 26-38 Hercules Street, Dulwich Hill(1) This clause applies to land at 466-480 New Canterbury Road and 26-38 Hercules Street, Dulwich Hill, being Lots 1, 2, 3 and 4 DP542147; Lots 1, 2 and 4 DP540366; Lots 1, 2, 3, 5, 6 and 7 DP236603; and Lot 14 Section 4 DP932; (2) Development for the following purposes is permitted with consent: (a) residential flat buildings, as part of a mixed use development, on land zoned B5 Business Development but only if: (i) any dwelling located on the ground floor will not have frontage to New Canterbury Road; (b) neighbourhood shops on the land at 478-480 New Canterbury Road, Dulwich Hill, being Lots 1 and 2 DP236603 in Zone B5 Business Development; and (c) vehicular and pedestrian access, car parking and site facilities on the land at 34-38 Hercules Street and the rear of 474 New Canterbury Road, Dulwich Hill in Zone R4 High Density Residential to service the development on the land at 474-480 New Canterbury Road, Dulwich Hill in Zone B5 Business Development.	
	4. The planning proposal height of building (HoB) be altered, with MLEP 2011 HoB Maps being amended from no height identified to:  (a) 17 metres for Nos. 466-472 New Canterbury Road, the front part of No. 474 New Canterbury Road, 476 New Canterbury Road, the front 7.5 metres of Nos. 478 and 480 New Canterbury Road and the rear (approximately 9 m) part of Nos. 26-28 Hercules Street, Dulwich Hill, being Lots 1, 2, 3 and 4 DP542147, Lot 1 DP540366, Lot 3 DP236603 the front 7.5m of Lots 1 and 2 DP236603, and the rear (approximately 9 m) part of Lot 4 DP540366 and Lot 14 Sec 4 DP932;  (b) 20 metres for the rear part of No. 474 New Canterbury Road and No. 34 Hercules Street, Dulwich Hill, being Lot 2 DP540366 and Lot 7 DP236603;  (c) 29 metres for the rear part behind the front 7.5 m of Nos. 478-480 New Canterbury Road, Dulwich Hill, being Lots 1 and 2 DP236603 (excluding the area proposed to be zoned RE1 Public Recreation, which is to have no height control indicated);  (d) 32 metres for No. 36-38 Hercules Street, Dulwich Hill, being Lots 5 and 6 DP236603 (excluding the area proposed to be zoned RE1 Public Recreation and (e) 9.5 metres for the front (approximately 22 m) part of Nos. 26-28 Hercules Street not proposed to be zoned RE1 Public Recreation or B5 Business Development, Dulwich Hill, being the front (approximately 22 m) part of Lot 4 DP540366 and Lot 14 Sec 4 DP932 not proposed to be zoned RE1 Public Recreation or B5 Business Development.	
	5. The planning proposal floor space ratio (FSR) be altered, with MLEP 2011 FSR Maps being amended from 0.95:1 FSR to: (a) 3.0:1 for Nos. 466-472 New Canterbury Road and rear (approximately 9 m) part of Nos. 26-28 Hercules Street, Dulwich Hill, being Lots 1, 2, 3 and 4 DP542147, and rear (approximately 9 m) part of Lot 4 DP540366 and Lot 14 Sec 4 DP932; (b) 2.2:1 for Nos. 474-476 New Canterbury Road, and No. 34 Hercules Street (excluding the western (approximately 5.5m) part of No. 476 New Canterbury Road and the western (approximately 6.0-7.5m) part of No. 34 Hercules Street), Dulwich Hill, being Lots 1 and 2 DP540366 and Lots 3 and 7 DP236603 (excluding the western (approximately 5.5m) part of Lot 3 DP236603 and the western (approximately 6.0-7.5m) part of Lot 7 DP236603); (c) 3.3:1 for Nos. 478-480 New Canterbury Road, the western (approximately 6.0-7.5m) part of No. 476 New Canterbury Road, Nos. 36-38 Hercules Street, and the western (approximately 6.0-7.5m) part of No. 476 New Canterbury Road, Nos. 36-38 Hercules Street, and the western (approximately 5.5m) part of No. 34 Hercules Street, Dulwich Hill, being Lots 1, 2, 5 and 6 DP236603, the western (approximately 5.5m) part of Lot 3 DP236603, and the western (approximately 6.0-7.5m) part of Lot 3 DP236603, and the western (approximately 6.0-7.5m) part of Lot 3 DP236603, and the Western (approximately 6.0-7.5m) part of Lot 3 DP236603, and the Western (approximately 6.0-7.5m) part of Lot 4 DP540366 and Lot 14 Sec 4 DP932 not proposed to be zoned RE1 Public Recreation or B5 Business Development; and (e) No FSR indicated for the front (approximately 22 m) part of No. 26 Hercules Street, Dulwich Hill, being the front (approximately 22 m) part of No. 36 Hercules Street, Dulwich Hill, being the front (approximately 22 m) part of No. 36 Hercules Street, Dulwich Hill, being the front (approximately 22 m) part of No. 36 Hercules Street, Dulwich Hill, being the front (approximately 22 m) part of No. 36 Hercules Street, Dulwich Hill, being the front (approximately 6.0	
	7. The planning proposal, as altered by recommendations 2-5, be forwarded to the Minister for Planning for a Gateway determination in accordance with Section 56 of the Environmental Planning & Assessment Act 1979;  8. Council requests that delegated plan making functions be granted in relation to the planning proposal; and	

1	i		<b>a</b>
		9. Site specific planning controls be developed to apply to the future development at 466-480 New Canterbury	
		Road and 26-38 Hercules Street, Dulwich Hill, for inclusion in Part 9.18 (Precinct 18 – Dulwich Hill Station North)	
		of MDCP 2011 and that these be publicly exhibited concurrently	
		with the planning proposal.	
C0717 Item 13	101-103 Lilyfield Road Planning Proposal Report	1. Receive and note this report;	
25 July 2017		2. Complete the drafting of a voluntary planning agreement in consultation with the Proponent and exhibit the	1
•		Agreement in	
		accordance with the Environmental Planning & Assessment Act 1979;	
		3. Subject to resolution 2 above, request that an amendment to Leichhardt Local Environmental Plan 2013 be	
		drafted by Parliamentary Counsel in support of this Planning Proposal that seeks an FSR of 1:1 and 'restaurants or	
		cafes' and 'takeaway food and drink uses' up to 50sqm on the ground floor as additional permitted uses on the	
		land; and	4
		4. Include a height of building control that states the maximum height of	
		the development is 5 storeys or RL 35.73 to the top of the lift overruns.	Administrator
C0717 Item 14	Post Exhibition Report Draft Marrickville Local Environmental Plan 2011 (Amendment No. 13) - 34 Belmore	The report be received and noted; and	
25 July 2017	Street, Enmore	2. Council forwards the draft amendment to MLEP 2011 to the	
		Department of Planning & Environment seeking final approval and gazettal.	Administrator
C0717 Item 15	Amendments to Inner West DCP 2016	1. Council support the amendments to the "Comprehensive Inner West DCP 2016 Ashbury, Ashfield, Croydon,	
25 July 2017		Croydon Park, Haberfield, Hurlstone Park and Summer Hill" as indicated in this report and found in Attachment 1	
		for public	
I		exhibition;	Administrator
	+	Council place the Draft amendments and supporting documents on public exhibition in accordance with the	Administrator
i			
		requirements of the Act and Environmental Planning and Assessment Regulation 2000 (the	
		Regulation);	4
		3. The Interim General Manager be authorised to make any minor amendments to the Draft DCP content as	
		required for its exhibition that do not result in any significant policy changes; and	
		4. A post exhibition report be submitted for Council's consideration.	
C0717 Item 16	Marrickville Development Control Plan 2011 - Amendment to Part 2.22	1. Receive and note the report;	
25 July 2017	Flood Management	2. Adopt the updated Flood Planning Area Map in ATTACHMENT 1 and	
•		the updated Flood Liable Land Map in ATTACHMENT 2; and	
		3. Resolve to publicly exhibit draft Marrickville Development Control Plan 2011 (Amendment No. 7) to amend Part	
		2.22 – Flood Management to incorporate the updated Flood Planning Area Map in ATTACHMENT 1 and	
		the updated Flood Liable Land Map in ATTACHMENT 2.	
		the updated Flood Liable Land Map in ATTACHMENT 2.	A dual in interatory
C0717 Item 21	39 Smith Street Summer Hill -	Council note this report and response to submissions;	Administrator
25 July 2017	Planning Proposal	Council authorises the Interim General Manager to finalise the Planning	
		Proposal as outlined in this report;	_
		3. The Planning Proposal be referred pursuant to Section 59 of the	
		Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 (the Act) to the NSW Parliamentary Counsel Office to seek an	
		Opinion that the Plan may be made; and	
		4. Upon receipt of a Parliamentary Counsel Opinion, Council request the	
		Department of Planning and Environment to make the Plan.	Administrator
C1017 Item 33	Mayoral Minute: Carrington Road Planning Proposal	Opposes, in its current form, the Carrington Road rezoning proposal,	
12 October 2017	,	based on the vast range of impacts detailed in Council's letter to the proponent of 29 September 2017;	
12 0010001 2017		based on the vast range of impacts detailed in countries setter to the proportion of 25 september 2017,	
		2. Hold a public meeting on Thursday October 19 at 7:30 at Marrickville	1
		Town Hall to inform the community about the details of the Carrington Road rezoning proposal;	
		3. Reiterate its opposition to the Sydenham to Bankstown Strategy which	
		is the catalyst for the Carrington Road proposal;	ministrator  ministrator  ministrator  Motion: Crs Byrne, Drury, Hesse, Kiat, Lockie, Porteous, Stamolis, Steer a k Against Motion: Crs Da Cruz, Passas and Raciti sent: Councillor Iskandar
		4. Invite Mirvac to present to the October 19 Public Meeting on their	
		proposal; and	
		5. Seek advice from the relevant arts bodies about the impact of the loss of creative business in Carrington Road	York Against Motion: Crs Da Cruz, Passas and Raciti
		on the arts sector	Absent: Councillor Iskandar
C1117 Item 7	466-480 New Canterbury Road, 26-38 Hercules Street, Dulwich Hill - Planning Proposal	1. Receive and note this report;	
21 November 2017		2. Accepts the role of Relevant Planning Authority for the Planning	
		Proposal at 466-480 New Canterbury Road & 26-38 Hercules Street, Dulwich Hill;	
		3. Request the Department of Planning and Environment delegate to	
		Request the Department of Planning and Environment delegate to     Council the Plan Making functions to make the LEP amendment;	
		Request the Department of Planning and Environment delegate to     Council the Plan Making functions to make the LEP amendment;     Submits the Proponent's Planning Proposal to the Department of	For Mation: Ore Duran Do Crus Drups Horeo Vist Lockie Markenes CAM
		Request the Department of Planning and Environment delegate to     Council the Plan Making functions to make the LEP amendment;     Submits the Proponent's Planning Proposal to the Department of     Planning and Environment for a Gateway Determination; and	For Motion: Crs Byrne, Da Cruz, Drury, Hesse, Kiat, Lockie, McKenna OAM,
		3. Request the Department of Planning and Environment delegate to Council the Plan Making functions to make the LEP amendment; 4. Submits the Proponent's Planning Proposal to the Department of Planning and Environment for a Gateway Determination; and 5. Council reiterates our view that the maximum building height be no	Passas, Porteous, Raciti, Stamolis, Steer and York
		Request the Department of Planning and Environment delegate to     Council the Plan Making functions to make the LEP amendment;     Submits the Proponent's Planning Proposal to the Department of     Planning and Environment for a Gateway Determination; and	

C1117 Item 6	469-483 Balmain Road, Lilyfield -	1. Adopt a position of opposition to the rezoning proposed, based on the objections submitted previously by	
21 November 2017	Planning Proposal	Council officers;	
ZI NOVELIDEI ZOI/	Trialling Troposal	Note that the NSW Government Greater Sydney Commission and the Planning Panel have failed to undertake	
		community consultation prior to	
		progressing the proposal to this stage; and	
		Write to the above mentioned agencies seeking that any further	
		consideration of the proposal be deferred until proper community notification and consultation has taken place	For Motion: Crs Byrne, Drury, Iskandar, Macri, McKenna OAM, Raciti and York
		consideration of the proposal be deferred until proper community notification and consultation has taken place	Against Motion: Crs Da Cruz, Hesse, Kiat, Lockie, Porteous and Steer
C0218 Item 2	114-140 Parramatta Road/Ormond Street/Gower Street/Tideswell Street/Liverpool Road, Ashfield - Planning	1. Council not support the Planning Proposal for the reasons given in the report, including that:	
13 February 2018	Proposal	a) It fails the Strategic Merit test of the Planning Proposal Guidelines pursuant to Section 55(3) of the	
,		Environmental Planning and Assessment Act;	
		b) It is inconsistent with the local council strategy being the Ashfield Urban Planning Strategy 2010;	
		c) It is inconsistent with the Parramatta Road Corridor Urban Transformation Strategy and the recommended Lanc	
		Use Zoning and Maximum Height of Buildings and Maximum Floor Space Ratio standards, and outside the staging	
		period for development for 2016- 2023; d) A	
		significant part of the affected land is outside the Frame Area of the Implementation Plan of the Parramatta Road	
		Corridor Urban Transformation Strategy for redevelopment of land, and is not part of any local strategy;	
		e) It is inconsistent with Section 117 Direction – Clause 7.3 (4), in that it does not comply with the	
		recommendations of the Parramatta Road Corridor Urban Transformation Strategy, and has not produced a	
		"better outcome" pursuant to Section 117 Direction 7.3 (5);	
		f) It will lead to a substantial loss of employment and urban services generating land;	
		g) It will lead	
		to a substantial adverse impact on the character of the local area due to its significantly excessive height and	
		density, and will have an adverse social impact as demonstrated by the significant amount of objections to the	
		proposal; and	
		h) Support of the application would cause an adverse precedent and be contrary to the orderly development of	
		land and the objectives of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act.	
		land the objectives of the Environmental Flamming and Assessment Act.	
		2. Should the proponent request a Rezoning Review by the NSW	
		Department of	
		Planning and Environment, delegation is given to the Group Manager of Strategic Planning to lodge a submission	For Motion: Crs Byrne, Da Cruz, Drury, Hesse, Iskandar, Kiat, Lockie, Macri,
		to the review process in accordance	McKenna OAM, Passas, Porteous, Raciti, Stamolis, Steer and York Against
		with this report and Council's resolution;	Motion: Nil
		3. Notify the communities of Ashfield, Haberfield and Summer Hill of	
		Council's position; and	
		4. Convene a meeting at Ashfield Park/ Ashfield Bowling Club to inform	
		the community of Council's position.	
C0418 Item 6	Petersham RSL - Planning Proposal and Draft Development Control	THAT:	
10 April 2018	Plan for 3-7 & 13-17 Regent Street, 287-309 Trafalgar Street and 16-20 Fisher Street, Petersham - Post Exhibition		
	Report	Street and 16-20 Fisher Street, Petersham in accordance with the report;	
		2. Council liaise with the Department of Planning and Environment and	
		Parliamentary Counsel's Office to draft and finalise the LEP amendment;  3. Council delegate the making of the LEP amendment to the Group	
		Manager Strategic Planning:	
		4. Following completion of (3) above, request the Department of Planning	
		and Environment to notify the plan;	
		Council place any proposed VPA on public exhibition prior to the	
		finalisation of making the LEP amendment;	
		Council delegate the adoption of the Marrickville Development Control	
		Plan 2011 (Amendment No. 8) to amend Part 9.6 (Precinct 6) MDCP 2011 in accordance with the exhibited draft	
		and the revised figures and recommendations contained within this report to the Group Manager Strategic	
		Planning;	
		7. Council request the Proponent to work with Council's Traffic Engineer	1
		to provide detailed information to enable resolution of the exact location of the median strip and to undertake	
		consultation with Sydney Trains in regard to access to their training facility to determine what land may need to	
		be dedicated at 287-309 Trafalgar Street to ensure a left-in/left-out to the new Club without compromising	
		pedestrian safety and road efficiency as part of a future development application for Site 3;	
		Council place a notice in a local newspaper advising of commencement	
		of MDCP 2011 (Amendment No. 8);	
		9. Council notify those persons who made a submission in relation to the	
		draft MLEP and Draft MDCP 2011 to inform them of Council's resolution; and	
1		2.2. 2.2. 2.3. 2.3. 2.3. 2.3. 2.3. 2.3.	

		To 71	7
		10. The amended diagram tabled by the proponent, replace the relevant	
		diagram indicating the retail frontages in the plans to be adopted.	<b></b>
		11. Council in amending the Marrickville LEP, incorporate the	Motion Carried
		recommendation of the AEP in relation to restricting the location of the proposed outdoor gaming area away from	
		the street fronts of Trafalgar and Regent Streets.	Stamolis and York Against Motion: Crs Da Cruz, Hesse, Kiat, Porteous and Stee
C0418 Item 7 10/04/2018	Planning Proposal - 21 - 35 John Street, Leichhardt		
		Council not support the Planning Proposal for the reasons outlined in the report, including that:     a) It fails the Strategic Merit Test of the Guidelines for preparing Planning	
		Proposals pursuant to Section 3.33 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979; b) It is inconsistent with the Greater Sydney Region Plan 2018 and the	
		Eastern City District Plan 2018 in relation to retention of all industrial lands;	
		c) It is inconsistent with s.117 Direction 1.1 - Business and Industrial Zones	
		and 7.1 - Implementation of A Plan for Growing Sydney;	
		d) It is inconsistent with the Leichhardt Employment and Economic	
		Development Plan 2013 - 2023 and would result in loss of employment	
		and urban services land;	
		e) It is a departure from a consistently held strategic planning position in	
		former Leichhardt to resist rezoning industrial lands for residential	
		purposes;	
		f) The proposed built form controls sought through the Planning Proposal	
		are inappropriate due to adverse amenity impacts on the adjoining low	
		density residential area;	
		g) Support of this Planning Proposal is likely to result in an adverse	
		precedent and the associated loss of adjoining industrial sites in the	
		Moore Street South precinct;	
		h) In the context of persistent demand for a limited and decreasing supply	
		of industrial land, a rezoning would dilute Council's ability to provide	
		sufficient industrial land to accommodate future needs; and	
		i) It is inconsistent with Inner West Council's Affordable Housing Policy	
		(2016) for 15% affordable housing.	
		, ,	
		2. Should the proponent request a Rezoning Review by the NSW	
		Department of Planning and Environment, delegation is given to the Group Manager of Strategic Planning to lodge	
		a submission to the review process in accordance with this report and Council's resolution; and	
		3. In order to maximise Council's influence over this proposal site, should	Motion Carried For Motion: Crs Byrne, Da Cruz, Hesse, Kiat, Lockie, McKenna
		a Rezoning Review prove successful, Council accepts the role of Planning Proposal Authority (formerly Relevant	1
		Planning Authority) should the Department of Planning and Environment invite Council to perform that role.	OAM, Porteous, Raciti, Stamolis, Steer and York Against Motion: Nil Absent: Crs Drury, Macri and Passas
C0418 Item 7 24 April 2018	Planning Proposal and Draft Development Control Plan - 17 Marion Street, Leichhardt: Community Consultation Outcomes	report;	
		2. Liaise with the NSW Parliamentary Counsel's Office and the NSW	
		Department of Planning and Environment to draft and finalise the LLEP amendment;	
		3. Delegate the making of the LLEP to the General Manager;	
		4. Following the completion of (3) above, request the Department of	Motion Carried
		Planning and Environment to notify the Plan; and	For Motion: Crs Byrne, Da Cruz, Hesse, Iskandar, Kiat, Lockie, Macri, McKenna
		5. Adopt the draft Development Control Plan for 17 Marion Street,	OAM, Passas, Porteous, Raciti, Stamolis, Steer and York Against Motion: Nil
		Leichhardt.	Absent: Cr Drury
C0418 Item 12	Victoria Road Precinct, Marrickville - Development Control Plan Amendment	THAT:	
24 April 2018		1. The shadowing diagrams for Wicks Park within this report be included in the draft Victoria Road Precinct	
		(Precinct 47) amendment to the Marrickville Development Control Plan 2011 at Attachment 1;	
		2. Council resolves to publicly exhibit the draft Victoria Road Precinct (Precinct 47) amendment to the Marrickville	
		Development Control Plan	For Motion: Crs Byrne, Da Cruz, Drury, Hesse, Iskandar, Kiat, Lockie, Macri,
		2011 (April 2018 version) at Attachment 1; and	McKenna OAM, Porteous, Stamolis and Steer
		3. The post exhibition report be reported back to Council no later than the	Against Motion: Crs Passas and Raciti
		first ordinary meeting in June.	Absent: Cr York
	Post Exhibition Report -	THAT Council:	
C0418 Item 6	rost Exhibition Report -		

	LAncordon and A. Daniel 2 22 Florid Management		- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	Amendment to Part 2.22 Flood Management	2. Adopt Marrickville Development Control Plan 2011 (Amendment No. 7)	
		to update the Flood Planning Area Map and Flood Liable Land Map in Part	
		2.22 – Flood Management to incorporate the adopted flood studies for Johnstons	
		Creek, Alexandra Canal and Hawthorn Canal;	
		3. Place a notice in a local newspaper advising of the commencement of	
		Marrickville Development Control Plan 2011 (Amendment No. 7);	Motion Carried
		4. Notify those persons who made a submission in relation to Draft	For Motion: Crs Byrne, Da Cruz, Drury, Hesse, Iskandar, Kiat,
		Marrickville Development Control Plan 2011 (Amendment No. 7) to inform them of Council's decision; and	Lockie, Macri,
		5. Conduct an internal peer review of the flood study for a significant	McKenna OAM, Passas, Porteous, Stamolis, Steer and York
		sample of properties identified on Hawthorn Canal.	Against Motion: Nil
C0518 Item 1	Small Bars Proposed Amendment to Leichhardt Local Environmental	THAT Council:	
8 May 2018	Plan (LEP) 2013	1. Resolves to not withdraw the Small Bars LEP Amendment;	
,	, === ,	2. Writes to the Minister for Planning and the Department of Planning	
		and Environment seeking reconsideration of the issue and requesting reversion to the approach initially	
		supported by Parliamentary Counsel as outlined in the	Motion Carried
		report; and	For Motion: Crs Byrne, Da Cruz, Drury, Hesse, Iskandar, Kiat, Lockie, Macri,
		Prepare an amended proposal utilising a complying development	McKenna OAM, Passas, Porteous, Stamolis and Steer
00540.0		approval pathway if the Minister refuses to reconsider the Exempt Development Planning Proposal.	Against Motion: Nil Absent: Cr Raciti
C0518 Item 11	Voluntary Planning Agreement -	THAT Council enter into the Voluntary Planning Agreement for 168 Norton Street, Leichhardt provided in	
22 May 2018	168 Norton Street, Leichhardt	ATTACHMENT 1.	Motion Carried
			For Motion: Crs Byrne, Da Cruz, Drury, Hesse, Kiat, McKenna OAM, Porteous,
			Raciti, Stamolis, Steer and York Against Motion: Nil
			Absent: Crs Marci, Passas and Raciti
C0518 Item 12	Voluntary Planning Agreement -	THAT Council enter into the Voluntary Planning Agreement for 15-17 Marion Street, Leichhardt provided in	Motion Carried
22 May 2018	15-17 Marion Street, Leichhardt	ATTACHMENT 1.	For Motion: Crs Byrne, Da Cruz, Drury, Hesse, Kiat, Lockie, Macri, McKenna
			OAM, Passas, Porteous, Raciti, Stamolis, Steer and York Against Motion: Nil
C0518 Item 13	Voluntary Planning Agreement - Marrickville Metro - 34 Victoria Road	THAT Council enter into the Voluntary Planning Agreement for Marrickville Metro – 34 Victoria Road and 13-55	Motion Carried
22 May 2018	and 13-55 Edinburgh Road, Marrickville	Edinburgh Road, Marrickville provided in ATTACHMENT 1.	For Motion: Crs Byrne, Da Cruz, Drury, Kiat, Lockie, Macri, McKenna OAM,
.,			Passas, Porteous, Raciti, Stamolis and York
			Against Motion: Cr Hesse
C0718 Item 4	Amendment No. 14 to Leichhardt Local Environmental Plan 2013 - 101 -103 Lilyfield Road, Lilyfield	THAT:	<b>G</b>
24 July 2018	The second secon	1. Council resolve to make the amendment to Leichhardt Local Environmental Plan 2013 for 101 - 103 Lilyfield	
24 July 2010		Road, Lilvfield.	Motion Carried
		2. Council delegate the plan making function for the above amendment to the Group Manager Strategic Planning.	
		2. Council delegate the plan making function for the above amenument to the Group Manager Strategic Planning.	OAM, Porteous, Stamolis, Steer and York
		3. The Plan, once made, be forwarded to the NSW Department of Planning and Environment for publication.	Against Motion: Nil
		3. The Plan, once made, be forwarded to the NSW Department of Planning and Environment for publication.	ē
00740.0		T. 1. T.	Absent: Crs Passas and Raciti Motion Carried
C0718 Item 5	Post Exhibition Report - Review of Planning Proposal and Development Control Plan Amendment Fees	THAT Council:	
24 July 2018		1. Adopt the exhibited fees for planning proposals and development control plans amendments in accordance	For Motion: Crs Byrne, Da Cruz, Drury, Iskandar, Kiat, Lockie, Macri, McKenna
		with the provisions of Local Government Act 1993;	OAM, Porteous, Stamolis, Steer and York
		2. Amend the Fees and Charges FY2018/19 to reflect the new fee structure.	Against Motion: Nil
C0718 Item 6	Planning Proposal at 2-6 Cavill Avenue Ashfield	THAT Council defer consideration of the developer's Planning Proposal for 2-6 Cavill Avenue Ashfield pending	
24 July 2018		investigation of appropriate legal mechanisms by which Council can secure affordable housing as part of the	Motion Carried
		anticipated affordable housing	For Motion: Crs Byrne, Da Cruz, Drury, Iskandar, Kiat, Lockie, McKenna OAM,
		contribution.	Porteous, Stamolis, Steer and York Against Motion: Crs Macri and Raciti
			Absent: Cr Passas
C0718 Item 7	Victoria Road Precinct, Marrickville - Development Control Plan Amendment	THAT the matter be deferred pending a meeting with interested councillors, Department of Planning, the	
24 July 2018	,	Proponent and Council Officers. With the matter to be reported back to council within 1 month.	Motion Carried
,			For Motion: Crs Byrne, Da Cruz, Drury, Iskandar, Kiat, Lockie, Macri, McKenna
			OAM, Passas, Porteous, Raciti, Stamolis, Steer and York
			Against Motion: Nil
C0718 Item 10	Voluntary Planning Agreement -	THAT:	Motion Carried
		******	For Motion: Crs Byrne, Da Cruz, Drury, Iskandar, Kiat, Lockie, Macri, McKenna
24 July 2018	101-103 Lilyfield Road, Lilyfield	1. Council enter into the Voluntary Planning Agreement for 101-103 Lilyfield Road, Lilyfield provided in	
		ATTACHMENT 1; and	OAM, Porteous, Stamolis, Steer and York
		2. A draft Voluntary Planning Agreement Policy be reported back to Council no later	Against Motion: Nil
		by October 2018.	Absent: Crs Passas and Raciti
C0718 Item 11	Voluntary Planning Agreement -	THAT Council enter into the Voluntary Planning Agreement for Petersham RSL sites 1, 2 and 3 provided in	
24 July 2018	Petersham RSL	ATTACHMENT 1	Motion Carried
İ			For Motion: Crs Byrne, Drury, Iskandar, Lockie, Macri, McKenna OAM, Stamolis
İ			and York
			Against Motion: Crs Da Cruz, Kiat, Porteous and Steer
			Absent: Crs Passas and Raciti
	1	1	p

00040/0\ !! 44			1
C0818(3) Item 14 28 August 2018	Victoria Road Precinct, Marrickville, Development Control Plan Amendment	THAT Council adopts the Victoria Road Precinct Development Control Plan as exhibited.	Motion Carried For Motion: Crs Byrne, Drury, Iskandar, Macri, McKenna OAM, Passas, Raciti and York Against Motion: Crs Da Cruz, Hesse, Kiat, Lockie, Porteous, Stamolis and Steer
C0918(1) Item 12 11 September 2018	Post Exhibition Report - Draft Marrickville Local Environmental Plan 2011 Amendment - Sydenham Station Creative Hub	THAT:  2. Council forward the draft amendment to MLEP 2011 to the Department of Planning & Environment seeking final approval and gazettal;  3. The Inner West Police Local Area Command, Sydney Water, Create NSW and those persons who made a submission in relation to the revised Planning Proposal be advised of Council's decision;  4. Council resolve to publicly exhibit draft Marrickville Development Control Plan 2011 (Amendment No. 9) to amend Part 9.43 – Sydney Steel (Precinct 43) of MDCP 2011 to incorporate provisions relating to Sydenham Station Creative Hub, as detailed in ATTACHMENT 3 of this report; and  5. The additional permitted uses on such land to 'cafes, restaurants and	Motion Carried For Motion: Crs Da Cruz, Hesse, Kiat, Lockie, Macri, Passas, Porteous, Raciti, Stamolis and Steer Against Motion: Crs Byrne, Drury, Iskandar, McKenna OAM and York
C0918(1) Item 13 11 September 2018	Planning Proposal, Cyprus Club, 58-76 Stanmore Road, 2-20 Tupper Street and 3-9 Alma Avenue, Stanmore	small bars' proposal be considered as part of the LEP review.  THAT:  1. Council note the comments of the Local Planning Panel of 10 July 2018 on the Planning Proposal;  2. The attached Planning Proposal be forwarded to the Minister for Planning for a Gateway Determination in accordance with the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 with a recommendation that an additional traffic and street capacity study be provided prior to public exhibition;	Against Motion: Crs Byrne, Drury, Iskandar, Mickenna OAWI and York
		3. The Department of Planning and Environment be requested to delegate the plan making functions for the Planning Proposal to Council; 4. Following receipt of a Gateway Determination and compliance with its conditions by the proponent, the Planning Proposal and supporting documentation be placed on public exhibition for a minimum of 28 days and public authorities be consulted in accordance with the Determination;	
		5. A report be presented to Council on completion of the public exhibition, which will address submissions received; 6. Council negotiate a Voluntary Planning Agreement (VPA) with the proponent with the intention of exhibiting the VPA at the same time as the Planning Proposal;	
		7. The plans be amended before going in exhibition to comply with the height requirements ie no taller than 55 meters including aerials, cranes etc set out in the correspondence from Sydney Airport Corporation.  8. Council note there now may be the opportunity for Council to obtain an affordable housing contribution pursuant to s 7.32 of the EPAA Act as an alternative to accepting a VPA offer from the owner;  9. Council when forwarding the proposal to the Minister, request guidance from the Department on how Council may propose for this site an additional LEP amendment, supported by a scheme for dedications or contributions, pursuant to s 7.32 (old s 94F) of the EPAA Act, for the purpose of imposing a condition requiring the contribution of affordable housing under any future DA pursuant to s 7.32(2); and	Motion Carried
		10. Council receive a report in relation to this guidance as soon as practicable.	For Motion: Crs Byrne, Da Cruz, Drury, Iskandar, Kiat, Lockie, Macri, McKenna OAM, Passas, Porteous, Stamolis, Steer and York Against Motion: Cr Hesse Absent: Cr Raciti
C1018(1) Item 5 9 October 2018	Sydney Eastern City Planning Panel Report: DA201700185: 728 Princes Highway, Tempe	THAT:  1. Council make a submission endorsing the officers recommendations, in particular addressing the key objections raised by Council Officers, including: a. On Street Car Parking i. Up to 16 spaces along the northern side of Smith Street may be lost as a result of the proposal; ii. Further car spaces may be lost on the southern side of Smith Street near the Princes Highway as a result of the proposed signal configuration; and iii. Insufficient information submitted with the application to adequately identify the quantity of, and address the impact of the resultant loss of car parking on Smith Street. b. Traffic i. Queuing in Smith Street and the failure to identify measures to ameliorate the impact from the development; ii. The potential increase in traffic using Union Street; and iii. Insufficient information submitted with the application to adequately	Motion Carried For Motion: Crs Byrne, Da Cruz, Drury, Hesse, Kiat, Lockie, Passas, Porteous, Stamolis and Steer Against Motion: Nil Absent: Crs Iskandar and Macri

I	1	assess the impact of the development on the local street network in	1
		relation to increased traffic.	
C1018(2) Item 11	Planning Proposal for 2-6 Cavill	reductive mercases draine.	Motion Carried
30 October 2018	Avenue, Ashfield -		For Motion: Crs Byrne, Da Cruz,
	Supplementary Report on	THAT Council:	Drury, Hesse, Kiat, Lockie, Macri,
	Affordable Housing Delivery	1. Note this report and proceeds to amend Ashfield Local Environmental	McKenna
	Mechanisms	Plan (LEP) 2013 now as indicated in the report to Council 24 July 2018,	OAM, Passas, Porteous, Raciti,
		and implement the requirements of Clause 4.3A of the LEP by having	Stamolis and Steer
		future development consent conditions that ensure affordable housing is	Against Motion: Nil
		provided for management by a community housing provider while	Absent: Cr Iskandar
		remaining in the ownership of the developer or successor; and	
		2. Resolves that for sites within "Area 1" in the Ashfield Town Centre	
		identified on the Maximum Height of Building Map, where development is	
		approved pursuant to Clause 4.3 A (3) of the Ashfield Local Environmental	
		Plan 2013, Council confirms that that development consent conditions	
		should be applied to ensure	
		affordable housing is achieved and appropriately managed by a registered	
		community housing provider in perpetuity.	
C1018(2) Item 10	Planning Proposal Assessment	THAT:	Motion Carried
30 October 2018	Report - 1-5 Chester Street,	1. Council does not support the Planning Proposal for the reasons	For Motion: Crs Byrne, Da Cruz,
33 3310001 2010	Annandale	outlined in the report including that:	Drury, Hesse, Kiat, Lockie, Macri,
	7-initiations	a) It fails the Strategic Merit Test of "A guide to preparing planning	McKenna
		proposals" as it is inconsistent with key objectives and priorities of the	OAM, Passas, Porteous, Raciti,
		Greater Sydney Region Plan 2018; Eastern City District Plan 2018; and	Stamolis and Steer
		Parramatta Road Corridor Urban Transformation Strategy (PRCUTS) 2016.	Against Motion: Nil
			Absent: Cr Iskandar
		Specifically, the Planning Proposal is inconsistent with the following	Absent. Cr iskandar
		elements of PRCUTS: i. Policy context and the Strategy's vision for the	
		Corridor and especially for the Camperdown precinct which is for	
		residential development	
		including affordable, student and key workers accommodation to	
		support biotechnology and employment uses;	
		ii. Implementation Tool Kit including the Implementation Plan 2016-2023,	
		Planning and Design Guidelines, Infrastructure Schedule and Urban	
		Amenity Improvement Plan;	
		iii. Reference Reports including the Precinct Transport Report, Fine Grain	
		Study and Sustainability Implementation Plan;	
		iv. Exceeds the Planning and Design Guidelines recommended density by	
		73.3% without satisfactorily demonstrating that the proposal would	
		achieve better built form outcomes or design excellence; and	
		v. Does not meet the requirements of the Parramatta Road	
		Implementation Plan 2016 - 2023 'Out of Sequence Checklist' criteria. b) It	
		is inconsistent with the Ministerial Directions issued under Section 9.1 of	
		the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 including Directions	
		No. 1.1 - Business and Industrial Zones, 7.1 - Implementation of A Plan for	
		Growing Sydney and 7.3 - Parramatta Road Corridor Urban	
		Transformation Strategy;	
		c) It is inconsistent with the Inner West Council Community Strategic Plan	
		2018;	
		d) It is inconsistent with Leichhardt Employment and Economic Development Plan 2013 - 2023, Leichhardt	
		Employment Lands Study 2014 and Leichhardt Industrial Precinct Planning Report 2016 and would result in loss	
		ofemployment and urban services land;	
		e) It is premature in the light of the prospective outcomes of strategic planning studies and projects underway at	
		e) it is premitative in the prospective outcomes of strategic planning studies and projects underway at State and Local Government levels:	
		f) It does not demonstrate that it will make an adequate contribution towards the provision of affordable housing	.
			5
		which is inconsistent with the objectives of the Greater Sydney Region Plan 2018, Eastern City District Plan 2018	
		and Council's Affordable Housing Policy; and	
		g) Support of this Planning Proposal would result in a premature and adverse development precedent in the	
		Camperdown Precinct and for	
		other sites in the Parramatta Road Corridor Strategy area  2. Should the proponent request a Rezoning Review by the NSW Department of Planning and Environment,	-
		delegation is given to the Group Manager of Strategic Planning to lodge a submission to the review process in	
	1	accordance with this report and Council's related resolution.	

	İ	A County of a finish and a second to a first the County of the County of the County of the County of the County of the County of the County of the County of the County of the County of the County of the County of the County of the County of the County of the County of the County of the County of the County of the County of the County of the County of the County of the County of the County of the County of the County of the County of the County of the County of the County of the County of the County of the County of the County of the County of the County of the County of the County of the County of the County of the County of the County of the County of the County of the County of the County of the County of the County of the County of the County of the County of the County of the County of the County of the County of the County of the County of the County of the County of the County of the County of the County of the County of the County of the County of the County of the County of the County of the County of the County of the County of the County of the County of the County of the County of the County of the County of the County of the County of the County of the County of the County of the County of the County of the County of the County of the County of the County of the County of the County of the County of the County of the County of the County of the County of the County of the County of the County of the County of the County of the County of the County of the County of the County of the County of the County of the County of the County of the County of the County of the County of the County of the County of the County of the County of the County of the County of the County of the County of the County of the County of the County of the County of the County of the County of the County of the County of the County of the County of the County of the County of the County of the County of the County of the County of the County of the County of the County of the County of the County of the County of the County of	
		4. Council prioritise preparation of a Masterplan for the Camperdown	
		Precinct in collaboration with health and education stakeholders to support the development of innovative and	
		incubator biotechnology activities in the area.	
		5. Council resolves as the planning proposal authority for the Inner West LGA to not accept any new private	
		planning proposals in the urban renewal areas of Parramatta Road Corridor and Sydenham to Bankstown Corridor	
		until the completion of the Inner West LEP, DCP and Infrastructure Contributions Plan.	
		6. Council write to the Minister for Planning to request a similar arrangement to that provided for the City of Ryde	
		Council whereby the rezoning review process is suspended for planning proposals in the Parramatta Road and	
04040(0) !! 40	n	Sydenham to Bankstown Corridors from 30 October 2018 to 1 November 2020.	
C1018(2) Item 12	Planning Proposal - Precinct 75 -	THAT:	
0 October 2018	Mary, Edith, Roberts Streets, St	Council does not support the Planning Proposal in its current form for	
	Peters	the reasons given in the planning report, including inadequate retention	
		of existing levels of employment floorspace, stage agency submission on	
		the adequacy of the capacity of the local street system, adverse impacts	
		on residences, overdevelopment, and community concerns.	
		2. Council would support an amended proposal for: (i) Retention of the	
		existing IN2 - Light Industrial Use zone for the part of	
		the site affected by the ANEF contours of 25-30, as indicated in the map in	
		this report to prevent any residential use adversely impacted by aircraft	
		noise. The remaining part of the site being re-zoned to permit residential	
		and also employment generating uses;	
		(ii) Reduced Maximum Building Height to ensure future buildings will have	
		an appropriate scale and amenity impact on the existing house at Unwins	
		Bridge Road, and houses between Edith Street and Silver Street and on	
		Mary Street;	
		(iii) Reduced Maximum Floor Space Ratio to correspond with reduced	
		building heights in (ii); and	
		(iv) Include 3.6 meter landscaped buffer and an enclosed and sound	
		proofed structure for the ramp and exit to the car Park on Mary Street.	
		3. Council request the Department of Planning and Environment to confirm that it agrees with the recommended	
		amendments to the Planning Proposal in (2) above, and requests that Council:	
		- Make amendments to the Planning Proposal;	
		- Forward the amended Planning Proposal to the Department for an amended Gateway Determination;	
		- Produce a site specific Development Control Plan reflecting the amended Planning Proposal, and addressing the	
		matters identified in the planning report to Council.	
		4. Should the Department of Planning and Environment not accept Recommendation 2, and support the B4	
		zoning for the site, Council requests the Planning Proposal be amended for:	
		(i) B4 Mixed Use land use zone, together with a site specific clause in the Marrickville LEP 2013 to maintain at least	
		as much employment floorspace as currently exists on the site, retains historic buildings and prohibits any	
		residential use within the parts of the site affected by the ANEF 25-30 contours;	
		(ii) Reduced Maximum Building Height as identified in the Part 4.2 of the report to ensure future buildings will	
		have an appropriate scale and amenity impact on the existing house at Unwins Bridge Road, and nearby houses	
		between Edith Street and Silver Street, and	
		(iii) Reduced Maximum Floor Space Ratio to correspond with reduced	
		building heights as identified in Part 4.2 of the report.	
		5. The Department of Planning and Environment should be requested to confirm that Council should negotiate a	
		Voluntary Planning Agreement with the site owner, prior to publication of the Planning Proposal on the NSW	
		legislation website for the following:	
		(i) Provision of affordable housing in accordance with Council's Affordable Housing Policy.	
		(ii) Necessary road reservation improvements in Edith Street to cater for two way traffic access to the site, and	
		also to make public domain improvements identified in the report.	
		(iii) Necessary footpath provision in Mary Street to ensure there is	
		continuous safe pedestrian travel along the street. (iv) Provide for creative industries.	
		6. If the Department of Planning and Environment accepts Council's recommendations above in (3) and (4) the	
		preparation of an amended Planning Proposal and a site specific Development Control Plan is delegated to the	
		Group Manager Strategic Planning;	
		7. Council defer the assessment of the current Development Application on the site pending the outcomes of (6)	
		above;	
		8. Council defer the assessment of any Development Application for the site pending the adoption of a site	
		specific Development Control Plan in accordance with the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979, that	
	1	supports the content of the recommended future amendment to the Marrickville LEP 2011 and addresses the	
		issues identified in this report;	

		<u>-</u>
	9. If the Department of Planning and Environment accepts Council's recommendations above in (3) and (4),	
	Council will review the air quality analysis and continue to liaise with the RMS and the EPA regarding the air	
	quality impacts from the WestConnex stacks, especially in the light of evidence presented at the WestConnex	
	parliamentary inquiry and recent	
	media reports that contradict the RMS's assessment; and	
	10. Council notes in relation to possible VPA negotiations for affordable housing, that Council may also be able to	Motion Carried
	obtain an affordable housing contribution through a mandatory affordable housing contribution scheme, and that	For Motion: Crs Byrne, Da Cruz, Drury, Hesse, Kiat, Lockie, Macri, McKenna
	any future work on affordable housing contribution schemes should consider this	OAM, Porteous, Stamolis and Steer
	precinct.	Against Motion: Crs Passas and Raciti
C1218(1) Item 15 Balmain Leagues Club Precinct Development Control Plan Amendment	THAT:	0
11 December 2018	1. Council endorse the preparation of amended development control plan provisions for the Balmain Leagues	
Ti beceniber 2010	Club Precinct under Leichhardt Development Control Plan 2000 that reflect the recommendations of the urban	
	design and heritage analysis undertaken by Conybeare Morrison (CM+) and the peer review undertaken by SGS	
	Economics & Planning;	
	2. Once prepared, the amended development control plan be exhibited for a minimum of 28 days in accordance	
	with the Environmental Planning and Assessment Regulation 2000 in early 2019;	
		1
	3. Following exhibition, a report on the revised development control plan and any submissions be presented to	
	the Council for consideration;	-
	4. Council note the information provided by the Administrator of the Balmain Leagues Club about the proposed	
	Deed of Company Arrangement for the merger	
	of the Club and seek final confirmation of the outcome of this process prior to the DCP amendment being	
	reported back to Council for adoption;	
	<ol><li>Council reaffirm its opposition to the compulsory acquisition of the site by the NSW Government for</li></ol>	
	construction of the proposed Western Harbour Tunnel; and	Motion Carried
	6. Council reiterate its support for the planning proposal developed by Leichhardt Council in 2015 which reduced	For Motion: Crs Byrne, Drury, Iskandar, Lockie, Macri, McKenna OAM, Passas,
	the FSR to 1:9:1 and reduced the height to 6-8 storeys as the appropriate intensity of development on this site.	Raciti, Stamolis and York Against Motion: Crs Da Cruz, Hesse, Kiat, Porteous and
		Steer
C1218(1) Item 17 Amendment to Inner West DCP 2016 for 2-6 Cavill Avenue Ashfield	THAT Council adopt the site specific amendments for 2-6 Cavill Avenue, Ashfield to the "Inner West	
11 December 2018	Comprehensive Development Control Plan 2016 for Ashbury, Ashfield, Croydon, Croydon Park, Haberfield,	
	Hurlstone Park and Summer Hill" (DCP) as recommended in the report to Council of 24 July 2018 on the Planning	
	Proposal and DCP for the site, and:	
	a) Carry out the procedures under the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 for making the	
	amendment to the Development Control Plan; and	
	b) Place an advertisement in the local newspaper advising that Council has adopted the amendments to the	Motion Carried
	Development Control Plan, which will come into force in the event and at the time Planning Proposal	For Motion: Crs Byrne, Da Cruz, Drury, Hesse, Iskandar, Kiat, Lockie, Macri,
	PP 2017 IWEST 012 00 LEP amendment for 2-6 Cavill Avenue Ashfield is published on the Legislation website.	McKenna OAM, Passas, Porteous, Raciti, Stamolis, Steer and York Against
	FF_2017_IWES1_012_00 LEF afficiation and 2-0 Cavill Avenue Asimela is published on the Legislation website.	Motion: Nil
C1218(1) Item 21 Proposed Change to the Boarding House Provisions under State Environmental Planning	THAT:	Motion Carried
11 December 2018	1. The report be received and noted; and	For Motion: Crs Byrne, Da Cruz, Drury, Hesse, Iskandar, Kiat,
Policy (Affordable Rental	2. The report of received and noted, and	Lockie, Macri,
Housing) 2009 to Limit Boarding		
		McKenna OAM Passas Porteous
Houses in the P2 Low Density		McKenna OAM, Passas, Porteous, Stamplis Steer and York
Houses in the R2 Low Density	2. The Department of Planning and Environment he forwarded a convert	Stamolis, Steer and York
Residential zone to a Maximum	2. The Department of Planning and Environment be forwarded a copy of	Stamolis, Steer and York Against Motion: Nil
	this report as Council's response to the consultation and be advised that:	Stamolis, Steer and York
Residential zone to a Maximum	this report as Council's response to the consultation and be advised that: i. For the reasons detailed in the report, to ensure that the size and	Stamolis, Steer and York Against Motion: Nil
Residential zone to a Maximum	this report as Council's response to the consultation and be advised that:  i. For the reasons detailed in the report, to ensure that the size and intensity of boarding house developments are compatible with the R2	Stamolis, Steer and York Against Motion: Nil
Residential zone to a Maximum	this report as Council's response to the consultation and be advised that:  i. For the reasons detailed in the report, to ensure that the size and intensity of boarding house developments are compatible with the R2  Low Density Residential zone and to ensure that the design of all new	Stamolis, Steer and York Against Motion: Nil
Residential zone to a Maximum	this report as Council's response to the consultation and be advised that: i. For the reasons detailed in the report, to ensure that the size and intensity of boarding house developments are compatible with the R2 Low Density Residential zone and to ensure that the design of all new boarding houses is compatible with the scale and character of the	Stamolis, Steer and York Against Motion: Nil
Residential zone to a Maximum	this report as Council's response to the consultation and be advised that: i. For the reasons detailed in the report, to ensure that the size and intensity of boarding house developments are compatible with the R2 Low Density Residential zone and to ensure that the design of all new boarding houses is compatible with the scale and character of the surrounding local area, the limit on the capacity of boarding houses on	Stamolis, Steer and York Against Motion: Nil
Residential zone to a Maximum	this report as Council's response to the consultation and be advised that: i. For the reasons detailed in the report, to ensure that the size and intensity of boarding house developments are compatible with the R2 Low Density Residential zone and to ensure that the design of all new boarding houses is compatible with the scale and character of the surrounding local area, the limit on the capacity of boarding houses on such zoned land should	Stamolis, Steer and York Against Motion: Nil
Residential zone to a Maximum	this report as Council's response to the consultation and be advised that: i. For the reasons detailed in the report, to ensure that the size and intensity of boarding house developments are compatible with the R2 Low Density Residential zone and to ensure that the design of all new boarding houses is compatible with the scale and character of the surrounding local area, the limit on the capacity of boarding houses on such zoned land should be based on the maximum number of residents rather than the maximum	Stamolis, Steer and York Against Motion: Nil
Residential zone to a Maximum	this report as Council's response to the consultation and be advised that:  i. For the reasons detailed in the report, to ensure that the size and intensity of boarding house developments are compatible with the R2  Low Density Residential zone and to ensure that the design of all new boarding houses is compatible with the scale and character of the surrounding local area, the limit on the capacity of boarding houses on such zoned land should be based on the maximum number of residents rather than the maximum number of boarding rooms.	Stamolis, Steer and York Against Motion: Nil
Residential zone to a Maximum	this report as Council's response to the consultation and be advised that:  i. For the reasons detailed in the report, to ensure that the size and intensity of boarding house developments are compatible with the R2  Low Density Residential zone and to ensure that the design of all new boarding houses is compatible with the scale and character of the surrounding local area, the limit on the capacity of boarding houses on such zoned land should be based on the maximum number of residents rather than the maximum number of boarding rooms.  ii. As detailed in the report the boarding house provisions in the State	Stamolis, Steer and York Against Motion: Nil
Residential zone to a Maximum	this report as Council's response to the consultation and be advised that:  i. For the reasons detailed in the report, to ensure that the size and intensity of boarding house developments are compatible with the R2  Low Density Residential zone and to ensure that the design of all new boarding houses is compatible with the scale and character of the surrounding local area, the limit on the capacity of boarding houses on such zoned land should be based on the maximum number of residents rather than the maximum number of boarding rooms.	Stamolis, Steer and York Against Motion: Nil
Residential zone to a Maximum	this report as Council's response to the consultation and be advised that:  i. For the reasons detailed in the report, to ensure that the size and intensity of boarding house developments are compatible with the R2  Low Density Residential zone and to ensure that the design of all new boarding houses is compatible with the scale and character of the surrounding local area, the limit on the capacity of boarding houses on such zoned land should be based on the maximum number of residents rather than the maximum number of boarding rooms.  ii. As detailed in the report the boarding house provisions in the State	Stamolis, Steer and York Against Motion: Nil
Residential zone to a Maximum	this report as Council's response to the consultation and be advised that:  i. For the reasons detailed in the report, to ensure that the size and intensity of boarding house developments are compatible with the R2  Low Density Residential zone and to ensure that the design of all new boarding houses is compatible with the scale and character of the surrounding local area, the limit on the capacity of boarding houses on such zoned land should be based on the maximum number of residents rather than the maximum number of boarding rooms.  ii. As detailed in the report the boarding house provisions in the State Environmental Planning Policy (Affordable Rental Housing) 2009	Stamolis, Steer and York Against Motion: Nil
Residential zone to a Maximum	this report as Council's response to the consultation and be advised that:  i. For the reasons detailed in the report, to ensure that the size and intensity of boarding house developments are compatible with the R2  Low Density Residential zone and to ensure that the design of all new boarding houses is compatible with the scale and character of the surrounding local area, the limit on the capacity of boarding houses on such zoned land should be based on the maximum number of residents rather than the maximum number of boarding rooms.  ii. As detailed in the report the boarding house provisions in the State Environmental Planning Policy (Affordable Rental Housing) 2009  (ARHSEPP) do not apply to all land zoned R2 Low Density Residential zone	Stamolis, Steer and York Against Motion: Nil
Residential zone to a Maximum	this report as Council's response to the consultation and be advised that:  i. For the reasons detailed in the report, to ensure that the size and intensity of boarding house developments are compatible with the R2  Low Density Residential zone and to ensure that the design of all new boarding houses is compatible with the scale and character of the surrounding local area, the limit on the capacity of boarding houses on such zoned land should be based on the maximum number of residents rather than the maximum number of boarding rooms.  ii. As detailed in the report the boarding house provisions in the State Environmental Planning Policy (Affordable Rental Housing) 2009  (ARHSEPP) do not apply to all land zoned R2 Low Density Residential zone under the Standard Instrument. To address the issues identified in the	Stamolis, Steer and York Against Motion: Nil
Residential zone to a Maximum	this report as Council's response to the consultation and be advised that:  i. For the reasons detailed in the report, to ensure that the size and intensity of boarding house developments are compatible with the R2  Low Density Residential zone and to ensure that the design of all new boarding houses is compatible with the scale and character of the surrounding local area, the limit on the capacity of boarding houses on such zoned land should be based on the maximum number of residents rather than the maximum number of boarding rooms.  ii. As detailed in the report the boarding house provisions in the State Environmental Planning Policy (Affordable Rental Housing) 2009  (ARHSEPP) do not apply to all land zoned R2 Low Density Residential zone under the Standard Instrument. To address the issues identified in the report and to ensure that a limit on the size of boarding houses applies to	Stamolis, Steer and York Against Motion: Nil
Residential zone to a Maximum	this report as Council's response to the consultation and be advised that:  i. For the reasons detailed in the report, to ensure that the size and intensity of boarding house developments are compatible with the R2  Low Density Residential zone and to ensure that the design of all new boarding houses is compatible with the scale and character of the surrounding local area, the limit on the capacity of boarding houses on such zoned land should be based on the maximum number of residents rather than the maximum number of boarding rooms.  ii. As detailed in the report the boarding house provisions in the State Environmental Planning Policy (Affordable Rental Housing) 2009  (ARHSEPP) do not apply to all land zoned R2 Low Density Residential zone under the Standard Instrument. To address the issues identified in the report and to ensure that a limit on the size of boarding houses applies to all land zoned R2 Low Density Residential under the Standard Instrument—Principal Local Environmental Plan regardless as to whether	Stamolis, Steer and York Against Motion: Nil
Residential zone to a Maximum	this report as Council's response to the consultation and be advised that:  i. For the reasons detailed in the report, to ensure that the size and intensity of boarding house developments are compatible with the R2  Low Density Residential zone and to ensure that the design of all new boarding houses is compatible with the scale and character of the surrounding local area, the limit on the capacity of boarding houses on such zoned land should be based on the maximum number of residents rather than the maximum number of boarding rooms.  ii. As detailed in the report the boarding house provisions in the State Environmental Planning Policy (Affordable Rental Housing) 2009  (ARHSEPP) do not apply to all land zoned R2 Low Density Residential zone under the Standard Instrument. To address the issues identified in the report and to ensure that a limit on the size of boarding houses applies to all land zoned R2 Low Density Residential under the Standard Instrument—Principal Local Environmental Plan regardless as to whether or not that land is within an "accessible area", Clause 5.4 Controls relating	Stamolis, Steer and York Against Motion: Nil
Residential zone to a Maximum	this report as Council's response to the consultation and be advised that: i. For the reasons detailed in the report, to ensure that the size and intensity of boarding house developments are compatible with the R2 Low Density Residential zone and to ensure that the design of all new boarding houses is compatible with the scale and character of the surrounding local area, the limit on the capacity of boarding houses on such zoned land should be based on the maximum number of residents rather than the maximum number of boarding rooms. ii. As detailed in the report the boarding house provisions in the State Environmental Planning Policy (Affordable Rental Housing) 2009 (ARHSEPP) do not apply to all land zoned R2 Low Density Residential zone under the Standard Instrument. To address the issues identified in the report and to ensure that a limit on the size of boarding houses applies to all land zoned R2 Low Density Residential under the Standard Instrument—Principal Local Environmental Plan regardless as to whether or not that land is within an "accessible area", Clause S. 4 Controls relating to miscellaneous permissible uses should be amended to include	Stamolis, Steer and York Against Motion: Nil
Residential zone to a Maximum	this report as Council's response to the consultation and be advised that: i. For the reasons detailed in the report, to ensure that the size and intensity of boarding house developments are compatible with the R2 Low Density Residential zone and to ensure that the design of all new boarding houses is compatible with the scale and character of the surrounding local area, the limit on the capacity of boarding houses on such zoned land should be based on the maximum number of residents rather than the maximum number of boarding rooms. ii. As detailed in the report the boarding house provisions in the State Environmental Planning Policy (Affordable Rental Housing) 2009 (ARHSEPP) do not apply to all land zoned R2 Low Density Residential zone under the Standard Instrument. To address the issues identified in the report and to ensure that a limit on the size of boarding houses applies to all land zoned R2 Low Density Residential under the Standard Instrument—Principal Local Environmental Plan regardless as to whether or not that land is within an "accessible area", Clause 5.4 Controls relating to miscellaneous permissible uses should be amended to include an additional subclause reading as follows:	Stamolis, Steer and York Against Motion: Nil
Residential zone to a Maximum	this report as Council's response to the consultation and be advised that: i. For the reasons detailed in the report, to ensure that the size and intensity of boarding house developments are compatible with the R2 Low Density Residential zone and to ensure that the design of all new boarding houses is compatible with the scale and character of the surrounding local area, the limit on the capacity of boarding houses on such zoned land should be based on the maximum number of residents rather than the maximum number of boarding rooms. ii. As detailed in the report the boarding house provisions in the State Environmental Planning Policy (Affordable Rental Housing) 2009 (ARHSEPP) do not apply to all land zoned R2 Low Density Residential zone under the Standard Instrument. To address the issues identified in the report and to ensure that a limit on the size of boarding houses applies to all land zoned R2 Low Density Residential under the Standard Instrument—Principal Local Environmental Plan regardless as to whether or not that land is within an "accessible area", Clause S. 4 Controls relating to miscellaneous permissible uses should be amended to include	Stamolis, Steer and York Against Motion: Nil

	İ	capacity of the boarding house must not exceed 12 lodgers if the boarding	
		house is on land zoned R2 Low Density Residential."	
		iii. The ARHSEPP provisions relating to boarding houses should be	
		reviewed in a holistic manner rather than in the ad hoc approach	
		proposed to ensure that a genuinely affordable housing product results.	
C1218(1) Item 45	120C Old Canterbury Road, Summer Hill - VPA	proposed to ensure that a gentamery anortable nousing produce results.	
C1216(1) item 45	120C Old Canterbury Road, Summer Time - VFA		
		THAT the proposed Voluntary Planning Agreement for 120C Old	
		Canterbury Road, Summer Hill be:	
		1. Endorsed in principle, subject to The Yard 120C Pty Ltd (the	
		proponent):	
		a) Construct a park of approximately 300m2 located within the Land and	
		to provide rights of way for public access through the park to the	
		Greenway corridor and the Lewisham Light Rail station from Old	
		Canterbury Road and McGill Street;	
		b) Provide 2 studio units which will be allocated to Affordable Housing	
ĺ		units. The ownership of the units will be transferred to Inner West Council	
		at the completion of the project;	
		c) Community Office Space located within retail Ground Floor – 5 Year	
		Rental Agreement \$1 Peppercorn rent per year – 35sqm office area; and	
		d) Provide Council a payment of \$1,045,000 million to be used for public	
		works in the community and surrounding area (Inner West Council will	Motion Carried
		provide a summary of how this payment will be allocated at later date)	For Motion: Crs Byrne, Da Cruz, Drury, Hesse, Iskandar, Kiat,
		2. Placed on public exhibition for a minimum of 28 days; and	Lockie, Macri, McKenna OAM, Passas, Porteous, Raciti, Stamolis, Steer and York
		3. Reported back to Council after public exhibition	Against Motion: Nil
C1218(1) Item 24	Post Exhibition Report - Glebe	THAT Council enter into the Voluntary Planning Agreement for Glebe	Motion Carried
11 December 2018	Island Silos VPA		
11 December 2018	ISIANU SIIOS VPA	Island Silos provided ATTACHMENT 1.	For Motion: Crs Byrne, Da Cruz,
			Drury, Hesse, Iskandar, Kiat,
			Lockie, Macri,
			McKenna OAM, Porteous, Raciti,
			Stamolis and Steer
			Against Motion: Nil
			Absent: Crs Passas and York
C0219(2) Item 7	Planning Proposal - 67-75 Lords Road, Leichhardt	THAT:	
12 Febrary 2019			
		<ol> <li>Council not support the Planning Proposal for 67-75 Lords Road,</li> </ol>	
		Leichhardt for the reasons outlined in the report including that:	
		a) It fails the Strategic Merit Test of "A guide to preparing planning	
		proposals" pursuant to Section 3.33(2)(c) of the Environmental Planning	
		and Assessment Act 1979;	
		b) The proposal does not have merit and fails all of the six (6) criteria	
		when assessed against the Parramatta Road implementation Plan 2016 -	
		2023 'Out of Sequence Checklist'. In particular, the proposal:	
		i. Fails to satisfy Criteria 1 in that it does not adequately demonstrate that	
		it meets the strategic, land use and development objectives outlined in	
		the PRCUTS Implementation Plan and does not provide significant	
		delivery, contribution or benefits for the Strategy's Corridor wide and	
		Precinct vision. It is inconsistent with the recommended built form	
		recommendations and does not	
		demonstrate that the new development will achieve design	
		excellence. The Proposal is also out of alignment with the short term	
		growth projections identified in the strategy and does not	
		demonstrate any significant net community, economic and	
		environmental benefits for the Precinct;	
		ii. Fails to satisfy Criteria 2 in that the Integrated Infrastructure Delivery	
		Plan (IIDP) is inadequate because it is based on a concept plan for 235	
		dwellings in 23,158sqm of residential floorspace which at average large	
ĺ		residential flat building dwelling gross floor area sizes of 76.35sqm could	
		produce 303 dwellings at the development application stage;	
1	1		
		liii Fails to satisfy Criteria 3 in that the community engagement is	
		iii. Fails to satisfy Criteria 3 in that the community engagement is	
		<ul><li>iii. Fails to satisfy Criteria 3 in that the community engagement is inadequate, has not demonstrated that there is an appropriate level of support or agreement for the proposal and has not demonstrated</li></ul>	

adequate readiness in terms of the extent of planning or business case development for key infrastructure projects;

iv. Fails to satisfy Criteria 4 in that there is no certainty that the proposal

achieves or exceeds the sustainability targets identified in this Strategy;

Fails to satisfy Criteria 5 in that the proposal does not sufficiently demonstrate development feasibility analysis
to meet this criterion given the Economic Impact Assessment and the feasibility advice is flawed and contains
numerous assumptions, disclaimers and conclusions which are
not supported; and

not supported; and vi. Falls to satisfy Criteria 6 in that the proposal does not sufficiently demonstrate a land use and development scenario that aligns with and responds to market conditions for the delivery of housing and employment for 2016 to 2023

c) The Parramatta Road Corridor Transformation Strategy new dwelling

targets for the Taverners Hill Precinct can readily be met and surpassed without rezoning this site;

d) In the context of persistent demand and a low and decreasing supply of industrial land, a rezoning such as this would dilute Council's ability to provide sufficient industrial land to accommodate demand. The planning proposal would also result in:

i. inconsistency with the Leichhardt Industrial Lands Study 2014 (SGS, 2014), Leichhardt Employment and Economic Development Plan (EEDP) and the Leichhardt Industrial Precincts Planning Report (SGS, 2015);

ii. a net loss of jobs in the local government area;

iii. the loss of an economically viable employment precinct containing local services, light industrial and other non-industrial activities which contribute to the diversity of the economy, community activities and employment opportunities;

iv. a lack of merit when assessed against the criteria established by the Leichhardt Employment and Economic Development Plan 2013-2023; and

v. the lack of an appropriate Net Economic and Community Benefit Test as it does not address the wider issue of

e) It is inconsistent with the infrastructure sequencing of the PRCUTS and

the submitted Integrated Infrastructure Delivery Plan (IIDP) and the offer to enter into a Voluntary Planning Agreement (VPA) are unsatisfactory given the lack of adequate contributions;

f) It is inconsistent with numerous Ministerial Directions pursuant to Section 9.1 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 including Directions 1.1 - Business and Industrial Zones, 7.1 - Implementation of A Plan for Growing Sydney and 7.3 - Parramatta Road Corridor Urban Transformation Strategy;

g) It is inconsistent with the Inner West Council Community Strategic Plan: Our Inner West 2036 – Direction 2: Unique, liveable, networked neighbourhoods and Strategic Direction 3: Creative communities and a strong economy:

h) It is inconsistent with the following elements of the Parramatta Road Corridor Urban Transformation Strategy: Policy context and the Strategy's vision and key actions for the Corridor and Taverners Hill precinct including all seven (7) principles of the Strategy;

Implementation Tool Kit including the Implementation Plan 2016- 2023, Planning and Design Guidelines (including the Corridor wide.

built form and Taverners Hill Guidelines), Infrastructure Schedule and Urban Amenity Improvement Plan; and Reference Reports including the Precinct Transport Report, Economic Analysis, Fine Grain Study and Sustainability Implementation Plan.

i) It is premature in the light of the prospective outcomes of strategic

planning studies and projects underway at State and Local Government levels, particularly having regard to the lack of the Precinct-wide traffic study and supporting modelling which is required under the PRCUTS to be completed to consider the recommended land uses and densities, as well as future

WestConnex conditions, and identify the necessary road improvements

and upgrades required as part of any proposed renewal in the Precinct:
i) It does not make an adequate contribution towards the provision of

affordable housing as it is inconsistent with Council's Affordable Housing Policy 2016 which seeks a 15% contribution of gross floor area of the development as affordable housing and dedicated to Council in perpetuity;

k) It exceeds the Parramatta Road Corridor Urban Transformation

Strategy recommended density by 500m<sup>2</sup> without satisfactorily demonstrating that the proposal would achieve better built form outcomes or design excellence;

C0219(2) Item 8 12 February 2019	Planning Proposal - 120C Old Canterbury Road, Summer Hill	domain to be considered public space and overshadowing of open space.  2. Should the Proponent request a Rezoning Review by the NSW  Department of Planning and Environment, delegation is given to the Group Manager Strategic Planning to lodge a submission to the review process in accordance with this report and Council's related resolution.  THAT:  1. Council defer the item to receive a further report clearly addressing potential improvements to traffic, parking and sunlight access in relation to this planning proposal, including the need for the proponent to provide any	Motion Carried For Motion: Crs Byrne, Da Cruz, Drury, Hesse, Iskandar, Kiat, Lockie, Macri, McKenna OAM, Porteous, Raciti, Stamolis, Steer and York Against Motion: Nil Absent: Cr Passas
		further studies or evidence, and advice considering whether this site would be better rezoned as part of the LEP project;  2. The community be consulted to identify the primary issues for improvement for this report; and  3. A site meeting for councillors to meet with local residents and planning staff be arranged and that this meeting	Motion Carried For Motion: Crs Byrne, Da Cruz, Hesse, Kiat, Lockie, Porteous, Stamolis, Steer and York Against Motion: Crs Drury, McKenna OAM, Passas and Raciti Absent: Crs
C0319(1) Item 1 12 March 2019	Post Exhibition Report Draft Marrickville Local Environmental Plan 2011 (Amendment No. 17) - Heritage Listing of 73 and 73a the Boulevarde, Dulwich Hill	be addressed in the report.  THAT Council  THAT Council  1. Support the amendment to Marrickville Local Environmental Plan (MLEP) 2011 listing 73 The Boulevarde and 73A The Boulevarde as items of local heritage significance;  2. Forwards the planning proposal to the Department of Planning and Environment and request that it makes arrangements for the publication of MLEP 2011 amendment on the NSW Legislation Website; and  3. Delegate to the Group Manager Strategic Planning the authority to finalise the making of the MLEP 2011 amendment.	Iskandar and Macri  Motion Carried For Motion: Crs Da Cruz, Drury, Hesse, Kiat, Lockie, Porteous, Stamolis, Steer and York Against Motion: Crs Byrne, Iskandar, Macri, Passas and Raciti

C0319(2) Item 2 26 March 2019	Post Exhibition Report – 120C Old Canterbury Road, Summer Hill VPA	THAT Council enter into the Voluntary Planning Agreement for 120C Old Canterbury Road Summer Hill provided in ATTACHMENT 1.	Motion Carried For Motion: Crs Byrne, Da Cruz, Drury, Hesse, Kiat, Lockie, Macri, McKenna OAM, Passas, Porteous, Raciti, Stamolis, Steer and York Against Motion: Nil Absent: Cr Iskandar
C0319(2) Item 3 26 March 2019	120C Old Canterbury Road, Summer Hill - Post-Exhibition Report	THAT Council:  1. Amend the Ashfield Local Environmental Plan (LEP) 2013 for 120C Old Canterbury Road, Summer Hill, as indicated in the report, in the terms of recommendation (3) below;	Motion Carried For Motion: Crs Byrne, Da Cruz, Drury, Hesse, Kiat, Lockie, Macri, McKenna
		<ol><li>Liaise with the Department of Planning and Environment (DPE) and Parliamentary Counsel's Office to draft and finalise the LEP Amendment;</li></ol>	
		<ol> <li>Finalise the post-exhibited Voluntary Planning Agreement with the Proponent in accordance with the Environmental Planning &amp; Assessment Act 1979 (EP&amp;A Act);</li> </ol>	
		4. Following the completion of (3) above request DPE to notify the Plan; 5. Adopt the site specific amendments for 120C old Canterbury Road, Summer Hill in the "Inner West  Second Second Second Second Second Second Sec	
		Comprehensive Development Control Plan 2016 for Ashbury, Ashfield, Croydon, Croydon Park, Haberfield, Hurlstone Park and Summer Hill" recommended in the report:	
		6. Delegate the making of the LEP amendments and the adoption of the amended site specific Development  Control Plan as indicated in this report to the Group Manager Strategic Planning;	
		7. Note the concerns of the neighbouring residents and users of the childcare centre in relation to construction impacts, traffic, parking, over- shadowing and	
		solar access, note that it is constrained in its ability to refuse the planning proposal in its current form on the basis of these concerns, and attempt to address these concerns at the DA stage; and	
		<ol><li>Write to the Minister for Transport to re-emphasise the urgent need for additional light rail services in the area, which are already at capacity during peak times.</li></ol>	Stamolis, Steer and York Against Motion: Nil Absent: Cr Iskandar
C0319(2) Item 4 26 March 2019	Post Exhibition Report - Draft Marrickville Local Environmental Plan 2011 (Amendment No. 4)	THAT Council:  1. Adopt draft Marrickville Local Environmental Plan 2011 (Amendment No. 4), as amended by the recommendations contained in Tables 1, 2 and 3 in Attachment 10 to this report;	Motion Carried For Motion: Crs Byrne, Da Cruz, Drury, Hesse, Kiat, Lockie, Macri, McKenna OAM, Passas, Porteous, Raciti,
		recommendations contained in Tables 1, 2 and 3 in Attachment 10 to this report;  2. Forward draft Marrickville Local Environmental Plan 2011 (Amendment No. 4), as amended by Part 1 of this recommendation, to the Department of Planning	IOAM, Passas, Porteous, Raciti,
		and Environment seeking final approval and gazettal;  3. Request Roads and Maritime Services to expedite its investigations to determine the future of the SP2 Classified	
		Road reservations that currently apply to land in the Inner West Local Government Area and confirms the land currently identified for acquisition that is no longer required; and	
		4. Supports the removal of the proposal to zone land in and around Campbell St, St Peters as SP2 Classified Road and the proposals to zone land in James Street, Enmore and parts of 735-751 New Canterbury Road and 2 Kroombit	
C0540/3\ thank 3	COT40/3) News 2 Code on Frances City Plancing Page 1 Page 4 P 2004000473, 2, 7, 9, 42, 47	Street, Dulwich Hill as SP2 Local Road	Stamolis, Steer and York Against Motion: Nil Absent: Cr Iskandar
C0519(2) Item 3 28 May 2019	C0519(2) Item 3 Sydney Eastern City Planning Panel Report: DA201800173: 3-7 & 13- 17 Regent Street, 287-309 Trafalgar Street & 16-20 Fisher Street, Petersham	That Council make a submission to the City Eastern City Planning Panel in regards to Application 2018ECI013 DA (Petersham RSL redevelopment) stating that: Inner West Councillors believe that some of the proposed conditions with regards to Application 2018ECI013 contradict adopted Council policy positions and objectives	
		including:  The proposal to ban live music within the Club or to limit it to prior to 10:00pm. This proposal is at odds with the adopted Council policy to promote and support live music and to protect the existing use rights of live music	
		venues. The amenity of residents above the club can be protected by requiring the highest standard of noise insulation, allowing live music to continue. Inner West Councillors request that the Panel impose a condition	
		requiring noise insulation that will allow the Club to continue to host live music to the extent it does currently. The proposed reduction in hours of operation. To impose an entirely unanticipated reduction in operating hours at the final step in the approval process risks making the development financial unviable in a commercial and a risk management sense. Council in support of the night	
		time economy. As such an attempt to reduce the existing hours of use of the club is contradictory to this strongly supported policy position. Inner West Councillors instead call for the highest standards of noise insulation to be required as a condition instead, so that residential amenity can be upheld whilst allowing the Club to operate.	Motion Carried For Motion: Crs Byrne, Da Cruz, Drury, Iskandar, Kiat, Lockie, Macri, McKenna OAM, Porteous, Raciti, Stamolis and York Against Motion: Crs Hesse and Steer Absent: Cr Passas
C0619(2) Item 2 25 June 2019	Balmain Leagues Club Precinct Development Control Plan Amendment	THAT Council:  1. Adopts the proposed amendments to Part D of Leichhardt Development Control Plan 2000 (DCP 2000) as outlined in Attachment 3;  2. Debition wifes list against in the County County With the County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County County	Motion Carried  For Motion: Crs Byrne, Drury, Iskandar, Kiat, Macri, McKenna OAM, Passas, Raciti and York
		<ol> <li>Publicly notifies its decision in the Inner West Courier within 28 days; and</li> <li>Advises those who made submissions and the Secretary of the NSW Department of Planning, Industry and Environment (DPI&amp;E) of Council's decision.</li> </ol>	Against Motion: Crs Da Cruz, Hesse, Lockie, Porteous, Stamolis and Steer

C0619(2) Item 4	Doct Fuhihitian Donort Amondment to DCDs (Housekeening)	4 Adopt the arranged draft amondments or subjitited and other miner	
	Post Exhibition Report - Amendment to DCPs (Housekeeping)	1. Adopt the proposed draft amendments as exhibited and other minor	
25 June 2019		amendments as outlined in Attachments 1, 2 and 3 to align certain controls contained within the:	
		a. Marrickville Development Control Plan 2011;	
		b. Leichhardt Development Control Plan 2013; and	
		c. Comprehensive Inner West Development Control Plan 2016 for Ashbury, Ashfield, Croydon, Croydon Park,	
		Haberfield, Hurlstone Park and Summer Hill (Ashfield DCP).	
		2. Gives public notice of its decision with 28 days;	
		3. Write to those who made submissions and the Secretary of the NSW Department of Planning and Environment	
		advising them of Council's decision;	
		<ol><li>Include a savings provisions that states the following to each amended DCP:</li></ol>	
		"If an application has been made before the commencement of the amendment to this DCP in relation to land to	
		which the DCP applies, and the application has not been finally determined before that commencement, the	Motion Carried
		application must be determined as if the DCP had not commenced. All applications received after the	For Motion: Crs Byrne, Da Cruz, Drury, Hesse, Iskandar, Kiat, Lockie, Macri,
		commencement date of an amendment to the DCP are subject to the DCP as amended."	McKenna OAM, Passas, Porteous, Raciti, Stamolis, Steer and York Against
		5. DCP's be amended to include a provision that allows car sharing options within the whole LGA.	Motion: Nil
C0619(2) Item 6	Sydney Eastern City Planning Panel Report: DA201700185: 728- 750	That Council make a submission to the Sydney Eastern City Planning Panel (SECPP) objecting to the proposal on	
25 June 2019	Princes Highway, Tempe (Bunnings)	the following grounds:	
		i The increase in traffic impacts on the local road network ie Union Street, Smith Street, Foreman Street and South	n e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e
		Streets with customers seeking alternate routes on exit from the site;	
		ii The loss of on-street parking along Princes Highway and Smith Street. Should the development be supported by	Motion Carried
		the Panel, Council insists a condition be imposed on any consent granted requiring a Local Area Traffic	For Motion: Crs Byrne, Da Cruz, Drury, Hesse, Kiat, Lockie, McKenna OAM,
		Management study be submitted to Council's satisfaction addressing the works required to be undertaken	Porteous, Raciti, Stamolis, Steer and York
		to combat any adverse traffic impacts as a result of the proposal.	Against Motion: Nil
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Absent: Crs Macri, Passas and Iskandar
C0719(2) Item 3	Sydney Eastern City Planning Panel Report: DA201700558: 1-9 Rich Street, Marrickville	THAT Council endorse the staff's supplementary report in relation to the proposal	Motion Carried
23 July 2019			For Motion: Crs Byrne, Da Cruz, Drury, Iskandar, Lockie, Macri, McKenna OAM,
,			Passas, Porteous, Raciti, Stamolis, Steer and York Against Motion: Crs Hesse
			and Kiat
C0819(2) Item 2	Sydney Eastern City Planning Panel Report: DA10.2018.220: 74	THAT:	
27 August 2019	– 75 Carlton Crescent Summer Hill	1. A submission be made to the Sydney East City Planning Panel in relation to DA	
		10.2018.220 74-75 Carlton Crescent Summer Hill	
		2. The submission include the reasons for refusal as outlines in the officers assessment report; and	
		3. The development be refused for the following reasons:	<del>-</del>
		The proposal does not satisfy the conditions of the:	
		a. SEPP ARH Clause 29 (2)(e) which requires 0.5 parking spaces for each room; b. The inner West Comprehensive	Motion Carried
		DCP 2016 requires a minimum of one parking	For Motion: Crs Byrne, Da Cruz, Hesse, Iskandar, Lockie, Macri, McKenna OAM,
		space per staff member; and	Raciti, Stamolis, Steer and York Against Motion: Nil
			Absent: Crs Kiat and Passas
		c. The BCC and NCC codes for disabled spaces for a Class 3 development requires at least one car space.  4. Council's submission also request that the following matters be dealt	Absent. Cis Nat and 1 assas
		with in the determination:	
		Cross-ventilation	
		Natural drying of washing Flood/WSUD	
		Bicycle path access and signage between storage and cycle-route and station	
		Bicycle charging facilities	
		Food waste management plan.	-
1		5. If the panel decides to approve the development that the following conditions	
		be added:	
		a. The footpath from the site to the SH hotel car park driveway, be upgraded to be fully accessible, including grass	
		verge; and	
		b. That the fire hydrant and associated infrastructure be located in a recessed area, enclosed and appropriately	
		sign posted	
C1019(1) Item 6	Planning Proposal for 36 Lonsdale Street and 64-70 Brenan Street, Lilyfield	1. Council does not support the proponent's Planning Proposal for the reasons recommended to the IWLPP	
8 October 2019		identified in Attachment 1;	4
		<ol><li>Council does not support the amended Planning Proposal prepared by</li></ol>	Motion Carried
		Council officers and recommended by the IWLPP in Attachment 2 and 3; and	For Motion: Crs Byrne, Da Cruz, Hesse, Lockie, Porteous, Stamolis and Steer
		3. A new Planning Proposal be developed which fully addresses the concerns of neighbours with regards to	Against Motion: Crs Drury, Kiat, Macri, McKenna OAM, Raciti and York
		overlooking and overshadowing of their properties.	Absent: Crs Iskandar and Passas
C1219(1) Item 5	Planning Proposal - 245 Marion Street, Leichhardt	THAT Council:	
10 December 2019		1. Not support the Planning Proposal for 245 Marion Street, Leichhardt for the	
		reasons recommended in the Council officers' assessment report (Attachment1); and	
		2. Should the Proponent request a Rezoning Review by the NSW	7
			Motion Carried For Motion: Crs Byrne, Da Cruz, Drury, Hesse, Kiat, Lockie,
		Department of	iviolion carried For iviolion: Crs Byrne, Da Cruz, Drury, nesse, Kiat, Lockie,
		Department of Planning, Industry and Environment (DPIE), delegation is given to the Senior	Macri, McKenna OAM, Passas, Porteous, Raciti, Stamolis, Steer and York

C0320(1) Item 6	Sydney Eastern City Planning Panel Report: DA201900096 – 182-198 Victoria Road and 28-30 Faversham Street,	THAT Council support the staff submission and delete the requirement for 'no right	
3 March 2020	Marrickville	turn' in the AM peak into the development from Victoria Road and review in 12	
		months.	Motion Carried
			For Motion: Crs Byrne, Drury, Iskandar, Macri, McKenna OAM, Passas, Raciti,
			Stamolis and York
			Against Motion: Crs Da Cruz, Hesse, Lockie, Porteous and Steer Absent: Cr Kiat
C0420(2) Item 11	Precinct 75, St Peters – Voluntary Planning Agreement	THAT the proposed Voluntary Planning Agreement for Precinct 75, St Peters (Site 73-83 Mary, 50-52 Edith & 43	
28 April 2020		Roberts Streets, St Peters) be:	
		1. Endorsed in principle, subject to JVM Holdings Pty Ltd (the proponent):	
		a) Dedication of fully fitted out Artist Studios of more than 239m2 in size;	
		b) At least half of the monetary contribution of \$2,000,000 to be used for affordable housing or public domain	
		upgrades be used for affordable housing by way of contribution to the affordable housing fund;	Motion Carried
		c) Central open public space of more than 600m2 in size; and d) Pocket Park open space on Roberts Street.	For Motion: Crs Byrne, Da Cruz, Drury, Hesse, Iskandar, Kiat, Lockie, Macri,
		2. Placed on public exhibition for a minimum of 28 days; and	McKenna OAM, Raciti, Stamolis, Steer and York Against Motion: Cr Porteous
		3. Reported back to Council after public exhibition.	Absent: Cr Passas
C0520(2) Item 2	Planning Proposal - 1-5 Chester Street, Annandale	THAT Council: 1. Support the Planning Proposal for 1-5 Chester Street Annandale and the associated site-specific	
26 May 2020		Leichhardt Development Control Plan (DCP) 2013 amendment for the reasons recommended in the Council	
		officers' assessment report with the following amendments:	
		a) The draft DCP be amended to require a 4 Star Building Rating for the Residential Component (including natural	
		drying facilities;	
		b) The planning proposal and DCP address the noise and privacy impacts on adjacent residential properties by	
		amending Planning Proposal provisions:	
		I. In Part 2 page 16 to "Development consent must not be granted under clause (3) unless the consent authority is	
		satisfied that the development will not result in significant adverse amenity impacts, such as noise and loss of	
		privacy, on the surrounding neighbourhood."; and	
		II. And including DCP Provisions: Section G11.10 Visual and Acoustic Privacy Objective O2 and G11.8 Student	
		Accommodation Objective 2 and following provisions "Boarding house is to be designed to minimise and mitigate	
		any impacts on the visual and acoustic privacy of neighbouring buildings by locating: the main entry point at the	
		front of the site, away from side boundary areas near adjoining properties; screen fencing, plantings, and acoustic	
		barriers in appropriate locations; and double glazed windows where noise transmission affects neighbouring	
		buildings."	
		c) The exhibition of the planning proposal to clearly set out what is permitted in the current zoning and what will	
		be permitted in the proposed zoning; and	
		d) The exhibition of the planning proposal be notified in hardcopy to surrounding properties in Chester Street	
		(East and West), Taylor Street (south of Booth Street), Susan Street and Susan Lane, Nelson Street, Gehrig Lane,	
		Guihen Street, Gordon and Water Streets.	
		Forward the Planning Proposal to Minister for Planning and Public	=
		Spaces for a Gateway Determination in accordance with Section 3.33 of the Environmental Planning & Assessmen	Motion Carried
		Act 1979:	For Motion: Crs Byrne, Da Cruz, Drury, Hesse, Iskandar, Kiat, Lockie, Macri,
		3. Request the Minister for Planning and Public Spaces to delegate the	McKenna OAM, Passas, Raciti, Stamolis, Steer and York Against Motion: Cr
		plan-making functions for the Planning Proposal to Council;	Porteous
		4. Subject to receiving a favourable Gateway Determination, place the Planning Proposal and draft DCP	Torcous
		amendment on public exhibition to meet the requirements of the Gateway Determination and Environmental	
		Planning and Assessment Act	
		1979: and	
		5. Receive a post exhibition report for its consideration.	1
C0520(2) Item 15	1-5 Chester Street, Annandale – Voluntary Planning Agreement	THAT the proposed Voluntary Planning Agreement for 1-5 Chester Street, Annandale be:	
26 May 2020		Endorsed in principle, subject to Corvas Pty Ltd (the proponent):	
20 11147 2020		a) Stratum dedication of through site link;	
		b) Works in kind and embellishment for though site link; and	
		c) Monetary contribution of \$95,000.	
		Placed on public exhibition for a minimum of 28 days;	Motion Carried
		Reported back to Council after public exhibition; and	For Motion: Crs Byrne, Da Cruz, Drury, Hesse, Iskandar, Kiat, Lockie, McKenna
		The monetary contribution component of the VPA be dedicated to the	OAM, Stamolis, Steer and York Against Motion: Crs Macri, Passas, Porteous and
		Affordable Housing Fund in accordance with Council's Affordable Housing Policy.	Raciti
C0620(3) Item 1	Deferred Post Exhibition Report – Draft Inner West Local Environmental Plan 2020 And Associated DCP	THAT Council:	
23 June 2020	Amendments	Endorse the planning proposal to facilitate the draft Inner West Local Environmental Plan 2020 (LEP 2020) as	
		exhibited with minor amendments outlined in Attachment 1 and as amended to correct administrative errors	
		identified when mapping Schedule 5 Environmental Heritage;	
		Notes that Council does not have delegation to make the LEP,	1
		submit the planning proposal as amended by Part 1 of this resolution to the Department of Planning Industry and	
		Environment for making:	

		comply with the requirements of that Determination as well as Council receiving a post exhibition report for its	McKenna OAM, Porteous, Raciti, Stamolis, Steer and York
COC20/2) It 4	Planeira Proposal 2C Landela Charles and CA 70 Proposa Charles Liberal	consideration.	Against Motion: Nil
C0620(3) Item 4 23 June 2020	Planning Proposal - 36 Lonsdale Street and 64-70 Brenan Street, Lilyfield	THAT Council:  1. Endorse and forward the amended Planning Proposal prepared by Council officers for 36 Lonsdale Street and	
		64-70 Brenan Street, Lilyfield for the reasons set out in Attachment 1 to the Department of Planning Industry and	
		Environment (DPIE) for a Gateway determination under section 3.34 of Environmental Planning and Assessment	
		Act 1979;  2. Request delegation of the plan-making functions for this planning proposal to the Chief Executive Officer; and	-
		2. Request delegation of the plan-making functions for this planning proposal to the chief executive officer, and	Motion Carried
		3. Following receipt of a favourable Gateway Determination, place the planning proposal on public exhibition to	For Motion: Crs Byrne, Da Cruz, Drury, Hesse, Iskandar, Kiat, Lockie, Macri,
		comply with the requirements of that Determination and as well as Council receiving a post exhibition report for	McKenna OAM, Porteous, Raciti, Stamolis, Steer and York Against Motion: Nil Absent: Cr Passas
C0620(3) Item 7	Precinct 75, St Peters Voluntary Planning Agreement public exhibition	its consideration.  THAT Council:	Against Motion: Nii Absent: Cr Passas
23 June 2020	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1. Enter into the Voluntary Planning Agreement for Precinct 75, St Peters, as provided in Attachment 1 to this	
		report;	
		2. Note that the DCP will require the development to include affordable housing, and that this is VPA entirely	Motion Carried
		separate and in no way contributes to this requirement; and	For Motion: Crs Byrne, Da Cruz, Drury, Hesse, Iskandar, Kiat, Lockie, Macri,
		3. Review its consultation process for Voluntary Planning Agreements to see where improvements could be	McKenna OAM, Passas, Raciti, Stamolis, Steer and York
C0620(3) Item 17	1-13 Parramatta Road, Annandale - Voluntary Planning Agreement	made. THAT Council:	Against Motion: Cr Porteous
CU62U(3) Item 1/	1-15 Parramatta Koad, Annandale - Voluntary Planning Agreement		
221 2222		THAT the proposed Voluntary Planning Agreement for 1-13 Parramatta Road, Annandale be:	
23 June 2020			
23 June 2020		1. Endorsed in principle, subject to the proponent providing:	
23 June 2020		a) Land dedication (McCarthy Lane) – 67.991m2;  a) Land dedication (McCarthy Lane) – 67.991m2;	
23 June 2020		a) Land dedication (McCarthy Lane) – 67.991m2;	
23 June 2020		a) Land dedication (McCarthy Lane) – 67.991m2;     b) Pedestrian Corridor (Johnston's Creek) 3500mm wide dedication stratum of 168.04 square metres; and	Motion Carried
23 June 2020		<ul> <li>a) Land dedication (McCarthy Lane) – 67.991m2;</li> <li>b) Pedestrian Corridor (Johnston's Creek) 3500mm wide dedication stratum of 168.04 square metres; and</li> <li>c) Works in kind which include public stairs, a lift and embellishments works</li> </ul>	
23 June 2020		<ul> <li>a) Land dedication (McCarthy Lane) – 67.991m2;</li> <li>b) Pedestrian Corridor (Johnston's Creek) 3500mm wide dedication stratum of 168.04 square metres; and</li> <li>c) Works in kind which include public stairs, a lift and embellishments works</li> <li>2. Place the Voluntary Planning Agreement on public exhibition for a minimum of 28 days and receive a further</li> </ul>	For Motion: Crs Byrne, Da Cruz, Drury, Hesse, Iskandar, Kiat, Lockie, Macri,
23 June 2020		<ul> <li>a) Land dedication (McCarthy Lane) – 67.991m2;</li> <li>b) Pedestrian Corridor (Johnston's Creek) 3500mm wide dedication stratum of 168.04 square metres; and</li> <li>c) Works in kind which include public stairs, a lift and embellishments works</li> </ul>	For Motion: Crs Byrne, Da Cruz, Drury, Hesse, Iskandar, Kiat, Lockie, Macri, McKenna OAM, Passas, Raciti, Stamolis, Steer and York
23 June 2020		<ul> <li>a) Land dedication (McCarthy Lane) – 67.991m2;</li> <li>b) Pedestrian Corridor (Johnston's Creek) 3500mm wide dedication stratum of 168.04 square metres; and</li> <li>c) Works in kind which include public stairs, a lift and embellishments works</li> <li>2. Place the Voluntary Planning Agreement on public exhibition for a minimum of 28 days and receive a further report after the</li> </ul>	For Motion: Crs Byrne, Da Cruz, Drury, Hesse, Iskandar, Kiat, Lockie, Macri, McKenna OAM, Passas, Raciti, Stamolis, Steer and York
	Diagona Proposal 12 EE Ediphurch Pood Marrishville	<ul> <li>a) Land dedication (McCarthy Lane) – 67.991m2;</li> <li>b) Pedestrian Corridor (Johnston's Creek) 3500mm wide dedication stratum of 168.04 square metres; and</li> <li>c) Works in kind which include public stairs, a lift and embellishments works</li> <li>2. Place the Voluntary Planning Agreement on public exhibition for a minimum of 28 days and receive a further report after the exhibition period.</li> </ul>	For Motion: Crs Byrne, Da Cruz, Drury, Hesse, Iskandar, Kiat, Lockie, Macri,
C0720(1) Item 3	Planning Proposal - 13-55 Edinburgh Road, Marrickville	a) Land dedication (McCarthy Lane) – 67.991m2; b) Pedestrian Corridor (Johnston's Creek) 3500mm wide dedication stratum of 168.04 square metres; and c) Works in kind which include public stairs, a lift and embellishments works 2. Place the Voluntary Planning Agreement on public exhibition for a minimum of 28 days and receive a further report after the exhibition period.  THAT Council:	For Motion: Crs Byrne, Da Cruz, Drury, Hesse, Iskandar, Kiat, Lockie, Macri, McKenna OAM, Passas, Raciti, Stamolis, Steer and York Against Motion:Cr Porteous
	Planning Proposal - 13-55 Edinburgh Road, Marrickville	<ul> <li>a) Land dedication (McCarthy Lane) – 67.991m2;</li> <li>b) Pedestrian Corridor (Johnston's Creek) 3500mm wide dedication stratum of 168.04 square metres; and</li> <li>c) Works in kind which include public stairs, a lift and embellishments works</li> <li>2. Place the Voluntary Planning Agreement on public exhibition for a minimum of 28 days and receive a further report after the exhibition period.</li> </ul>	For Motion: Crs Byrne, Da Cruz, Drury, Hesse, Iskandar, Kiat, Lockie, Macri, McKenna OAM, Passas, Raciti, Stamolis, Steer and York Against Motion:Cr Porteous
C0720(1) Item 3	Planning Proposal - 13-55 Edinburgh Road, Marrickville	a) Land dedication (McCarthy Lane) – 67.991m2; b) Pedestrian Corridor (Johnston's Creek) 3500mm wide dedication stratum of 168.04 square metres; and c) Works in kind which include public stairs, a lift and embellishments works  2. Place the Voluntary Planning Agreement on public exhibition for a minimum of 28 days and receive a further report after the exhibition period.  THAT Council:  1. Endorse and forward the planning proposal prepared by Council Officers for 13-55 Edinburgh Road Marrickville	For Motion: Crs Byrne, Da Cruz, Drury, Hesse, Iskandar, Kiat, Lockie, Macri, McKenna OAM, Passas, Raciti, Stamolis, Steer and York Against Motion:Cr Porteous
C0720(1) Item 3	Planning Proposal - 13-55 Edinburgh Road, Marrickville	a) Land dedication (McCarthy Lane) – 67.991m2; b) Pedestrian Corridor (Johnston's Creek) 3500mm wide dedication stratum of 168.04 square metres; and c) Works in kind which include public stairs, a lift and embellishments works  2. Place the Voluntary Planning Agreement on public exhibition for a minimum of 28 days and receive a further report after the exhibition period.  THAT Council:  1. Endorse and forward the planning proposal prepared by Council Officers for 13-55 Edinburgh Road Marrickville (Attachment 1) to the Department of Planning, Industry and Environment (DPIE) for a Gateway Determination in	For Motion: Crs Byrne, Da Cruz, Drury, Hesse, Iskandar, Kiat, Lockie, Macri, McKenna OAM, Passas, Raciti, Stamolis, Steer and York Against Motion:Cr Porteous
C0720(1) Item 3	Planning Proposal - 13-55 Edinburgh Road, Marrickville	a) Land dedication (McCarthy Lane) – 67.991m2; b) Pedestrian Corridor (Johnston's Creek) 3500mm wide dedication stratum of 168.04 square metres; and c) Works in kind which include public stairs, a lift and embellishments works  2. Place the Voluntary Planning Agreement on public exhibition for a minimum of 28 days and receive a further report after the exhibition period.  THAT Council:  1. Endorse and forward the planning proposal prepared by Council Officers for 13-55 Edinburgh Road Marrickville (Attachment 1) to the Department of Planning, Industry and Environment (DPIE) for a Gateway Determination in accordance with Section 3.34 of the Environmental Planning & Assessment Act 1979;	For Motion: Crs Byrne, Da Cruz, Drury, Hesse, Iskandar, Kiat, Lockie, Macri, McKenna OAM, Passas, Raciti, Stamolis, Steer and York Against Motion:Cr Porteous
C0720(1) Item 3	Planning Proposal - 13-55 Edinburgh Road, Marrickville	a) Land dedication (McCarthy Lane) – 67.991m2; b) Pedestrian Corridor (Johnston's Creek) 3500mm wide dedication stratum of 168.04 square metres; and c) Works in kind which include public stairs, a lift and embellishments works  2. Place the Voluntary Planning Agreement on public exhibition for a minimum of 28 days and receive a further report after the exhibition period.  THAT Council:  1. Endorse and forward the planning proposal prepared by Council Officers for 13-55 Edinburgh Road Marrickville (Attachment 1) to the Department of Planning, Industry and Environment (DPIE) for a Gateway Determination in	For Motion: Crs Byrne, Da Cruz, Drury, Hesse, Iskandar, Kiat, Lockie, Macri, McKenna OAM, Passas, Raciti, Stamolis, Steer and York Against Motion:Cr Porteous
C0720(1) Item 3	Planning Proposal - 13-55 Edinburgh Road, Marrickville	a) Land dedication (McCarthy Lane) – 67.991m2; b) Pedestrian Corridor (Johnston's Creek) 3500mm wide dedication stratum of 168.04 square metres; and c) Works in kind which include public stairs, a lift and embellishments works 2. Place the Voluntary Planning Agreement on public exhibition for a minimum of 28 days and receive a further report after the exhibition period.  THAT Council: 1. Endorse and forward the planning proposal prepared by Council Officers for 13-55 Edinburgh Road Marrickville (Attachment 1) to the Department of Planning, Industry and Environment (DPIE) for a Gateway Determination in accordance with Section 3.34 of the Environmental Planning & Assessment Act 1979; 2. Request delegation of the plan-making functions for this	For Motion: Crs Byrne, Da Cruz, Drury, Hesse, Iskandar, Kiat, Lockie, Macri, McKenna OAM, Passas, Raciti, Stamolis, Steer and York Against Motion:Cr Porteous
C0720(1) Item 3	Planning Proposal - 13-55 Edinburgh Road, Marrickville	a) Land dedication (McCarthy Lane) – 67.991m2; b) Pedestrian Corridor (Johnston's Creek) 3500mm wide dedication stratum of 168.04 square metres; and c) Works in kind which include public stairs, a lift and embellishments works 2. Place the Voluntary Planning Agreement on public exhibition for a minimum of 28 days and receive a further report after the exhibition period.  THAT Council: 1. Endorse and forward the planning proposal prepared by Council Officers for 13-55 Edinburgh Road Marrickville (Attachment 1) to the Department of Planning, Industry and Environment (DPIE) for a Gateway Determination in accordance with Section 3.34 of the Environmental Planning & Assessment Act 1979; 2. Request delegation of the plan-making functions for this planning proposal; and	For Motion: Crs Byrne, Da Cruz, Drury, Hesse, Iskandar, Kiat, Lockie, Macri, McKenna OAM, Passas, Raciti, Stamolis, Steer and York Against Motion: Cr Porteous  Motion Carried
C0720(1) Item 3	Planning Proposal - 13-55 Edinburgh Road, Marrickville	a) Land dedication (McCarthy Lane) – 67.991m2; b) Pedestrian Corridor (Johnston's Creek) 3500mm wide dedication stratum of 168.04 square metres; and c) Works in kind which include public stairs, a lift and embellishments works 2. Place the Voluntary Planning Agreement on public exhibition for a minimum of 28 days and receive a further report after the exhibition period.  THAT Council: 1. Endorse and forward the planning proposal prepared by Council Officers for 13-55 Edinburgh Road Marrickville (Attachment 1) to the Department of Planning, Industry and Environment (DPIE) for a Gateway Determination in accordance with Section 3.34 of the Environmental Planning & Assessment Act 1979; 2. Request delegation of the plan-making functions for this planning proposal; and 3. Following receipt of a favourable Gateway determination, place the planning proposal on public exhibition and	For Motion: Crs Byrne, Da Cruz, Drury, Hesse, Iskandar, Kiat, Lockie, Macri, McKenna OAM, Passas, Raciti, Stamolis, Steer and York Against Motion:Cr Porteous  Motion Carried For Motion: Crs Byrne, Da Cruz, Drury, Hesse, Kiat, Lockie, Macri, McKenna
C0720(1) Item 3	Planning Proposal - 13-55 Edinburgh Road, Marrickville	a) Land dedication (McCarthy Lane) – 67.991m2; b) Pedestrian Corridor (Johnston's Creek) 3500mm wide dedication stratum of 168.04 square metres; and c) Works in kind which include public stairs, a lift and embellishments works 2. Place the Voluntary Planning Agreement on public exhibition for a minimum of 28 days and receive a further report after the exhibition period.  THAT Council: 1. Endorse and forward the planning proposal prepared by Council Officers for 13-55 Edinburgh Road Marrickville (Attachment 1) to the Department of Planning, Industry and Environment (DPIE) for a Gateway Determination in accordance with Section 3.34 of the Environmental Planning & Assessment Act 1979; 2. Request delegation of the plan-making functions for this planning proposal; and	For Motion: Crs Byrne, Da Cruz, Drury, Hesse, Iskandar, Kiat, Lockie, Macri, McKenna OAM, Passas, Raciti, Stamolis, Steer and York Against Motion: Cr Porteous  Motion Carried

C0720(1) Item 4 28 July 2020	Public Exhibition of Planning Proposal – 466-480 New Canterbury Road and 26-38 Hercules Street, Dulwich Hill	THAT Council note the outcomes of community consultation and recommends to the Minister for Planning and Public Spaces that the planning proposal should not proceed for reasons outlined in Attachment 1.	Motion Carried For Motion: Crs Byrne, Da Cruz, Drury, Hesse, Kiat, Lockie, Macri, McKenna OAM, Passas, Stamolis, Steer and York Against Motion: Nil
C0820(1) Item 5 11 August 2020	776 Parramatta Road Lewisham - Voluntary Planning Agreement	THAT Council:  1. Enter into the Voluntary Planning Agreement shown as Attachment 1 for 776 Parramatta Road Lewisham with Moweno Pty Ltd (the proponent) where the proponent will provide Council with a monetary contribution of \$10,000; and  2. Notes that the administrative processes followed to reach this VPA were unique and that Council will generally follow its VPA policy in the negotiation of future VPAs.	Motion Carried For Motion: Crs Da Cruz, Drury, Hesse, Iskandar, Kiat, Lockie, Macri, McKenna OAM, Passas, Raciti, Stamolis, Steer and York Against Motion: Nil
C0221(1) Item 1 9 February 2021	Post Exhibition - Planning Proposal and Draft Leichhardt Development Control Plan - 1-5 Chester Street, Annandale	THAT Council:  1. Note the engagement outcomes from the public exhibition of the Planning Proposal and the associated site-specific Leichhardt Development Control Plan 2013 for 1-5 Chester Street, Annandale as detailed in Attachment 1;  2. In response to submissions, endorse the proposed minor additions to the exhibited site-specific Leichhardt Development Control Plan 2013 as outlined on page 2 of this report;  3. Endorse the amendments to the Leichhardt Local Environmental Plan 2013 and the associated site-specific Leichhardt Development Control Plan 2013 for 1-5 Chester Street, Annandale:  a) As detailed in Attachment 2;  b) To ensure bicycle and motorcycle parking is prioritised, the DCP be amended to increase the provision of bicycle parking to a rate of 1 bicycle space per 3 student housing rooms, 1 visitor bicycle space per 10 student housing rooms and 1 motorcycle space per 5 student housing rooms; and c) For G11.13 Parking Access Objective O2 to read "To minimize car parking to encourage active transport and car sharing"  4. Note that Council does not have the delegation to make the LEP amendment and submit the Planning Proposal	
		to the Department of Planning, Industry and Environment Minister to make the amendment under Section 3.36 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979; and 5. Adopt the site-specific amendment to the Leichhardt Development Control Plan 2013 to come into effect on the same date as the Leichhardt Local Environmental Plan amendment is published on the NSW legislation website.	Motion Carried  For Motion: Crs Da Cruz, Drury, Iskandar, Lockie, Macri,  McKenna OAM, Raciti and Steer  Against Motion: Crs Byrne, Hesse, Kiat, Passas, Porteous and Stamolis
C0321(2) Item 25 9 March 2021	Mayoral Minute: 55 Smith Street, Summer Hill	THAT Council:  1. Writes to the Chair of the Inner West Local Planning Panel, which will consider the development application for 55 Smith Street, Summer Hill, requesting that the Panel convene a meeting with Summer Hill residents regarding the proposal in order to hear their views, and further requests that the Panel hearing at which the application is to be considered be held in Summer Hill or Ashfield in order to allow residents to attend and their views be heard;  2. Letterboxes all residents in Summer Hill to update them about the status of the development application for 55 Smith Street, explains the process through which the proposal will be considered by the State Government appointed Planning Panel and how residents can make individual submissions to the Panel and participate in the Panel's hearing; and  3. Receives a report at the April Ordinary meeting summarising all significant residential development proposals (25 dwellings or more) that are under assessment or have been approved in this term of Council.	Motion Carried For Motion: Crs Byrne, Da Cruz, Hesse, Iskandar, Kiat, Lockie, McKenna OAM, Passas, Porteous, Stamolis, Steer and York Against Motion: Nil
C0321(2) Item 3 9 March 2021	Balmain Leagues Voluntary Planning Agreement Engagement Outcomes Report	THAT Council enter into the Voluntary Planning Agreement for Balmain Leagues Site 138-152 Victoria Road, Rozelle.	Motion Carried For Motion: Crs Byrne, Da Cruz, Iskandar, Kiat, Lockie, Macri, McKenna OAM, Passas, Stamolis, Steer and York Against Motion: Crs Hesse and Porteous
C0421(1) Item 12 13 April 2021	Post Exhibition Report - 120C Old Canterbury Road, Summer Hill - Variation to VPA	THAT Council enter into the Deed of Variation of Voluntary Planning Agreement for 120C Old Canterbury Road, Summer Hill as provided in Attachment 1 to this report.	Motion Carried For Motion: Crs Byrne, Da Cruz, Drury, Iskandar, Kiat, Macri, McKenna OAM, Porteous, Raciti, Stamolis, Steer and York Against Motion: Cr Hesse Absent: Cr Passas
C0421(1) Item 13 13 April 2021	Post Exhibition Report - 1-5 Chester Street Annandale - Voluntary Planning Agreement	THAT Council:  1. Enter into the Voluntary Planning Agreement for 1-5 Chester Street, Annandale as provided in Attachment 1 to this report; and	Motion Carried For Motion: Crs Byrne, Da Cruz, Drury, Hesse, Iskandar, Kiat, McKenna OAM,

	1		Ta a
		2. Note that the cash component is to be held in Council's	Raciti, Steer and York Against Motion: Crs Macri, Passas, Porteous and Stamolis
0421(1) Item 19	55, 57 & 61-63 Smith Street, Summer Hill Development Application (DA/2020/1022)	Affordable Housing Fund in accordance with Council's resolution of 26 May 2020 (C0520(2) Item 15).  THAT Council:	Passas, Porteous and Stamolis
13 April 2021	35, 37 & 01-05 Smith Street, Summer Hill Development Application (DA) 2020/1022/	Receive and note this report; and	Motion Carried
15 April 2021		2. Write to the Housing minister to ask them immediately enact the State Environmental Planning Policy (Housing	For Motion: Crs Byrne, Da Cruz, Drury, Hesse, Iskandar, Kiat, Macri, McKenna
		Diversity) 2020 (Housing Diversity SEPP)	OAM, Passas, Porteous, Raciti, Stamolis, Steer and York Against Motion: Nil
0521(1) Item 2	Post Exhibition of Planning Proposal - 13-55 Edinburgh Road, Marrickville	THAT Council:	,,
11 May 2021		1. Note the engagement outcomes of the public exhibition of the Planning Proposal;	
.,		2. Endorse the amendments to the Marrickville Local Environmental Plan 2011 for 13-55 Edinburgh Road	
		Marrickville detailed in the revised Planning Proposal as informed by the Post	
		Exhibition Report; and	Motion Carried
		3. Submit the Planning Proposal and liaise with the Department of Planning, Industry and Environment Minister to	For Motion: Crs Byrne, Da Cruz, Drury, Iskandar, Lockie, Macri, McKenna OAN
		make the amendment under section 3.36 of the Environmental Planning and	Passas, Raciti, Stamolis and York
		Assessment Act 1979.	Against Motion: Crs Hesse, Kiat, Porteous and Steer
C0521(1) Item 3	Post Exhibition Report - 36 Lonsdale and 64-70 Brenan Streets, Lilyfield - Voluntary Planning Agreement	THAT Council enter into the Voluntary Planning Agreement for 36 Lonsdale and 64-70 Brenan Streets, Lilyfield.	
11 May 2021			Motion Carried
			For Motion: Crs Byrne, Da Cruz, Drury, Iskandar, Kiat, Lockie, Macri, McKenna
			OAM, Passas, Raciti, Stamolis, Steer and York
			Against Motion: Crs Hesse and
20024/4) Ib. 2	Advantage of Plancias Proposal 201 and de Charakan 101 70	THAT Councill	Porteous
C0821(1) Item 2	Adoption of Planning Proposal - 36 Lonsdale Street and 64-70	THAT Council:	
3 August 2021	Brenan Street, Lilyfield	1. Endorse the amendments to the Leichhardt Local Environmental Plan (LEP) 2013 for 36 Lonsdale Street and	
		64-70 Brenan Street, Lilyfield and submit the Planning Proposal to the Department of Planning, Industry and	
		Environment Minister to make the amendment under section 3.36 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment	
		Act 1979;  3. Parallel and the cities appoint a property of the Leichhoudt Davids property Control Plan (DCR) 2012 for 2C Leondole	-
		2. Refer the site-specific amendment to the Leichhardt Development Control Plan (DCP) 2013 for 36 Lonsdale	
		Street and 64-70 Brenan Street, Lilyfield to the Inner West Council Architectural Excellence and Design Review	
		Panel and report any comments the Panel may make to Council for adoption of the DCP amendment, should the	
		NSW Department of Planning Industry and Environment make the LEP amendments referred to	
		recommendation 1 above; and	
		3. The site-specific amendment to the Leichhardt Development Control Plan (DCP) 2013 for 36 Lonsdale Street	-
		and 64-70 Brenan Street, Lilyfield incorporate relevant energy and water management (including natural drying	Motion Carried
		facilities and 4* energy rating) controls consistent with State Environmental Planning Policy (Building	For Motion: Crs Da Cruz, Drury, Hesse, Kiat, Lockie, Porteous,
		Sustainability Index: BASIX) & State Environmental Planning Policy	Stamolis, Steer and York
		65 – Design Quality of Residential Apartment Development.'	Against Motion: Crs Byrne, Macri, Passas and Raciti
C0921(2) Item 4	Notice of Motion: The Livable House - Review of Council Planning Instruments for Mobility Access and Disability	THAT Council:	Against Wotton. Crs Byrne, Waeri, Fassas and Racia
14 September 2021	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1. Review Council's planning instruments including the Local Environment Plan and Development Control Plan to	
I i september Loui			
	Needs		
	needs	ascertain what changes are needed to ensure that residents with mobility access or disability needs are able to	
	needs	ascertain what changes are needed to ensure that residents with mobility access or disability needs are able to modify their homes as needed to enable them to remain in their homes. The review should refer to the most	
	needs	ascertain what changes are needed to ensure that residents with mobility access or disability needs are able to modify their homes as needed to enable them to remain in their homes. The review should refer to the most current available standards including Australian Standard AS 4299-1995 Adaptable Housing, Australian Standard	
	needs	ascertain what changes are needed to ensure that residents with mobility access or disability needs are able to modify their homes as needed to enable them to remain in their homes. The review should refer to the most	
	needs	ascertain what changes are needed to ensure that residents with mobility access or disability needs are able to modify their homes as needed to enable them to remain in their homes. The review should refer to the most current available standards including Australian Standard AS 4299-1995 Adaptable Housing, Australian Standard AS 1428.1 and other relevant standards and government policies aimed at enabling people to modify their homes	
	needs	ascertain what changes are needed to ensure that residents with mobility access or disability needs are able to modify their homes as needed to enable them to remain in their homes. The review should refer to the most current available standards including Australian Standard AS 4299-1995 Adaptable Housing, Australian Standard AS 1428.1 and other relevant standards and government policies aimed at enabling people to modify their homes for their needs:  2. Include their recommendations in the current review of the	
	needs	ascertain what changes are needed to ensure that residents with mobility access or disability needs are able to modify their homes as needed to enable them to remain in their homes. The review should refer to the most current available standards including Australian Standard AS 4299-1995 Adaptable Housing, Australian Standard AS 1428.1 and other relevant standards and government policies aimed at enabling people to modify their homes for their needs:	
	needs	ascertain what changes are needed to ensure that residents with mobility access or disability needs are able to modify their homes as needed to enable them to remain in their homes. The review should refer to the most current available standards including Australian Standard AS 4299-1995 Adaptable Housing, Australian Standard AS 1428.1 and other relevant standards and government policies aimed at enabling people to modify their homes for their needs:  2. Include their recommendations in the current review of the Local Environment Plan and Development Control Plan and report to Councillors when the review is completed;	Motion Carried
	needs	ascertain what changes are needed to ensure that residents with mobility access or disability needs are able to modify their homes as needed to enable them to remain in their homes. The review should refer to the most current available standards including Australian Standard AS 4299-1995 Adaptable Housing, Australian Standard AS 1428.1 and other relevant standards and government policies aimed at enabling people to modify their homes for their needs:  2. Include their recommendations in the current review of the Local Environment Plan and Development Control Plan and report to Councillors when the review is completed; and	Motion Carried For Motion: Crs Byrne, Da Cruz, Drury, Hesse, Kiat, Lockie, Macri, McKenna
	needs	ascertain what changes are needed to ensure that residents with mobility access or disability needs are able to modify their homes as needed to enable them to remain in their homes. The review should refer to the most current available standards including Australian Standard AS 4299-1995 Adaptable Housing, Australian Standard AS 1428.1 and other relevant standards and government policies aimed at enabling people to modify their homes for their needs:  2. Include their recommendations in the current review of the Local Environment Plan and Development Control Plan and report to Councillors when the review is completed; and  3. Write to the NSW Premier Gladys Berejiklian and NSW Building Minister Kevin Andrews to request that NSW	
		ascertain what changes are needed to ensure that residents with mobility access or disability needs are able to modify their homes as needed to enable them to remain in their homes. The review should refer to the most current available standards including Australian Standard AS 4299-1995 Adaptable Housing, Australian Standard AS 1428.1 and other relevant standards and government policies aimed at enabling people to modify their homes for their needs:  2. Include their recommendations in the current review of the Local Environment Plan and Development Control Plan and report to Councillors when the review is completed; and  3. Write to the NSW Premier Gladys Berejiklian and NSW Building Minister Kevin Andrews to request that NSW adopts the new mandatory minimum accessibility standards contained in the National Construction Code 2022 to ensure that NSW residents have the same rights in relation to accessible housing as they have in a majority of Australian States.	For Motion: Crs Byrne, Da Cruz, Drury, Hesse, Kiat, Lockie, Macri, McKenna OAM, Passas, Porteous, Raciti, Stamolis, Steer and York Against Motion: Nil Absent: Cr Iskandar
C0222(1) Item 4	Extension of Flood Controls under NSW Flood Prone Land Package	ascertain what changes are needed to ensure that residents with mobility access or disability needs are able to modify their homes as needed to enable them to remain in their homes. The review should refer to the most current available standards including Australian Standard AS 4299-1995 Adaptable Housing, Australian Standard AS 1428.1 and other relevant standards and government policies aimed at enabling people to modify their homes for their needs:  2. Include their recommendations in the current review of the Local Environment Plan and Development Control Plan and report to Councillors when the review is completed; and  3. Write to the NSW Premier Gladys Berejiklian and NSW Building Minister Kevin Andrews to request that NSW adopts the new mandatory minimum accessibility standards contained in the National Construction Code 2022 to ensure that NSW residents have the same rights in relation to accessible housing as they have in a majority of	For Motion: Crs Byrne, Da Cruz, Drury, Hesse, Kiat, Lockie, Macri, McKenna OAM, Passas, Porteous, Raciti, Stamolis, Steer and York Against Motion: Nil Absent: Cr Iskandar Motion Carried
		ascertain what changes are needed to ensure that residents with mobility access or disability needs are able to modify their homes as needed to enable them to remain in their homes. The review should refer to the most current available standards including Australian Standard AS 4299-1995 Adaptable Housing, Australian Standard AS 1428.1 and other relevant standards and government policies aimed at enabling people to modify their homes for their needs:  2. Include their recommendations in the current review of the Local Environment Plan and Development Control Plan and report to Councillors when the review is completed; and  3. Write to the NSW Premier Gladys Berejiklian and NSW Building Minister Kevin Andrews to request that NSW adopts the new mandatory minimum accessibility standards contained in the National Construction Code 2022 to ensure that NSW residents have the same rights in relation to accessible housing as they have in a majority of Australian States.	For Motion: Crs Byrne, Da Cruz, Drury, Hesse, Kiat, Lockie, Macri, McKenna OAM, Passas, Porteous, Raciti, Stamolis, Steer and York Against Motion: Nil Absent: Cr Iskandar Motion Carried For Motion: Crs Atkins, Byrne, Da Cruz, D'Arienzo, Drury, Griffiths, Howard,
		ascertain what changes are needed to ensure that residents with mobility access or disability needs are able to modify their homes as needed to enable them to remain in their homes. The review should refer to the most current available standards including Australian Standard AS 4299-1995 Adaptable Housing, Australian Standard AS 1428.1 and other relevant standards and government policies aimed at enabling people to modify their homes for their needs:  2. Include their recommendations in the current review of the Local Environment Plan and Development Control Plan and report to Councillors when the review is completed; and  3. Write to the NSW Premier Gladys Berejiklian and NSW Building Minister Kevin Andrews to request that NSW adopts the new mandatory minimum accessibility standards contained in the National Construction Code 2022 to ensure that NSW residents have the same rights in relation to accessible housing as they have in a majority of Australian States.  That Council endorse the adoption of the proposed Special Flood Considerations clause in Section 5.22 of the	For Motion: Crs Byrne, Da Cruz, Drury, Hesse, Kiat, Lockie, Macri, McKenna OAM, Passas, Porteous, Raciti, Stamolis, Steer and York Against Motion: Nil Absent: Cr Iskandar Motion Carried For Motion: Crs Atkins, Byrne, Da Cruz, D'Arienzo, Drury, Griffiths, Howard, Langford, Lockie, Scott, Shetty, Smith, Stephens and Tsardoulias
8 February 2022	Extension of Flood Controls under NSW Flood Prone Land Package	ascertain what changes are needed to ensure that residents with mobility access or disability needs are able to modify their homes as needed to enable them to remain in their homes. The review should refer to the most current available standards including Australian Standard AS 4299-1995 Adaptable Housing, Australian Standard AS 1428.1 and other relevant standards and government policies aimed at enabling people to modify their homes for their needs:  2. Include their recommendations in the current review of the Local Environment Plan and Development Control Plan and report to Councillors when the review is completed; and  3. Write to the NSW Premier Gladys Berejiklian and NSW Building Minister Kevin Andrews to request that NSW adopts the new mandatory minimum accessibility standards contained in the National Construction Code 2022 to ensure that NSW residents have the same rights in relation to accessible housing as they have in a majority of Australian States.  That Council endorse the adoption of the proposed Special Flood Considerations clause in Section 5.22 of the NSW Standard Instrument (Local Environmental Plans) into the Inner West Local Environmental Plans.	For Motion: Crs Byrne, Da Cruz, Drury, Hesse, Kiat, Lockie, Macri, McKenna OAM, Passas, Porteous, Raciti, Stamolis, Steer and York Against Motion: Nil Absent: Cr Iskandar Motion Carried For Motion: Crs Atkins, Byrne, Da Cruz, D'Arienzo, Drury, Griffiths, Howard,
8 February 2022 C0222(1) Item 22		ascertain what changes are needed to ensure that residents with mobility access or disability needs are able to modify their homes as needed to enable them to remain in their homes. The review should refer to the most current available standards including Australian Standard AS 4299-1995 Adaptable Housing, Australian Standard AS 1428.1 and other relevant standards and government policies aimed at enabling people to modify their homes for their needs:  2. Include their recommendations in the current review of the Local Environment Plan and Development Control Plan and report to Councillors when the review is completed; and  3. Write to the NSW Premier Gladys Berejiklian and NSW Building Minister Kevin Andrews to request that NSW adopts the new mandatory minimum accessibility standards contained in the National Construction Code 2022 to ensure that NSW residents have the same rights in relation to accessible housing as they have in a majority of Australian States.  That Council endorse the adoption of the proposed Special Flood Considerations clause in Section 5.22 of the NSW Standard Instrument (Local Environmental Plans) into the Inner West Local Environmental Plans.	For Motion: Crs Byrne, Da Cruz, Drury, Hesse, Kiat, Lockie, Macri, McKenna OAM, Passas, Porteous, Raciti, Stamolis, Steer and York Against Motion: Nil Absent: Cr Iskandar Motion Carried For Motion: Crs Atkins, Byrne, Da Cruz, D'Arienzo, Drury, Griffiths, Howard, Langford, Lockie, Scott, Shetty, Smith, Stephens and Tsardoulias
8 February 2022 C0222(1) Item 22	Extension of Flood Controls under NSW Flood Prone Land Package	ascertain what changes are needed to ensure that residents with mobility access or disability needs are able to modify their homes as needed to enable them to remain in their homes. The review should refer to the most current available standards including Australian Standard AS 4299-1995 Adaptable Housing, Australian Standard AS 1428.1 and other relevant standards and government policies aimed at enabling people to modify their homes for their needs:  2. Include their recommendations in the current review of the Local Environment Plan and Development Control Plan and report to Councillors when the review is completed; and  3. Write to the NSW Premier Gladys Berejiklian and NSW Building Minister Kevin Andrews to request that NSW adopts the new mandatory minimum accessibility standards contained in the National Construction Code 2022 to ensure that NSW residents have the same rights in relation to accessible housing as they have in a majority of Australian States.  That Council endorse the adoption of the proposed Special Flood Considerations clause in Section 5.22 of the NSW Standard Instrument (Local Environmental Plans) into the Inner West Local Environmental Plans.  That Council:  1. Upon completion publishes the place based and heritage studies that will be informing the Comprehensive	For Motion: Crs Byrne, Da Cruz, Drury, Hesse, Kiat, Lockie, Macri, McKenna OAM, Passas, Porteous, Raciti, Stamolis, Steer and York Against Motion: Nil Absent: Cr Iskandar Motion Carried For Motion: Crs Atkins, Byrne, Da Cruz, D'Arienzo, Drury, Griffiths, Howard, Langford, Lockie, Scott, Shetty, Smith, Stephens and Tsardoulias
8 February 2022 C0222(1) Item 22	Extension of Flood Controls under NSW Flood Prone Land Package	ascertain what changes are needed to ensure that residents with mobility access or disability needs are able to modify their homes as needed to enable them to remain in their homes. The review should refer to the most current available standards including Australian Standard AS 4299-1995 Adaptable Housing, Australian Standard AS 1428.1 and other relevant standards and government policies aimed at enabling people to modify their homes for their needs:  2. Include their recommendations in the current review of the Local Environment Plan and Development Control Plan and report to Councillors when the review is completed; and  3. Write to the NSW Premier Gladys Berejiklian and NSW Building Minister Kevin Andrews to request that NSW adopts the new mandatory minimum accessibility standards contained in the National Construction Code 2022 to ensure that NSW residents have the same rights in relation to accessible housing as they have in a majority of Australian States.  That Council endorse the adoption of the proposed Special Flood Considerations clause in Section 5.22 of the NSW Standard Instrument (Local Environmental Plans) into the Inner West Local Environmental Plans.	For Motion: Crs Byrne, Da Cruz, Drury, Hesse, Kiat, Lockie, Macri, McKenna OAM, Passas, Porteous, Raciti, Stamolis, Steer and York Against Motion: Nil Absent: Cr Iskandar Motion Carried For Motion: Crs Atkins, Byrne, Da Cruz, D'Arienzo, Drury, Griffiths, Howard, Langford, Lockie, Scott, Shetty, Smith, Stephens and Tsardoulias Against Motion: Cr Stamolis
8 February 2022 C0222(1) Item 22	Extension of Flood Controls under NSW Flood Prone Land Package	ascertain what changes are needed to ensure that residents with mobility access or disability needs are able to modify their homes as needed to enable them to remain in their homes. The review should refer to the most current available standards including Australian Standard AS 4299-1995 Adaptable Housing, Australian Standard AS 1428.1 and other relevant standards and government policies aimed at enabling people to modify their homes for their needs:  2. Include their recommendations in the current review of the Local Environment Plan and Development Control Plan and report to Councillors when the review is completed; and  3. Write to the NSW Premier Gladys Berejiklian and NSW Building Minister Kevin Andrews to request that NSW adopts the new mandatory minimum accessibility standards contained in the National Construction Code 2022 to ensure that NSW residents have the same rights in relation to accessible housing as they have in a majority of Australian States.  That Council endorse the adoption of the proposed Special Flood Considerations clause in Section 5.22 of the NSW Standard Instrument (Local Environmental Plans) into the Inner West Local Environmental Plans.  That Council:  1. Upon completion publishes the place based and heritage studies that will be informing the Comprehensive	For Motion: Crs Byrne, Da Cruz, Drury, Hesse, Kiat, Lockie, Macri, McKenna OAM, Passas, Porteous, Raciti, Stamolis, Steer and York Against Motion: Nil Absent: Cr Iskandar Motion Carried For Motion: Crs Atkins, Byrne, Da Cruz, D'Arienzo, Drury, Griffiths, Howard, Langford, Lockie, Scott, Shetty, Smith, Stephens and Tsardoulias Against Motion: Cr Stamolis  Motion Carried For Motion: Crs Atkins, Byrne, Da Cruz, D'Arienzo, Drury, Griffiths, Howard, For Motion: Crs Atkins, Byrne, Da Cruz, D'Arienzo, Drury, Griffiths, Howard,
8 February 2022 C0222(1) Item 22	Extension of Flood Controls under NSW Flood Prone Land Package	ascertain what changes are needed to ensure that residents with mobility access or disability needs are able to modify their homes as needed to enable them to remain in their homes. The review should refer to the most current available standards including Australian Standard AS 4299-1995 Adaptable Housing, Australian Standard AS 1428.1 and other relevant standards and government policies aimed at enabling people to modify their homes for their needs:  2. Include their recommendations in the current review of the Local Environment Plan and Development Control Plan and report to Councillors when the review is completed; and  3. Write to the NSW Premier Gladys Berejiklian and NSW Building Minister Kevin Andrews to request that NSW adopts the new mandatory minimum accessibility standards contained in the National Construction Code 2022 to ensure that NSW residents have the same rights in relation to accessible housing as they have in a majority of Australian States.  That Council endorse the adoption of the proposed Special Flood Considerations clause in Section 5.22 of the NSW Standard Instrument (Local Environmental Plans) into the Inner West Local Environmental Plans.  That Council:  1. Upon completion publishes the place based and heritage studies that will be informing the Comprehensive Local Environmental Plan;	For Motion: Crs Byrne, Da Cruz, Drury, Hesse, Kiat, Lockie, Macri, McKenna OAM, Passas, Porteous, Raciti, Stamolis, Steer and York Against Motion: Nil Absent: Cr Iskandar Motion Carried For Motion: Crs Atkins, Byrne, Da Cruz, D'Arienzo, Drury, Griffiths, Howard, Langford, Lockie, Scott, Shetty, Smith, Stephens and Tsardoulias Against Motion: Cr Stamolis
8 February 2022 C0222(1) Item 22	Extension of Flood Controls under NSW Flood Prone Land Package	ascertain what changes are needed to ensure that residents with mobility access or disability needs are able to modify their homes as needed to enable them to remain in their homes. The review should refer to the most current available standards including Australian Standard AS 4299-1995 Adaptable Housing, Australian Standard AS 1428.1 and other relevant standards and government policies aimed at enabling people to modify their homes for their needs:  2. Include their recommendations in the current review of the Local Environment Plan and Development Control Plan and report to Councillors when the review is completed; and  3. Write to the NSW Premier Gladys Berejiklian and NSW Building Minister Kevin Andrews to request that NSW adopts the new mandatory minimum accessibility standards contained in the National Construction Code 2022 to ensure that NSW residents have the same rights in relation to accessible housing as they have in a majority of Australian States.  That Council endorse the adoption of the proposed Special Flood Considerations clause in Section 5.22 of the NSW Standard Instrument (Local Environmental Plans) into the Inner West Local Environmental Plans.  That Council:  1. Upon completion publishes the place based and heritage studies that will be informing the Comprehensive Local Environmental Plan;  2. Develops a community consultation plan demonstrating broad community and stakeholder engagement; and	For Motion: Crs Byrne, Da Cruz, Drury, Hesse, Kiat, Lockie, Macri, McKenna OAM, Passas, Porteous, Raciti, Stamolis, Steer and York Against Motion: Nil Absent: Cr Iskandar Motion Carried For Motion: Crs Atkins, Byrne, Da Cruz, D'Arienzo, Drury, Griffiths, Howard, Langford, Lockie, Scott, Shetty, Smith, Stephens and Tsardoulias Against Motion: Cr Stamolis  Motion Carried For Motion: Crs Atkins, Byrne, Da Cruz, D'Arienzo, Drury, Griffiths, Howard, Langford, Lockie, Scott, Shetty, Smith, Stamolis, Stephens and
C0222(1) Item 4 8 February 2022 C0222(1) Item 22 8 February 2022	Extension of Flood Controls under NSW Flood Prone Land Package  Notice of Motion: Local Environmental Plan	ascertain what changes are needed to ensure that residents with mobility access or disability needs are able to modify their homes as needed to enable them to remain in their homes. The review should refer to the most current available standards including Australian Standard AS 4299-1995 Adaptable Housing, Australian Standard AS 1428.1 and other relevant standards and government policies aimed at enabling people to modify their homes for their needs:  2. Include their recommendations in the current review of the Local Environment Plan and Development Control Plan and report to Councillors when the review is completed; and  3. Write to the NSW Premier Gladys Berejiklian and NSW Building Minister Kevin Andrews to request that NSW adopts the new mandatory minimum accessibility standards contained in the National Construction Code 2022 to ensure that NSW residents have the same rights in relation to accessible housing as they have in a majority of Australian States.  That Council endorse the adoption of the proposed Special Flood Considerations clause in Section 5.22 of the NSW Standard Instrument (Local Environmental Plans) into the Inner West Local Environmental Plans.  That Council:  1. Upon completion publishes the place based and heritage studies that will be informing the Comprehensive Local Environmental Plan;	For Motion: Crs Byrne, Da Cruz, Drury, Hesse, Kiat, Lockie, Macri, McKenna OAM, Passas, Porteous, Raciti, Stamolis, Steer and York Against Motion: Nil Absent: Cr Iskandar Motion Carried For Motion: Crs Atkins, Byrne, Da Cruz, D'Arienzo, Drury, Griffiths, Howard, Langford, Lockie, Scott, Shetty, Smith, Stephens and Tsardoulias Against Motion: Cr Stamolis  Motion Carried For Motion: Crs Atkins, Byrne, Da Cruz, D'Arienzo, Drury, Griffiths, Howard, Langford, Lockie, Scott, Shetty, Smith, Stamolis, Stephens and Tsardoulias
8 February 2022 C0222(1) Item 22	Extension of Flood Controls under NSW Flood Prone Land Package	ascertain what changes are needed to ensure that residents with mobility access or disability needs are able to modify their homes as needed to enable them to remain in their homes. The review should refer to the most current available standards including Australian Standard AS 4299-1995 Adaptable Housing, Australian Standard AS 1428.1 and other relevant standards and government policies aimed at enabling people to modify their homes for their needs:  2. Include their recommendations in the current review of the Local Environment Plan and Development Control Plan and report to Councillors when the review is completed; and  3. Write to the NSW Premier Gladys Berejiklian and NSW Building Minister Kevin Andrews to request that NSW adopts the new mandatory minimum accessibility standards contained in the National Construction Code 2022 to ensure that NSW residents have the same rights in relation to accessible housing as they have in a majority of Australian States.  That Council endorse the adoption of the proposed Special Flood Considerations clause in Section 5.22 of the NSW Standard Instrument (Local Environmental Plans) into the Inner West Local Environmental Plans.  That Council:  1. Upon completion publishes the place based and heritage studies that will be informing the Comprehensive Local Environmental Plan;  2. Develops a community consultation plan demonstrating broad community and stakeholder engagement; and  3. Provides a briefing on the timeline and strategies of the consultation plan.	For Motion: Crs Byrne, Da Cruz, Drury, Hesse, Kiat, Lockie, Macri, McKenna OAM, Passas, Porteous, Raciti, Stamolis, Steer and York Against Motion: Nil Absent: Cr Iskandar Motion Carried For Motion: Crs Atkins, Byrne, Da Cruz, D'Arienzo, Drury, Griffiths, Howard, Langford, Lockie, Scott, Shetty, Smith, Stephens and Tsardoulias Against Motion: Cr Stamolis  Motion Carried For Motion: Crs Atkins, Byrne, Da Cruz, D'Arienzo, Drury, Griffiths, Howard, Langford, Lockie, Scott, Shetty, Smith, Stamolis, Stephens and Tsardoulias

		2. Writes to the NSW Minister for Planning and Homes Anthony Roberts and asks him to personally review and implement the policy we have proposed for several years.	For Motion: Crs Atkins, Byrne, Da Cruz, D'Arienzo, Drury, Griffiths, Howard, Langford, Lockie, Shetty, Smith, Stamolis, Stephens and Tsardoulias Against Motion: Nii
C0522(1) Item 4 10 May 2022	Parramatta Road Corridor Stage 1 Planning Proposal and supporting Development Control Plan amendments (Inner West LEP Phase 2A)	Council supports the Planning Proposal for Parramatta Road Corridor - Stage 1 LEP Phase 2A and the associated draft amendments to Development Control Plans (DCPs) (Leichhardt, Marrickville and Comprehensive Inner West Development Control Plan 2016 (former Ashfield)	
		The Planning Proposal is forwarded to the Minister for Planning for a Gateway Determination in accordance with Section 3.33 and 3.34 of the Environmental Planning & Assessment Act 1979;     Council request delegation from Minister for Planning to manage the plan making functions of the Planning to manage the plan making functions of the Planning to manage the plan making functions of the Planning to manage the plan making functions of the Planning to manage the plan making functions of the Planning to manage the plan making functions of the Planning to manage the plan making functions of the Planning to making functions of the Planning to making functions of the Planning to making functions of the Planning to making functions of the Planning to making functions of the Planning to making functions of the Planning to making functions of the Planning to making functions of the Planning to making functions of the Planning to making functions of the Planning to making functions of the Planning to making functions of the Planning to making functions of the Planning to making functions of the Planning to making functions of the Planning to making functions of the Planning to making functions of the Planning to making functions of the Planning to making functions of the Planning to making functions of the Planning to making functions of the Planning to making functions of the Planning to making functions of the Planning to making functions of the Planning to making functions of the Planning functions of	
		Contain equest delegation from Nimister for Flamming to manage the plan making functions of the Flamming Proposal;     A. Should the Planning Proposal receive a favourable Gateway Determination, place the Planning Proposal and	
		draft DCP amendments on public exhibition in accordance with Council's Community Engagement Framework to meet the requirements of the Gateway Determination, Environmental Planning and	
		Assessment Act 1979 and Environmental Planning and Assessment Regulation 2021;  5. Council write to the Minister for Planning that commitments be put in place by the NSW Government for provision of on-street rapid transit system along Parramatta Road;	Motion Carried For Motion: Crs Atkins, Byrne, Da Cruz, D'Arienzo, Drury, Griffiths, Howard,
		<ol> <li>Council authorise the General Manager to make any minor/technical modifications to the Planning Proposal and supporting draft Development Control Plan amendments; and</li> </ol>	Langford, Lockie, Scott, Shetty, Smith, Stamolis, Stephens and Tsardoulias
C0922(1) Item 10 13 September 2022	Post Exhibition Report 1-5 Chester Street Annandale - Voluntary Planning Agreement	7. Council receive a post exhibition report for its consideration.  That Council 1. Enter into the Amended Voluntary Planning Agreement for 1-5 Chester Street, Annandale as provided in	Against Motion: Nil  Motion Carried
		Attachment 1 to this report; and  2. Authorises the General Manager to approve an amendment to the parties to the amended VPA should the property be sold.	For Motion: Crs Atkins, Byrne, Da Cruz, D'Arienzo, Drury, Griffiths, Howard, Langford, Lockie, Scott, Shetty, Smith, Stamolis, Stephens and Tsardoulias Against Motion: Nil
C1022(1) Item 1 25 October 2022	Bays West precinct - Stage 1 Comprehensive Rezoning Package IWC Submission	1. Council endorses the submission for the Bays West Stage 1 Comprehensive Rezoning Package, subject to reversion in the submission to the original proposal that 30% of all housing in the development be not for profit, with the inclusion of the following wording:  a) Council does not support monetary contribution of 7.5% for affordable housing. Council reiterates its requirement for affordable rental housing be delivered at the rate of 30% on government owned land, owned in perpetuity and managed by a Community Housing Provider, in accordance with the Inner West Affordable Housing Policy.  2. The inner West Council submission be forwarded to the Department of Planning and Environment for consideration including amendments to the submission to include:  a) an ambitious commitment to the provision of onsite public housing; and  b) concerns that the proposed structure of the precinct would severely compromise the ability to connect the area through council's blue green grid which would enrich the local environment and improve biodiversity.	
		3. Council advocates to the NSW Government to enter into a Planning Agreement, in lieu of section 7.11 and 7.12 contributions being applied on future development consents in Stage 1 – Bays West, that ensures that:  a) The NSW State Government is legally committed to delivering the specified infrastructure assets stated in the Department's Draft Infrastructure Delivery Plan, at full cost to either the NSW Government or future developers. This includes a new multipurpose community and library hub, district cultural spaces, indoor recreational facilities new childcare facilities, and new open space; and	
		b) As part of the above agreement, Council determines which infrastructure assets in Bays West Stage 1 are desirable to be dedicated into Council ownership, at no cost to Council, once the assets are constructed and embellished to a level suitable for its desired end-use.	Motion Carried For Motion: Crs Atkins, Byrne, Da Cruz, D'Arienzo, Drury, Griffiths, Howard, Langford, Lockie, Scott, Shetty, Stamolis, Stephens and Tsardoulias Against Motion: Nil
C1022(1) Item 6 25 October 2022	469R Princes Highway Sydenham - Voluntary Planning Agreement	That:  1. The Voluntary Planning Agreement (VPA) for 469R Princes Highway, Sydenham be:	
		a) Placed on public exhibition for a minimum of 28 days; and     b) Reported back to Council after public exhibition.	Motion Carried For Motion: Crs Atkins, Byrne, Da Cruz, D'Arienzo, Drury, Griffiths, Howard,

		2. The General Manager be authorised to approve any amendments to the VPA prior to public exhibition.	Langford, Lockie, Scott, Shetty, Stamolis, Stephens and Tsardoulias Against Motion: Nil
C1222(1) Item 6 6 December 2022	Post Exhibition - Planning Proposal - Cyprus Club (58-76 Stanmore Road, 2-20 Tupper Street and 1, 3-9 Alma Avenue, Stanmore)	That:  1. Council does not support the Planning Proposal – Cyprus Club, as exhibited, due to concerns raised by community, public agency and Council officers relating to traffic, built form and amenity impacts;	
		<ol><li>Council notes the matters raised in response to the public exhibition of Planning Proposal - Cyprus Club as detailed in Attachment 3;</li></ol>	
		3. Council request the Department of Planning & Environment to make post-exhibition changes to the Planning	
		Proposal – Cyprus Club and defer the commencement of the Local Environmental Plan (LEP) to allow preparation of site-specific Development Control Plan (DCP) and Planning Agreement;	
		4. Subject to the Department of Planning and Environment's agreement to defer the commencement of the LEP,	
		Council supports the Planning Proposal – Cyprus Club, as amended in response to engagement outcomes, as outlined in this report;	
		5. Subject to point 4 above, Council forward the amended Planning Proposal – Cyprus Club to the Minister for	
		Planning to make amendments to the Inner West LEP 2022 under Section 3.36 of the Environmental Planning and	
		Assessment Act 1979; 6. Subject to points 4 and 5 above, Council delegate the authority to the General Manager to make any minor	Motion Carried
		amendments to the amended Planning Proposal – Cyprus Club to correct any minor errors, omissions or	For Motion: Crs Atkins, Byrne, Da Cruz, D'Arienzo, Drury, Griffiths, Howard,
		inconsistencies prior to finalisation; and	Langford, Lockie, Scott, Shetty, Smith, Stamolis, Stephens and Tsardoulias
C0223(1) Item 5	Post Exhibition Report 469R Princes Highway, Sydenham - Voluntary	7. Council urgently progress preparation of the site-specific DCP and Planning Agreement.  That:	Against Motion: Nil
14 February 2023	Planning Agreement	Council enter into the Amended Voluntary Planning Agreement for 469R Princes Highway, Sydenham as	
		provided in Attachment 1 to this report; and	Motion Carried
			For Motion: Crs Atkins, Da Cruz, D'Arienzo, Drury, Griffiths, Howard, Langford,
		2. Authorise the General Manager to approve an ammendment to the parties to the amended VPA should the property be sold.	Lockie, Scott, Shetty, Smith, Stamolis, Stephens and Tsardoulias Agaist Motion: Nil
C0223(1) Item 4	67-75 Lords Road, Leichhardt - Planning Proposol	That:	
14 February 2023		Does not support the Planning Proposal prepared by FPD Pty Ltd dated 3 August     2022 for 67-75 Lords Road, Leichhardt for the following reasons:	
		a) the Planning Proposal is inconsistent with Ministerial Direction 1.5 Parramatta Road Corridor Urban Transformation Strategy (PRCUTS Strategy) 1.5 (1)(a)(b) and (c) as the proposal does not adequately give effect to the objectives 1.5(a) of the Ministerial Direction and is inconsistent with Strategic Actions within the PRCUTS Strategy, and the Planning and Design Guidelines;	
		b) it fails the strategic and site-specific merit test of the Guidelines for Preparing Planning Proposals pursuant to Section 3.33 of the Environmental Planning	
		and Assessment Act 1979 (EP&A Act);	
		c) it is inconsistent with the following Council's adopted Policies: i. Local Strategic Planning Statement (LSPS); ii. Local Housing Strategy; iii. Employment and Retail Lands Strategy;	
		iv. Affordable Housing Policy; and	
		v. Community Strategic Plan	
		d) it is inconsistent with the following Ministerial Directions: i. Implementation of Regional Plans; ii. 1.5 Parramatta Road Corridor Urban Transformation Strategy; and	
		iii. 4.1 Flooding.	
		e) it is inconsistent with State Environmental Planning Policy (Housing) 2021;	
		f) the proposed design is unsatisfactory with respect to: i. flooding;	
		ii. site servicing, access and circulation: and 2. recommend that the Planning Proposal should not be progressed to the	
		Minister of Planning in accordance with section 3.34 of the EP&A Act;	

1	i		<del>-</del>
		3. should the proponent request a Rezoning Review by the NSW Department of	
		Planning and Environment, or submit an amended Planning Proposal to	
		Council the following matters should be considered in the assessment in	
		addition to the matters in recommendation 1 above:	
		i. the Planning Proposal be amended to omit business premises and office	
		premises from the proposed Additional Permitted Uses;	
		ii. the Planning Proposal be amended to include a local provision requiring	
		a minimum of 3,000sqm of non-residential uses;	
		iii. that an Affordable Housing Contributions Scheme be prepared as part of	
		the Planning Proposal or a Planning Agreement be negotiated. The	
		affordable housing percentage of residential development to comply	
		with Inner West Affordable Housing Policy, being 15% subject to a	
		detailed feasibility analysis;	
		iv. discussions be held with Council, DPE and TfNSW on the	
		implementation of the Parramatta Road Corridor Precinct-wide Transport	
		and Traffic Study as relevant to the Planning Proposal site;	
		v. provide an updated Traffic and Parking Analysis report and any other	
		specialist reports (as necessary) utilising and reflecting the most up to	
		date data available;	
		vi. consideration should be given to the proposed RE1 Public Recreation	
		zone along the western boundary (75 Lords Road);	
		vii. consideration should be given to the inadequate floor to ceiling heights	
		of the light industrial units; and	
		viii. a flood risk and impact assessment including pre- and postdevelopment	
		flood modelling be undertaken to satisfy the requirements	Motion Carried
		of the Ministerial Direction 4.1 Flooding.	For Motion: Crs Atkins, Byrne, Da Cruz, D'Arienzo, Drury, Griffiths, Howard,
		4. in accordance with action 13.9 of the Inner West LSPS, write to the Minister	Langford, Lockie, Shetty, Smith, Stamolis, Stephens and Tsardoulias
		seeking a variation to the ministerial direction to retain employment lands in	Against Motion: Nil
		PRCUTS	Absent: Cr Scott
C0323(1) Item 5	Planning Proposal - Enmore Road Special Entertainment Precinct	1. That Council supports the Planning Proposal to designate Enmore Road as Special Entertainment Precinct on a	
14 March 2023		permanent basis and submit it to the Minister for Planning seeking a Gateway Determination under section 3.33	
		and 3.34 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979.	
		2. That Council place the Planning Proposal and draft DCP amendments on community consultation in accordance	
		with Council's Community Engagement Framework to meet the requirements of the Gateway Determination,	
		Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 and Environmental Planning and Assessment Regulation 2021,	
		should the Planning Proposal receive a favourable Gateway Determination.	
		3. That Council request delegation from the Minister for Planning to manage the plan making functions of the	
		Planning Proposal.	-
		4. That Council authorise the General Manager to make minor/technical modifications to the Planning proposal,	
		Development Control Plan, and Special Entertainment Precinct Management Plan, prior to exhibition.	
		F. That Council committee beinging forward a model of other constant and forward a 2005 1 2000 1111 111	-
		5. That Council commit to bringing forward a parking review for the precinct area from 2026 to 2023, which will	
		consider impacts of the SEP to kerbside and residential parking and mitigation actions Council can take, including	
		encouraging greater use of public and active transport.	
		6. That Council increase ranger patrols of the precinct area and surrounding streets in peak times (evenings and weekends) to ensure greater compliance with parking restrictions.	
		7. That Council engage with businesses within the precinct area to implement a 'good neighbour' management	<del> </del>
		7. That council engage with businesses within the precinct area to implement a good neighbour management strategy, including:	
		ou acegy, including.	
		a) crowd management for major events where queues may impact onto residential streets;	
		a) crowd management for major events where queues may impact onto residential streets;	
		b) a public awareness campaign encouraging visitors to be considerate of noise when arriving at or leaving venues	
			·[
		at night;	
1			
		c) wayfinding signs and other measures to guide visitors from venues to public transport stops; and	Motion Carried
		d) an investigation of streetscape accessibility to ensure the precinct area is accessible to all visitors	For Motion:@rs Atkins, Byrne, Da Cruz, D'Arienzo, Drury, Griffiths, Howard,

C0323(1) Item 8	1 - 13 Parramatta Road, Annandale - VPA Approval to notify	That the amended Voluntary Planning Agreement (VPA) for 1-13 Parramatta Road, Annandale be placed on public	Motion Carried
14 March 2023	1 - 15 Farramatta road, Armandale - VFA Approval to notify	exhibition for a minimum of 28 days and reported back to Council after public exhibition.	For Motion: Crs Atkins, Byrne, Da Cruz, D'Arienzo, Drury, Griffiths, Howard,
			Langford, Lockie, Scott, Shetty, Smith, Stamolis, Stephens and Tsardoulias
			Against Motion: Nil
C0623(1) Item 7	Post Exhibition Report 1 - 13 Parramatta Road Annandale VPA	1. That Council enters into the Amended Voluntary Planning Agreement for 1-13 Parramatta Road, Annandale as	
20 June 2023		provided in Attachment 4 to this report.	Motion Carried
			For Motion: Crs Atkins, Byrne, Da Cruz, D'Arienzo, Drury, Griffiths, Howard,
		That Council delegates authority to the General Manager to execute the Voluntary Planning Agreement.	Langford, Lockie, Scott, Shetty, Smith, Stamolis, Stephens and Tsardoulias Against Motion: Nil
C0623(1) Item 5	Planning Proposal - Macarthur Parade Heritage Listing	That Council delegates authority to the General Manager to execute the Voluntary Flamming Agreement.      That Council supports the Planning Proposal to amend the Inner West Local Environmental Plan 2022 to	Against Motion. Mil
20 June 2023	riaming rroposal - iviacarthur rarade rientage Listing	identify 3,7,9,11 & 13 Macarthur Parade, Dulwich Hill as heritage items of local significance and submit it to the	
20 June 2023		Minister for Planning seeking a Gateway Determination under section 3.33 and 3.34 of the Environmental	
		Planning and Assessment Act 1979.	
		I turning and Assessment Act 1979.	
		2. That if a favourable Gateway Determination is received, place the Planning Proposal on community consultation	
		in accordance with Council's Community Engagement Framework to meet the requirements of the Gateway	
		Determination, Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 and Environmental Planning and Assessment	
		Regulation 2021.	
		3. That Council request delegation from the Minister for Planning to manage the plan making functions of the	Motion Carried
		Planning Proposal.	For Motion: Crs Atkins, Da Cruz, D'Arienzo, Drury, Griffiths, Howard, Langford,
		4. That Council authorise the General Manager to make minor/technical modifications to the Planning Proposal	Lockie, Shetty, Stamolis, Stephens and Tsardoulias
00000(4) 11 -		prior to consultation.	Against Motion: Crs Byrne, Scott and Smith
C0823(1) Item 9	Post Exhibition - Enmore Road Special Entertainment Precinct Planning Proposal and Development Control Plan	1. That Council note the engagement outcomes and government agency referrals from the public exhibition of the	
8 August 2023		Planning Proposal and the associated sitespecific Marrickville Development Control Plan 2011 as detailed in	
		Attachment 1.	-
		That Council endorse the amendments to the Inner West Local Environmental Plan 2022, Marrickville     Development Control Plan 2011 and Sound Management Plan as detailed in Attachment 2, 3 and 4.	
		3. That Council submit the Planning Proposal to the Department of Planning and Environment, to make the	-
		amendment under Section 3.36 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979.	
		That Council adopt the site-specific chapter to the Marrickville Development Control Plan 2011 and for it to	
		come into effect on the same date as the Inner West Local Environmental Plan 2022 amendment is published on	
		the NSW legislation website.	
		5. That Council authorise the General Manager to make minor/technical modifications to the Planning Proposal,	1
		Development Control Plan, and Special Entertainment Precinct Management Plan, during finalisation.	Motion Carried
			For Motion: Crs Atkins, Byrne, Da Cruz, D'Arienzo, Drury, Griffiths, Howard,
		6. That Council continue to work with key stakeholders to give full effect to the Enmore Road Special	Langford, Lockie, Scott, Shetty, Smith, Stamolis, Stephens and Tsardoulias
		Entertainment Precinct and explore future developments.	Against Motion: Nil
C0823(1) Item 11	387-389 Illawarra Rd Marrickville Works in Kind Agreement	1. That Council endorse entering into a Works in Kind Agreement (WIK) with Fresh Hope Housing incorporated for	Motion Carried
8 August 2023		387-391 Illawarra Road, Marrickville in lieu of payment of S7.11 contribution required as a condition of	For Motion: Crs Atkins, Byrne, D'Arienzo, Drury, Griffiths, Howard, Langford, Lockie, Scott, Shetty, Smith, Stamolis, Stephens and Tsardoulias
		development consent.	
		2. That Council delegate the General Manager to finalise negotiations for the WIK Agreement and the final design	
		of the works with Fresh Hope Housing Incorporated.	*Cr Da Cruz did not vote for or against the motion. Therefore, in accordance
		3. That Council investigate opportunities to work with churches and other religious organisations and charities	with Clause 11.4 of Council's Code of Meeting Practice (as shown below), she is
		that own land in the Inner West Local Government Area on how this land could be utilised to deliver affordable	recorded as voting against the motion: A Councillor who is present at a
		and community-based housing.	meeting of Council but who fails to vote on a motion put to the meeting is
			taken to have voted against the motion.
C1123(1) Item 8	Draft Planning Proposal: Heritage Pubs	1. That the draft Planning Proposal be submitted to the Department of Planning and Environment for a Gateway	
21 November 2023		Determination pursuant to section 3.34 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979.	Motion Carried
		2. That the planning proposal is placed on community consultation should it receive a favourable Gateway	For Motion: Crs Atkins, Da Cruz, D'Arienzo, Drury, Howard, Langford, Lockie,
		Determination.	Scott, Shetty, Smith, Stamolis, Stephens and Tsardoulias
04400/4) !!		3. That Council receive a post exhibition report for its consideration.	Against Motion: Nil Absent: Cr Griffiths
C1123(1) Item 7	Updated Site Specific Development Control Plan for Haberfield	1. That Council publicly exhibit the draft Haberfield site specific Development Control Plan for a period of 28 days	Motion Carried
21 November 2023		and seek community feedback on the proposed Plan.	For Motion: Crs Atkins, D'Arienzo, Drury, Griffiths, Howard, Langford, Lockie,
		2. That following the conclusion of the exhibition period, the draft Haberfield site specific Development Control	Scott, Shetty, Smith, Stephens and Tsardoulias
		Plan be brought back to Council for consideration.	Against Motion: Crs Da Cruz and Stamolis
C1223(1) Item 2	Post Exhibition - Macarthur Parade Planning Proposal	1. That Council update the planning proposal to remove interiors as part of the heritage listing for these items.	
5 December 2023			4
		2. That Council as the local plan-making authority, endorse the amendment to Schedule 5 of the Inner West Local	
		Environmental Plan 2022 listing 3, 7, 9, 11 & 13 Macarthur Parade Dulwich Hill as items of local significance.	
			4
		3. That Council authorise the General Manager to finalise the Planning Proposal under section 3.36 of the	
		Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979, including any necessary minor/technical modifications and forwards the planning proposal to the Department of Planning and Environment for publication.	Motion Carried For Motion: Crs Atkins, Da Cruz, D'Arienzo, Drury, Griffiths, Howard, Langford,

1		4. That Council meet with the owners to advise the heritage listing incentives and what is possible with further	Lockie, Shetty, Stamolis, Stephens and Tsardoulias
		development on these sites.	Against Motion: Crs Byrne, Scott and Smith
C0224(1) Item 2	Foreshadowed Motion State Government Housing Reforms - Implications for the Inner West and Draft	1. That Council makes the following submission to the state government, recognising the seriousness of the	
13 February 2024	Submission	housing supply crisis and believes all elected representatives at all levels of government must take responsibility	
		for addressing this.	
		2. That Council agrees in principle that increased residential density around transport hubs is a vital element in	
		increasing housing supply.	
		3. That Council seeks to engage constructively with the Government on their proposed Transport Oriented	
		Development (TOD) and Diverse and Well Located Housing (DWLH) rezoning reforms and seeks an urgent meeting	
		with the Planning Minister to discuss this positive collaboration.	
		4. That Council notes that these rezoning proposals were only issued for consultation in late December 2023 and	
		that they should not be rushed through prior to dwelling targets having been provided or without proper	
		negotiation between Council and the Government.	
		5. That Council requests that the NSW Government works with us to ensure that excellent pattern book designs,	
		that reflect the heritage and character of the inner west are made available as soon as possible in order that they	
		can be incorporated into the TOD and DWLH.	
		6. That Council seeks urgent clarification from the NSW Government and the Department of Planning, Housing	
		and Infrastructure (DPHI) regarding the dwelling targets and how the TOD and DWLH will relate to existing	
		heritage protections in the inner west.	
		7. That Council proposes that the NSW Government consider additional housing reforms and supply opportunities	
		within the Inner West beyond TOD and DWLH including: a) propose to the NSW Government that they consider	
		establishing a state controlled corporation to build homes and increase supply for the private sale and rental	
		markets, as occurs successfully in many jurisdictions across the world; b) note the Victorian Government's \$5.3	
		billion investment in building 12,000 new public housing dwellings and propose that the NSW Government make	
		a comparable investment; c) finalise and share with Council the outcomes from the NSW and Commonwealth	
		Crown land audits, and identify which of this land is suitable for housing and public housing; d) recommit our offer	
		to the NSW Government to partner with the Council to provide support for public housing development on our	
		council-owned land and seek to progress negotiations; e) expedite the finalisation of the Parramatta Road	
		Corridor Stage 1 program transforming parts of Leichhardt, Taverners Hill and Kings Bay precincts by facilitating	
		1516 new dwellings; f) legislate the NSW Government's rental reforms and resource the office of the Rental	
		Commissioner to provide advice and assistance to tenants across NSW; g) include Inner West Council to have	
		input into the Apartment Guide Design Standards, noting the success of our Architectural Excellence and Design	
		Review Panel process; and h) request that the NSW Government amend the operation of the Inner West Planning	
		Panel to create a pathway or mechanism for applicants, not just objectors, to have their development application	
		referred to the Panel for determination.	
		8. That Council writes to Inner West residents outlining Council's approach to the state government planning	
		reforms and encourages them to make a submission.	Motion Carried For Motion: Crs Byrne, D'Arienzo, Drury, Griffiths, Scott, Smith
		9. That Council requests that the DPHI release Inner West-tailored information on the TOD, including map	and Tsardoulias Against Motion: Crs Atkins, Langford, Lockie and Shetty Absent:
		summaries of the changes.	Cs Da Cruz, Howard, Stamolis and Stephens
C0224(1) Item 3	Parramatta Road Corridor Stage 1 Proposal (PRCUTS) - Preliminary Engagement Outcomes	1. That Council note that the Roads Minister John Graham has confirmed that the Transport for NSW (TfNSW)	
13 February 2024		submission on the Parramatta Road Planning Proposal for proposed road widenings has been withdrawn.	
		2. That Council seek formal written confirmation from TfNSW that their submission on proposed road widenings is	
		withdrawn.	
		3. That Council note that the WestConnex approval conditions required dedicated public transport lanes, that	
		were not delivered by the previous NSW Government.	
		4. That Council continue to advocate for a dedicated rapid public transport lane that fits the existing width of	
		Parramatta Road and does not rely on road widening, by writing to the Minister of Transport and include it in the	
		Council's submissions on the Parramatta Road Corridor Urban Transformation Strategy (PRCUTS).	
		E That Council and amount from the Attatation for Disc. 1. D. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.	
		5. That Council seek support from the Ministers for Planning, Roads, and Minister for Transport for the delivery of	
		TfNSW owned 2 Hay Street, Leichhardt as new open space in Leichhardt precinct in line with the PRCUTS.	
1		C. That Council and an arrant mark to a like the County of the December of Disc.	
1		6. That Council seek an urgent meeting with the Secretary of the Department of Planning: a) on the above points	
1		2 to 5; b) on delays associated with finalising this Planning Proposal given the need to resolve the State Agency	
		submissions (TfNSW/ Dept Environment/ Sydney Water); and c) to clarify the interaction of the Diverse and Well-	
		Located Housing proposal and PRCUTS.	
		7. That without delay, following the resolution of issues outlined in items 2 5 6, any and all reports be brought	
Ţ		back to Council for finalisation of PRCUTS at the next possible meeting.	J I

		8. That in addition to West Leichhardt section of the Taverner's Hill Precinct, Council gives priority to the rezoning for housing and/or mixed uses of the lots on Parramatta Road in the Taverners Hill precinct, noting: a) that the Best & Less site at 657-673 Parramatta Road is available for immediate acquisition, is adjacent to the West Leichhardt precinct and is closer to the Light Rail stop; b) that the redevelopment for housing of such a site would be more immediate than the process of the amalgamating privately owned residential lots as proposed for West Leichhardt, which could take decades; and c) that the Best & Less site could be immediately acquired by the NSW	
		informed of the Taverners Hill changes and the recommendation to the NSW government to acquire the Best &	Motion Carried For Motion: Crs Atkins, Byrne, D'Arienzo, Drury, Griffiths, Lockie, Scott, Smith, Stephens and Tsardoulias Against Motion: Crs Da Cruz, Langford, Shetty and Stamolis Absent: Cr Howard
C0424(1) Item 3 9 April 2024	Post Exhibition - Haberfield Development Control Plan	That Council endorse the amendments to the Comprehensive Inner West Control Plan 2016 for Ashbury,     Ashfield, Croydon, Croydon Park, Haberfield, Hurlstone Park, and Summer Hill as outlined in Attachment 2 for     Haberfield to commence 30 April 2024.      That Council officers be authorised to make any minor correction amendments, including any minor errors,     omissions, or inconsistencies prior to finalisation of the (Development Control Plan) DCP amendment.	Motion Carried For Motion: Against Motion: Crs Atkins, Byrne, Da Cruz, D'Arienzo, Drury, Griffiths, Howard, Langford, Lockie, Scott, Shetty, Smith, Stamolis and Tsardoulias Nil