GREENWAY MASTERPLAN APPENDIXD TRAFFIC ANALYSIS REPORT

## JACOBS

## The Greenway Master Plan: Cooks to Cove Greenway

McGregor Coxall
Feasibility traffic assessment of on-road sections and at-grade crossings

## The Greenway Master Plan: Cooks to Cove Greenway

Project No:
Document Title
Document No.: IA174800
Revision:
Date:
Client Name:
Project Manager:
Author:
File Name:

## IA174800

Version D
25 July 2018

David Borella
Richard Banzon

Feasibility traffic assessment of on-road sections and at-grade crossings

McGregor Coxall

J:\IE\Projects\04_Eastern\IA174800\21 Deliverables\Traffic and Transport\2018-07-25 Feasibility traffic assessment of on-road sections and at-grade crossings - Version D.docx

Jacobs Group (Australia) Pty Limited
ABN 37001024095
Level 7, 177 Pacific Highway
North Sydney NSW 2060 Australia
PO Box 632 North Sydney
NSW 2059 Australia
T +61 299282100
F +61 299282500
www.jacobs.com
© Copyright 2018 Jacobs Group (Australia) Pty Limited. The concepts and information contained in this document are the property of Jacobs. Use or copying of this document in whole or in part without the written permission of Jacobs constitutes an infringement of copyright.

Limitation: This document has been prepared on behalf of, and for the exclusive use of Jacobs' client, and is subject to, and issued in accordance with, the provisions of the contract between Jacobs and the client. Jacobs accepts no liability or responsibility whatsoever for, or in respect of, any use of, or reliance upon, this document by any third party.

Document history and status

| Revision | Date | Description | By | Review | Approved |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A | $29 / 03 / 2018$ | Draft report for comment | R. Banzon | S. Read | D. Borella |
| B | $24 / 04 / 2018$ | Response to draft report comments | R. Banzon | S. Read | D. Borella |
| C | $08 / 05 / 2018$ | Final draft report | R. Banzon | S. Read | D. Borella |
| D | $25 / 07 / 2018$ | Final draft report - Updated | R. Banzon | S. Read | D. Borella |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Contents

1. Introduction ..... 1
2. The Greenway ..... 2
3. Methodology ..... 4
3.1 On-road sections and at-grade crossings assessment locations ..... 4
3.2 Separation or mixed traffic ..... 4
3.3 Traffic modelling approach ..... 6
3.3.1 Intersection performance criteria ..... 6
4. On-road sections assessment ..... 7
4.1 Iron Cove to Marion Street ..... 7
4.2 Weston Street ..... 10
4.3 Jack Shanahan Park to Cooks River ..... 12
5. At-grade crossings assessment ..... 15
5.1 Marion Street ..... 15
5.1.1 Intersection performance ..... 17
5.1.2 Options summary ..... 23
5.2 Old Canterbury Road ..... 24
5.2.1 Signalisation of Old Canterbury Road / Weston Street / Edward Street ..... 24
5.2.2 Intersection performance ..... 25
5.2.3 Options summary ..... 33
5.3 Davis Street ..... 34
5.4 Constitution Road ..... 37
5.5 New Canterbury Road ..... 39
5.6 Hercules Street ..... 41
5.7 Ewart Street ..... 43
5.7.1 Warrant for traffic signals ..... 44
5.7.2 Intersection performance ..... 48
6. Summary and conclusion ..... 50
Appendix A. Traffic count data - Marion Street
Appendix B. Marion Street Sidra outputs
Appendix C. Traffic count data - Old Canterbury Road
Appendix D. Old Canterbury Road Sidra outputs
Appendix E. Traffic count data - Ewart Street
Appendix F. Ewart Street Sidra outputs

## 1. Introduction

Jacobs has been commissioned by the Inner West Council to undertake a traffic and transport feasibility assessment for the Greenway project. This report outlines the traffic and transport feasibility assessment of onroad sections and at-grade crossings of the Greenway.

The outcomes of this assessment will inform the route options assessment by McGregor Coxall, which is being prepared as part of The Greenway Missing Links Master Plan development process.

This report is structured as follows:

- Chapter 2 provides a brief overview of the Greenway.
- Chapter 3 describes the methodology undertaken to qualitatively and quantitatively assess the project based on traffic engineering principles.
- Chapter 4 outlines the assessment of on-road sections of the Greenway.
- Chapter 5 outlines the assessment of at-grade crossings of the Greenway.
- Chapter 6 presents a summary and conclusion of the traffic assessment.


## 2. The Greenway

The Greenway is a 5.8 km environmental and active transport corridor linking the Cooks River at Earlwood to Parramatta River at Iron Cove. Following the Inner West Light Rail line, the Greenway would feature bike paths and foreshore walks, cultural and historical sites, cafes, bush care sites and a range of parks, playgrounds and sporting facilities.

In July 2016, the New South Wales Government and Inner West Council committed joint funding of $\$ 14.5$ million towards the cost of completing the Greenway. Concurrent with the detailed design of some sections, Inner West Council is developing The Greenway Missing Links Master Plan for the entire Greenway corridor. The Master Plan would guide the delivery of additional landscaping and infrastructure along the corridor over the next 10-15 years. A key objective of the Master Plan is to create a safe and permeable active transport corridor linking the Cooks River to Iron Cove, to suit all types of users. This involves:

- Completing the "spine" - a legible, safe and accessible route along the entire Greenway
- Addressing existing barriers including road crossings
- Creating "Greenway streets" - safe, rideable streets where the route needs to remain on-road

This report addresses the technical assessment of options to meet these goals.
Figure 2.1 shows the location of the Greenway and its surrounding areas.
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Figure 2.1 : Local context of the Greenway
Source: Greenway (Inner West Council and City of Canterbury Bankstown, 2018)

## 3. Methodology

The Greenway's proposed on-road sections and at-grade road crossings were assessed qualitatively and quantitatively based on a desktop review, site investigations, traffic data (where available) and relevant guidelines. Additional quantitative assessment was undertaken for intersections proposed to be upgraded or modified as part of the Greenway.

### 3.1 On-road sections and at-grade crossings assessment locations

The following on-road and road crossing segments of the Greenway corridor were assessed:

- On-road sections from Iron Cove to Marion Street
- Marion Street crossing
- Signalisation of Old Canterbury Road / Weston Street / Edward Street
- Weston Street on-road corridor section
- Davis Street crossing
- Constitution Road crossing
- New Canterbury Road crossing
- Hercules Street crossing
- Signalisation of Ewart Street / Terrace Road
- On-road sections from Jack Shanahan Park to Cooks River

Crossings at Parramatta Road and Longport Street will be grade separated and are funded under the Parramatta Road Urban Amenity Improvement Program and therefore have not been included in this assessment.

### 3.2 Separation or mixed traffic

A key consideration in the design of safe, high-quality on-road bicycle facilities is to correctly identify when to provide treatments that physically separate bicycles from vehicular traffic (e.g. by including separated cycleways) and otherwise, when mixing bicycle and vehicular traffic is acceptable. Roads and Maritime's NSW Bicycle Guidelines outlines the traffic conditions that require the implementation of separated bicycle facilities and when a mixed traffic environment may be acceptable. This is largely dependent on traffic volume and vehicle speed, as shown in Figure 3.1.

The feasibility of providing these treatments may be constrained by other factors such as road space availability, parking requirements, road grades, directness and bicycle rider numbers. Therefore, Figure 3.1 indicates the minimum traffic conditions at which separation of cyclists and motor vehicles should be considered. Figure 3.1 does not intend to indicate that there are (low) traffic conditions for which separation should not necessarily be implemented or that there will be no benefit by providing separation.
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Figure 3.1 : Separation of bicycles and motor vehicles according to traffic speed and volume
Source: NSW Bicycle Guidelines (Roads and Maritime, 2005)

### 3.3 Traffic modelling approach

### 3.3.1 Intersection performance criteria

The quantitative assessment has been undertaken using SIDRA INTERSECTION (Sidra) modelling software (version 7). Sidra is a micro-analytical tool for evaluating intersection performance in terms of capacity, Degree of Saturation, Level of Service, average vehicle delay and queue lengths and is an appropriate tool for modelling individual intersections. Roads and Maritime Services (Roads and Maritime) Traffic Modelling Guidelines (version 1.0, February 2013) state that the following core performance elements should be assessed when modelling using Sidra:

- Degree of Saturation (DoS)
- Level of Service (LoS)
- 95 per cent back of queue distance


## Degree of Saturation

DoS is defined as the ratio of demand (arrival) flow to capacity (also known as volume to capacity ratio). DoS above 1.0 represent oversaturated conditions (demand flow exceeds capacity), and DoS below 1.0 represent under-saturated conditions (demand flows are below capacity).

## Level of Service

LoS is a qualitative measure describing operational conditions within a traffic stream and their perception by drivers and / or passengers. This measure is used in planning design and operation of roads. LoS criteria are classified into six categories as shown in Table 3.1.

Table 3.1 : Level of Service (LoS) criteria

| LoS | Average delay per <br> vehicle (seconds per <br> vehicle) | Traffic signals | Roundabout |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A | Less than 15 | Good operation | Good operation |
| B | 15 to 28 | Good with acceptable delays and spare capacity | Good with acceptable delays and spare capacity |
| C | 29 to 42 | Satisfactory | Satisfactory |
| D | 43 to 56 | Operating near capacity | Operating near capacity |
| E | 57 to 70 | At capacity; incidents will cause delays. | At capacity; requires other control mode |
| F | Over 70 | Extra capacity required | Extra capacity required |

Source: Guide to Traffic Generating Developments (Roads and Maritime, version 2.2, 2002)
The average delay assessed for roundabouts is for the worst movement and is expressed in seconds per vehicle.
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## 4. On-road sections assessment

### 4.1 Iron Cove to Marion Street

Proposed on-road options for Iron Cove to Marion Street are shown in Figure 4.1.


Figure 4.1 : Iron Cove to Marion Street on-road options

Options considered are:

- Option 1 - existing off-road path
- Option 2 - Canal Road
- Option 3 - Hawthorne Parade
- Option 4 - Darley Road


## On-road sections overview

- Canal Road, Hawthorne Parade and Darley Road presently function as marked bicycle routes.
- Canal Road provides an on-road cycle environment of low difficulty as shown in Figure 4.2.
- Hawthorne Parade provides an on-road cycle environment of moderate difficulty, except for the section between Barton Avenue and Waratah Street which is of high difficulty, as shown in Figure 4.2. The high difficulty is due to the high turnover of on-street parking.
- Darley Road provides an on-road cycle environment of high difficulty for most of its length as shown in Figure 4.2 due to the high vehicle volumes.
- Both Hawthorne Parade and Darley Street would accommodate commuter / experienced cyclists given their difficulty.
- Canal Road carries very low volumes of traffic given its existing function and therefore would be suitable for inexperienced and experienced cyclists, provided that the road is upgraded to be more cycle friendly.
- Cyclists travelling on Hawthorne Parade have to navigate through three roundabouts.
- Angled parking on the eastern side of Hawthorne Parade presents additional conflicts between vehicles and cyclists, particularly when vehicles are reversing out of a parking bay.
- Bicycle symbols placed on Hawthorne Parade are currently unclear at specific locations and therefore new symbols in conjunction with upgrades to improve cyclist safety and network legibility should be implemented.
- Darley Road consists of wide-shoulders which would be suitable for cyclists, however the road carries higher traffic volumes compared to Hawthorne Parade and Canal Road.


## Recommendation

- Canal Road - Minor upgrades to ensure the road is made more cycle friendly.
- Hawthorne Parade - Ensure the road can accommodate commuter / experienced cyclists, with modifications to the three roundabouts and addition of slow points to improve cyclist safety. Modify roundabouts and intersections generally to improve pedestrian access and bicycle transition from on-road to Greenway. Where angled parking is to be retained, it should be retained as rear to kerb parking. Onstreet bicycle lanes are not recommended due to the high turnover of parking, especially on weekends. Mixed traffic with pavement logos should be maintained. Additional logos should be painted at existing slow points and roundabouts. The posted speed limit could be reduced from $50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ to $40 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ although this may require supporting traffic-calming measures at mid-block sections to ensure those vehicle speeds are achieved. It is also recommended to install bicycles may use full lane signs at intervals along Hawthorne Parade and bicycles excepted signs on the Hawthorne Parade to Dobroyd Parade one-way link.
- Darley Road - Provide a separated cycleway.
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Figure 4.2 : Cycling network from Iron Cove to Marion Street
Source: Cycleway Finder (Roads and Maritime, 2018)
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### 4.2 Weston Street

The Weston Street on-road options are shown in Figure 4.3.


Figure 4.3 : Weston Street on-road options

## On-road sections overview

- Weston Street carries a very low volume of traffic, with 2014 Annual Average Daily Traffic (AADT) of 80 vehicles northbound and 160 vehicles southbound.
- $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile speed in 2014 was $46.8 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$.
- Weston Street would be an appropriate on-road cycle environment (mixed-traffic) given the low $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile speed and low daily volume (240 AADT, bi-directional) as shown in Figure 3.1.
- Traffic calming devices may be installed to slow traffic even further, however this may not be necessary.


## Recommendation

- Mixed traffic environment with appropriate line marking, signage and wayfinding facilities to be installed (at a minimum).
- The posted speed limit could be reduced from $50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ to $40 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ although this may require supporting traffic-calming measures at mid-block sections to ensure those vehicle speeds are achieved. A trial of a posted speed limit of $30 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ could also be considered as part of a bike boulevard treatment.

It should be noted that above is predicated on the maintaining existing or reduced traffic volumes on Weston Street. Should signalisation of Weston Street / Old Canterbury Road with entry and exit at Weston Street, result in an increase in traffic along Weston Street a separated cycleway may be required. This is assessed in Section 5.2.
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### 4.3 Jack Shanahan Park to Cooks River

Proposed on-road options for Jack Shanahan Park to Cooks River are shown in Figure 4.4.


Figure 4.4 : Jack Shanahan Park to Cooks River on-road options

Options considered are:

- Option 1 - Tennant Parade and Ness Avenue
- Option 2 - Tennant Parade and Garnet Street
- Option 3 - Wardell Road, Riverside Crescent, Tennyson Street and Ness Avenue
- Option 4 - Golf Course and Ness Avenue
- Option 5 - Wardell Road, Riverside Crescent and Ewart Street


## Existing traffic

A summary of available traffic data on local roads that may form part of the Greenway are shown in Table 4.1.
Table 4.1 : Local road traffic data

| Road | Year | AADT | 85 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Garnet Street / Tennant Parade | 2006 | 740 | 43.6 |
| Ness Avenue | 2007 | 990 | 51.8 |
| Riverside Crescent | 2003 | 1,800 | 38.7 |
| Tennyson Street | 2016 | 870 | 51.5 |

## On-road sections overview

- All local roads carry a low volume of traffic and low $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile speed - see Table 4.1.
- Garnet Street is narrow at the bridge.
- Garnet Street is designated as an on-road cycle environment of moderate difficulty - see Figure 4.5.
- Tennant Parade is designated as an on-road cycle environment of low difficulty - see Figure 4.5.
- All local roads are suitable for a mixed-traffic on-road environment due to their low daily traffic volumes and $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile speed - see Table 4.1.
- Traffic calming devices may be installed to slow traffic even further, however this may not be necessary.


## Left-turn ban from Wardell Road northbound to Riverside Crescent

- The left turn ban supports all options by minimising vehicles that rat-run from Wardell Road to Garnet Street via Riverside Crescent, Tennyson Street and Ness Avenue.
- Access to residential properties on Riverside Crescent, Tennyson Street, Ness Avenue and Balfour Street would be via Ewart Street and Riverside Crescent when approaching from the south. The maximum distance and delay is estimated at 550 metres and 45 seconds plus signal stopping time, respectively. Access would be unchanged from all other directions.
- Around 80-100 vehicles per hour during peak periods travel northbound on Riverside Crescent (assuming the peak hour traffic is 10 per cent of AADT). However, available traffic data is from 2003 and therefore new counts should be undertaken to determine the quantum of traffic currently using Riverside Crescent.
- With the left-turn ban, northbound vehicles would be required to travel through the Wardell Road / Ewart Street intersection.
- Given the low peak hour volume, Wardell Road / Ewart Street should be able to accommodate the additional vehicles, however additional quantitative analysis (modelling) may need to be undertaken to confirm this.
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- Riverside Crescent $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile speed is $38.7 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$.
- The low $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile speed and low daily volume (1,800 AADT, bi-directional), may not require prohibition of the left turn - see Figure 3.1.


Figure 4.5 : Cycling network from Jack Shanahan Park to Cooks River
Source: Cycleway Finder (Roads and Maritime, 2018)

## Recommendation

- Mixed traffic environment on all local roads with appropriate line marking, signage and wayfinding facilities to be installed (at a minimum).
- New traffic counts should be undertaken on Riverside Crescent and at the intersection of Wardell Road and Ewart Street to quantitatively assess the impact of the left turn ban.
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## 5. At-grade crossings assessment

### 5.1 Marion Street

Proposed options for Marion Street are shown in Figure 5.1.


Figure 5.1 : Marion Street crossing options

Options considered at Marion Street are:

- Option 1 - modification to existing signalised crossing (quantitatively assessed in this report)
- Option 2 - existing signalised crossing


## At-grade crossing overview

- Long cycle times are an issue, which encourages users to cross when it is unsafe to do so.
- In the current configuration, cyclists are required to dismount on both sides of the crossing.
- Crossing provision for walkers and riders could be improved.
- Parking lanes are currently provided in each direction which encourages motorists to travel on lane 2 during periods when parking is permitted.
- Although suggestions to widen the crossing (like at Martin Place) would provide more space for both pedestrians and cyclists and align riders onto the Greenway desire line, there may be difficulty in gaining Roads and Maritime approval as this is not a typical crossing width. Also, Marion Street is a designated regional road.
- Roads and Maritime approved crossing widths are $3.6 \mathrm{~m}, 4.5 \mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{~m}$ or 10 m wide ${ }^{1}$ depending on location and volumes.

Four scenarios have been modelled in Sidra as follows:

- Existing: Current configuration with two lanes in in each direction.
- Option 1A: Moving the signalised crossing in line with the Council driveways and reducing Marion Street in the westbound direction to one lane with provision of a shared pedestrian and cyclist crossing on the west approach, a pedestrian only crossing on the east approach and signalised control of vehicle movements to and from the driveways on the north and south approaches.
- Option 1B: Moving the signalised crossing in line with the Council driveways and reducing Marion Street in the westbound direction to one lane with provision of pedestrian only crossings on the east and west approaches and signalised control of vehicle and cyclist movements to and from the driveways on the north and south approaches.
- Option 1C: Moving the signalised crossing west of the Council driveways at the canal overpass and reducing Marion Street in the westbound direction to one lane with provision of a shared pedestrian and cyclist crossing on the west approach, a pedestrian only crossing on the east approach and uncontrolled access to and from the driveways on the north and south approaches.

The intersection concept and modelling results are presented in Section 5.1.1. Traffic counts used for the assessment is provided in Appendix A.

[^0]Feasibility traffic assessment of on-road sections and atgrade crossings

### 5.1.1 Intersection performance

## Option 1A

Figure 5.2 shows the Option 1A intersection configuration modelled in Sidra.


Figure 5.2 : Option 1A - Marion Street modification

Table 5.1 shows a comparison of the intersection performance with and without Option 1A.
Table 5.1 : Option 1A modelling results

| Time period / approach | Existing |  |  |  | Option 1A |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | DoS | Average delay (sec) | LoS | Queue length (m) | DoS | Average delay (sec) | LoS | Queue length (m) |
| Morning peak hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Council driveway south approach | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 0.26 | 40 | C | <10 |
| Marion Street east approach | 0.19 | <5 | A | 20 | 0.31 | <5 | A | 35 |
| Council driveway north approach | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 0.26 | 40 | C | <10 |
| Marion Street west approach | 0.58 | 5 | A | 80 | 0.90 | 25 | B | 160 |
| Overall intersection | 0.58 | 5 | A | 80 | 0.90 | 21 | B | 160 |
| Evening peak hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Council driveway south approach | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 0.13 | 36 | C | $<10$ |
| Marion Street east approach | 0.42 | 5 | A | 50 | 0.71 | 7 | A | 120 |
| Council driveway north approach | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 0.13 | 36 | C | <10 |
| Marion Street west approach | 0.46 | 5 | A | 60 | 0.46 | 5 | A | 55 |
| Overall intersection | 0.46 | 5 | A | 60 | 0.71 | 6 | A | 120 |

Without modification to the existing signals, the intersection performs at LoS A during the morning and evening peak hour. Reducing Marion Street to one lane in the westbound direction and moving the crossing to the west, in line with the Council driveways which would be converted to signalised control and used by Council vehicles only would result in the intersection operating at LoS B during the morning peak hour and LoS A during the evening peak hour. Queue lengths would remain acceptable, with a maximum queue length of 160 metres on Marion Street in the eastbound direction during the morning peak hour and 120 metres on Marion Street in the westbound direction during the evening peak hour.

The signalisation of the Council driveways requires additional kerb and gutter treatments to ensure that pedestrians perceive the driveway as a road. This eliminates any confusion over right of way between pedestrians and vehicles ${ }^{2}$.

Option 1A would improve crossing safety by providing a shared pedestrian and cyclist crossing on the west approach in-line with the Greenway desire line while maintaining a pedestrian crossing on the east approach inline with the light rail desire line.

Additional modelling outputs are provided in Appendix B.
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## Option 1B

Figure 5.3 shows the Option 1B intersection configuration modelled in Sidra.


Figure 5.3 : Option 1B - Marion Street modification

Table 5.2 shows a comparison of the intersection performance with and without Option 1B.
Table 5.2 : Option 1B modelling results

| Time period / approach | Existing |  |  |  | Option 1B |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | DoS | Average delay (sec) | LoS | Queue length (m) | DoS | Average delay (sec) | LoS | Queue length (m) |
| Morning peak hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Council driveway south approach | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 0.07 | 31 | C | <10 |
| Marion Street east approach | 0.19 | <5 | A | 20 | 0.31 | <5 | A | 35 |
| Council driveway north approach | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 0.07 | 31 | C | <10 |
| Marion Street west approach | 0.58 | 5 | A | 80 | 0.30 | 25 | B | 160 |
| Overall intersection | 0.58 | 5 | A | 80 | 0.90 | 21 | B | 160 |
| Evening peak hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Council driveway south approach | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 0.07 | 31 | C | <10 |
| Marion Street east approach | 0.42 | 5 | A | 50 | 0.67 | 6 | A | 105 |
| Council driveway north approach | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 0.07 | 31 | C | <10 |
| Marion Street west approach | 0.46 | 5 | A | 60 | 0.43 | < 5 | A | 50 |
| Overall intersection | 0.46 | 5 | A | 60 | 0.67 | 5 | A | 105 |

Reducing Marion Street to one lane in the westbound direction and moving the crossing to the west, in line with the Council driveways which would be converted to signalised control and used by Council vehicles and Greenway cyclists, would result in the intersection operating at LoS B during the morning peak hour and LoS A during the evening peak hour. Queue lengths would remain acceptable, with a maximum queue length of 160 metres on Marion Street in the eastbound direction during the morning peak hour and 105 metres on Marion Street in the westbound direction during the evening peak hour.

Similar to Option 1A, the signalisation of the Council driveways requires additional kerb and gutter treatments to ensure that pedestrians perceive the driveway as a road. This would eliminate confusion over right of way between pedestrians and vehicles.

Similar to Option 1A, Option 1B would improve crossing safety by providing a pedestrian crossing on the west approach in-line with the Greenway desire line while maintaining a pedestrian crossing on the east approach inline with the light rail desire line. Cyclists would cross the road using the Council driveways, which is also in-line with the Greenway desire line.

Additional modelling outputs are provided in Appendix B.
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## Option 1C

Figure 5.4 shows the Option 1C intersection configuration modelled in Sidra


Figure 5.4 : Option 1C - Marion Street modification
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Table 5.3 shows a comparison of the intersection performance with and without the modification to the existing signalised Option 1C.

Table 5.3 : Option 1C modelling results

| Time period / approach | Existing |  |  |  | Option 1C |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | DoS | Average delay (sec) | LoS | Queue length (m) | DoS | Average delay (sec) | LoS | Queue length (m) |
| Morning peak hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Marion Street east approach | 0.19 | < 5 | A | 20 | 0.30 | <5 | A | 30 |
| Marion Street west approach | 0.58 | 5 | A | 80 | 0.84 | 14 | A | 115 |
| Overall intersection | 0.58 | 5 | A | 80 | 0.84 | 11 | A | 115 |
| Evening peak hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Marion Street east approach | 0.42 | 5 | A | 50 | 0.65 | 5 | A | 100 |
| Marion Street west approach | 0.46 | 5 | A | 60 | 0.43 | <5 | A | 50 |
| Overall intersection | 0.46 | 5 | A | 60 | 0.65 | 5 | A | 100 |

Reducing Marion Street to one lane in the westbound direction and moving the crossing to the west at the canal overpass control would result in the intersection maintaining LoS A during the morning and evening peak hour. Queue lengths remain acceptable, with a maximum queue length of 115 metres on Marion Street in the eastbound direction during the morning peak hour and 100 metres on Marion Street in the westbound direction during the evening peak hour.

Option 1C would improve crossing safety by providing a shared pedestrian and cyclist crossing on the west approach in-line with the Greenway desire line.

Additional modelling outputs are provided in Appendix B.

### 5.1.2 Options summary

Table 5.4 provides a summary of the modelling assessment for Marion Street crossing options.
Table 5.4 : Marion Street modelling summary

| Option | Morning peak hour |  | Evening peak hour |  | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Level of Service | Queue length (metres) | Level of Service | Queue length (metres) |  |
| Option 1A | B | 160 | A | 120 | Acceptable operational performance and queue lengths. For safety reasons, additional kerb and gutter treatments would be required on the signalised Council driveways to ensure that pedestrians and cyclists perceive the driveway as a road. |
| Option 1B | B | 160 | A | 105 | Acceptable operational performance and queue lengths. For safety reasons, additional kerb and gutter treatments would be required on the signalised Council driveways to ensure that pedestrians perceive the driveway as a road. |
| Option 1C | A | 115 | A | 100 | Acceptable operational performance and queue lengths |

Option 1C (as shown in Figure 5.4) would provide the most efficient intersection operation with the shortest queues and least delay to vehicles.

## Recommendation

- Option 1C is preferred - acceptable intersection performance, acceptable queue lengths, in-line with the Greenway, uncontrolled driveways due to the low number of Council vehicles.
- Option 1C is not in-line with the light rail desire line, however is preferred over Option 1A and Option 1B due to its lower cost and the low number of Council vehicles using the driveways. Note Option 1C provides approaches to light rail in all directions except from southside of Marion Street from Lambert Park
- Option 1A and 1B would be preferred if the driveways remain uncontrolled, similar to other intersections with bicycle facilities such as Union Square, Pyrmont and Pitt Street Mall, Sydney CBD.


### 5.2 Old Canterbury Road

The options considered at Old Canterbury Road are:

- Option 1 - tunnel under Old Canterbury Road
- Option 2 - traffic signals at the Old Canterbury Road / Weston Street / Edward Street intersection


### 5.2.1 Signalisation of Old Canterbury Road / Weston Street / Edward Street

The intersection of Old Canterbury Road, Weston Street and Edward Street currently operates as a priority controlled intersection with Old Canterbury Road functioning as a major east-west sub-arterial road and Weston Street and Edward Street as minor local roads.

A tunnel under Old Canterbury Road east of Edward Street is currently being designed as part of the Central Links package. Assessment of at-grade crossing options has been undertaken to make use of the signalisation of Old Canterbury Road / Weston Street / Edward Street as part of the Summer Hill Flour Mill development north-east of the intersection. This crossing would be used by cyclists and pedestrians until the tunnel is operational.

Five scenarios have been modelled in Sidra as follows:

- Existing without development: Priority controlled intersection (current configuration).
- Existing with development: Priority controlled intersection with additional traffic generated due to the Flour Mill and McGill Street developments.
- Option 2A: Signalised intersection with provision of a bi-directional shared path on the eastern side of Weston Street, an extended no-stopping zone on Old Canterbury Road in the westbound direction, east of Weston Street, pedestrian only crossings on the north, west and south approaches and a shared pedestrian and cyclist crossing on the east approach.
- Option 2B: Signalised intersection with closure of Weston Street southbound at its interface with Old Canterbury Road, provision of a right turn lane on Old Canterbury Road in the westbound direction, an extended no-stopping zone on Old Canterbury Road in the westbound direction, east of Weston Street, pedestrian only crossings on the north, west and south approaches and a shared pedestrian and cyclist crossing on the east approach.
- Option 2C: Signalised intersection with full closure of Weston Street at its interface with Old Canterbury Road, provision of a right turn lane on Old Canterbury Road in the westbound direction and an extended no-stopping zone on Old Canterbury Road in the westbound direction, east of Weston Street, pedestrian only crossings on the north and west approaches and a shared pedestrian and cyclist crossing on the east approach.

These intersection concepts and modelling results are presented in Section 5.2.2. Traffic counts used for the assessment is provided in Appendix C.

### 5.2.2 Intersection performance

## Existing

Table 5.5 shows the performance of the existing intersection configuration with and without additional traffic generated due to the Flour Mill and McGill Street developments.

Traffic forecasts have been based on an assessment of the Flour Mill and McGill Street developments undertaken by Arup as outlined in Summer Hill Flour Mill Preferred Project Report - Traffic and Transport (Arup 2012).

Table 5.5 : Existing intersection modelling results

| Time period / approach | Existing without development |  |  |  | Existing with development |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | DoS | Average delay (sec) | LoS | Queue length (m) | DoS | Average delay (sec) | LoS | Queue length (m) |
| Morning peak hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Weston Street south approach | 0.12 | 93 | F | <10 | 0.18 | >100 | F | <10 |
| Old Canterbury Road east approach | 0.38 | 16 | B | 25 | 0.45 | 18 | B | 30 |
| Edward Street north approach | 0.38 | >100 | F | 10 | >1 | >100 | F | 70 |
| Old Canterbury Road west approach | 0.31 | 9 | A | <10 | 0.32 | 9 | A | <10 |
| Overall intersection | 0.38 | >100 | F | 25 | 0.45 | >100 | F | 70 |
| Evening peak hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Weston Street south approach | 0.10 | >100 | F | <10 | 0.16 | >100 | F | <10 |
| Old Canterbury Road east approach | 0.61 | 13 | A | 40 | 0.69 | 16 | B | 60 |
| Edward Street north approach | 0.52 | >100 | F | 10 | >1 | >100 | F | 200 |
| Old Canterbury Road west approach | 0.21 | 17 | B | <10 | 0.23 | 18 | B | <10 |
| Overall intersection | 0.61 | >100 | F | 40 | >1 | >100 | F | 200 |

The existing intersection without development traffic currently operates at LoS F during the morning and evening peak hour. This is due to the worst performing movement reported for priority controlled (unsignalised) intersections, which in this case corresponds to the right turns out of Weston Street and Edward Street. Vehicles turning right from either of these roads have to give way to a number of conflicting movements including vehicles travelling on Old Canterbury Road, which is a major east-west road that experiences high traffic volumes.

The addition of development traffic results in the intersection degrading in performance, with average delays greater than 100 seconds. The intersection's deterioration with development traffic is largely attributed to the additional traffic turning into and out of Edward Street. The intersection in its existing configuration would not be able to accommodate the additional traffic generated due to the two developments.

Additional modelling outputs are provided in Appendix D .
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Option 2A
Figure 5.5 shows the Option 2A intersection configuration modelled in Sidra.


Figure 5.5 : Option 2A - Weston Street open with shared path

Table 5.6 shows the performance of the intersection with and without Option 2A.
Table 5.6 : Option 2A modelling results

| Time period / approach | Existing with development |  |  |  | Option 2A |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | DoS | Average delay (sec) | LoS | Queue length (m) | DoS | Average delay (sec) | LoS | Queue length (m) |
| Morning peak hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Weston Street south approach | 0.18 | >100 | F | <10 | 0.09 | 66 | E | <10 |
| Old Canterbury Road east approach | 0.45 | 18 | B | 30 | 0.91 | 42 | C | 205 |
| Edward Street north approach | >1 | >100 | F | 70 | 0.87 | 64 | E | 110 |
| Old Canterbury Road west approach | 0.32 | 9 | A | <10 | 0.89 | 26 | B | 275 |
| Overall intersection | 0.45 | >100 | F | 70 | 0.91 | 37 | C | 275 |
| Evening peak hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Weston Street south approach | 0.16 | >100 | F | <10 | 0.04 | 64 | E | <10 |
| Old Canterbury Road east approach | 0.69 | 16 | B | 60 | 0.97 | 58 | E | 475 |
| Edward Street north approach | >1 | >100 | F | 200 | 0.43 | 33 | C | 55 |
| Old Canterbury Road west approach | 0.23 | 18 | B | <10 | 0.95 | 57 | E | 250 |
| Overall intersection | >1 | >100 | F | 200 | 0.97 | 55 | D | 475 |

Signalisation of the intersection with an extended no-stopping zone on Old Canterbury Road in the westbound direction and modifying Weston Street with a bi-directional shared path on the eastern side improves the intersection's performance from LoS F to LoS C during the morning peak hour and LoS F to LoS D during the evening peak hour. However, queue lengths on Old Canterbury Road in the eastbound direction during the morning peak hour would extend beyond the Old Canterbury Road / Junction Road intersection. During the evening peak hour, queues on Old Canterbury Road in the westbound direction would extend beyond the Old Canterbury Road / Toothill Street intersection.

Extension of the no-stopping zone on Old Canterbury Road in the westbound direction would not improve queue lengths to an acceptable level and therefore additional modifications would be required.

Additional modelling outputs are provided in Appendix D.
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## Option 2B

Figure 5.6 shows the Option 2B intersection configuration modelled in Sidra.
Prohibiting vehicles from entering Weston Street at its northern end would require vehicles to turn into Windsor Road to access Weston Street. This would result in a minor redistribution of traffic given the low number of vehicles turning into Weston Street, with the surveys recording 12 vehicles and 22 vehicles turning into Weston Street during the morning and evening peak hour, respectively.


Figure 5.6 : Option 2B - Weston Street partial closure (one-way northbound)
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Table 5.7 shows the performance of the intersection with and without Option 2B.
Table 5.7 : Option 2B modelling results

| Time period / approach | Existing (with development) |  |  |  | Option 2B |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | DoS | Average <br> delay <br> (sec) | LoS | Queue length (m) | DoS | Average delay (sec) | LoS | Queue length (m) |
| Morning peak hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Weston Street south approach | 0.18 | >100 | F | <10 | 0.09 | 66 | E | <10 |
| Old Canterbury Road east approach | 0.45 | 18 | B | 30 | 0.58 | 18 | B | 130 |
| Edward Street north approach | >1 | >100 | F | 70 | 0.83 | 60 | E | 105 |
| Old Canterbury Road west approach | 0.32 | 9 | A | <10 | 0.86 | 20 | B | 240 |
| Overall intersection | 0.45 | >100 | F | 70 | 0.86 | 25 | B | 240 |
| Evening peak hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Weston Street south approach | 0.16 | >100 | F | <10 | 0.04 | 64 | E | <10 |
| Old Canterbury Road east approach | 0.69 | 16 | B | 60 | 0.82 | 11 | A | 260 |
| Edward Street north approach | >1 | >100 | F | 200 | 0.62 | 42 | C | 70 |
| Old Canterbury Road west approach | 0.23 | 18 | B | <10 | 0.66 | 17 | B | 125 |
| Overall intersection | >1 | >100 | F | 200 | 0.82 | 17 | B | 260 |

Signalisation of the intersection with an extended 'no-stopping' zone on Old Canterbury Road in the westbound direction and converting Weston Street to one-way northbound improves the performance of the intersection from LoS F to LoS B during both peak hours. However, queue lengths during the evening peak hour on Old Canterbury Road in the westbound direction would extend beyond the adjacent Old Canterbury Road / Toothill Street intersection.

Further extension of the no-stopping zone on Old Canterbury Road in the westbound direction would not improve queue lengths to an acceptable level.

Additional modelling outputs are provided in Appendix D.
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## JACOBS

Option 2C
Figure 5.7 shows the Option 2C intersection configuration modelled in Sidra.
Prohibiting vehicles from entering or exiting Weston Street at its northern end would require vehicles to change their travel route. Existing traffic volumes on Weston Street south of Old Canterbury Road are low, with 12 vehicles travelling southbound and 13 vehicles travelling northbound during the morning peak hour and 22 vehicles travelling southbound and seven vehicles travelling northbound during the evening peak hour.


Figure 5.7 : Option 2C - Weston Street full closure
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Table 5.8 shows the performance of the intersection with and without Option 2C.
Table 5.8 : Option 2C modelling results

| Time period / approach | Existing with development |  |  |  | Option 2C |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | DoS | Average <br> delay <br> (sec) | LoS | Queue <br> length (m) | DoS | Average delay (sec) | LoS | Queue length (m) |
| Morning peak hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Weston Street south approach | 0.18 | >100 | F | <10 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Old Canterbury Road east approach | 0.45 | 18 | B | 30 | 0.45 | 11 | A | 100 |
| Edward Street north approach | >1 | >100 | F | 70 | 0.76 | 54 | D | 100 |
| Old Canterbury Road west approach | 0.32 | 9 | A | <10 | 0.75 | 9 | A | 150 |
| Overall intersection | 0.45 | >100 | F | 70 | 0.76 | 16 | B | 150 |
| Evening peak hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Weston Street south approach | 0.16 | >100 | F | <10 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Old Canterbury Road east approach | 0.69 | 16 | B | 60 | 0.67 | 5 | A | 155 |
| Edward Street north approach | >1 | >100 | F | 200 | 0.48 | 46 | D | 75 |
| Old Canterbury Road west approach | 0.23 | 18 | B | <10 | 0.57 | 12 | A | 100 |
| Overall intersection | >1 | >100 | F | 200 | 0.67 | 12 | A | 155 |

Signalisation of the intersection with an extended no-stopping zone on Old Canterbury Road in the westbound direction and closing off Weston Street to traffic at its interface with Old Canterbury Road improves the performance of the intersection from LoS $F$ to LoS B during the morning peak hour and LoS $F$ to LoS A during the evening peak hour. Queue lengths are acceptable during both peak hours.

Removing parking during the morning peak period marginally improves the performance of the intersection, and therefore prohibiting parking would only be necessary during the evening peak period.

Additional modelling outputs are provided in Appendix D .

## Induced traffic on Weston Street resulting from signalisation of the intersection

For Options 2A and 2B the signalisation of Old Canterbury Road / Weston Street / Edward Street would induce traffic on Weston Street.

For Option 2A the induced traffic would be based on the traffic diverting from adjacent Windsor Road. The AADT in 2014 on Windsor Road was observed to be 540 vehicles northbound and 640 vehicles southbound. The signalisation of Old Canterbury Road / Weston Street / Edward Street may induce traffic from Windsor Road onto Weston Street. Based on the assumption that Weston Street northbound traffic volumes would be similar to Windsor Road northbound volumes, this would equate to a maximum of 50 vehicles travelling onto Weston Street from Windsor Road during the morning and evening peak hour. Therefore, induced traffic on Weston Street due to the signalisation of Old Canterbury Road / Weston Street / Edward Street would be up to an additional vehicle every minute during the morning and evening peak hour.

This level of induced traffic is within the environmental capacity performance standard for a local street (200 vehicles per hour ${ }^{3}$ ). Hence the impact to amenity on Weston Street would be acceptable, however may impact on the suitability of mixed traffic environment recommendation for Greenway users (refer to section 4.2). In addition, signalisation of Old Canterbury Road / Weston Street / Edward Street may lead to vehicles turning left onto Old Canterbury Road via Windsor Road instead of Weston Street.

Option 2B would also induce traffic however the this is likely to be less than Option 2A due to the left turn ban.
Option 2C would likely maintain traffic volumes in Weston Street around current levels. However, based on traffic movements at Weston Street / Old Canterbury Road, Option 2C would likely result in a maximum of an additional 20 vehicles travelling onto Windsor Road from Weston Street during the morning and evening peak hours.

## Service road at the corner of Old Canterbury Road and Weston Street

Users of the Greenway would need to cross the service road located immediately south-east of the Old Canterbury Road / Weston Street / Edward Street intersection. The design to signalise Old Canterbury Road / Weston Street / Edward Street should consider the intended form and function of the service road while the Greenway is operational. Traffic volumes and the speed of vehicles using this service road are likely to be very low as it provides vehicular access to a limited number on-street parking spaces.

Future access to the service road by vehicles would be constrained by intersection geometry and location of poles and traffic signal equipment. Further this could potentially create unsafe conflicts between vehicles and pedestrians. Treatments that have been considered include:

- Closing vehicle access to and from the service road (Option 2A and Option 2B)
- A continuous footpath treatment that would allow vehicular access to a shared zone along the service road (Option 2C)

Implementing a shared zone may create conflicts with pedestrian waiting areas, however the number of vehicles that would access the service road would be very low and therefore this is an appropriate treatment.
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### 5.2.3 Options summary

Table 5.9 provides a summary of modelling assessment of the differing intersection configurations considered. The modelling results presented are independent of whether the Greenway crossing is provided at-grade (as a shared pedestrian/cyclist crossing on the east approach to the intersection) or as a grade-separated tunnel (under Old Canterbury Road). This is because all modelling assumes pedestrian crossings are called at the intersection's east approach every signal cycle.

Table 5.9 : Old Canterbury Road / Weston Street / Edward Street modelling summary

| Option | Morning peak hour |  | Evening peak hour | Comments |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Level of <br> Service | Queue length <br> (metres) | Level of <br> Service | Queue length <br> (metres) |  |
| Option 2A (Weston <br> Street open) | C | 275 | D | 475 | Acceptable operational performance, <br> however unacceptably long queue <br> lengths during the morning and <br> evening peak periods. Requires <br> additional modification to reduce <br> queue lengths. |
| Option 2B (Weston <br> Street partial closure) | B |  | 240 | B |  |
| Option 2C (Weston <br> Street full closure) | B |  |  | 260 | Acceptable operational performance <br> however long queue lengths during <br> the evening peak period. Requires <br> additional modification to reduce <br> queue lengths. |

Option 2C (as shown in Figure 5.7) would provide the most efficient intersection operation with the shortest queues and least delay to vehicles.

Grade separation would entirely remove conflict between Greenway users and general traffic passing through the Old Canterbury Road / Weston Street / Edward Street intersection. However, provision of a shared pedestrian and cyclist crossing on the east approach would be a suitable interim option until funding is available for the grade-separated (tunnelled) crossing. The crossing would be aligned with the Weston Street on-road section of the Greenway and signalised control of pedestrian and cyclist movements would provide a safe environment for Greenway users to cross Old Canterbury Road, which carries a high volume of traffic during peak periods.

## Recommendation

- Option 2C is preferred - acceptable intersection performance, acceptable queue lengths and provides the safest environment for pedestrians and cyclists on Weston Street.
- Options 2A and 2B do not provide acceptable queue lengths and should only be considered if additional modifications are proposed.
- From a traffic and safety perspective, providing grade separation at this crossing is a lower priority compared to other crossing locations given that the proposed signalised at-grade crossing is a suitable interim option.
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### 5.3 Davis Street

Proposed options for Davis Street are shown in Figure 5.8.


Figure 5.8 : Davis Street crossing options

Options considered at Davis Street are:

- Option 1 - tunnel under Davis Street
- Option 2 - upgrade existing pedestrian crossing for cyclists (to and from 10-14 Terry Road)


## At-grade crossing overview

- Sight distance is not a major issue.
- Davis Street carries a relatively low volume of traffic, with 2015 AADT of 520 vehicles eastbound and 790 vehicles westbound.
- Low traffic volumes in conjunction with traffic calming (speed hump) and the existing zebra crossing provides the basis for a suitable interim at-grade crossing option for the Greenway.
- Appropriate signage should be installed to encourage pedestrian and cyclists to use the zebra crossing if Option 2 is implemented.
- Option 2 which includes use of the driveway and car park of 10-14 Terry Road (Waratah Mills) would at minimum require bicycle logos and signage to be placed along the proposed path. Appropriate treatments may be needed to slow cyclists through the car park to reduce the risk of conflict between pedestrians and cyclists. From a traffic and safety perspective, use of the driveway would be feasible given that the car park is for residents only, with a low turnover and low travel speeds ( $5 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ sign-posted speed limit).
- It is noted that council's easement through Waratah Mills is located centrally within the car park and driveway. Technically, the cycle path would need to be contained within this easement. An alternative alignment for the cycle path along the southern and western boundaries of the car park and driveway has also been proposed by the landscape architects. From a safety perspective, it is considered that either option would have the same risks.
- Option 2 would require separation of pedestrians and cyclists at the crossing as legally cyclists must dismount to use a zebra crossing. Similar treatments have been adopted by City of Sydney - see Figure 5.9.
- Option 2 would require the footpaths on either side of Davis Street to be widened to ensure adequate space is provided for Greenway users using the shared path and at the crossing.
- From a traffic perspective, provision of a grade-separated crossing would be a low priority compared to other locations along the Greenway.


Figure 5.9 : Pedestrian crossing and cycleway (Central Park, Sydney)
Source: Google Street View (2018)

## Recommendation

- Option 1 is preferred as it would entirely separate Greenway pedestrians and cyclists from Davis Street vehicle traffic.
- Option 2 utilises the existing pedestrian crossing which would need to be upgraded to separate pedestrians and cyclists using the facility.
- It is desirable that shared paths on either side of the road and at the crossing be widened to 3 to 4 metres ${ }^{4}$. This may not be achievable within the existing verge due to utilities and other street furniture. Further, onroad bicycle lanes may need to be considered.
- From a traffic and safety perspective, grade separation of this crossing (Option 1) would be a lower priority than providing grade-separated crossings at other locations given that Davis Street carries a relatively low volume of traffic and the at-grade crossing options is not considered a high risk to pedestrians and cyclists. Further, Option 2 which makes use of the 10-14 Terry Road driveway and car park would be a feasible for Greenway users due to the low parking turnover and low speed of vehicles accessing the property.
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### 5.4 Constitution Road

Proposed options for Constitution Road are shown in Figure 5.10.


Figure 5.10 : Constitution Road crossing options

Options considered at Constitution Road are:

- Option 1 - tunnel under Constitution Road on the western side of the light rail line
- Option 2 - improvements to existing crossing
- Option 3 - tunnel under Constitution Road on the eastern side of the light rail line


## At-grade crossing overview

- Due to sight distance issues, a marked pedestrian crossing and cycleway is not feasible at this crossing. Closest locations with acceptable sight distance are on Constitution Road near Denison Road (east of the light rail line) and near Union Street (west of the light rail line), both of which are far from the Greenway desire line.
- At the western side of the light rail line, an at-grade crossing at the roundabout is the most suitable location given the existing road geometry.
- 2015 AADT on Constitution Road was around 2,020 vehicles eastbound and 2,340 vehicles westbound.
- Given the existing daily traffic volumes of Constitution Road, and that a marked pedestrian crossing and cycleway is not feasible, provision of a grade-separated crossing would be a high priority compared to other locations on the Greenway.
- As an interim solution a pedestrian and cyclist refuge (at least 3 metres wide) should be provided to allow Greenway users to complete a staged crossing if required.


## Recommendation

- Grade separated option a priority due to sight distance issues.
- For the interim at-grade option, need to provide a pedestrian and cyclist refuge of at least 3 metres wide at the roundabout.
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### 5.5 New Canterbury Road

Proposed options for New Canterbury Road are shown in Figure 5.11.


Figure 5.11 : New Canterbury Road crossing options

Options considered at New Canterbury Road are:

- Option 1 - underpass under New Canterbury Road
- Option 2 - existing signalised crossing
- Option 3 - modified signalised crossing (widened or relocated crossing)


## At-grade crossing overview

- Existing long cycle times encourage users to cross when it is unsafe to do so.
- Option 2 is a suitable interim at-grade crossing location provided that minor upgrades are installed to make the crossing more cycle-friendly.
- As with Marion St, although widening the crossing would provide more space for both pedestrians and cyclists and improve the desire line with Greenway, this treatment is unlikely to be approved by Roads and Maritime given that New Canterbury Road is a state road.
- There would be no loss in parking if the existing crossing is widened at its western side.


## Recommendation

- Utilise existing signalised crossing and convert existing 3.5 m wide footpaths to shared paths on both sides of New Canterbury Road.
- From a traffic and safety perspective, providing grade separation at this crossing is a lower priority compared to other crossing locations given that the proposed signalised at-grade crossing is a suitable interim option.
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### 5.6 Hercules Street

Proposed options for Hercules Street are shown in Figure 5.12.


Figure 5.12 : Hercules Street crossing options

## JACOBS

Options considered at Hercules Street are:

- Option 1 - underpass under Hercules Street
- Option 2 - existing zebra crossing and with property acquisition/dedication
- Option 3 - existing zebra crossing and without property acquisition/dedication


## At-grade crossing overview

- The determining constraint on the ability to provide an at-grade crossing of Hercules Street is the poor sight lines due to the existing vertical and horizontal alignment.
- From a traffic safety perspective, a mid-block crossing in-line with the Greenway corridor is not viable given the poor sightlines.
- Road narrowing or traffic calming devices may be implemented to slow down traffic, however this is unlikely to negate the safety issues if a crossing were provided near the railway overpass.
- For both Option 2 and Option 3, due to existing driveways, there is inadequate space to widen the existing crossing to cyclists and pedestrians similar to Figure 5.9 and therefore cyclists would be required to dismount to cross.
- Option 2 and Option 3 are appropriate interim options, however Option 2 requires additional expenditure to acquire property and create a new shared path that links to the existing zebra crossing, while Option 3 does not provide a direct desire line for users of the Greenway.
- Option 3 is unlikely to meet accessibility requirements without a 95-metre long ramp from the southern side of Hercules Street bridge and into the light rail corridor.
- Grade separation under Hercules Street (Option 1) is considered a high priority.


## Recommendation

- Grade separated option a priority due to sight distance issues and the requirement for cyclists to dismount to cross the road
- Of the interim at-grade options, Option 2 is preferred as it is more user friendly and provides a more direct route compared to Option 3. However, this is contingent on property dedication as part of future development.
- Explore option to reconstruct driveway adjacent to pedestrian crossing to facilitate bike crossing.
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## JACOBS

### 5.7 Ewart Street

Up to five potential on-road options are proposed for the Greenway between Jack Shanahan Park and Cooks River. These options are shown in Figure 5.13.


Figure 5.13 : Jack Shanahan Park to Cooks River options

As shown in Figure 5.13, Option 1 includes an at-grade crossing at Ewart Street.

## At-grade crossing overview

- A roundabout currently exists at the proposed crossing location.
- Signalisation would be safer for pedestrians and cyclists.
- Traffic volumes are low at this intersection and do not meet the Roads and Maritime warrants for traffic signals. Traffic signals would require special approval from Roads and Maritime. Table 5.10 outlines the traffic demand necessary to implement a signalised intersection.
- Assuming signalisation of the intersection, shorter cycle times which can substantially improve walker and rider crossability may be feasible given that the intersection would operate in isolation under traffic signal control.


### 5.7.1 Warrant for traffic signals

Signalisation of an intersection is deemed necessary If traffic demand or pedestrian volume through the intersection is high, improving the operational and safety performance of the intersection. Table 5.10 shows the recommended warrants for traffic signals.

Table 5.10 : Guide for intersection signalisation

| Basis for warrant | Criteria |
| :--- | :--- |
| Traffic demand | For each of four one-hour periods on an average day: <br> The major road flow exceeds 600 vehicles per hour in each direction; and <br> The minor road flow exceeds 200 vehicles per hour in one direction. |
| Continuous traffic | For each of four one-hour periods on an average day: <br> The major road flow exceeds 900 vehicles per hour in each direction; and <br> The minor road flow exceeds 100 vehicles per hour in one direction; and <br> The speed of traffic on the major road or limited sight distance from the minor road causes undue delay or hazard <br> to the minor road vehicles; and <br> There is no other nearby traffic signal site easily accessible to the minor road vehicles. |
| Pedestrian safety | For each of four one-hour periods on an average day: <br> The pedestrian flow crossing the major road exceeds 150 persons per hour; and <br> The major road flow exceeds 600 vehicles per hour in each direction or, where there is a central median of at least <br> 1.2 metres wide, 1,000 vehicles per hour in each direction. |
| Pedestrian safety - | For each of four one-hour periods on an average day: <br> high speed road <br> The pedestrian flow crossing the major road exceeds 150 persons per hour; and <br> The major road flow exceeds 450 vehicles per hour in each direction or, where there is a central median of at least <br> 1.2 metres wide, 750 vehicles per hour in each direction; and <br> The 85th percentile speed on the major road exceeds 75 kilometres per hour. |
| Crashes | The intersection has been the site of an average of three or more reported tow-away or casualty traffic accidents <br> per year over a three-year period, where the traffic accidents could have been prevented by traffic signals; and <br> The traffic flows are at least 80 per cent of the appropriate flow warrants. |
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## Existing volumes

Figure 5.14 and Figure 5.15 show the average weekday traffic profile of Ewart Street east of Terrace Road and Terrace Road north of Ewart Street, respectively.


Figure 5.14 : Average weekday traffic profile of Ewart Street east of Terrace Road


Figure 5.15 : Average weekday traffic profile of Terrace Road north of Ewart Street

Traffic volumes on Ewart Street which is the major road at the intersection does not have traffic volumes greater than 600 vehicles per hour in each direction. Terrace Road, which is the minor road experiences traffic volumes greater than 200 vehicles per hour in the northbound direction between 8am and 9am and in the southbound direction between 3 pm and 4 pm . Warrants to signalise the intersection based on traffic demand is not met given the low volumes of traffic on both the major and minor road.

For the warrant based on continuous traffic, Terrace Road volumes exceed 100 vehicles per hour in one direction over a four-hour period while traffic volumes on Ewart Street are substantially lower than the 900 vehicles per hour threshold. Therefore, the continuous traffic warrant is not met.

Although the warrants for signalisation based on traffic volumes are not met, signalisation may be warranted to ensure the safety of pedestrians and cyclists using the Greenway if Option 1 were implemented. In addition, the intersection facilitates crossings of two major proposed active travel routes; the Greenway corridor (north-south) and the Sydenham to Bankstown active transport corridor (east-west), both of which are likely to generate significant number of pedestrians and cyclists. Therefore, exemption from the warrant should be sought from Roads and Maritime at this location.

Future development of the Hercules Street as proposed in the Sydenham to Bankstown Strategy, will also increase traffic volumes over time, necessitating the future upgrade of the intersection.

With the implementation of traffic signals, sight distance restrictions due to the railway overbridge have been considered and adequate Stopping Sight Distance (SSD) for a $60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ design speed can be achieved. Further, provision of Wig-Wag warning signs could be incorporated into the design to reduce the risk of a car driving into the rear of a queued vehicle. A similar treatment has been adopted by Georges River Council - see Figure 5.16.

Without traffic signals, upgrades to the roundabout would be required to accommodate the Greenway by ensuring adequate storage space (at least 3 metres) is provided at the pedestrian and cyclist refuge.

The option to provide traffic signals at the intersection of Ewart Street and Terrace Road (Option 1 assessed in Section 5.7.2) was modelled in Sidra.

The intersection concept and modelling results are presented in Section 5.7.2. Traffic counts used for the assessment is provided in Appendix E.
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Figure 5.16 : Wig-wag warning sign (King Georges Road near Hurstville South Public School, Hurstville)
Source: Google Street View (2018)
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### 5.7.2 Intersection performance

## Option 1

Figure 5.17 shows the Option 1 intersection configuration modelled in Sidra


Figure 5.17 : Ewart Street signalised option

Table 5.11 shows a comparison of the intersection performance without and with Option 1.
Table 5.11 : Option 1 modelling results

| Time period / approach | Existing |  |  |  | Option 1 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | DoS | Average delay (sec) | LoS | Queue length (m) | DoS | Average delay (sec) | LoS | Queue length (m) |
| Morning peak hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ewart Street east approach | 0.27 | 7 | A | 15 | 0.44 | 12 | A | 50 |
| Terrace Road north approach | 0.18 | 9 | A | <10 | 0.44 | 52 | D | 55 |
| Ewart Street west approach | 0.38 | 6 | A | 15 | 0.28 | 7 | A | 60 |
| Overall intersection | 0.38 | 9 | A | 15 | 0.44 | 17 | B | 60 |
| Evening peak hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ewart Street east approach | 0.26 | 7 | A | 10 | 0.27 | 17 | B | 55 |
| Terrace Road north approach | 0.17 | 8 | A | $<10$ | 0.27 | 35 | C | 45 |
| Ewart Street west approach | 0.19 | 5 | A | <10 | 0.20 | 14 | A | 45 |
| Overall intersection | 0.26 | 8 | A | 10 | 0.27 | 20 | B | 55 |

The intersection as a roundabout currently operates at LoS A during the morning and evening peak hour. Signalisation of the intersection and provision of right turn bays on Terrace Road and the Ewart Street east approach would result in the intersection performing at LoS B and with acceptable queue lengths during the morning and evening peak hour.

Additional modelling outputs are provided in Appendix F.

## Recommendation

- Though warrants are not met, traffic signals are preferred to improve safety for all user groups, particularly given expected future rider volumes through this intersection.
- A suitable alternative to traffic signals is to upgrade the existing roundabout by ensuring adequate storage space (at least 3 metres wide) is provided at the pedestrian and cyclist refuge.


## 6. Summary and conclusion

Table 6.1 provides a summary of the traffic assessment of each at-grade crossing forming part of the Greenway.
Table 6.1 : At-grade crossings summary

| Location | At-grade options feasibility | Interim atgrade option | Recommended option | Upgrade priority | Grade separation priority |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Marion Street | - Existing signalised crossing may be appropriate however is not in line with the Greenway. <br> - Shifting the crossing west would improve the Greenway desire line. <br> - Signalising the Council driveways requires additional expenditure to convert the driveways to a road. <br> - The existing crossing and proposed at-grade options with one trafficable lane on Marion Street in the westbound direction all perform at an acceptable Level of Service (LoS A and LoS B) and with acceptable queue lengths. | Existing traffic signals | Relocate traffic signals | Medium | N/A |
| Old <br> Canterbury <br> Road | - Old Canterbury Road / Weston Street / Edward Street will be signalised (committed project). <br> - Signalising the intersection and keeping Weston Street open results in an acceptable operational performance (LoS C and LoS D), but with unacceptable queue lengths. <br> - Signalising the intersection and partial closure of Weston Street results in an acceptable operational performance (LoS B), but with unacceptable queue lengths. <br> - Signalising the intersection and full closure of Weston Street results in an acceptable operational performance (LoS A and LoS B) and queue lengths. | Traffic signals with full road closure at Weston Street | Grade separated crossing | Low | Medium |
| Davis Street | - Existing zebra crossing with some additional treatment is appropriate however does not provide a direct desire line for one option. <br> - Davis Street traffic volumes are low. | Modify existing zebra crossing with Greenway route passing through driveway of 1014 Terry St | Grade separated crossing | Medium | Low |
| Constitution Road | - Due to sight distance issues, a marked pedestrian crossing and cycleway is not feasible. <br> - At-grade crossing is a pedestrian refuge, which requires some upgrades to accommodate the Greenway. <br> - Pedestrian refuges not desirable for major regional cycle routes. | Upgrade pedestrian refuge | Grade separated crossing | High | High |


| Location | At-grade options feasibility | Interim atgrade option | Recommended option | Upgrade priority | Grade separation priority |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | - Crossing location requires Greenway users to travel additional distances compared to gradeseparated options. |  |  |  |  |
| New <br> Canterbury <br> Road | - Existing signalised crossing may be appropriate however is not in line with the Greenway. <br> - New Canterbury Road is a state road and options to widen the crossing may not be approved by Roads and Maritime. | Existing traffic signals | Grade separated crossing | Medium | Medium |
| Hercules Street | - There are poor sight lines on Hercules Street at potential crossing locations that are in line with the Greenway. <br> - Suitable at-grade crossing interim option locations either do not provide a direct desire line or require additional expenditure to acquire property and construct new links. | Existing pedestrian crossing | Grade separated crossing | High | High |
| Ewart Street | - Warrants to signalise an intersection based on traffic volumes are not met at Ewart Street / Terrace Road. <br> - If signalised, the intersection would perform at LoS $B$ and with acceptable queue lengths. | Upgrade pedestrian refuge | Convert roundabout to traffic signals | High | N/A |

Traffic assessment of on-road sections shows the following:

- Iron Cove to Marion Street
- Hawthorne Parade is a designated cycle route appropriate for commuter / experienced cyclists given its existing geometry, parking and traffic volumes. Modifications to the roundabouts along the road and the addition of slow points should be implemented to improve cyclist safety. Angled parking as rear to kerb and mixed traffic with pavement logos should be maintained. Additional logos should be painted at existing slow points and the speed limit could be reduced from $50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ to $40 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$.
- Darley Road is designated cycle route appropriate for commuter / experienced cyclists given its existing geometry, parking and traffic volumes. A separated cycleway is recommended to improve cyclist safety and rideability.
- Canal Road would carry low volumes of traffic and with some minor upgrades, would be suitable for recreational cyclists.
- Weston Street
- Weston Street carries a low volume of traffic and is suitable for a mixed traffic on-road environment. At minimum, appropriate line marking, signage and wayfinding facilities should be installed.
- Jack Shanahan Park to Cooks River
- All local roads carry a low volume of traffic and are suitable for a mixed traffic on-road environment. At minimum, appropriate line marking, signage and wayfinding facilities should be installed.
- New traffic counts should be undertaken on Riverside Crescent and at the intersection of Wardell Road and Ewart Street to quantitatively assess the impact of the proposed left turn ban from Wardell Road northbound into Riverside Crescent.
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Appendix A. Traffic count data - Marion Street
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## A. 1 Marion Street midblock volumes

## A.1.1 Eastbound

| Job No | N3857 - Marion Street |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Client | Inner West Council |  |
| Site | Marion Street - near rail bridge |  |
| Location | Haberfield |  |
| Site No | 1 |  |
| Start Date | 6-Feb-18 |  |
| Description | Volume Summary |  |
| Direction | EB |  |


| Hour Starting | Day of Week |  |  |  |  |  |  | W'Day <br> Ave $10304$ | 7 Day <br> Ave 9513 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mon | Tue | Wed | Thu | Fri | Sat | Sun |  |  |
|  | 12-Feb | 6-Feb | 7-Feb | 8-Feb | 9-Feb | 10-Feb | 11-Feb |  |  |
| AM Peak | 1313 | 1319 | 1389 | 1178 | 1291 | 721 | 590 |  |  |
| PM Peak | 609 | 749 | 680 | 618 | 655 | 624 | 605 |  |  |
| 0:00 | 16 | 14 | 19 | 23 | 22 | 50 | 63 | 19 | 30 |
| 1:00 | 10 | 14 | 13 | 8 | 17 | 23 | 34 | 12 | 17 |
| 2:00 | 6 | 11 | 12 | 8 | 15 | 20 | 35 | 10 | 15 |
| 3:00 | 9 | 9 | 15 | 12 | 11 | 19 | 19 | 11 | 13 |
| 4:00 | 36 | 41 | 46 | 41 | 48 | 21 | 25 | 42 | 37 |
| 5:00 | 154 | 189 | 166 | 160 | 142 | 86 | 32 | 162 | 133 |
| 6:00 | 727 | 736 | 792 | 842 | 772 | 226 | 94 | 774 | 598 |
| 7:00 | 1282 | 1242 | 1389 | 1139 | 1291 | 370 | 183 | 1269 | 985 |
| 8:00 | 1313 | 1319 | 1194 | 1178 | 1249 | 560 | 288 | 1251 | 1014 |
| 9:00 | 948 | 918 | 921 | 894 | 835 | 721 | 484 | 903 | 817 |
| 10:00 | 550 | 657 | 550 | 581 | 633 | 620 | 587 | 594 | 597 |
| 11:00 | 491 | 477 | 532 | 510 | 525 | 647 | 590 | 507 | 539 |
| 12:00 | 447 | 469 | 434 | 460 | 533 | 617 | 605 | 469 | 509 |
| 13:00 | 432 | 424 | 468 | 455 | 486 | 534 | 534 | 453 | 476 |
| 14:00 | 448 | 485 | 462 | 497 | 482 | 609 | 495 | 475 | 497 |
| 15:00 | 506 | 546 | 518 | 569 | 610 | 624 | 503 | 550 | 554 |
| 16:00 | 551 | 582 | 647 | 565 | 573 | 512 | 447 | 584 | 554 |
| 17:00 | 609 | 749 | 564 | 471 | 655 | 549 | 415 | 610 | 573 |
| 18:00 | 514 | 562 | 680 | 618 | 602 | 582 | 324 | 595 | 555 |
| 19:00 | 368 | 405 | 427 | 384 | 426 | 389 | 265 | 402 | 381 |
| 20:00 | 253 | 292 | 273 | 257 | 254 | 261 | 207 | 266 | 257 |
| 21:00 | 166 | 171 | 188 | 192 | 217 | 197 | 144 | 187 | 182 |
| 22:00 | 82 | 105 | 110 | 109 | 146 | 205 | 98 | 110 | 122 |
| 23:00 | 34 | 47 | 41 | 50 | 75 | 119 | 38 | 49 | 58 |
| Total | 9952 | 10464 | 10461 | 10023 | 10619 | 8561 | 6509 | 10304 | 9513 |


| $7-19$ | 8091 | 8430 | 8359 | 7937 | 8474 | 6945 | 5455 | 8258 | 7670 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $6-22$ | 9605 | 10034 | 10039 | 9612 | 10143 | 8018 | 6165 | 9887 | 9088 |
| $6-24$ | 9721 | 10186 | 10190 | 9771 | 10364 | 8342 | 6301 | 10046 | 9268 |
| $0-24$ | 9952 | 10464 | 10461 | 10023 | 10619 | 8561 | 6509 | 10304 | 9513 |
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## A.1.2 Westbound

| Job No | N3857 - Marion Street |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Client | Inner West Council |  |
| Site | Marion Street - near rail bridge |  |
| Location | Haberfield |  |
| Site No | 1 |  |
| Start Date | 6-Feb-18 |  |
| Description | Volume Summary |  |
| Direction | WB |  |


| Hour Starting | Day of Week |  |  |  |  |  |  | W'Day <br> Ave <br> 8339 | 7 Day <br> Ave <br> 8043 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mon | Tue | Wed | Thu | Fri | Sat | Sun |  |  |
|  | 12-Feb | 6-Feb | 7-Feb | 8 -Feb | 9-Feb | 10-Feb | 11-Feb |  |  |
| AM Peak | 464 | 472 | 473 | 484 | 504 | 640 | 546 |  |  |
| PM Peak | 844 | 850 | 858 | 854 | 843 | 681 | 560 |  |  |
| 0:00 | 30 | 34 | 33 | 36 | 47 | 81 | 111 | 36 | 53 |
| 1:00 | 14 | 18 | 18 | 22 | 26 | 57 | 73 | 20 | 33 |
| 2:00 | 9 | 13 | 16 | 16 | 14 | 38 | 47 | 14 | 22 |
| 3:00 | 10 | 17 | 12 | 14 | 14 | 31 | 35 | 13 | 19 |
| 4:00 | 20 | 13 | 18 | 20 | 21 | 23 | 20 | 18 | 19 |
| 5:00 | 63 | 68 | 71 | 74 | 76 | 29 | 23 | 70 | 58 |
| 6:00 | 173 | 193 | 214 | 198 | 193 | 87 | 48 | 194 | 158 |
| 7:00 | 389 | 420 | 408 | 388 | 350 | 218 | 115 | 391 | 327 |
| 8:00 | 458 | 441 | 425 | 433 | 434 | 346 | 204 | 438 | 392 |
| 9:00 | 373 | 383 | 404 | 393 | 434 | 543 | 345 | 397 | 411 |
| 10:00 | 429 | 427 | 438 | 401 | 480 | 622 | 447 | 435 | 463 |
| 11:00 | 464 | 472 | 473 | 484 | 504 | 640 | 546 | 479 | 512 |
| 12:00 | 481 | 502 | 473 | 493 | 549 | 681 | 560 | 500 | 534 |
| 13:00 | 454 | 497 | 500 | 513 | 526 | 642 | 518 | 498 | 521 |
| 14:00 | 505 | 557 | 584 | 596 | 565 | 629 | 514 | 561 | 564 |
| 15:00 | 667 | 639 | 661 | 671 | 695 | 595 | 505 | 667 | 633 |
| 16:00 | 694 | 765 | 699 | 741 | 789 | 601 | 480 | 738 | 681 |
| 17:00 | 844 | 850 | 858 | 854 | 843 | 555 | 417 | 850 | 746 |
| 18:00 | 646 | 675 | 659 | 700 | 628 | 499 | 372 | 662 | 597 |
| 19:00 | 470 | 417 | 514 | 518 | 488 | 326 | 283 | 481 | 431 |
| 20:00 | 328 | 353 | 325 | 359 | 290 | 285 | 238 | 331 | 311 |
| 21:00 | 226 | 249 | 272 | 278 | 288 | 265 | 219 | 263 | 257 |
| 22:00 | 151 | 156 | 170 | 208 | 225 | 257 | 143 | 182 | 187 |
| 23:00 | 65 | 104 | 99 | 102 | 136 | 212 | 78 | 101 | 114 |
| Total | 7963 | 8263 | 8344 | 8512 | 8615 | 8262 | 6341 | 8339 | 8043 |


| $7-19$ | 6404 | 6628 | 6582 | 6667 | 6797 | 6571 | 5023 | 6616 | 6382 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $6-22$ | 7601 | 7840 | 7907 | 8020 | 8056 | 7534 | 5811 | 7885 | 7538 |
| $6-24$ | 7817 | 8100 | 8176 | 8330 | 8417 | 8003 | 6032 | 8168 | 7839 |
| $0-24$ | 7963 | 8263 | 8344 | 8512 | 8615 | 8262 | 6341 | 8339 | 8043 |
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## A. 2 Marion Street intersection counts

## A.2.1 Peak hour volume



| Job No． |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Client | $:$ N3857 |
| Suburb | $:$ Inner West Council |
| Location | $: 1$ Marion St |
| Day／Date | ：Tue，6th February 2018 |
| Weather | ：Fine |
| Description | Mid Block Count |


|  |  | proa |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Time Period |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{n}{5} \\ & \frac{5}{5} \end{aligned}$ | $$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & \text { 喜 } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\frac{\tilde{y}}{\frac{y}{y}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \overline{\mathrm{F}} \\ & \hline 1 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| AM | 8：00 | to | 9：00 | 393 | 15 | 5 | 413 | 1，480 | 32 | 1 | 1，513 | 1，926 |
| PM | 17：15 | to | 18：15 | 871 | 22 | 4 | 897 | 741 | 12 | 5 | 758 | 1，655 |


| $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\sim} \\ & \underset{\sim}{7} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\underset{N}{N}} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{8} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ \hline 8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 品 } \\ & \text { ث } \\ & 0 \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\hat{心}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \ddot{i} \\ \dot{\ddot{i}} \\ \dot{0} \\ \dot{\ddot{i}} \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \ddot{\circ} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \dot{\circ} \\ \hline 0 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \dot{4} \\ \dot{i} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{i} \\ \hline i \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\dot{i}} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{i} \\ \dot{i} \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{\hat{8}} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{8} \\ & \dot{8} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \stackrel{H}{\dot{i}} \\ \text { i } \\ 0 \\ \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{i} \\ \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{i} \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{G} \\ & \dot{G} \\ & 0 \\ & \dot{F} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \dot{8} \\ & \dot{8} \\ & 0 \\ & \stackrel{H}{\dot{O}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \hat{0} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \hat{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \stackrel{\ddot{i}}{\dot{i}} \\ 0 \\ \dot{0} \\ \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{i} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\dot{G}} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\hat{G}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{8} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \vdots \\ & \dot{\theta} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\hat{j}} \\ & \overrightarrow{0} \\ & \vec{r} \\ & \dot{j} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \ddot{\ddot{0}} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{i} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \stackrel{y}{ن} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{i} \\ & 0 \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{ن} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0 \\ & \hline 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \hline \ddot{8} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 命 } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \stackrel{0}{\hat{\circ}} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 8 \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{8} \\ & 0 \\ & \hline 0 \\ & \hline 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 会 } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \text { 侖 } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\left.\begin{array}{l} \underset{\sim}{u} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \dot{\sim} \end{array}\right)$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { y } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { o } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\stackrel{\text { ®̈ }}{\text { N }}$ | \％ | ๙ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{*}$ | $\stackrel{9}{\sim}$ | ¢ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\infty}$ | N | 式 | 苂 | $\underset{\infty}{2}$ | 垡 | ํ | 魚 | 尔 | 浆 | 9 | 㐫 | 䍏 | 交 | 㐫 | 奖 | ¢ | 真 | ज | 师 | 交 | H | \％ | N |  | 䐉 | 䘑 | 嵩 | $\underset{\sim}{*}$ | $\underset{\infty}{\omega}$ | $\stackrel{\mu}{\nu}$ | 世 | $\underset{\sim}{\sim}$ | 峝 | 㻤 | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\text { w }}{\text { ¢ }}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{y}$ | 嵩 | Lights |  |
| ก | N | ¢ | N | N | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{*}$ | ＊ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\infty}$ | 出 | \％ | N | \％ | v | N | ～ | ～ | N | N | \％ | N | ～ | G | \％ | N |  | $\ldots$ | － | ～ | ～ | N | N | ก | ¢ | N | « | N | N | N | ～ | N | む | H | ↔ | $\stackrel{\text { b }}{\infty}$ | \％ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\infty}$ | Heavi | $\sum_{0}$ |
| ก | ～ | ～ | $\omega$ | － | ～ | ～ | ～ | N | $\omega$ | $\omega$ | ～ | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | N | － | ＋ | － | $\bigcirc$ | － | － | － | ～ | ～ | $\omega$ | $\cdots$ | $\omega$ | ～ | $\omega$ | $\cdots$ | a | $a$ | $\cdots$ | $\omega$ | ${ }^{\omega}$ | $\omega$ | ～ | Cyclists | 言 |
| 俞 | N | $\stackrel{\infty}{\circ}$ | 䀏 | ＊ | 0 | $\stackrel{\sim}{*}$ | \％ | ¢̛＇ | ป | \＃ | \＃ | \％ | § | $\stackrel{3}{2}$ | 丞 | 发 | 恣 | 资 | ¢ | 㝘 | $\stackrel{\sim}{5}$ | N | \％ | 㖞 | ¢ | ¢ | t | 离 | ¢ | ＊ | 華 | 会 | ＊ | b | ¢ | ถ̇ | W | 岁 | ） | 岕 | E | 한 | 兌 | ते | ๙ | Total |  |
| : | 일 | \％${ }^{\circ}$ | 号 | \＃ | 号 | ูู | 9 | 㣻 | ¢ | 岛 | 河 | 品 | 毘 | 发 | ¢ | ¢ | 竕 | 态 | 总 | 古 |  | $\stackrel{ \pm}{\infty}$ | 蕮 | 出 | 献 | 真 | $\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{\text { ¢ }}$ | 항 | 忘 | $\stackrel{\text { ® }}{\text { ¢ }}$ | 男 | 岛 | ¢ | \％ | $\stackrel{\square}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{H}{\tilde{y}}$ | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\omega}}{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{6}}$ |  | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{4}}{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{4}}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathbf{~}} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}\right.$ | 蓉 | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\Delta}}$ | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{H}}}{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\circ}}$ | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\sim}}{\text { W }}$ | Lights |  |
| 岃 | E | N | L | N | ธ | ¢ | ¢ | ＊ | 耑 | $\forall$ | $\checkmark$ | G | N | ¢ | G | ¢ | ＊ | G | 号 | $\stackrel{\text { u }}{ }$ | い | ぃ | t |  | N | \％ | ๙ |  | ๙ | ¢ | 山 | w | щ | 罥 | 出 | щ | ठ | $\stackrel{\square}{\square}$ | ${ }_{\infty}^{\infty}$ | ${ }_{\infty}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\pm$ | G | 8 | \％ | Heav |  |
| ะ | ${ }^{\omega}$ | － | ＋ | $u$ | ${ }^{\omega}$ | ～ | － | － | － | ～ | ＋ | ${ }^{\omega}$ | $\omega$ | ～ | － | － | ＋ | ～ | N | N | ～ | － | － | － | － | － | $\stackrel{ }{+}$ | － | － | N | ～ | N | ＋ | $\bigcirc$ | － | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | － | － | $\pm$ | － | $\omega$ | ＋ | $\omega$ | ${ }^{\omega}$ | Cyclists | 言 |
| ※ | 안 | \％ | W | บ | N | \％ | \％ | 嵒 | 尔 | 岃 | ～ | 式 | 默 | 哭 | 䇫 | $\stackrel{y}{v}$ | 可 | 勿 | E | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\infty}$ | 号 | 出 | 少 | 尔 | ¢ | N |  | \＄ | \＄ | $\stackrel{\sim}{V}$ | ฯ | \％ | N | \％ | 品 | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{4}}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{N}}{\stackrel{N}{4}}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{w}} \end{array}$ | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{A}}}{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{~A}}}$ | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{3}}{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{a}}}$ | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{4}}{\omega}$ | 客 | 苞 | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\vec{b}} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\hat{E}}$ | Total |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 䓟 } \\ & \text { R } \end{aligned}$ | $\mid \stackrel{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\underset{\sim}{u}}}{\underline{u}}$ | 帯 | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{i}}{\text { ion }}$ | 苞 |  | 点 |  | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{4}}$ |  | $\stackrel{\underset{\sim}{\underset{\sim}{*}}}{\substack{2}}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\underset{⿹}{v}}$ | $\stackrel{\underset{y}{\underset{y}{*}}}{ }$ | $\stackrel{\stackrel{N}{N}}{ }$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\text { H. }}$ | $\stackrel{H}{E}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{u}} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{8}$ | $\stackrel{\square}{*}$ | \％ | \％ | ํ | § | \％ | ® | ๕ | $\stackrel{\square}{*}$ | \％ | \％ | $\stackrel{9}{\sim}$ | \％ | $\underset{\sim}{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0}}$ | $\stackrel{\stackrel{r}{0}}{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{6}}$ | 苞 | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\tilde{E}}}{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{*}}$ | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{w}}}{\underline{u}}$ | $\stackrel{H}{N}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{Q}} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{o}}} \mathbf{i}$ | 拠 | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathbf{O}}}{\mathbf{8}}$ | 总 | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathbf{o}}$ | 苂 | Grand | otal |


| Job No. | : N3857 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Client |  |
| Suburb |  |$\quad$ : Gner West Council


| Approach | Marion St |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Pedestrians |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Direction | Westbound |  |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Time Period | $\begin{aligned} & \text { n } \\ & \text { 黄 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{y}{0} \\ & \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{\stackrel{y}{2}}{\frac{n}{5}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \overline{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{6}} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { n } \\ & \substack{\text { an } \\ \hline} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{y}{5} \\ & \frac{y}{5} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { ゙ָ̄ } \\ \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \end{array}$ | NB | SB | ¢ |
| 7:00 to 8:00 | 356 | 18 | 2 | 376 | 1,343 | 65 | 3 | 1,411 | 26 | 21 | 47 |
| 7:15 to 8:15 | 377 | 20 | 3 | 400 | 1,436 | 60 | 3 | 1,499 | 23 | 25 | 48 |
| 7:30 to 8:30 | 370 | 18 | 3 | 391 | 1,472 | 55 | 4 | 1,531 | ${ }^{23}$ | 29 | 52 |
| 7:45 to 8:45 | 382 | 16 | 3 | 401 | 1,456 | 41 | 3 | 1,500 | 23 | 25 | 48 |
| 8:00 to 9:00 | 393 | 15 | 5 | 413 | 1,480 | 32 | 1 | 1,513 | 26 | 29 | 55 |
| 8:15 to 9:15 | 360 | 16 | 6 | 382 | 1,434 | 38 | 1 | 1,473 | 31 | 21 | 52 |
| 8:30 to 9:30 | 382 | 20 | 6 | 408 | 1,374 | 38 | 0 | 1,412 | 29 | 26 | 55 |
| $\begin{array}{ccc} \hline \text { 8:45 } & \text { to } & 9: 45 \\ 9: 00 & \text { to } & 10: 00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 364 \\ & 347 \end{aligned}$ | 21 22 | 5 | $\begin{aligned} & 390 \\ & 372 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,319 \\ & 1,201 \end{aligned}$ | з9 | 0 | $\begin{aligned} & 1,358 \\ & 1,241 \end{aligned}$ | 27 26 | 31 24 | 58 50 |
| 9:15 to 10:15 | 378 | 22 | 2 | 402 | 1,082 | 36 | 0 | 1,118 | 23 | 25 | 48 |
| 9:30 to 10:30 | 372 | 25 | 3 | 400 | 916 | ${ }^{35}$ | 0 | 951 | 16 | 15 | 31 |
| 9:45 to 10:45 | 390 | 26 | 3 | 419 | 789 | 36 | 0 | 825 | 16 | 12 | 28 |
| 10:00 to 11:00 | 396 | 25 | 3 | 424 | 684 | 36 | 1 | 721 | 15 | 11 | 26 |
| 10:15 to 11:15 | 386 | 30 | 2 | 418 | 566 | ${ }^{33}$ | 2 | 601 | 11 | 11 | 22 |
| 10:30 to 11:30 | 408 | 24 | 2 | 434 | 546 | 30 | 2 | 5/8 | ${ }^{13}$ | 12 | ${ }^{3}$ |
| 10:45 to 11:45 | 422 | 24 | 1 | 447 | 485 | 30 | 2 | 517 | 14 | 9 | 23 |
| 11:00 to 12:00 | 440 | 27 | 1 | 468 | 455 | 28 | 1 | 484 | 12 | 7 | 19 |
| 11:15 to 12:15 | 457 | 27 | 1 | 485 | 469 | 24 | 1 | 494 | 12 | 6 | 18 |
| 11:30 to 12:30 | 465 | 28 | 0 | 493 | 438 | 23 | 1 | 462 | 11 | 4 | 15 |
| 11:45 to 12:45 | 465 | 30 | 1 | 496 | 454 | 20 | 1 | 475 | 9 | 9 | 18 |
| 12:00 to 13:00 | 475 | 28 | 1 | 504 | 462 | 21 | 1 | 484 | 5 | 9 | 14 |
| 12:15 to 13:15 | 493 | 24 | 1 | 518 | 435 | ${ }^{21}$ | 0 | 456 | 3 | 10 | 13 |
| 12:30 to 13:30 | 490 | 27 | 2 | 519 | 435 | 19 | 1 | 455 | 5 | 9 | 14 |
| 12:45 to 13:45 | 504 | 24 | 1 | 529 | 418 | 16 | 1 | 435 | 7 | 5 | 12 |
| 13:00 to 14:00 | 485 | 25 | 1 | 511 | 398 | 16 | 2 | 416 | 13 | 9 | 22 |
| 13:15 to 14:15 | 452 | 28 | 1 | 481 | 403 | 13 | 2 | 418 | 13 | 10 | 23 |
| 13:30 to 14:30 | 482 | 24 | 0 | 506 | 407 | 14 | 2 | 423 | 15 | 10 | 25 |
| 13:45 to 14:45 | 485 | 24 | 0 | 509 | 445 | 15 | 2 | 462 | 12 | 7 | 19 |
| 14:00 to 15:00 | 519 | 22 | 1 | 542 | 454 | 12 | 1 | 467 | 9 | 5 | 14 |
| 14:15 to 15:15 | 564 | 21 | 1 | 586 | 500 | 16 | 1 | 517 | 20 | 6 | 26 |
| 14:30 to 15:30 | 545 | 21 | 1 | 567 | 529 | 15 | 0 | 544 | 22 | 11 | 33 |
| 14:45 to 15:45 | 595 | 21 | 1 | 617 | 530 | 16 | 2 | 548 | ${ }^{31}$ | 18 | 49 |
| 15:00 to 16:00 | 622 | 22 | 0 | 644 | 539 | 20 | 3 | 562 | ${ }^{43}$ | 18 | 61 |
| 15:15 to 16:15 | 665 | 25 | 1 | 691 | 508 | 15 | 3 | 526 | 35 | 19 | 54 |
| 15:30 to 16:30 | 718 | 24 | 2 | 744 | 502 | 17 | 4 | 523 | 46 | 17 | 63 |
| 15:45 to 16:45 | 713 | 25 | 3 | 741 | 538 | 17 | 2 | 557 | 42 | 14 | 56 |
| 16:00 to 17:00 | 752 | 24 | 3 | 779 | 578 | 16 | 1 | 595 | ${ }^{36}$ | 18 | 54 |
| 16:15 to 17:15 | 772 | 16 | 2 | 790 | 645 | 17 | 1 | 663 | 52 | 17 | 69 |
| 16:30 to 17:30 | 808 | 18 | 2 | 828 | 671 | 14 | 1 | 686 | 40 | 26 | 66 |
| 16:45 to 17:45 | 856 | 17 | 2 | 875 | 676 | ${ }^{13}$ | 2 | 691 | 39 | 30 | 69 |
| 17:00 to 18:00 | 892 | 17 | 2 | 911 | 714 | 10 | 3 | 727 | 37 | 30 | 67 |
| 17:15 to 18:15 | 871 | 22 | 4 | 897 | 741 | 12 | 5 | 758 | ${ }^{28}$ | 31 | 59 |
| 17:30 to 18:30 | 827 | 25 | 3 | 855 | 716 | 12 | 4 | 732 | 38 | 45 | 83 |
| 177:45 to 18:45 | 786 | 28 | 2 | 816 | 669 | 12 | 4 | 685 | ${ }^{35}$ | 50 | 85 |
| 18:00 to 19:00 | 695 | 25 | 2 | 722 | 603 | 11 | 3 | 617 | 30 | 45 | 75 |
| 12hr Totals | 6,372 | 270 | 24 | 6,666 | 8,911 | 307 | 20 | 9,238 | 278 | 226 | 504 |
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## Appendix B. Marion Street Sidra outputs

## B. 1 Existing model layouts

B.1.1 Morning

B.1.2 Evening


## B. 2 Existing model outputs

## B.2.1 Morning

## MOVEMENT SUMMARY

## 缃Site: AM base [Marion Street signalised crossing AM]

Marion Street signalised crossing
Pedestrian Crossing (Signals) - Fixed Time Isolated Cycle Time $=60$ seconds (User-Given Cycle Time)

| Movement Performance - Vehicles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{ll}\text { Mov } & \text { OD } \\ \text { ID } & \text { Mov }\end{array}$ | Demand Flows |  | Deg. Satn v/c | Average Delay sec | Level of Service | 95\% Back of Queue Vehicles Distance |  | Prop. Queued | Effective Stop Rate per veh | Average Speed km/h |
|  | Total | HV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | veh/h | \% |  |  |  | veh | m |  |  |  |
| East: Marion Street |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 T1 | 408 | 3.7 | 0.187 | 3.6 | LOS A | 2.6 | 18.6 | 0.38 | 0.32 | 45.2 |
| Approach | 408 | 3.7 | 0.187 | 3.6 | LOS A | 2.6 | 18.6 | 0.38 | 0.32 | 45.2 |
| West: Marion Street |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $2 \quad \mathrm{~T} 1$ | 1512 | 2.1 | 0.575 | 5.3 | LOS A | 11.6 | 82.4 | 0.56 | 0.51 | 43.2 |
| Approach | 1512 | 2.1 | 0.575 | 5.3 | LOS A | 11.6 | 82.4 | 0.56 | 0.51 | 43.2 |
| All Vehicles | 1920 | 2.4 | 0.575 | 5.0 | LOS A | 11.6 | 82.4 | 0.52 | 0.47 | 43.6 |

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.
Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.
SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.
Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).
HV (\%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

## B.2.2 Evening

## MOVEMENT SUMMARY

## 缃Site: PM base [Marion Street signalised crossing PM]

Marion Street signalised crossing
Pedestrian Crossing (Signals) - Fixed Time Isolated Cycle Time $=60$ seconds (User-Given Cycle Time)

| Movement Performance - Vehicles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{ll} \hline \text { Mov } & \text { OD } \\ \text { ID } & \text { Mov } \end{array}$ | Demand <br> Total veh/h | ows <br> HV <br> \% | Deg. Satn v/c | Average Delay sec | Level of Service | 95\% Back <br> Vehicles <br> veh | Queue Distance m | Prop. Queued | Effective Stop Rate per veh | Average Speed km/h |
| East: Marion Street |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 T1 | 893 | 2.5 | 0.417 | 4.7 | LOS A | 7.2 | 51.5 | 0.47 | 0.41 | 43.9 |
| Approach | 893 | 2.5 | 0.417 | 4.7 | LOS A | 7.2 | 51.5 | 0.47 | 0.41 | 43.9 |
| West: Marion Street |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 T1 | 753 | 1.6 | 0.464 | 4.9 | LOS A | 8.4 | 59.9 | 0.49 | 0.43 | 43.7 |
| Approach | 753 | 1.6 | 0.464 | 4.9 | LOS A | 8.4 | 59.9 | 0.49 | 0.43 | 43.7 |
| All Vehicles | 1646 | 2.1 | 0.464 | 4.8 | LOS A | 8.4 | 59.9 | 0.48 | 0.42 | 43.8 |

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.
Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.
SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.
Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).
HV (\%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

## B. 3 Option 1A model layouts

## B.3.1 Morning

## $q^{N}$



## B.3.2 Evening



## B. 4 Option 1A model outputs

## B.4.1 Morning

## MOVEMENT SUMMARY

## Site: 101 [Marion Street AM option 1A]

Marion Street
Signals - Fixed Time Isolated Cycle Time $=60$ seconds (User-Given Cycle Time)


Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.
Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.
SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.
Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).
HV (\%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

## Feasbility traffic assessment of on-road sections and atgrade crossings

## B.4.2 Evening

## MOVEMENT SUMMARY

## Site: 101 [Marion Street PM option 1A]

## Marion Street

Signals - Fixed Time Isolated Cycle Time $=60$ seconds (User-Given Cycle Time)

| Movement Performance - Vehicles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mov OD <br> ID Mov | Demand Total veh/h | $\begin{array}{r} \text { lows } \\ \text { HV } \\ \% \end{array}$ | Deg. Satn v/c | Average Delay sec | Level of Service | 95\% Back <br> Vehicles veh | Queue Distance m | Prop. Queued | Effective Stop Rate per veh | Average Speed km/h |
| South: Driveway |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 L2 | 6 | 50.0 | 0.131 | 35.7 | LOS C | 0.2 | 2.0 | 0.99 | 0.64 | 13.9 |
| Approach | 6 | 50.0 | 0.131 | 35.7 | LOS C | 0.2 | 2.0 | 0.99 | 0.64 | 13.9 |
| East: Marion Street |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 L2 | 6 | 50.0 | 0.705 | 15.5 | LOS B | 16.6 | 119.0 | 0.68 | 0.62 | 24.4 |
| $5 \quad$ T1 | 893 | 2.5 | 0.705 | 6.8 | LOS A | 16.6 | 119.0 | 0.68 | 0.62 | 41.7 |
| Approach | 899 | 2.8 | 0.705 | 6.9 | LOS A | 16.6 | 119.0 | 0.68 | 0.62 | 41.6 |
| North: Driveway |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 L2 | 6 | 50.0 | 0.131 | 35.7 | LOS C | 0.2 | 2.0 | 0.99 | 0.64 | 13.0 |
| Approach | 6 | 50.0 | 0.131 | 35.7 | LOS C | 0.2 | 2.0 | 0.99 | 0.64 | 13.0 |
| West: Marion Street |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 L2 | 6 | 50.0 | 0.146 | 12.7 | LOS A | 2.0 | 14.3 | 0.39 | 0.35 | 27.2 |
| 11 T1 | 753 | 1.6 | 0.457 | 4.8 | LOS A | 8.0 | 56.5 | 0.48 | 0.43 | 43.8 |
| Approach | 759 | 2.0 | 0.457 | 4.9 | LOS A | 8.0 | 56.5 | 0.48 | 0.43 | 43.6 |
| All Vehicles | 1670 | 2.8 | 0.705 | 6.2 | LOS A | 16.6 | 119.0 | 0.59 | 0.53 | 42.1 |

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.
Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.
SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.
Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).
HV (\%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

## B. 5 Option 1B model layouts

## B.5.1 Morning
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## B.5.2 Evening



## B. 6 Option 1B model outs

## B.6.1 Morning

## MOVEMENT SUMMARY

## Site: 101 [Marion Street AM option 1B]

Marion Street
Signals - Fixed Time Isolated Cycle Time $=60$ seconds (User-Given Cycle Time)


Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.
Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.
SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.
Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).
HV (\%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

## Feasbility traffic assessment of on-road sections and atgrade crossings

## B.6.2 Evening

## MOVEMENT SUMMARY

## Site: 101 [Marion Street PM option 1B]

## Marion Street

Signals - Fixed Time Isolated Cycle Time $=60$ seconds (User-Given Cycle Time)


Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.
Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.
SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.
Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).
HV (\%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

## B. 7 Option 1C model layouts

B.7.1 Morning


## B.7.2 Evening



## B. 8 Option 1C model outputs

## B.8.1 Morning

## MOVEMENT SUMMARY

## \& SiSite: 3AM [Marion Street AM option 1C]

Marion Street signalised crossing
Pedestrian Crossing (Signals) - Fixed Time Isolated Cycle Time $=60$ seconds (User-Given Cycle Time)

| Movement Performance - Vehicles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{ll}\text { Mov O } \\ \text { ID } & \text { Mov }\end{array}$ | Demand Flows |  | Deg. | Average | Level of Service | 95\% Back of Queue |  | Prop. Queued | Effective | Average |
|  | Total | HV | Satn | Delay |  | Vehicles | Distance |  | Stop Rate | Speed |
|  | veh/h | \% | v/c | sec |  | veh | m |  | per veh ${ }^{-}$ | km/h |
| East: Marion Street |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 T1 | 408 | 3.7 | 0.299 | 3.3 | LOS A | 4.3 | 31.0 | 0.39 | 0.34 | 45.6 |
| Approach | 408 | 3.7 | 0.299 | 3.3 | LOS A | 4.3 | 31.0 | 0.39 | 0.34 | 45.6 |
| West: Marion Street |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 T1 | 1512 | 2.1 | 0.839 | 13.5 | LOS A | 15.9 | 113.2 | 0.50 | 0.61 | 35.9 |
| Approach | 1512 | 2.1 | 0.839 | 13.5 | LOS A | 15.9 | 113.2 | 0.50 | 0.61 | 35.9 |
| All Vehicles | 1920 | 2.4 | 0.839 | 11.3 | LOS A | 15.9 | 113.2 | 0.48 | 0.55 | 37.6 |

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.
Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.
SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.
Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).
HV (\%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

## B.8.2 Evening

## MOVEMENT SUMMARY

## K 賏ite: 3PM [Marion Street PM option 1C]

Marion Street signalised crossing
Pedestrian Crossing (Signals) - Fixed Time Isolated Cycle Time $=60$ seconds (User-Given Cycle Time)

| Movement Performance - Vehicles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{ll} \hline \text { Mov } & \text { OD } \\ \text { ID } & \text { Mov } \end{array}$ | Demand <br> Total veh/h | ows <br> HV <br> \% | Deg. Satn v/c | Average Delay sec | Level of Service | 95\% Back <br> Vehicles veh | Queue Distance m | Prop. Queued | Effective Stop Rate per veh | Average Speed km/h |
| East: Marion Street |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 T1 | 893 | 2.5 | 0.649 | 4.9 | LOS A | 13.9 | 99.1 | 0.57 | 0.52 | 43.8 |
| Approach | 893 | 2.5 | 0.649 | 4.9 | LOS A | 13.9 | 99.1 | 0.57 | 0.52 | 43.8 |
| West: Marion Street |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 T1 | 753 | 1.6 | 0.387 | 3.4 | LOS A | 6.1 | 43.5 | 0.40 | 0.35 | 45.5 |
| Approach | 753 | 1.6 | 0.387 | 3.4 | LOS A | 6.1 | 43.5 | 0.40 | 0.35 | 45.5 |
| All Vehicles | 1646 | 2.1 | 0.649 | 4.2 | LOS A | 13.9 | 99.1 | 0.49 | 0.44 | 44.6 |

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.
Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.
SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.
Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).
HV (\%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.
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## Appendix C. Traffic count data - Old Canterbury Road
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## C. 1 Old Canterbury Road (west of Edward Street) midblock volumes

## C.1.1 Eastbound

| Job No | N3857 - Marion Street |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Client | Inner West Council |  |
| Site | Old Canterbury Road - west of Edward St - EB Only |  |
| Location | Lewisham |  |
| Site No | 2A |  |
| Start Date | 6-Feb-18 |  |
| Description | Volume Summary |  |
| Direction | EB |  |
|  |  |  |


| Hour Starting | Day of Week |  |  |  |  |  |  | W'Day <br> Ave <br> 11734 | 7 Day <br> Ave <br> 11396 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mon | Tue | Wed | Thu | Fri | Sat | Sun |  |  |
|  | 12-Feb | 6-Feb | 7-Feb | 8-Feb | 9-Feb | 10-Feb | 11-Feb |  |  |
| AM Peak | 1103 | 1073 | 1165 | 1110 | 1143 | 847 | 753 |  |  |
| PM Peak | 689 | 679 | 666 | 765 | 743 | 817 | 724 |  |  |
| 0:00 | 50 | 39 | 46 | 53 | 78 | 122 | 163 | 53 | 79 |
| 1:00 | 45 | 26 | 25 | 29 | 39 | 72 | 109 | 33 | 49 |
| 2:00 | 29 | 24 | 30 | 33 | 37 | 59 | 62 | 31 | 39 |
| 3:00 | 47 | 47 | 48 | 45 | 48 | 66 | 82 | 47 | 55 |
| 4:00 | 134 | 119 | 120 | 141 | 128 | 60 | 60 | 128 | 109 |
| 5:00 | 469 | 497 | 491 | 458 | 490 | 240 | 120 | 481 | 395 |
| 6:00 | 1079 | 1073 | 1144 | 1110 | 1116 | 509 | 215 | 1104 | 892 |
| 7:00 | 1103 | 967 | 1165 | 1102 | 1143 | 582 | 284 | 1096 | 907 |
| 8:00 | 995 | 758 | 1059 | 778 | 1066 | 762 | 417 | 931 | 834 |
| 9:00 | 906 | 799 | 871 | 883 | 860 | 847 | 653 | 864 | 831 |
| 10:00 | 616 | 682 | 698 | 677 | 700 | 814 | 706 | 675 | 699 |
| 11:00 | 555 | 574 | 567 | 601 | 664 | 843 | 753 | 592 | 651 |
| 12:00 | 518 | 564 | 580 | 530 | 579 | 813 | 724 | 554 | 615 |
| 13:00 | 468 | 522 | 531 | 532 | 533 | 817 | 630 | 517 | 576 |
| 14:00 | 510 | 545 | 519 | 547 | 592 | 702 | 609 | 543 | 575 |
| 15:00 | 578 | 576 | 652 | 627 | 724 | 629 | 595 | 631 | 626 |
| 16:00 | 628 | 602 | 640 | 634 | 743 | 695 | 602 | 649 | 649 |
| 17:00 | 689 | 679 | 666 | 765 | 693 | 762 | 643 | 698 | 700 |
| 18:00 | 582 | 672 | 650 | 665 | 712 | 708 | 471 | 656 | 637 |
| 19:00 | 468 | 450 | 479 | 482 | 533 | 560 | 379 | 482 | 479 |
| 20:00 | 347 | 322 | 311 | 352 | 383 | 412 | 298 | 343 | 346 |
| 21:00 | 240 | 289 | 290 | 307 | 310 | 359 | 266 | 287 | 294 |
| 22:00 | 166 | 188 | 198 | 211 | 296 | 324 | 172 | 212 | 222 |
| 23:00 | 102 | 84 | 107 | 139 | 191 | 244 | 91 | 125 | 137 |
| Total | 11324 | 11098 | 11887 | 11701 | 12658 | 12001 | 9104 | 11734 | 11396 |


| $7-19$ | 8148 | 7940 | 8598 | 8341 | 9009 | 8974 | 7087 | 8407 | 8300 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $6-22$ | 10282 | 10074 | 10822 | 10592 | 11351 | 10814 | 8245 | 10624 | 10311 |
| $6-24$ | 10550 | 10346 | 11127 | 10942 | 11838 | 11382 | 8508 | 10961 | 10670 |
| $0-24$ | 11324 | 11098 | 11887 | 11701 | 12658 | 12001 | 9104 | 11734 | 11396 |
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## C.1.2 Westbound

| Job No | N3857 - Marion Street |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Client | Inner West Council |  |
| Site | Old Canterbury Road - west of Edward St - WB Only |  |
| Location | Lewisham |  |
| Site No | 2A |  |
| Start Date | 6-Feb-18 |  |
| Description | Volume Summary |  |
| Direction | WB |  |


| Hour Starting | Day of Week |  |  |  |  |  |  | W'Day Ave <br> 12244 | 7 Day <br> Ave <br> 11863 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mon | Tue | Wed | Thu | Fri | Sat | Sun |  |  |
|  | 12-Feb | 6-Feb | 7-Feb | 8-Feb | 9-Feb | 10-Feb | 11-Feb |  |  |
| AM Peak | 542 | 582 | 547 | 535 | 599 | 770 | 581 |  |  |
| PM Peak | 1100 | 1096 | 1091 | 1026 | 1040 | 822 | 733 |  |  |
| 0:00 | 131 | 115 | 150 | 198 | 196 | 300 | 373 | 158 | 209 |
| 1:00 | 71 | 79 | 96 | 94 | 111 | 203 | 274 | 90 | 133 |
| 2:00 | 73 | 41 | 57 | 72 | 79 | 162 | 203 | 64 | 98 |
| 3:00 | 42 | 41 | 51 | 37 | 61 | 125 | 161 | 46 | 74 |
| 4:00 | 51 | 41 | 58 | 49 | 52 | 97 | 124 | 50 | 67 |
| 5:00 | 108 | 114 | 124 | 104 | 131 | 100 | 80 | 116 | 109 |
| 6:00 | 293 | 352 | 327 | 317 | 327 | 152 | 110 | 323 | 268 |
| 7:00 | 511 | 526 | 514 | 487 | 508 | 318 | 139 | 509 | 429 |
| 8:00 | 522 | 542 | 547 | 521 | 589 | 467 | 239 | 544 | 490 |
| 9:00 | 493 | 476 | 448 | 452 | 478 | 570 | 407 | 469 | 475 |
| 10:00 | 483 | 480 | 484 | 501 | 599 | 735 | 478 | 509 | 537 |
| 11:00 | 542 | 582 | 543 | 535 | 570 | 770 | 581 | 554 | 589 |
| 12:00 | 627 | 581 | 598 | 655 | 724 | 759 | 641 | 637 | 655 |
| 13:00 | 644 | 672 | 681 | 685 | 760 | 822 | 607 | 688 | 696 |
| 14:00 | 773 | 867 | 876 | 906 | 901 | 818 | 645 | 865 | 827 |
| 15:00 | 1028 | 1034 | 1065 | 999 | 978 | 755 | 684 | 1021 | 935 |
| 16:00 | 1038 | 1096 | 1091 | 1024 | 1015 | 797 | 733 | 1053 | 971 |
| 17:00 | 1100 | 1064 | 1070 | 1026 | 1040 | 685 | 703 | 1060 | 955 |
| 18:00 | 1011 | 986 | 873 | 957 | 925 | 661 | 595 | 950 | 858 |
| 19:00 | 666 | 744 | 725 | 766 | 710 | 574 | 501 | 722 | 669 |
| 20:00 | 504 | 590 | 581 | 635 | 495 | 519 | 475 | 561 | 543 |
| 21:00 | 479 | 474 | 545 | 604 | 516 | 535 | 396 | 524 | 507 |
| 22:00 | 357 | 375 | 455 | 451 | 498 | 603 | 328 | 427 | 438 |
| 23:00 | 226 | 266 | 275 | 319 | 416 | 571 | 247 | 300 | 331 |
| Total | 11773 | 12138 | 12234 | 12394 | 12679 | 12098 | 9724 | 12244 | 11863 |


| $7-19$ | 8772 | 8906 | 8790 | 8748 | 9087 | 8157 | 6452 | 8861 | 8416 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $6-22$ | 10714 | 11066 | 10968 | 11070 | 11135 | 9937 | 7934 | 10991 | 10403 |
| $6-24$ | 11297 | 11707 | 11698 | 11840 | 12049 | 11111 | 8509 | 11718 | 11173 |
| $0-24$ | 11773 | 12138 | 12234 | 12394 | 12679 | 12098 | 9724 | 12244 | 11863 |

Feasbility traffic assessment of on-road sections and atgrade crossings

JACOBS

## C. 2 Old Canterbury Road (east of Edward Street) midblock volumes

## C.2.1 Eastbound

| Job No | N3857 - Marion Street |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Client | Inner West Council |  |  |
| Site | Old Canterbury Road - east of Edward St |  |  |
| Location | Lewisham |  |  |
| Site No | 2B |  |  |
| Start Date | 6-Feb-18 |  |  |
| Description | Volume Summary |  |  |
| Direction | EB |  |  |


| Hour Starting | Day of Week |  |  |  |  |  |  | W'Day <br> Ave <br> 12381 | $\begin{gathered} 7 \text { Day } \\ \text { Ave } \\ 11967 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mon | Tue | Wed | Thu | Fri | Sat | Sun |  |  |
|  | 12-Feb | 6-Feb | 7-Feb | 8-Feb | 9-Feb | 10-Feb | 11-Feb |  |  |
| AM Peak | 1156 | 1076 | 1256 | 1175 | 1235 | 908 | 772 |  |  |
| PM Peak | 751 | 759 | 769 | 852 | 809 | 866 | 763 |  |  |
| 0:00 | 51 | 43 | 49 | 52 | 74 | 125 | 164 | 54 | 80 |
| 1:00 | 44 | 25 | 23 | 30 | 42 | 70 | 111 | 33 | 49 |
| 2:00 | 32 | 27 | 32 | 34 | 43 | 61 | 63 | 34 | 42 |
| 3:00 | 51 | 47 | 47 | 49 | 53 | 71 | 86 | 49 | 58 |
| 4:00 | 137 | 121 | 122 | 150 | 133 | 64 | 61 | 133 | 113 |
| 5:00 | 466 | 505 | 499 | 467 | 491 | 241 | 120 | 486 | 398 |
| 6:00 | 1106 | 1076 | 1196 | 1143 | 1133 | 501 | 216 | 1131 | 910 |
| 7:00 | 1156 | 992 | 1256 | 1175 | 1235 | 590 | 281 | 1163 | 955 |
| 8:00 | 1005 | 788 | 1112 | 747 | 1157 | 777 | 431 | 962 | 860 |
| 9:00 | 948 | 837 | 943 | 921 | 897 | 858 | 682 | 909 | 869 |
| 10:00 | 629 | 738 | 721 | 731 | 733 | 829 | 728 | 710 | 730 |
| 11:00 | 578 | 589 | 594 | 602 | 705 | 908 | 772 | 614 | 678 |
| 12:00 | 541 | 586 | 611 | 554 | 622 | 866 | 763 | 583 | 649 |
| 13:00 | 477 | 533 | 553 | 553 | 572 | 860 | 654 | 538 | 600 |
| 14:00 | 525 | 589 | 545 | 577 | 619 | 731 | 632 | 571 | 603 |
| 15:00 | 610 | 611 | 687 | 688 | 781 | 662 | 632 | 675 | 667 |
| 16:00 | 683 | 668 | 702 | 684 | 809 | 715 | 613 | 709 | 696 |
| 17:00 | 751 | 759 | 769 | 852 | 764 | 797 | 686 | 779 | 768 |
| 18:00 | 637 | 745 | 727 | 718 | 744 | 745 | 500 | 714 | 688 |
| 19:00 | 509 | 483 | 500 | 521 | 565 | 592 | 399 | 516 | 510 |
| 20:00 | 359 | 354 | 332 | 391 | 421 | 422 | 305 | 371 | 369 |
| 21:00 | 252 | 298 | 298 | 323 | 321 | 363 | 268 | 298 | 303 |
| 22:00 | 174 | 194 | 204 | 225 | 304 | 323 | 181 | 220 | 229 |
| 23:00 | 103 | 85 | 113 | 152 | 197 | 257 | 89 | 130 | 142 |
| Total | 11824 | 11693 | 12635 | 12339 | 13415 | 12428 | 9437 | 12381 | 11967 |


| $7-19$ | 8540 | 8435 | 9220 | 8802 | 9638 | 9338 | 7374 | 8927 | 8764 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $6-22$ | 10766 | 10646 | 11546 | 11180 | 12078 | 11216 | 8562 | 11243 | 10856 |
| $6-24$ | 11043 | 10925 | 11863 | 11557 | 12579 | 11796 | 8832 | 11593 | 11228 |
| $0-24$ | 11824 | 11693 | 12635 | 12339 | 13415 | 12428 | 9437 | 12381 | 11967 |

Feasbility traffic assessment of on-road sections and atgrade crossings

JACOBS

## C.2.2 Westbound

| Job No | N3857 - Marion Street |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Client | Inner West Council |  |
| Site | Old Canterbury Road - east of Edward St |  |
| Location | Lewisham |  |
| Site No | 2B |  |
| Start Date | 6-Feb-18 |  |
| Description | Volume Summary |  |
| Direction | WB |  |


| Hour Starting | Day of Week |  |  |  |  |  |  | W'Day | 7 Day |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mon | Tue | Wed | Thu | Fri | Sat | Sun |  |  |
|  | 12-Feb | 6-Feb | 7-Feb | 8-Feb | 9-Feb | 10-Feb | 11-Feb |  |  |
| AM Peak | 574 | 611 | 621 | 586 | 648 | 834 | 622 |  | Ave |
| PM Peak | 1225 | 1189 | 1167 | 1130 | 1126 | 862 | 789 | 13159 | 12715 |
| 0:00 | 134 | 117 | 157 | 196 | 198 | 301 | 382 | 160 | 212 |
| 1:00 | 73 | 84 | 100 | 97 | 112 | 211 | 280 | 93 | 137 |
| 2:00 | 77 | 44 | 57 | 74 | 82 | 162 | 214 | 67 | 101 |
| 3:00 | 43 | 43 | 52 | 39 | 61 | 127 | 164 | 48 | 76 |
| 4:00 | 53 | 41 | 58 | 51 | 51 | 104 | 124 | 51 | 69 |
| 5:00 | 118 | 129 | 133 | 111 | 138 | 109 | 83 | 126 | 117 |
| 6:00 | 330 | 392 | 344 | 347 | 354 | 168 | 116 | 353 | 293 |
| 7:00 | 553 | 608 | 569 | 530 | 542 | 331 | 158 | 560 | 470 |
| 8:00 | 574 | 596 | 621 | 578 | 646 | 483 | 258 | 603 | 537 |
| 9:00 | 522 | 528 | 500 | 487 | 520 | 649 | 457 | 511 | 523 |
| 10:00 | 521 | 503 | 522 | 535 | 648 | 793 | 517 | 546 | 577 |
| 11:00 | 574 | 611 | 583 | 586 | 615 | 834 | 622 | 594 | 632 |
| 12:00 | 660 | 623 | 642 | 693 | 770 | 835 | 706 | 678 | 704 |
| 13:00 | 685 | 703 | 715 | 725 | 807 | 862 | 655 | 727 | 736 |
| 14:00 | 818 | 901 | 903 | 954 | 970 | 856 | 700 | 909 | 872 |
| 15:00 | 1117 | 1129 | 1158 | 1068 | 1074 | 803 | 743 | 1109 | 1013 |
| 16:00 | 1131 | 1176 | 1167 | 1130 | 1119 | 845 | 789 | 1145 | 1051 |
| 17:00 | 1225 | 1189 | 1166 | 1126 | 1126 | 738 | 753 | 1166 | 1046 |
| 18:00 | 1065 | 1058 | 955 | 1047 | 1030 | 700 | 638 | 1031 | 928 |
| 19:00 | 720 | 789 | 771 | 829 | 770 | 609 | 523 | 776 | 716 |
| 20:00 | 528 | 631 | 623 | 694 | 542 | 552 | 507 | 604 | 582 |
| 21:00 | 492 | 490 | 560 | 627 | 535 | 545 | 411 | 541 | 523 |
| 22:00 | 377 | 397 | 474 | 474 | 523 | 616 | 343 | 449 | 458 |
| 23:00 | 235 | 277 | 286 | 328 | 435 | 587 | 251 | 312 | 343 |
| Total | 12625 | 13059 | 13116 | 13326 | 13668 | 12820 | 10394 | 13159 | 12715 |


| $7-19$ | 9445 | 9625 | 9501 | 9459 | 9867 | 8729 | 6996 | 9579 | 9089 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $6-22$ | 11515 | 11927 | 11799 | 11956 | 12068 | 10603 | 8553 | 11853 | 11203 |
| $6-24$ | 12127 | 12601 | 12559 | 12758 | 13026 | 11806 | 9147 | 12614 | 12003 |
| $0-24$ | 12625 | 13059 | 13116 | 13326 | 13668 | 12820 | 10394 | 13159 | 12715 |

Feasbility traffic assessment of on-road sections and atgrade crossings

JACOBS

## C. 3 Edward Street midblock volumes

## C.3.1 Northbound

| Job No | N3857 - Marion Street |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Client | Inner West Council |  |
| Site | Edward Street - north of Old Canterbury Road |  |
| Location | Lewisham |  |
| Site No | 2C |  |
| Start Date | 6-Feb-18 |  |
| Description | Volume Summary |  |
| Direction | NB |  |


| Hour <br> Starting | Day of Week |  |  |  |  |  |  | W'Day <br> Ave <br> 1454 | 7 Day <br> Ave 1390 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mon | Tue | Wed | Thu | Fri | Sat | Sun |  |  |
|  | 12-Feb | 6-Feb | 7-Feb | 8-Feb | 9-Feb | 10-Feb | 11-Feb |  |  |
| AM Peak | 88 | 95 | 99 | 95 | 105 | 127 | 82 |  |  |
| PM Peak | 155 | 157 | 138 | 162 | 156 | 164 | 98 |  |  |
| 0:00 | 7 | 3 | 9 | 3 | 7 | 11 | 7 | 6 | 7 |
| 1:00 | 4 | 6 | 4 | 7 | 2 | 10 | 4 | 5 | 5 |
| 2:00 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 15 | 3 | 5 |
| 3:00 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 3 |
| 4:00 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 8 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 5:00 | 20 | 28 | 20 | 10 | 17 | 9 | 2 | 19 | 15 |
| 6:00 | 53 | 73 | 65 | 68 | 66 | 39 | 12 | 65 | 54 |
| 7:00 | 78 | 90 | 80 | 77 | 60 | 39 | 16 | 77 | 63 |
| 8:00 | 88 | 95 | 99 | 86 | 105 | 60 | 33 | 95 | 81 |
| 9:00 | 68 | 78 | 84 | 95 | 80 | 111 | 64 | 81 | 83 |
| 10:00 | 56 | 57 | 84 | 74 | 85 | 118 | 66 | 71 | 77 |
| 11:00 | 66 | 61 | 72 | 69 | 65 | 127 | 82 | 67 | 77 |
| 12:00 | 71 | 61 | 58 | 72 | 69 | 164 | 90 | 66 | 84 |
| 13:00 | 59 | 70 | 63 | 71 | 70 | 116 | 71 | 67 | 74 |
| 14:00 | 62 | 69 | 70 | 93 | 78 | 73 | 81 | 74 | 75 |
| 15:00 | 132 | 131 | 130 | 114 | 142 | 74 | 78 | 130 | 114 |
| 16:00 | 119 | 112 | 130 | 145 | 142 | 92 | 98 | 130 | 120 |
| 17:00 | 155 | 157 | 134 | 135 | 128 | 95 | 83 | 142 | 127 |
| 18:00 | 102 | 139 | 138 | 162 | 156 | 84 | 63 | 139 | 121 |
| 19:00 | 74 | 67 | 61 | 97 | 82 | 72 | 40 | 76 | 70 |
| 20:00 | 51 | 57 | 62 | 64 | 59 | 49 | 44 | 59 | 55 |
| 21:00 | 27 | 35 | 44 | 44 | 27 | 43 | 20 | 35 | 34 |
| 22:00 | 21 | 32 | 22 | 39 | 32 | 27 | 18 | 29 | 27 |
| 23:00 | 15 | 6 | 12 | 14 | 20 | 27 | 10 | 13 | 15 |
| Total | 1337 | 1435 | 1450 | 1548 | 1499 | 1458 | 1003 | 1454 | 1390 |


| $7-19$ | 1056 | 1120 | 1142 | 1193 | 1180 | 1153 | 825 | 1138 | 1096 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $6-22$ | 1261 | 1352 | 1374 | 1466 | 1414 | 1356 | 941 | 1373 | 1309 |
| $6-24$ | 1297 | 1390 | 1408 | 1519 | 1466 | 1410 | 969 | 1416 | 1351 |
| $0-24$ | 1337 | 1435 | 1450 | 1548 | 1499 | 1458 | 1003 | 1454 | 1390 |

Feasbility traffic assessment of on-road sections and atgrade crossings

JACOBS

## C.3.2 Southbound

| Job No | N3857 - Marion Street |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Client | Inner West Council |  |
| Site | Edward Street - north of Old Canterbury Road |  |
| Location | Lewisham |  |
| Site No | 2C |  |
| Start Date | 6-Feb-18 |  |
| Description | Volume Summary |  |
| Direction | SB |  |


| Hour Starting | Day of Week |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 Day |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mon | Tue | Wed | Thu | Fri | Sat | Sun |  |  |
|  | 12-Feb | 6-Feb | 7-Feb | 8-Feb | 9-Feb | 10-Feb | 11-Feb |  |  |
| AM Peak | 135 | 149 | 143 | 149 | 139 | 137 | 55 | Ave | Ave |
| PM Peak | 117 | 132 | 145 | 119 | 130 | 154 | 80 | 1357 | 1265 |
| 0:00 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 17 | 8 | 4 | 6 |
| 1:00 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 2 | 3 |
| 2:00 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| 3:00 | 3 | 1 | 6 | 3 | 5 | 9 | 6 | 4 | 5 |
| 4:00 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 1 | 4 | 4 |
| 5:00 | 12 | 14 | 13 | 8 | 12 | 7 | 0 | 12 | 9 |
| 6:00 | 69 | 77 | 109 | 83 | 82 | 35 | 9 | 84 | 66 |
| 7:00 | 100 | 149 | 128 | 112 | 110 | 41 | 14 | 120 | 93 |
| 8:00 | 135 | 131 | 143 | 149 | 139 | 45 | 28 | 139 | 110 |
| 9:00 | 82 | 123 | 106 | 103 | 78 | 56 | 49 | 98 | 85 |
| 10:00 | 40 | 87 | 62 | 47 | 58 | 78 | 55 | 59 | 61 |
| 11:00 | 60 | 48 | 49 | 41 | 68 | 137 | 55 | 53 | 65 |
| 12:00 | 55 | 47 | 54 | 59 | 65 | 154 | 68 | 56 | 72 |
| 13:00 | 34 | 52 | 54 | 53 | 63 | 108 | 64 | 51 | 61 |
| 14:00 | 43 | 69 | 63 | 63 | 67 | 73 | 63 | 61 | 63 |
| 15:00 | 83 | 73 | 77 | 91 | 109 | 58 | 67 | 87 | 80 |
| 16:00 | 99 | 103 | 108 | 95 | 114 | 72 | 69 | 104 | 94 |
| 17:00 | 117 | 109 | 145 | 119 | 130 | 81 | 80 | 124 | 112 |
| 18:00 | 111 | 132 | 127 | 103 | 103 | 76 | 63 | 115 | 102 |
| 19:00 | 68 | 65 | 50 | 73 | 72 | 67 | 46 | 66 | 63 |
| 20:00 | 37 | 43 | 46 | 51 | 56 | 39 | 30 | 47 | 43 |
| 21:00 | 29 | 28 | 36 | 31 | 35 | 31 | 19 | 32 | 30 |
| 22:00 | 11 | 16 | 17 | 22 | 26 | 16 | 14 | 18 | 17 |
| 23:00 | 11 | 8 | 11 | 21 | 12 | 27 | 7 | 13 | 14 |
| Total | 1212 | 1387 | 1417 | 1344 | 1424 | 1244 | 825 | 1357 | 1265 |


| $7-19$ | 959 | 1123 | 1116 | 1035 | 1104 | 979 | 675 | 1067 | 999 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $6-22$ | 1162 | 1336 | 1357 | 1273 | 1349 | 1151 | 779 | 1295 | 1201 |
| $6-24$ | 1184 | 1360 | 1385 | 1316 | 1387 | 1194 | 800 | 1326 | 1232 |
| $0-24$ | 1212 | 1387 | 1417 | 1344 | 1424 | 1244 | 825 | 1357 | 1265 |

Feasbility traffic assessment of on-road sections and atgrade crossings

JACOBS

## C. 4 Weston Street midblock volumes

## C.4.1 Northbound

| Job No | N3857 - Marion Street |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Client | Inner West Council |  |
| Site | Weston Street - south of Old Canterbury Road |  |
| Location | Lewisham |  |
| Site No | 2D |  |
| Start Date | 6-Feb-18 |  |
| Description | Volume Summary |  |
| Direction | NB |  |


| Hour <br> Starting | Day of Week |  |  |  |  |  |  | W'Day <br> Ave <br> 100 | 7 Day <br> Ave <br> 109 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mon | Tue | Wed | Thu | Fri | Sat | Sun |  |  |
|  | 12-Feb | 6-Feb | 7-Feb | 8-Feb | 9-Feb | 10-Feb | 11-Feb |  |  |
| AM Peak | 21 | 12 | 13 | 2 | 4 | 13 | 12 |  |  |
| PM Peak | 9 | 9 | 14 | 16 | 11 | 15 | 12 |  |  |
| 0:00 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| 1:00 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 2:00 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3:00 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 4:00 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| 5:00 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 3 |
| 6:00 | 4 | 6 | 7 | 0 | 4 | 7 | 4 | 4 | 5 |
| 7:00 | 14 | 10 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 7 | 6 |
| 8:00 | 9 | 10 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| 9:00 | 6 | 11 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 12 | 5 | 6 |
| 10:00 | 3 | 12 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 8 | 4 | 6 |
| 11:00 | 21 | 9 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 6 | 8 | 7 |
| 12:00 | 8 | 8 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 12 | 4 | 6 |
| 13:00 | 4 | 7 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 4 | 3 | 4 |
| 14:00 | 3 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 6 | 2 | 3 |
| 15:00 | 9 | 8 | 1 | 10 | 0 | 15 | 10 | 6 | 8 |
| 16:00 | 8 | 8 | 7 | 9 | 0 | 9 | 6 | 6 | 7 |
| 17:00 | 9 | 8 | 6 | 16 | 11 | 9 | 7 | 10 | 9 |
| 18:00 | 6 | 9 | 8 | 8 | 10 | 9 | 7 | 8 | 8 |
| 19:00 | 3 | 5 | 14 | 12 | 10 | 9 | 7 | 9 | 9 |
| 20:00 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 8 | 3 | 7 | 4 | 3 | 4 |
| 21:00 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 3 |
| 22:00 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 2 |
| 23:00 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Total | 116 | 139 | 110 | 80 | 54 | 152 | 109 | 100 | 109 |


| $7-19$ | 100 | 108 | 73 | 43 | 21 | 113 | 85 | 69 | 78 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $6-22$ | 109 | 127 | 99 | 68 | 42 | 140 | 101 | 89 | 98 |
| $6-24$ | 110 | 132 | 101 | 74 | 47 | 143 | 104 | 93 | 102 |
| $0-24$ | 116 | 139 | 110 | 80 | 54 | 152 | 109 | 100 | 109 |

Feasbility traffic assessment of on-road sections and atgrade crossings

JACOBS

## C.4.2 Southbound

| Job No | N3857 - Marion Street |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Client | Inner West Council |  |
| Site | Weston Street - south of Old Canterbury Road |  |
| Location | Lewisham |  |
| Site No | 2D |  |
| Start Date | 6-Feb-18 |  |
| Description | Volume Summary |  |
| Direction | SB |  |


| Hour Starting | Day of Week |  |  |  |  |  |  | W'Day <br> Ave <br> 180 | 7 Day <br> Ave <br> 188 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mon | Tue | Wed | Thu | Fri | Sat | Sun |  |  |
|  | 12-Feb | 6-Feb | 7-Feb | 8-Feb | 9-Feb | 10-Feb | 11-Feb |  |  |
| AM Peak | 16 | 21 | 17 | 2 | 8 | 20 | 14 |  |  |
| PM Peak | 29 | 24 | 20 | 25 | 22 | 31 | 17 |  |  |
| 0:00 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 3 |
| 1:00 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 7 | 1 | 2 |
| 2:00 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 3:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:00 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:00 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| 6:00 | 16 | 13 | 14 | 1 | 8 | 15 | 2 | 10 | 10 |
| 7:00 | 13 | 16 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 9 | 8 |
| 8:00 | 11 | 21 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 3 | 9 | 8 |
| 9:00 | 6 | 16 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 14 | 6 | 8 |
| 10:00 | 12 | 9 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 5 | 5 | 7 |
| 11:00 | 13 | 19 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| 12:00 | 17 | 13 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 12 | 8 | 12 |
| 13:00 | 10 | 6 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 17 | 6 | 9 |
| 14:00 | 13 | 10 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 8 | 5 | 6 |
| 15:00 | 19 | 24 | 2 | 12 | 0 | 12 | 17 | 11 | 12 |
| 16:00 | 29 | 22 | 19 | 22 | 0 | 17 | 13 | 18 | 17 |
| 17:00 | 16 | 20 | 20 | 25 | 22 | 19 | 11 | 21 | 19 |
| 18:00 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 23 | 17 | 11 | 17 | 18 | 17 |
| 19:00 | 5 | 11 | 19 | 16 | 18 | 8 | 7 | 14 | 12 |
| 20:00 | 0 | 6 | 7 | 13 | 9 | 11 | 11 | 7 | 8 |
| 21:00 | 4 | 10 | 4 | 4 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 6 | 6 |
| 22:00 | 6 | 4 | 9 | 4 | 11 | 4 | 3 | 7 | 6 |
| 23:00 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 4 |
| Total | 212 | 245 | 208 | 129 | 108 | 237 | 177 | 180 | 188 |


| $7-19$ | 175 | 193 | 144 | 82 | 39 | 175 | 130 | 127 | 134 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $6-22$ | 200 | 233 | 188 | 116 | 80 | 215 | 157 | 163 | 170 |
| $6-24$ | 209 | 242 | 200 | 124 | 96 | 223 | 162 | 174 | 179 |
| $0-24$ | 212 | 245 | 208 | 129 | 108 | 237 | 177 | 180 | 188 |

Feasbility traffic assessment of on-road sections and atgrade crossings

## C. 5 Old Canterbury Road / Weston Street / Edward Street intersection counts

## C.5.1 Peak hour volume




| $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{N}} \\ & \underset{\sim}{7} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\mathrm{I}} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{v} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\dot{G}}} \\ \overrightarrow{0} \\ \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\dot{~}} \\ \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \dot{\circ} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{8} \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\bullet \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{i}} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{i} \\ & 0 \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{*} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{*} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { H } \\ & \dot{\hat{i}} \\ & 0 \\ & \dot{N} \\ & \hat{H} \\ & \hat{U} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\dot{\omega}} \\ \text { o } \\ 0 \\ \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\dot{\omega}} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\dot{O}} \\ \text { t } \\ \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\dot{8}} \\ \hline \dot{8} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \stackrel{\circ}{\dot{\jmath}} \\ \overrightarrow{0} \\ \overrightarrow{\hat{r}} \\ \dot{\hat{j}} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \ddot{i} \\ \hline 0 \\ 0 \\ \ddot{i 0} \\ \hline \dot{i} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 08 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \dot{8} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0 \\ & \hline 8 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline 0 \\ & \hline 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 惫 } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \propto \\ & \hat{心} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ï } \\ & \text { ot } \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{i} \\ & \dot{i} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} N \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \hat{N} \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \＆ | $\checkmark$ | $\infty$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\infty$ | $\checkmark$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\checkmark$ | $\bullet$ | 台 | ¢ | $\bullet$ | $\checkmark$ | a | u | $\sigma$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\infty$ | の | $\bigcirc$ | $\bullet$ | $\infty$ | $\bigcirc$ | の | $\omega$ | － | $\checkmark$ | ¢ | む | N | Н | $\pm$ | Н | ๑ | ¢ | \％ | $\checkmark$ | $\bullet$ | $\checkmark$ | － | $\infty$ | $\checkmark$ | $\infty$ | Lights |  |
| $\bullet$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | － | － | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | － | $\stackrel{ }{+}$ | ＋ | $\stackrel{+}{+}$ | $\stackrel{ }{+}$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\stackrel{+}{\square}$ | $\checkmark$ | $\stackrel{+}{+}$ | $\stackrel{+}{+}$ | $\bigcirc$ | － | $\stackrel{ }{+}$ | ${ }^{+}$ | $\checkmark$ | － | － | $\bigcirc$ | ＋ | － | $\sim$ | ～ | $\stackrel{+}{+}$ | $\sim$ | － | － | $\bigcirc$ | － | － | － | 0 | － | － | ${ }^{\omega}$ | ${ }^{\omega}$ | $\rightarrow$ | $\omega$ | $\stackrel{+}{+}$ | Heavies |  |
| $\checkmark$ | － | － | － | － | $\bigcirc$ | － | － | － | － | － | $\bigcirc$ | － | － | $\bigcirc$ | － | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | － | － | － | － | － | $\bigcirc$ | － | － | － | － | ～ | N | N | $\omega$ | $\stackrel{+}{+}$ | ＋ | $\checkmark$ | － | － | － | $\bigcirc$ | － | $\bigcirc$ | － | － | － | － | $\bigcirc$ | Cyclists |  |
| E | $\infty$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\infty$ | $\infty$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\infty$ | $v$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\infty$ | $\stackrel{\square}{\square}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{1}$ | ： | － | $\infty$ | $v$ | の | $v$ | $\cdots$ | $\infty$ | $\bullet$ | $v$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\square}$ | $\bullet$ | $\infty$ | $\bullet$ | $\checkmark$ | － | $\infty$ | $\pm$ | $\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{ }$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{*}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\omega}$ | $\stackrel{ }{\sim}$ | ఒ | え | $\pm$ | $\stackrel{\square}{*}$ | $\stackrel{\square}{\square}$ | $\checkmark$ | $\stackrel{\square}{\square}$ | $\stackrel{\square}{\square}$ | $\checkmark$ | $\stackrel{ }{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\square}{\square}$ | － | Total |  |
| 芯 | $$ | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\underset{\Delta}{\omega}}}{ }$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{H}}$ | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{y}}}{\substack{0}}$ | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{*}}{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\omega}}$ | $\stackrel{H}{H}$ |  | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{3}}{\stackrel{y}{\omega}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{H}{4} \\ & \text { N } \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{N}}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{4}$ | 荌 | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{B}}}$ | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\circ}}{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{6}}$ | ¢ | 哭 | ¢ | 岕 | 会 | B | \％ | \％ | ูㅓ | 㞻 | บ | ज | ․ㅡㅇ | 笑 | 岗 | 岂 | 芴 | 拷 | d | 今 | 苟 | 砍 | 㐫 | N | N | 号 | 菅 | 䦉 | \％ | ป | 岛 | Lights |  |
| 㞤 | ज | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{*}$ | ～ | n | ～ | ח | ั | $\sim$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\bullet}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | E | $\pm$ | 呺 | B | ${ }^{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | w | ¢ | B | ¢ | के | के | \＃ | ${ }_{\sim}^{\sim}$ | ज | $\underset{\infty}{\text { ¢ }}$ | ＋ | N | $\sim_{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\square}$ | w | ～ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\Perp}$ | ${ }_{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\infty}$ | w | $\underset{\sim}{\sim}$ | \％ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\hookleftarrow}$ | $\sim$ | N | ～ | $\sim$ | Heavies | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0}$ |
| $ज$ | N | N | N | $\omega$ | N | $\omega$ | N | $\stackrel{+}{+}$ | ＋ | ＋ | N | N | N | － | $\bigcirc$ | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | $\bigcirc$ | － | － | － | － | － | － | $\omega$ | $\omega$ | － | $v$ | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | Cyclists | $\frac{5}{2}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \hline 8 \\ & \hline 8 \end{aligned}$ | 淢 | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{5}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{5}$ | $\stackrel{H}{\underset{U}{*}}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\underset{N}{N}}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{a}}$ | $\stackrel{H}{\Psi}$ | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{U}}}{ }$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\vec{a}}$ | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\dddot{w}}}{ }$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{N}}$ |  | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{E}}$ | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{O}}}{\mathrm{O}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \hline 0 \end{aligned}$ | ٌ | \％ | ～ | y | 命 | ถ̆ | ปั | 9 | \％ | $\stackrel{9}{\text { ¢ }}$ | \％ | 9 | 8 | \％ | 㒶 | $\stackrel{H}{4}$ | 以 | ¢ | $\stackrel{3}{3}$ | \％ | ¢ | 品 | W | ¢ | \％ | \％ | 9 | \＃ | 告 | 㔽 | Total |  |
| $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0}$ | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\sim}}{\sim}$ | 宮 | 岀 | 枵 | 号 | 芯 | $\stackrel{\text { 吕 }}{ }$ | $\because$ | $\stackrel{\text { b }}{\text { d }}$ | \＆ | $\sim$ | ¢ | d | \％ | む | 8 | ¢ | 8 | N | 진 | ¢ | N | ～ | ज | $\stackrel{\square}{\square}$ | $\mathscr{4}$ | ぁ | A | A | $\checkmark$ | 2 | 8 | ज | $\forall$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{2}$ | $\stackrel{\text { H }}{6}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{5}$ | 產 | 층 | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\circ}$ | 芯 | 它 | 宁 | 氐 | 岕 | Lights |  |
| $\stackrel{\square}{4}$ | $\stackrel{+}{\square}$ | N | N | $\stackrel{ }{ }$ | － | $\stackrel{+}{ }$ | $\stackrel{+}{ }$ | $\sim$ | N | $\omega$ | $\omega$ | ～ | $\omega$ | $\rightarrow$ | － | 0 | $\rightarrow$ | $\stackrel{ }{+}$ | $\stackrel{ }{ }$ | － | － | $\stackrel{\square}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{+}{+}$ | $\sim$ | ${ }^{\omega}$ | N | $\omega$ | ＋ | $\omega$ | － | $v$ | $\sigma$ | $\infty$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\square}$ | $\stackrel{\text { ar }}{ }$ | $\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{ }$ | む | $\checkmark$ | $v$ | $の$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | の | $\checkmark$ | の | Heavies |  |
| E | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | $\stackrel{+}{+}$ | ＋ | ${ }^{\omega}$ | N | N | ～ | － | － | － | $\omega$ | ＋ | － | u | ～ | $\stackrel{+}{+}$ | ${ }_{-}$ | － | － | － | N | N | N | ～ | Cyclists |  |
| 넝 | $\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{\square}$ | $\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{\sim}$ | 岕 | $\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{\text { ¢ }}$ | E | 宫 | E | － | 砍 | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0}$ | $\Phi$ | \％ | む | む | ๕ | \＃ | N | 9 | $\leftrightarrows$ | ¢ | ¢ | \％ | \％ | क | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | 9 | ＋ | あ | 2 | 9 | ш | ～ | ¢ | $\stackrel{\text { E }}{\omega}$ | N | 5 | 占 | $\stackrel{\sim}{\mathrm{N}}$ | ฟ | \＃ |  | ¢ | 合 | 点 | Total |  |
| 発 | 年 | ず | 9 | 尔 | 8 | 㽞 | $\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{\square}$ | \％ | ¢ | 岁 | 免 | 哭 | 岃 | ज | 怱 | 岃 | $\stackrel{\sim}{4}$ | B | $\stackrel{\text { E }}{\text { c }}$ | 㙐 | $\mathrm{O}_{8}$ | 真 | 畕 | 河 | N | 发 | ज | İ | 㧩 | ฯ | 㜽 | $\stackrel{\sim}{4}$ | 聰 | J | \＃ |  | $\stackrel{\text { ® }}{+}$ |  | ๙̋ | \％ | $\stackrel{8}{4}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{0}$ | \＆ | \＆ | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\circ}}{\text { \％}}$ | Lights |  |
| $\underset{\text { U }}{\sim}$ | の | $\checkmark$ | － | － | $\checkmark$ | $\infty$ | t | $\stackrel{ }{5}$ | $\pm$ | ～ | \％ | u | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | N | ๙ | ะ | $\sim$ | ะ | ธ | $\sim$ | ～ | N | \％ | \％ | ※ | ¢ | ${ }_{0}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{¢}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | w | ${ }_{\sim}^{\sim}$ | \％ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | w | ${ }_{\sim}^{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | ะ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\bullet}$ | ะ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\sim_{0}$ | ＊ | A | Heavies | $\bigcirc$ |
| ～ | $\stackrel{ }{+}$ | $\stackrel{+}{+}$ | N | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{+}$ | N | N | $\stackrel{ }{+}$ | $\stackrel{+}{ }$ | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | ＋ | ＋ | N | N | $\stackrel{ }{+}$ | $\stackrel{ }{+}$ | ＋ | N | N | N | － | $\stackrel{ }{+}$ | $\stackrel{+}{+}$ | ＋ | N | ＋ | － | $\omega$ | N | N | N | $u$ | $v$ | $\bullet$ | $\stackrel{ }{\dagger}$ | $\checkmark$ | の | － | Cyclists | $\frac{\square}{5}$ |
| $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \infty \\ \stackrel{\sim}{0} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | \％ | ป | \％ | \％ | 砍 | \％ | 8 | 2 | 8 | ¢ | 哭 | $\because$ | $\checkmark$ | 8 | 总 | 号 | 先 | $\stackrel{y}{\infty}$ | 号 | $\stackrel{\text { M }}{\substack{0}}$ | N | ㄲ | N్ | ¢ | ${ }^{\prime}$ | $\pm$ | \％ | 告 | 皆 | 9 | 8 | \％${ }^{\circ}$ | \％ | 号 | 판 | ¢ | \％ | \％ | $\because$ | $\stackrel{8}{4}$ | $\stackrel{8}{4}$ | 8 | 宮 | 范 | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\circ}}{\substack{2}}$ | Total |  |
| 莗 |  | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathbf{o}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & N \\ & 0 \\ & i \end{aligned}$ | N | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathbf{\circ}}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\circ}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{O}} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $$ | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\circ}}{\stackrel{1}{*}}$ | 滷 |  | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{o}}}{ }$ | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\tilde{t}}}{\substack{2}}$ | 若 | 点 | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{y}}}{\mathrm{v}}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{E}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{W}}$ | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\Psi}}{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{4}}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\underset{W}{v}}$ | $\mid \stackrel{H}{\approx}$ | $\stackrel{H}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\hat{X}}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\underset{\sim}{\sim}}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\underset{\sim}{\sim}}$ | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\tilde{\sim}}}{ }$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\tilde{8}}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\sim} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{N} \\ \hat{N} \end{array}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\underset{\sim}{*}}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\tilde{\sim}}$ | $\stackrel{H}{\overleftarrow{H}}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\underset{\sim}{*}}$ | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathbf{a}}}{\substack{0}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{4} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 哉 | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{W}}}{\mathbf{W}}$ | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{g}}}{ }$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{9}$ | 荀 | $\stackrel{H}{\mathrm{y}}$ |  | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\text { on }}$ | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{3}}{\substack{\text { ¢ }}}$ | Grand | otal |

```
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline Job No． & ：N3857 \\
\hline client & ：Inner West Council \\
\hline Suburb & ：Greenway \\
\hline cation & ：2．Old Canterfuy Rd／Edward St／Weston \\
\hline ／Date & ：Tue，6th February 2018 \\
\hline weather & ：Fine \\
\hline Description & ：Classified intersection Co \\
\hline & ：Hourly Summary \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```



| Approach <br> Direction | weston st |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Old Canterbury Rd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | （tion1 |  |  |  | ction |  |  |  | ention |  |  |  | （tion ${ }^{\text {ave }}$ |  |  |  | citan |  |  | $\substack{\text { Dinection } \\ \text { Trro }}$ |  |  |  |  | tion |  |  | $\xrightarrow{\text { Directio }}$ | Ion 60 |  |
| Time Period |  | 亳 | $\frac{\frac{2}{3}}{\frac{1}{3}}$ |  |  | 亳 | $\frac{\frac{2}{3}}{\dot{b}}$ | 产 | 隠 | $\stackrel{\stackrel{2}{3}}{\stackrel{3}{3}}$ | $\frac{\frac{2}{3}}{\dot{3}}$ | $\stackrel{\overline{5}}{\square}$ | ${ }_{3}^{3}$ | $\frac{\stackrel{2}{3}}{\frac{3}{3}}$ | $\frac{\stackrel{y y}{\frac{2}{3}}}{}$ | 产 | ${ }^{\frac{2}{1}}$ | $\frac{\ddot{\partial}}{\frac{\ddot{u}}{3}}$ | $\frac{\frac{2}{2}}{\frac{2}{\partial}}$ | 产 | 訔 |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \hline \frac{2}{3} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 岩 | 亲 |  | $\frac{\frac{2}{2}}{3}$ | 県 | 旁 | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{2}{0} \\ & \stackrel{3}{3} \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{\frac{2}{3}}{\frac{2}{3}}$ | 포를 |
| 7：00 to 800 | 5 | 1 | － | 6 | 0 | － | 0 | 0 | 3 | － | － | 3 | 0 | － | － | － |  | － | 1 | 4 | 42 | 2 | － | 515 | 58 | 3 | 1 | － |  |  |  | 0 |
| 2715 | 6 | 2 | － | － | 。 | 1 | － | 1 | 1 | 。 | － | 1 | 。 | － | － | － |  | － | 1 | 3 | ＊2 | 22 | 1 | 52 | ${ }^{6}$ | 2 | － | $\square$ | － | － | － | － |
| 730 to <br> 80  | 5 | 2 | － | 7 | － | 1 | － | 1 | 3 | 1 | － | 4 | － | － | － | － |  | 1 | 1 | 4 | 52 | 25 | 1 | 59 | 78 | 1 | － | 79 | － | － | － | － |
| 77454 | 2 | 1 | － | 3 | － | 1 | － | 1 | 2 | 1 | － | 3 | － | － | － | － | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 515 | 25 | 1 | 54 | 7 | 1 | － | 13 | － | － | － | － |
| $\begin{array}{\|llll\|}8.00 & \text { to } & 900\end{array}$ | 3 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 。 | － | － | $\bigcirc$ | 1 | 1 | － | 2 | 507 | 30 | 1 | ${ }_{38}$ | 73 | － | 1 | ${ }^{14}$ | － | － | － | 0 |
| 88.5 to 9.15 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | － | － | 1 | 5 | 1 | － | 6 | 。 | － | － | 0 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 421 | 27 | － | 518 | ${ }_{6}$ | － | 1 | ${ }^{65}$ | 0 | 0 | － | 0 |
| 830 to $9: 30$ | 2 | 0 | － | 2 | 1 | － | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | － | － | － | － | 5 | － |  | ${ }^{6}$ | 44 | 31 | － | 495 | 58 | 1 | 1 | ${ }^{\circ}$ | － | 0 | － | － |
| 88.5 to 9．45 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | － | 0 | 1 | 6 | － | 0 | 6 | － | － | － | $\bigcirc$ | 6 | － | 1 | ， | 42 | 32 | 3 | 487 | 54 | 1 | 1 | 56 | 0 | 0 | － | 0 |
| 9，000 10 10，000 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | － | 1 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 。 | － | － | $\bigcirc$ |  | － | 1 | 8 | 45 | 33 | 3 | 461 | 48 | 1 | － | 49 | 0 | 0 | － | 0 |
| 9915 to 10.75 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | － | 1 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 6 | － | 0 | － | $\bigcirc$ | 4 | － | 0 | 4 | 415 | 35 | 3 | ${ }_{43}$ | 4 | 3 | － | 50 | 0 | 0 | － | $\bigcirc$ |
| 9930101030 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | － | 0 |  | － | 0 | 4 | 49 | 32 | 3 | 44 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 46 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 995 to 10.5 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 | \％ | 1 | 6 | 0 | － | － | $\bigcirc$ | 3 | 0 | － | 3 | ${ }^{43}$ | 33 | 0 | 46 | 40 | 2 | 0 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1000 to 11000 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | ［0 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 2 | 0 | － | 2 | ${ }_{41}$ | 30 | 0 | 44 | ${ }^{37}$ | 3 | 0 | 40 | － | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1015 to 11.15 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | ， | $\bigcirc$ | 1 | 8 | － | － | － | － | 3 | － | 0 | 3 | 42 | 30 | － | 42 | － | 1 | 0 | ${ }^{33}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 11380 to 1130 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 9 | 0 | 1 | ${ }^{10}$ | 0 | 0 | － | $\bigcirc$ | 4 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 44 | 34 | 0 | 488 | 29 | 2 | 0 | ， | 0 | ， | 0 | 0 |
| 10．45 1011.45 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 7 | － | － | 7 | － | \％ | － | － | 6 | 2 | － | 8 | 46 | 45 | － | 512 | 30 | 5 | － | ${ }^{35}$ | 0 | 0 | － | 0 |
| 1100 10 1200 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 5 | － | － | － | － | 5 | 2 | － | ， | 53 | 42 | － | 565 | 3 | 4 | 0 | ${ }^{38}$ | 0 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | － |
| ［11．5 10.12 .5 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | － | 2 | 3 | 2 | 1 | $\bigcirc$ | 3 | 。 | － | － | $\bigcirc$ | 4 | 2 | － | 6 | 519 | 42 | － | 561 | 36 | 4 | － | 40 | － | － | － | － |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}133 & 10 & 1230\end{array}$ | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | － | 1 | － | － | － | － | 5 | 0 | － | 5 | 52 | 42 | － | 56 | 40 | 3 | － | ${ }^{43}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 11．45 10 12：45 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | ］ | － | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | － | ， | － | － | 7 | 0 | － | 7 | 59 | ${ }^{35}$ | － | 554 | 39 | 1 | － | 40 | 0 | 0 | － | 0 |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}120 & 10 & 1300\end{array}$ | 1 | 0 | － | 1 | 5 | － | － | 5 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | － | － | － | － | 7 | － | － | ， | 59 | 12 | － | 551 | ， | 1 | － | ${ }^{45}$ | － | － | － | － |
| 21.15 to 1335 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | － | － | 4 | 3 | 0 | － | 3 | － | 0 | － | $\bigcirc$ | 6 | － | － | 6 | 57 | 15 | － | 52 | 45 | 1 | － | ${ }^{46}$ | 0 | 0 | － | － |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}1230 & 10 & 1330\end{array}$ | － | － | － | － | 4 | － | － | 4 | 5 | － | － | 5 | － | － | － | － | 4 | － | － | 4 | 59 | ${ }^{4}$ | － | ${ }^{23}$ | 12 | 2 | － | 4 | － | － | － | － |
| 12.54 | － | － | － | － | 5 | － | － | 5 | 4 | 1 | － | 5 | － | － | － | － | 2 | － | － | 2 | ${ }^{617}$ | ${ }^{11}$ | － | ${ }_{6} 6$ | 39 | 2 | － | ${ }^{4}$ | － | － | － | － |
|  | － | － | － | $\bigcirc$ | 3 | － | － | 3 | 3 | 1 | － | 4 | － | － | － | － | 2 | － | － | 2 | 62 | 38 | 0 | ${ }_{6} 67$ | 39 | 2 | － | ${ }^{4}$ | － | － | － | － |
| 13．15 to 12.15 | 1 | 0 | － | 1 | 3 | － | － | 3 | 4 | 1 | － | 5 | － | － | － | － |  | － | － | 3 |  | ${ }^{36}$ | － | 69 |  |  | － |  | － | － |  |  |
|  | 2 | － | － | 2 | 3 | － | － | 3 | 2 | 1 | － | 3 | － | － | － | － | 4 | － | － | 4 | ${ }_{68}^{68}$ | ${ }_{31}$ | － | ${ }^{24}$ | 16 | 2 | － | ${ }^{48}$ | － | － | － | － |
| 13，45 to 12.45 | 2 | － | － | 2 | 2 | － | － | 2 | 1 | － | － | 1 | － | － | － | － | 4 | － | － | 4 | 770 | ${ }_{31}$ | － | m1 | 5 | 1 | － | 52 | － | － | － | － |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}4.3000 & 10 & 1500\end{array}$ |  | － | － | 2 | 3 | － | － | 3 | 1 | 1 | － | 2 | － | － | － | － | 6 | － | － | － | ${ }_{81}$ | 2 | － | ${ }_{20}$ | ${ }^{4}$ | 1 | － | ${ }^{48}$ | － | － | － | － |
| 4．1．15 | － | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | － | 3 | － | 1 | － | 1 | － | 0 | － | － | 5 | 0 | － | 5 | ${ }^{213}$ | ${ }^{37}$ | 0 | ${ }_{80}$ | 4 | 3 | － | ${ }^{2}$ | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
|  | 3 | － | － | 3 | 3 | 0 | － | 3 | － | 1 | － | 1 | － | － | － | － | 7 | － | － | 7 | ${ }_{88}$ | ${ }^{13}$ | － | 91 | 6 | 2 | － | ${ }_{6} 6$ | － | － | － | － |
| 14．45 10 15.54 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | － | 1 | － | 2 | － | 0 | － | － | 11 | － | － | 11 | ${ }^{27}$ | 4 | 1 | 92 | 81 | 3 | － | ${ }^{4}$ | － | － | － | － |
| 1500 to 1600 | － | 0 | － | 5 |  | 0 | － | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | － | － | － | － | ${ }_{1} 1$ | － | － | 13 | 99 | ${ }^{4}$ | 2 | 1．024 | 103 | 4 | － | 107 | － | － | － | － |
| 55，5 to 16.5 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 0 | － | 1 | 5 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 17 | 1 | － | ${ }^{18}$ | 1，08 | ${ }^{2}$ | 2 | 2，064 | 10 | 2 | － | 1 m | 0 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 |
| 1530 to 1630 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | － | $\bigcirc$ | 18 | 1 | 0 | ${ }^{19}$ | 1，，22 | 29 | 2 | 2，073 | ${ }^{13}$ | 2 | － | 115 | 0 | 0 | 0 | － |
| 15.45 | 3 | 1 | 0 | － | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | － | $\bigcirc$ | 17 | 1 | － | ${ }^{18}$ | 1，071 | 29 | 1 | 1，00 | 107 | 1 | 0 | 128 | 0 | － | － | － |
| 1600 to 17．00 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 16 | 1 | － | ${ }^{17}$ | 1.08 | 25 | 1 | 1，04 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 1615  <br> 10 to <br> 175  | 6 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | － | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | ${ }^{14}$ | － | 0 | 14 | 1，065 | 2 | 1 | 1，005 | 94 | 0 | 0 | $\stackrel{4}{4}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | － |
| $\begin{array}{llllll}1630 & 10 & 1730\end{array}$ | 5 | 0 | － | 5 | － | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | － | 2 | － | 0 | 2 | － | $\bigcirc$ | － | $\bigcirc$ | － | 0 | － | － | 1.88 | 28 | 2 | 1，114 | 107 | － | － | 107 | － | 0 | － | － |
|  | 5 | 0 | － | 5 | 1 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | － | － | － | $\bigcirc$ | ${ }^{10}$ | － | － | 10 | 1.06 | ${ }^{24}$ | 3 | 1，033 | 110 | － | － | 110 | 0 | － | － | 0 |
| 17200 10 1800 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 1 | － | 0 |  | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | － | 0 | － | 0 | 0 | － | 1，03 | 25 | 2 | 1，000 | ${ }^{133}$ | 0 | － | ${ }^{13}$ | 0 | － | 0 | 0 |
| 17.15 to 18.5 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 0 | － | 2 | － | － | － | $\bigcirc$ | 13 | － | 1 | ${ }^{14}$ | 1.50 | 2 | 2 | 1，073 | 122 | － | － | 132 | 0 | － | － | － |
|  | 3 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | － | － | － | $\bigcirc$ | 11 | 0 | 1 | 12 | ${ }_{88} 8$ | 17 | 1 | 1，06 | ${ }^{126}$ | 0 | － | ${ }^{126}$ | 0 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| 17,5 10 18 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | － | $\bigcirc$ | 10 | － | 1 | 11 | 90 | 16 | 1 | 1，07 | 131 | 0 | 0 | ${ }^{31}$ | 0 | － | － | $\bigcirc$ |
|  | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | － | $\bigcirc$ | ${ }^{10}$ | 0 | 1 | 11 | 97 | 15 | 1 | ${ }_{98} 8$ | ${ }^{105}$ |  | 0 | 105 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ |
| 12hr Totals | ${ }_{37}$ | 4 | $\bigcirc$ | 4 | 20 | I | 4 | 25 | ${ }^{9}$ | 4 |  | 4 | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | － | － | 81 | 4 | 3 | 88 | ${ }_{8,36}$ | 375 | 10 | 8，79 | ${ }_{81}$ | 19 | 2 | 83 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | 0 |


| Approach |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Edw | ard St |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Direction 10(Left Tum) |  |  |  |  |  |  | Old Canter | rbur R |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Crossing } \\ & \text { Pedestrians } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Direction | Direction 7 (Left Turn) |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Direction } 8 \\ & \text { (Through) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | Direction 9(Right Turn) |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Direction 9U } \\ & \text { (U Turn) } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Direction 12(Right Turn) |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Direction 12U } \\ \text { (U Turn) } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Time Period | 易 | $\frac{8}{4}$ | $\frac{8}{8}$ | B | ${ }^{\frac{1}{3}}$ | $\frac{8}{1}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \frac{8}{8} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | \% | \% | $\frac{y}{1}$ | $\frac{8}{8}$ | B | \% | $\frac{8}{4}$ | $\frac{8}{8}$ | \% | ${ }_{3}$ | $\frac{n}{1}$ | $\frac{8}{8}$ | \% | \% | $\frac{y}{4}$ | $\frac{8}{8}$ | \% | $5$ | $\frac{y}{4}$ | $\frac{8}{8}$ | B | $\frac{1}{3}$ | $\frac{8}{4}$ | $\frac{8}{8}$ | \% | A | в | c | - | E | F | 6 | H | B |
| 7.00 to am | 127 | 2 | 1 | 130 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 1 | 0 | 25 | ${ }_{96}$ | 40 | 4 | 1,030 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 12 | 0 | 5 | 7 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 3 |
|  | 145 | - | - | 149 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 0 | , | - | - | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 1 | 17 | ${ }^{6}$ | ${ }^{2}$ | 5 | 1,011 | 3 | 1 | - | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 6 | - | 0 | 1 | 6 | 3 | 4 | 0 | ${ }_{30}$ |
| 730 to 830 | 146 | 2 | 1 | 149 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 7 | 4 | 0 | 11 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 3 | 16 | ${ }^{50}$ | 39 | 4 | 93 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 1 | 3 | 0 | ${ }^{28}$ |
| 775 | 120 | 2 | - | 143 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 8 | - | - | - | 0 | 13 | 1 | 5 | 19 | ${ }_{98} 18$ | 3 | 6 | 954 | 6 | - | - | 6 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 3 | 8 | 0 | - | 6 | 0 | - | 0 | ${ }^{17}$ |
| 880 | 125 | 3 | 0 | ${ }^{128}$ | 8 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 8 | - | - | - | 0 | 10 | 1 | 5 | ${ }^{16}$ | ${ }_{87}$ | ${ }^{28}$ | 4 | 919 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | - | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 1 | 6 | - | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| 8815 to 9.15 | 106 | 3 | 0 | 108 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 7 | 3 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 1 | 4 | 21 | 84 | 30 | 3 | 907 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ${ }^{17}$ |
| 280 to 930 | 106 | 3 | - | 109 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 8 | 2 | 0 | 10 | 0 | - | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 24 | 2 | 2 | ${ }^{28}$ | 811 | 27 | 3 | 81 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 3 | - | 0 | 0 | 15 |
| 885 | 9 | 4 | 0 | 9 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 8 | 3 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 1 | 0 | , | ${ }^{27}$ | 31 | 1 | ${ }_{5} 59$ | 10 | 0 | 1 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 1 | ${ }^{24}$ |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}9.00 & \text { to } & 1000\end{array}$ | ¢ | 9 | 0 | 128 | 2 | - | 1 | 3 | 9 | 4 | 0 | 13 | - | - | - | 0 | 28 | 1 | 0 | 29 | \%8 | 34 | 1 | ${ }^{83}$ | 8 | - | 1 | 9 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 7 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 21 |
| 915 to 1015 | $\propto$ | 10 | 0 | 128 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 8 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 28 | ${ }^{76}$ | ${ }^{33}$ | 1 | 760 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 13 |
| 990 to 1030 | 81 | 11 | 0 | 92 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 8 | 5 | 3 | 16 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 23 | ${ }_{61}$ | - | 1 | ${ }^{78}$ | 2 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 1 | ${ }^{15}$ |
| 945 to 10.45 | $\square$ | 11 | 0 | ${ }^{78}$ | 2 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 8 | 3 | 3 | ${ }^{14}$ | - | 0 | - | 0 | 19 | 1 | 1 | ${ }^{21}$ | ${ }^{68}$ | ${ }^{33}$ | 0 | ${ }_{681}$ | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | - | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 10 |
| 1000 to 11.00 | ${ }_{\square}$ | 5 | 0 | ${ }_{6}$ | 2 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 12 | 3 | 3 | ${ }^{18}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 1 | 1 | ${ }^{18}$ | ${ }^{64}$ | 33 | 0 | ${ }_{6} 67$ | 3 | 1 | - | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 9 |
| 1015 to 1.15 | 56 | 3 | 0 | 59 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 3 | 3 | 19 | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | 0 | 1 | 15 | ${ }^{68}$ | 32 | 1 | ${ }_{6} 61$ | 3 | 1 | - | 4 | - | 0 | - | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 11 |
| 1330 to lizo | 50 | 3 | 0 | 53 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 11 | 2 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 52 | 30 | 1 | 593 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 6 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 |  | 12 |
| 10.45 to 11:45 | 42 | 1 | 0 | ${ }^{4}$ | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 12 | 3 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 561 | 35 | 1 | 597 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 |  | 9 |
| 1200 to 1200 | 33 | 1 | 0 | ${ }^{3}$ | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 9 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 2 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 59 | 3 | 1 | 56 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 10 |
| 11.15 to 12.15 | 27 | 1 | 0 | ${ }^{28}$ | 6 | 0 | 2 | 8 | 9 | 3 | 0 |  | - | - | - | 0 |  | - | - | 20 | 53 |  | 1 | 51 | 5 | 1 | - | 6 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 8 |
| ${ }_{1330}$ to 1230 | 33 | 0 | 0 | ${ }^{33}$ | 5 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 8 | 3 | 0 | 1 | - | - | - | 0 | 13 | 0 | 1 | 14 | 55 | 35 | 1 | 593 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 |  | 6 |
| 1.45 to 12.45 | 42 | - | 0 | 42 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 8 | 2 | 0 | 10 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 1 | 15 | ${ }_{58}$ | 28 | 1 | 557 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 |
|  | 41 | 1 | 1 | ${ }_{4}$ | 3 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | 0 | 1 | 11 | 53 | 28 | 1 | 542 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 |
| 2125 to 13.15 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 7 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 1 | ${ }^{14}$ | 50 | 23 | 0 | 523 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 6 |
| 1230 to 1330 | 43 | 1 | 1 | S | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 1 | - | ${ }^{18}$ | 481 | 23 | 1 | 505 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 |  | 6 |
| 1245 to 1345 | 42 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | - | - | 2 | 2 | 0 | 22 | 42 | 25 | 1 | 498 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 7 |
|  | 41 | - | 0 | ${ }_{4}$ | 2 | - | - | 2 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | ${ }^{28}$ | 2 | 0 | 30 | 489 | 23 | 2 | 494 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | - | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 9 |
| 1315 to 14.4 | 45 | 0 | 0 | ${ }^{45}$ | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | - | - | 0 | 24 | 3 | 0 | 27 | 460 | 2 | 2 | 488 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 8 |
| 1330 to 1430 | ${ }^{41}$ | 1 | 0 | 42 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 24 | 455 | 26 | 1 | 482 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 10 |
| 1345 to 14.45 | 4 | 1 | 0 | ${ }^{48}$ | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | ${ }^{11}$ | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | - | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | ${ }^{21}$ | 488 | 2 | 1 | 494 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 0 | - | 0 | 1 |  | - |
| 1400 to 1500 | 5 | 2 | 0 | ${ }_{55}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 2 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 2 | 0 | ${ }^{16}$ | 487 | - | 0 | 53 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 8 |
| 1.15 to 15.15 | 52 | 3 | 0 | ${ }_{55}$ | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 17 | 2 | 0 | 19 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - | 1 | - | ${ }^{18}$ | 537 | 2 | 0 | 558 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 3 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 |  | 15 |
| ${ }^{1330} 0$ to 1530 | 56 | - | 0 | ${ }_{58}$ | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 20 | 2 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 1 | 0 | ${ }^{21}$ | ${ }_{55}$ | 23 | 0 | 568 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 22 |
| 1245 to 15.45 | 4 | 2 | 0 | ${ }^{49}$ | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 18 | 2 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 1 | 0 | 25 | 599 | 23 | - | 572 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 12 | 4 | 6 | 0 | 3 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 1500 to 1600 | 50 | 3 | - | ${ }_{5}$ | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | , | - | - | 21 | 57 | 2 | 0 | 552 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 5 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 30 |
| 1515 to 1615 | $\infty$ | 2 | 0 | 62 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 19 | - | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 2 | - | 0 | ${ }^{2}$ | 53 | 2 | 0 | 552 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 4 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 0 | ${ }^{26}$ |
| 1530 to 1680 | ${ }^{3}$ | 2 | 0 | ${ }_{6} 6$ | 1 | - | 0 | 1 | 17 | 1 | 0 | ${ }^{18}$ | - | - | - | 0 | 15 | 1 | 0 | ${ }^{16}$ | 55 | 2 | 0 | 550 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | - | - | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 0 | ${ }^{18}$ |
| 1545 to 18.65 | 7 | 2 | 0 | ${ }^{80}$ | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | ${ }^{18}$ | 1 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 1 | 0 | ${ }^{16}$ | 537 | 2 | 0 | 559 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
|  | 8 | 0 | 0 | ${ }^{2}$ | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 19 | 2 | - | ${ }^{1}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | ${ }^{14}$ | 1 | 0 | 15 | 52 | 16 | 0 | ${ }_{588}$ | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 2 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| 12615 to 17:75 | ${ }^{1}$ | . | - | ${ }_{7} 8$ | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 15 | 2 | 0 | 17 | - | - | - | 0 | 15 | 1 |  | 17 | 56 | 13 | 0 | 598 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 16 |
| 1630 to 1730 | 2 | - | 0 | 92 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 15 | 1 | 0 | 16 | - | - | - | 0 | 2 | - | 1 | 22 | ${ }^{\text {ab }}$ | - | 0 | 615 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 8 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |  | 3 | 0 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| 1645 to 17:45 | ¢ | 0 | 0 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 13 | 1 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 18 | 0 | 2 | ${ }^{20}$ | ${ }^{2}$ | 8 | 0 | ${ }^{63}$ | 8 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 2 | 8 | 0 | 6 | 8 | 1 | 1 | 35 |
| 77.00 to 1800 | 105 | 0 | - | ${ }^{105}$ | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 11 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | - | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 27 | ${ }_{60}$ | 7 | 0 | 667 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 2 | ${ }^{8}$ | 0 | 6 | 8 | 1 | 1 | 32 |
| 17.15 to 1815 | ${ }^{21}$ | - | 0 | ${ }^{21}$ | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | - | 1 | 0 | 9 | - | - | - | 0 | ${ }^{28}$ | - | 1 | 29 | ${ }_{66}^{66}$ | 4 | - | ${ }_{65}$ | 8 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 27 |
| 1730 to 1830 | 12 | - | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 2 | 0 | ${ }^{14}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 1 | ${ }^{27}$ | ${ }_{62}$ | 4 | 0 | ${ }_{6}^{66}$ | 7 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 24 |
|  | 17 | - | 0 | 117 | 1 | - | - | 1 | 12 | 2 | 0 | 14 | - | 0 | - | - | 3 | 1 | 0 | ${ }^{37}$ | $\omega$ | 4 | 0 | ${ }_{6} 61$ | 8 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 8 |
| 1880 to 19,0 | 13 | 0 | 1 | 114 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 18 | 1 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ${ }^{33}$ | 1 | 0 | 34 | 64 | 5 | 0 | 619 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 11 |
| 12hr Totals | ${ }_{93}$ | 26 | 3 | 959 | ${ }^{3}$ | - | - | ${ }_{38}$ | ${ }^{36}$ | 23 | ${ }^{5}$ | 162 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | ${ }^{245}$ | 10 | 9 | 264 | 7.66 | 298 | 13 | 7,97 | ${ }^{6}$ | 5 | 2 | ${ }^{68}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 51 | ${ }_{4}$ | ${ }^{2}$ | 8 | 29 | 27 | 12 | 8 | 198 |

Feasibility traffic assessment of on-road sections and atgrade crossings

JACOBS

## Appendix D. Old Canterbury Road Sidra outputs

## D. 1 Existing model layouts (with and without development)

## D.1.1 Morning



## D.1.2 Evening



## D. 2 Existing model outputs (without development)

## D.2.1 Morning

## MOVEMENT SUMMARY

Site: ExAM [Old Canterbury Road / Weston Street / Edward Street AM (no development)]


Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab).
Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.
Minor Road Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.
NA: Intersection LOS and Major Road Approach LOS values are Not Applicable for two-way sign control since the average delay is not a good LOS measure due to zero delays associated with major road movements.
SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.
Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).
HV (\%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

## D.2.2 Evening

## MOVEMENT SUMMARY

Site: ExPM [Old Canterbury Road / Weston Street / Edward Street PM (no development)]
Old Canterbury Road / Weston Street / Edward Street
Giveway / Yield (Two-Way)

| Movement Performance - Vehicles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mov <br> ID | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OD } \\ & \text { Mov } \end{aligned}$ | Demand <br> Total veh/h | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Flows } \\ \text { HV } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | Deg. Satn v/c | Average Delay sec | Level of Service | 95\% Back <br> Vehicles veh | Queue <br> Distance <br> m | Prop. Queued | Effective Stop Rate per veh | Average Speed km/h |
| South: Weston Street |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | L2 | 3 | 0.0 | 0.003 | 5.8 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.36 | 0.52 | 44.5 |
| 2 | T1 | 2 | 0.0 | 0.098 | 44.5 | LOS D | 0.3 | 1.9 | 0.97 | 0.99 | 19.5 |
| 3 | R2 | 2 | 0.0 | 0.098 | 132.2 | LOS F | 0.3 | 1.9 | 0.97 | 0.99 | 18.4 |
| Appro |  | 7 | 0.0 | 0.098 | 53.0 | LOS D | 0.3 | 1.9 | 0.71 | 0.79 | 25.2 |
| East: Old Canterbury Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | L2 | 13 | 0.0 | 0.166 | 4.6 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 49.1 |
| 5 | T1 | 1071 | 2.0 | 0.606 | 2.5 | LOS A | 5.3 | 37.9 | 0.33 | 0.10 | 45.6 |
| 6 | R2 | 142 | 0.0 | 0.606 | 13.2 | LOS A | 5.3 | 37.9 | 0.46 | 0.14 | 43.7 |
| Appro |  | 1226 | 1.7 | 0.606 | 3.7 | NA | 5.3 | 37.9 | 0.34 | 0.11 | 45.4 |
| North: Edward Street |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | L2 | 121 | 0.0 | 0.137 | 9.1 | LOS A | 0.5 | 3.6 | 0.39 | 0.90 | 40.8 |
| 8 | T1 | 1 | 0.0 | 0.517 | 181.6 | LOS F | 1.5 | 11.2 | 0.99 | 1.03 | 8.0 |
| 9 | R2 | 9 | 11.1 | 0.517 | 311.3 | LOS F | 1.5 | 11.2 | 0.99 | 1.03 | 6.2 |
| Appro |  | 131 | 0.8 | 0.517 | 31.1 | LOS C | 1.5 | 11.2 | 0.44 | 0.91 | 28.3 |
| West: Old Canterbury Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | L2 | 28 | 0.0 | 0.159 | 4.6 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 48.7 |
| 11 | T1 | 650 | 0.6 | 0.214 | 0.5 | LOS A | 0.4 | 3.0 | 0.05 | 0.03 | 48.8 |
| 12 | R2 | 8 | 0.0 | 0.214 | 17.1 | LOS B | 0.4 | 3.0 | 0.09 | 0.01 | 48.2 |
| Approach |  | 686 | 0.6 | 0.214 | 0.9 | NA | 0.4 | 3.0 | 0.05 | 0.03 | 48.8 |
| All Vehicles |  | 2050 | 1.3 | 0.606 | 4.7 | NA | 5.3 | 37.9 | 0.25 | 0.14 | 44.5 |

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.
Minor Road Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.
NA: Intersection LOS and Major Road Approach LOS values are Not Applicable for two-way sign control since the average delay is not a good LOS measure due to zero delays associated with major road movements.
SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.
Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).
HV (\%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

## D. 3 Existing model outputs (with development)

## D.3.1 Morning

## MOVEMENT SUMMARY

Site: ExAM [OId Canterbury Road / Weston Street / Edward Street AM (with development)]
Old Canterbury Road / Weston Street / Edward Street
Giveway / Yield (Two-Way)

| Movement Performance - Vehicles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{ll} \hline \text { Mov } & \text { OD } \\ \text { ID } & \text { Mov } \end{array}$ | Demand Total |  | Deg. Satn | Average Delay | Level of Service | 95\% Back <br> Vehicles | Queue Distance | Prop. Queued | Effective Stop Rate | Average Speed |
|  | veh/h | \% | v/c | sec |  | veh | m |  | per veh | km/h |
| South: Weston Street |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 L2 | 7 | 28.6 | 0.008 | 6.0 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.33 | 0.52 | 44.1 |
| 2 T1 | 2 | 50.0 | 0.181 | 61.9 | LOS E | 0.5 | 4.6 | 0.98 | 0.99 | 16.1 |
| 3 R 2 | 4 | 25.0 | 0.181 | 145.6 | LOS F | 0.5 | 4.6 | 0.98 | 0.99 | 15.2 |
| Approach | 13 | 30.8 | 0.181 | 57.5 | LOS E | 0.5 | 4.6 | 0.63 | 0.74 | 23.9 |
| East: Old Canterbury Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 L2 | 3 | 33.3 | 0.123 | 4.9 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 48.5 |
| $5 \quad \mathrm{~T} 1$ | 566 | 4.4 | 0.450 | 5.1 | LOS A | 4.3 | 31.2 | 0.41 | 0.14 | 42.7 |
| 6 R2 | 106 | 0.9 | 0.450 | 17.6 | LOS B | 4.3 | 31.2 | 0.69 | 0.24 | 38.8 |
| Approach | 675 | 4.0 | 0.450 | 7.1 | NA | 4.3 | 31.2 | 0.45 | 0.16 | 42.1 |
| North: Edward Street |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 L2 | 247 | 0.8 | 0.339 | 11.2 | LOS A | 1.6 | 11.4 | 0.55 | 1.02 | 39.4 |
| 8 T1 | 4 | 0.0 | 1.056 | 355.3 | LOS F | 9.2 | 68.7 | 1.00 | 1.75 | 6.2 |
| 9 R2 | 47 | 8.5 | 1.056 | 406.2 | LOS F | 9.2 | 68.7 | 1.00 | 1.75 | 4.7 |
| Approach | 298 | 2.0 | 1.056 | 78.1 | LOS F | 9.2 | 68.7 | 0.63 | 1.15 | 17.2 |
| West: Old Canterbury Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 L2 | 29 | 0.0 | 0.235 | 4.6 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 48.8 |
| 11 T1 | 1006 | 3.9 | 0.316 | 0.1 | LOS A | 0.1 | 0.8 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 49.7 |
| 12 R2 | 5 | 0.0 | 0.316 | 9.0 | LOS A | 0.1 | 0.8 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 49.2 |
| Approach | 1040 | 3.8 | 0.316 | 0.2 | NA | 0.1 | 0.8 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 49.7 |
| All Vehicles | 2026 | 3.8 | 1.056 | 14.3 | NA | 9.2 | 68.7 | 0.25 | 0.24 | 36.4 |

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab).
Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.
Minor Road Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.
NA: Intersection LOS and Major Road Approach LOS values are Not Applicable for two-way sign control since the average delay is not a good LOS measure due to zero delays associated with major road movements.
SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.
Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).
HV (\%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

## D.3.2 Evening

## MOVEMENT SUMMARY

Site: ExPM [Old Canterbury Road / Weston Street / Edward Street PM (with development)]
Old Canterbury Road / Weston Street / Edward Street
Giveway / Yield (Two-Way)

| Movement Performance - Vehicles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mov <br> ID | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OD } \\ & \text { Mov } \end{aligned}$ | Demand <br> Total <br> veh/h | ows <br> HV <br> \% | Deg. Satn v/c | Average Delay sec | Level of Service | 95\% Back <br> Vehicles veh | Queue Distance m | Prop. Queued | Effective Stop Rate per veh | Average Speed km/h |
| South: Weston Street |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | L2 | 3 | 0.0 | 0.003 | 6.0 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.38 | 0.53 | 44.4 |
| 2 | T1 | 2 | 0.0 | 0.159 | 60.9 | LOS E | 0.4 | 2.9 | 0.98 | 0.99 | 14.2 |
| 3 | R2 | 2 | 0.0 | 0.159 | 224.8 | LOS F | 0.4 | 2.9 | 0.98 | 0.99 | 13.3 |
| Appro |  | 7 | 0.0 | 0.159 | 84.2 | LOS F | 0.4 | 2.9 | 0.73 | 0.80 | 19.5 |
| East: Old Canterbury Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | L2 | 13 | 0.0 | 0.188 | 4.6 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 49.1 |
| 5 | T1 | 1084 | 1.9 | 0.688 | 4.0 | LOS A | 8.5 | 60.0 | 0.45 | 0.16 | 43.7 |
| 6 | R2 | 194 | 0.0 | 0.688 | 15.5 | LOS B | 8.5 | 60.0 | 0.66 | 0.22 | 41.1 |
| Appro |  | 1291 | 1.6 | 0.688 | 5.7 | NA | 8.5 | 60.0 | 0.47 | 0.17 | 43.3 |
| North: Edward Street |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | L2 | 225 | 0.0 | 0.252 | 9.2 | LOS A | 1.0 | 7.3 | 0.42 | 0.91 | 40.8 |
| 8 | T1 | 1 | 0.0 | 2.042 | 2140.1 | LOS F | 27.9 | 200.4 | 1.00 | 2.28 | 1.2 |
| 9 | R2 | 34 | 2.9 | 2.042 | 2268.9 | LOS F | 27.9 | 200.4 | 1.00 | 2.28 | 0.9 |
| Appro |  | 260 | 0.4 | 2.042 | 312.9 | LOS F | 27.9 | 200.4 | 0.50 | 1.09 | 5.7 |
| West: Old Canterbury Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | L2 | 59 | 0.0 | 0.172 | 4.6 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.10 | 48.2 |
| 11 | T1 | 674 | 0.6 | 0.231 | 0.6 | LOS A | 0.4 | 3.1 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 48.6 |
| 12 | R2 | 8 | 0.0 | 0.231 | 17.6 | LOS B | 0.4 | 3.1 | 0.08 | 0.01 | 48.2 |
| Approach |  | 741 | 0.5 | 0.231 | 1.1 | NA | 0.4 | 3.1 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 48.6 |
| All Vehicles |  | 2299 | 1.1 | 2.042 | 39.2 | NA | 27.9 | 200.4 | 0.34 | 0.24 | 24.7 |

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.
Minor Road Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.
NA: Intersection LOS and Major Road Approach LOS values are Not Applicable for two-way sign control since the average delay is not a good LOS measure due to zero delays associated with major road movements.
SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.
Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).
HV (\%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

Feasbility traffic assessment of on-road sections and atgrade crossings
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## D. 4 Option 2A model layouts

## D.4.1 Morning



## D.4.2 Evening



## D. 5 Option 2A model outputs

## D.5.1 Morning

## MOVEMENT SUMMARY

## Site: 1 AM [Old Canterbury Road / Weston Street / Edward Street AM option 2A]

## Old Canterbury Road / Weston Street / Edward Street

Signals - Fixed Time Isolated Cycle Time $=120$ seconds (User-Given Cycle Time)
Variable Sequence Analysis applied. The results are given for the selected output sequence.


Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.
Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.
SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.
Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).
HV (\%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

## D.5.2 Evening

## MOVEMENT SUMMARY

## Site: 1PM [Old Canterbury Road / Weston Street / Edward Street PM option 2A]

Old Canterbury Road / Weston Street / Edward Street
Signals - Fixed Time Isolated Cycle Time $=120$ seconds (User-Given Cycle Time)
Variable Sequence Analysis applied. The results are given for the selected output sequence.


Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.
Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.
SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.
Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).
HV (\%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

## D. 6 Option 2B model layouts

## D.6.1 Morning



## D.6.2 Evening



## D. 7 Option 2B model outs

## D.7.1 Morning

## MOVEMENT SUMMARY

## Site: 2AM [Old Canterbury Road / Weston Street / Edward Street AM option 2B]

| Old Canterbury Road / Weston Street / Edward Street <br> Signals - Fixed Time Isolated Cycle Time = 120 seconds (User-Given Cycle Time) <br> Variable Sequence Analysis applied. The results are given for the selected output sequence. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement Performance - Vehicles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{ll} \hline \text { Mov } & \text { OD } \\ \text { ID } & \text { Mov } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Demand <br> Total <br> veh/h | $\begin{gathered} \text { Fows } \\ \mathrm{HV} \end{gathered}$ | Deg. Satn v/c | Average Delay sec | Level of Service | 95\% Back <br> Vehicles <br> veh | Queue Distance | Prop. Queued | Effective Stop Rate per veh | Average Speed km/h |
| South: Weston Street |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| L2 | 7 | 28.6 | 0.091 | 67.1 | LOS E | 0.4 | 3.6 | 0.98 | 0.66 | 22.2 |
| 2 T1 | 2 | 50.0 | 0.078 | 62.2 | LOS E | 0.4 | 3.2 | 0.98 | 0.65 | 23.1 |
| 3 R 2 | 4 | 25.0 | 0.078 | 67.0 | LOS E | 0.4 | 3.2 | 0.98 | 0.65 | 21.7 |
| Approach | 13 | 30.8 | 0.091 | 66.3 | LOS E | 0.4 | 3.6 | 0.98 | 0.66 | 22.2 |
| East: Old Canterbury Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 T1 | 569 | 4.6 | 0.487 | 13.3 | LOS A | 17.9 | 130.4 | 0.59 | 0.53 | 36.9 |
| 6 R2 | 106 | 0.9 | 0.580 | 40.2 | LOS C | 5.3 | 37.6 | 0.86 | 0.81 | 24.7 |
| Approach | 675 | 4.0 | 0.580 | 17.5 | LOS B | 17.9 | 130.4 | 0.63 | 0.58 | 34.1 |
| North: Edward Street |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 L2 | 247 | 0.8 | 0.831 | 61.8 | LOS E | 15.1 | 106.7 | 1.00 | 0.94 | 19.5 |
| 9 R2 | 51 | 7.8 | 0.158 | 49.4 | LOS D | 2.5 | 18.9 | 0.88 | 0.74 | 22.7 |
| Approach | 298 | 2.0 | 0.831 | 59.7 | LOS E | 15.1 | 106.7 | 0.98 | 0.90 | 20.0 |
| West: Old Canterbury Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 L2 | 29 | 0.0 | 0.640 | 15.4 | LOS B | 6.8 | 49.0 | 0.48 | 0.43 | 38.9 |
| 11 T1 | 1006 | 3.9 | 0.861 | 20.3 | LOS B | 33.5 | 242.2 | 0.65 | 0.64 | 32.4 |
| Approach | 1035 | 3.8 | 0.861 | 20.2 | LOS B | 33.5 | 242.2 | 0.64 | 0.63 | 32.6 |
| All Vehicles | 2021 | 3.8 | 0.861 | 25.4 | LOS B | 33.5 | 242.2 | 0.69 | 0.65 | 30.0 |

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.
Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.
SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.
Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).
HV (\%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

## Feasbility traffic assessment of on-road sections and atgrade crossings

## D.7.2 Evening

## MOVEMENT SUMMARY

## Site: 2PM [Old Canterbury Road / Weston Street / Edward Street PM option 2B]

Old Canterbury Road / Weston Street / Edward Street
Signals - Fixed Time Isolated Cycle Time $=120$ seconds (User-Given Cycle Time)
Variable Sequence Analysis applied. The results are given for the selected output sequence.


Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.
Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.
SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.
Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).
HV (\%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

## D. 8 Option 2C model layouts

## D.8.1 Morning



Feasbility traffic assessment of on-road sections and atgrade crossings
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## D.8.2 Evening



## D. 9 Option 2C model outputs

## D.9.1 Morning

## MOVEMENT SUMMARY

## Site: 3AM [Old Canterbury Road / Weston Street / Edward Street AM option 2C]

| Old Canterbury Road / Weston Street / Edward Street <br> Signals - Fixed Time Isolated Cycle Time = 120 seconds (User-Given Cycle Time) <br> Variable Sequence Analysis applied. The results are given for the selected output sequence. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement Performance - Vehicles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{ll} \hline \text { Mov } & \text { OD } \\ \text { ID } & \text { Mov } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Demand <br> Total veh/h | ows <br> HV <br> \% | Deg. Satn v/c | Average Delay sec | Level of Service | 95\% Back <br> Vehicles <br> veh | Queue <br> Distance | Prop. Queued | Effective Stop Rate <br> per veh | Average Speed km/h |
| East: Old Canterbury Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 T1 | 569 | 4.6 | 0.429 | 8.1 | LOS A | 14.0 | 101.7 | 0.46 | 0.42 | 41.1 |
| 6 R2 | 106 | 0.9 | 0.446 | 25.0 | LOS B | 4.1 | 28.7 | 0.67 | 0.75 | 30.4 |
| Approach | 675 | 4.0 | 0.446 | 10.8 | LOS A | 14.0 | 101.7 | 0.50 | 0.47 | 38.9 |
| North: Edward Street |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 L2 | 247 | 0.8 | 0.761 | 55.7 | LOS D | 14.1 | 99.6 | 0.98 | 0.88 | 20.7 |
| 9 R2 | 51 | 7.8 | 0.145 | 47.4 | LOS D | 2.5 | 18.5 | 0.86 | 0.73 | 23.2 |
| Approach | 298 | 2.0 | 0.761 | 54.3 | LOS D | 14.1 | 99.6 | 0.96 | 0.85 | 21.1 |
| West: Old Canterbury Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 L2 | 31 | 3.2 | 0.558 | 11.4 | LOS A | 6.3 | 45.6 | 0.38 | 0.36 | 42.0 |
| 11 T1 | 1010 | 4.0 | 0.750 | 8.6 | LOS A | 20.5 | 148.6 | 0.49 | 0.45 | 40.6 |
| Approach | 1041 | 3.9 | 0.750 | 8.7 | LOS A | 20.5 | 148.6 | 0.49 | 0.45 | 40.7 |
| All Vehicles | 2014 | 3.7 | 0.761 | 16.1 | LOS B | 20.5 | 148.6 | 0.56 | 0.51 | 35.1 |

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.
Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.
SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.
Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).
HV (\%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

## Feasbility traffic assessment of on-road sections and atgrade crossings

## D.9.2 Evening

## MOVEMENT SUMMARY

## Site: 3PM [OId Canterbury Road / Weston Street / Edward Street PM option 2C]

Old Canterbury Road / Weston Street / Edward Street
Signals - Fixed Time Isolated Cycle Time $=120$ seconds (User-Given Cycle Time)
Variable Sequence Analysis applied. The results are given for the selected output sequence.


Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.
Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.
SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.
Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).
HV (\%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

Feasibility traffic assessment of on-road sections and atgrade crossings
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## Appendix E. Traffic count data - Ewart Street

Feasbility traffic assessment of on-road sections and atgrade crossings
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## E. 1 Ewart Street (west of Terrace Road) midblock volumes

## E.1.1 Eastbound

| Job No | N3857 - Marion Street |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Client | Inner West Council |  |
| Site | Ewart Street - west of Terrace Road |  |
| Location | Dulwich Hill |  |
| Site No | 3A |  |
| Start Date | 6-Feb-18 |  |
| Description | Volume Summary |  |
| Direction | EB |  |


| Hour <br> Starting | Day of Week |  |  |  |  |  |  | W'Day Ave 3023 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mon | Tue | Wed | Thu | Fri | Sat | Sun |  |  |
|  | 12-Feb | 6-Feb | 7-Feb | 8-Feb | 9-Feb | 10-Feb | 11-Feb |  |  |
| AM Peak | 304 | 354 | 342 | 342 | 348 | 259 | 169 |  |  |
| PM Peak | 216 | 225 | 234 | 247 | 236 | 263 | 179 |  |  |
| 0:00 | 17 | 14 | 13 | 23 | 13 | 24 | 35 | 16 | 20 |
| 1:00 | 9 | 7 | 7 | 6 | 9 | 22 | 31 | 8 | 13 |
| 2:00 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 17 | 16 | 5 | 8 |
| 3:00 | 10 | 9 | 9 | 10 | 9 | 14 | 19 | 9 | 11 |
| 4:00 | 23 | 19 | 25 | 17 | 19 | 18 | 10 | 21 | 19 |
| 5:00 | 57 | 59 | 46 | 45 | 60 | 34 | 23 | 53 | 46 |
| 6:00 | 152 | 158 | 144 | 153 | 145 | 66 | 39 | 150 | 122 |
| 7:00 | 239 | 276 | 252 | 271 | 267 | 110 | 53 | 261 | 210 |
| 8:00 | 304 | 354 | 342 | 342 | 348 | 168 | 75 | 338 | 276 |
| 9:00 | 202 | 240 | 198 | 199 | 186 | 209 | 133 | 205 | 195 |
| 10:00 | 137 | 151 | 126 | 143 | 156 | 259 | 169 | 143 | 163 |
| 11:00 | 138 | 150 | 134 | 148 | 171 | 234 | 166 | 148 | 163 |
| 12:00 | 111 | 111 | 132 | 120 | 123 | 263 | 179 | 119 | 148 |
| 13:00 | 122 | 107 | 138 | 128 | 150 | 207 | 166 | 129 | 145 |
| 14:00 | 144 | 191 | 184 | 173 | 163 | 212 | 137 | 171 | 172 |
| 15:00 | 216 | 184 | 234 | 214 | 219 | 184 | 137 | 213 | 198 |
| 16:00 | 191 | 195 | 210 | 216 | 226 | 158 | 135 | 208 | 190 |
| 17:00 | 210 | 225 | 216 | 247 | 236 | 146 | 151 | 227 | 204 |
| 18:00 | 209 | 205 | 193 | 204 | 208 | 165 | 129 | 204 | 188 |
| 19:00 | 111 | 143 | 155 | 145 | 152 | 125 | 83 | 141 | 131 |
| 20:00 | 81 | 86 | 111 | 92 | 112 | 110 | 89 | 96 | 97 |
| 21:00 | 67 | 64 | 79 | 68 | 82 | 63 | 60 | 72 | 69 |
| 22:00 | 54 | 40 | 51 | 65 | 66 | 59 | 52 | 55 | 55 |
| 23:00 | 20 | 21 | 29 | 41 | 41 | 42 | 26 | 30 | 31 |
| Total | 2828 | 3014 | 3033 | 3075 | 3165 | 2909 | 2113 | 3023 | 2877 |


| $7-19$ | 2223 | 2389 | 2359 | 2405 | 2453 | 2315 | 1630 | 2366 | 2253 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $6-22$ | 2634 | 2840 | 2848 | 2863 | 2944 | 2679 | 1901 | 2826 | 2673 |
| $6-24$ | 2708 | 2901 | 2928 | 2969 | 3051 | 2780 | 1979 | 2911 | 2759 |
| $0-24$ | 2828 | 3014 | 3033 | 3075 | 3165 | 2909 | 2113 | 3023 | 2877 |

Feasbility traffic assessment of on-road sections and atgrade crossings

JACOBS

## E.1.2 Westbound

| Job No | N3857 - Marion Street |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Client | Inner West Council |  |
| Site | Ewart Street - west of Terrace Road |  |
| Location | Dulwich Hill |  |
| Site No | 3A |  |
| Start Date | 6-Feb-18 |  |
| Description | Volume Summary |  |
| Direction | WB |  |


| Hour Starting | Day of Week |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 Day |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mon | Tue | Wed | Thu | Fri | Sat | Sun |  |  |
|  | 12-Feb | 6-Feb | 7-Feb | 8-Feb | 9-Feb | 10-Feb | 11-Feb |  |  |
| AM Peak | 222 | 172 | 193 | 167 | 198 | 224 | 129 | Ave | Ave |
| PM Peak | 266 | 276 | 277 | 269 | 286 | 217 | 171 | 2760 | 2624 |
| 0:00 | 20 | 17 | 18 | 16 | 13 | 33 | 39 | 17 | 22 |
| 1:00 | 5 | 9 | 16 | 7 | 12 | 16 | 24 | 10 | 13 |
| 2:00 | 8 | 10 | 12 | 8 | 4 | 14 | 14 | 8 | 10 |
| 3:00 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 9 | 13 | 7 | 4 | 6 |
| 4:00 | 8 | 8 | 18 | 9 | 8 | 9 | 8 | 10 | 10 |
| 5:00 | 29 | 25 | 19 | 23 | 25 | 15 | 9 | 24 | 21 |
| 6:00 | 71 | 64 | 60 | 67 | 68 | 34 | 18 | 66 | 55 |
| 7:00 | 152 | 147 | 151 | 163 | 147 | 62 | 30 | 152 | 122 |
| 8:00 | 222 | 172 | 193 | 167 | 198 | 140 | 69 | 190 | 166 |
| 9:00 | 180 | 143 | 138 | 145 | 148 | 180 | 85 | 151 | 146 |
| 10:00 | 147 | 121 | 99 | 107 | 124 | 216 | 121 | 120 | 134 |
| 11:00 | 123 | 129 | 129 | 106 | 147 | 224 | 129 | 127 | 141 |
| 12:00 | 146 | 141 | 119 | 132 | 135 | 217 | 160 | 135 | 150 |
| 13:00 | 155 | 135 | 134 | 116 | 171 | 206 | 171 | 142 | 155 |
| 14:00 | 143 | 148 | 162 | 174 | 149 | 206 | 151 | 155 | 162 |
| 15:00 | 266 | 246 | 277 | 252 | 283 | 195 | 151 | 265 | 239 |
| 16:00 | 239 | 251 | 246 | 243 | 261 | 136 | 132 | 248 | 215 |
| 17:00 | 261 | 276 | 264 | 234 | 286 | 145 | 161 | 264 | 232 |
| 18:00 | 230 | 225 | 219 | 269 | 244 | 160 | 146 | 237 | 213 |
| 19:00 | 147 | 148 | 141 | 186 | 189 | 116 | 97 | 162 | 146 |
| 20:00 | 79 | 121 | 102 | 138 | 116 | 111 | 80 | 111 | 107 |
| 21:00 | 70 | 80 | 87 | 82 | 71 | 60 | 69 | 78 | 74 |
| 22:00 | 44 | 36 | 51 | 56 | 74 | 79 | 42 | 52 | 55 |
| 23:00 | 25 | 19 | 41 | 35 | 32 | 40 | 26 | 30 | 31 |
| Total | 2773 | 2675 | 2697 | 2740 | 2914 | 2627 | 1939 | 2760 | 2624 |


| $7-19$ | 2264 | 2134 | 2131 | 2108 | 2293 | 2087 | 1506 | 2186 | 2075 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $6-22$ | 2631 | 2547 | 2521 | 2581 | 2737 | 2408 | 1770 | 2603 | 2456 |
| $6-24$ | 2700 | 2602 | 2613 | 2672 | 2843 | 2527 | 1838 | 2686 | 2542 |
| $0-24$ | 2773 | 2675 | 2697 | 2740 | 2914 | 2627 | 1939 | 2760 | 2624 |

Feasbility traffic assessment of on-road sections and atgrade crossings

JACOBS

## E. 2 Ewart Street (east of Terrace Road) midblock volumes

## E.2.1 Eastbound



| Hour Starting | Day of Week |  |  |  |  |  |  | W'Day Ave 4768 | 7 Day Ave 4538 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mon | Tue | Wed | Thu | Fri | Sat | Sun |  |  |
|  | 12-Feb | 6-Feb | 7-Feb | 8-Feb | 9-Feb | 10-Feb | 11-Feb |  |  |
| AM Peak | 470 | 470 | 473 | 505 | 515 | 382 | 269 |  |  |
| PM Peak | 415 | 373 | 423 | 406 | 461 | 397 | 284 |  |  |
| 0:00 | 23 | 25 | 18 | 28 | 14 | 42 | 63 | 22 | 30 |
| 1:00 | 11 | 9 | 6 | 11 | 13 | 26 | 43 | 10 | 17 |
| 2:00 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 5 | 7 | 26 | 23 | 7 | 12 |
| 3:00 | 13 | 13 | 12 | 11 | 13 | 17 | 20 | 12 | 14 |
| 4:00 | 29 | 23 | 30 | 21 | 22 | 20 | 16 | 25 | 23 |
| 5:00 | 93 | 86 | 81 | 68 | 80 | 52 | 41 | 82 | 72 |
| 6:00 | 193 | 212 | 195 | 207 | 196 | 105 | 55 | 201 | 166 |
| 7:00 | 345 | 383 | 362 | 363 | 374 | 131 | 70 | 365 | 290 |
| 8:00 | 470 | 470 | 473 | 505 | 515 | 242 | 121 | 487 | 399 |
| 9:00 | 300 | 353 | 324 | 319 | 285 | 307 | 210 | 316 | 300 |
| 10:00 | 195 | 218 | 201 | 209 | 224 | 382 | 255 | 209 | 241 |
| 11:00 | 206 | 215 | 211 | 219 | 248 | 370 | 269 | 220 | 248 |
| 12:00 | 185 | 172 | 190 | 183 | 208 | 397 | 284 | 188 | 231 |
| 13:00 | 181 | 180 | 203 | 197 | 246 | 374 | 233 | 201 | 231 |
| 14:00 | 224 | 275 | 272 | 266 | 242 | 320 | 198 | 256 | 257 |
| 15:00 | 415 | 359 | 423 | 384 | 461 | 292 | 227 | 408 | 366 |
| 16:00 | 353 | 335 | 361 | 392 | 382 | 253 | 232 | 365 | 330 |
| 17:00 | 337 | 373 | 367 | 406 | 384 | 252 | 249 | 373 | 338 |
| 18:00 | 342 | 349 | 350 | 353 | 357 | 278 | 209 | 350 | 320 |
| 19:00 | 195 | 242 | 266 | 246 | 251 | 202 | 148 | 240 | 221 |
| 20:00 | 147 | 163 | 186 | 154 | 174 | 183 | 146 | 165 | 165 |
| 21:00 | 106 | 119 | 133 | 135 | 132 | 126 | 105 | 125 | 122 |
| 22:00 | 91 | 70 | 83 | 102 | 108 | 107 | 84 | 91 | 92 |
| 23:00 | 34 | 35 | 44 | 65 | 74 | 79 | 43 | 50 | 53 |
| Total | 4494 | 4686 | 4799 | 4849 | 5010 | 4583 | 3344 | 4768 | 4538 |


| $7-19$ | 3553 | 3682 | 3737 | 3796 | 3926 | 3598 | 2557 | 3739 | 3550 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $6-22$ | 4194 | 4418 | 4517 | 4538 | 4679 | 4214 | 3011 | 4469 | 4224 |
| $6-24$ | 4319 | 4523 | 4644 | 4705 | 4861 | 4400 | 3138 | 4610 | 4370 |
| $0-24$ | 4494 | 4686 | 4799 | 4849 | 5010 | 4583 | 3344 | 4768 | 4538 |

Feasbility traffic assessment of on-road sections and atgrade crossings

JACOBS

## E.2.2 Westbound

| Job No | N3857 - Marion Street |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Client | Inner West Council |  |
| Site | Ewart Street - east of Terrace Road |  |
| Location | Dulwich Hill |  |
| Site No | 3C |  |
| Start Date | 6-Feb-18 |  |
| Description | Volume Summary |  |
| Direction | WB |  |


| Hour Starting | Day of Week |  |  |  |  |  |  | W'Day Ave <br> 4340 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mon | Tue | Wed | Thu | Fri | Sat | Sun |  |  |
|  | 12-Feb | 6-Feb | 7-Feb | 8-Feb | 9-Feb | 10-Feb | 11-Feb |  |  |
| AM Peak | 456 | 408 | 399 | 406 | 439 | 352 | 204 |  |  |
| PM Peak | 385 | 387 | 392 | 409 | 405 | 327 | 260 |  |  |
| 0:00 | 25 | 24 | 20 | 22 | 20 | 47 | 57 | 22 | 31 |
| 1:00 | 8 | 12 | 17 | 12 | 17 | 20 | 37 | 13 | 18 |
| 2:00 | 10 | 11 | 17 | 10 | 8 | 26 | 22 | 11 | 15 |
| 3:00 | 4 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 11 | 15 | 14 | 6 | 9 |
| 4:00 | 10 | 12 | 20 | 11 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 13 | 13 |
| 5:00 | 35 | 30 | 34 | 34 | 36 | 19 | 16 | 34 | 29 |
| 6:00 | 113 | 100 | 109 | 113 | 110 | 54 | 26 | 109 | 89 |
| 7:00 | 310 | 299 | 304 | 304 | 279 | 89 | 53 | 299 | 234 |
| 8:00 | 456 | 408 | 399 | 406 | 439 | 202 | 107 | 422 | 345 |
| 9:00 | 266 | 230 | 217 | 225 | 233 | 273 | 149 | 234 | 228 |
| 10:00 | 203 | 190 | 165 | 167 | 166 | 315 | 196 | 178 | 200 |
| 11:00 | 188 | 178 | 186 | 170 | 199 | 352 | 204 | 184 | 211 |
| 12:00 | 228 | 182 | 184 | 196 | 204 | 327 | 235 | 199 | 222 |
| 13:00 | 209 | 182 | 202 | 196 | 241 | 325 | 260 | 206 | 231 |
| 14:00 | 238 | 243 | 241 | 293 | 262 | 310 | 241 | 255 | 261 |
| 15:00 | 372 | 346 | 361 | 351 | 397 | 300 | 241 | 365 | 338 |
| 16:00 | 319 | 337 | 354 | 338 | 365 | 226 | 211 | 343 | 307 |
| 17:00 | 385 | 387 | 392 | 351 | 405 | 251 | 256 | 384 | 347 |
| 18:00 | 334 | 355 | 337 | 409 | 378 | 269 | 233 | 363 | 331 |
| 19:00 | 232 | 250 | 257 | 279 | 278 | 191 | 162 | 259 | 236 |
| 20:00 | 125 | 187 | 173 | 196 | 174 | 211 | 139 | 171 | 172 |
| 21:00 | 113 | 124 | 146 | 140 | 117 | 107 | 115 | 128 | 123 |
| 22:00 | 81 | 68 | 92 | 87 | 124 | 121 | 75 | 90 | 93 |
| 23:00 | 32 | 39 | 62 | 55 | 63 | 72 | 42 | 50 | 52 |
| Total | 4296 | 4200 | 4294 | 4371 | 4538 | 4134 | 3103 | 4340 | 4134 |


| $7-19$ | 3508 | 3337 | 3342 | 3406 | 3568 | 3239 | 2386 | 3432 | 3255 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $6-22$ | 4091 | 3998 | 4027 | 4134 | 4247 | 3802 | 2828 | 4099 | 3875 |
| $6-24$ | 4204 | 4105 | 4181 | 4276 | 4434 | 3995 | 2945 | 4240 | 4020 |
| $0-24$ | 4296 | 4200 | 4294 | 4371 | 4538 | 4134 | 3103 | 4340 | 4134 |

Feasbility traffic assessment of on-road sections and atgrade crossings

JACOBS

## E. 3 Terrace Road midblock volumes

## E.3.1 Northbound

| Job No | N3857 - Marion Street |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Client | Inner West Council |  |  |
| Site | Terrace Road - north of Ewart St |  |  |
| Location | Dulwich Hill |  |  |
| Site No | 3B |  |  |
| Start Date | 6-Feb-18 |  |  |
| Description | Volume Summary |  |  |
| Direction | NB |  |  |


| Hour <br> Starting | Day of Week |  |  |  |  |  |  | W'Day <br> Ave $1972$ | 7 Day <br> Ave <br> 1879 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mon | Tue | Wed | Thu | Fri | Sat | Sun |  |  |
|  | 12-Feb | 6-Feb | 7-Feb | 8-Feb | 9-Feb | 10-Feb | 11-Feb |  |  |
| AM Peak | 272 | 280 | 253 | 273 | 279 | 171 | 97 |  |  |
| PM Peak | 171 | 146 | 159 | 152 | 165 | 145 | 120 |  |  |
| 0:00 | 10 | 10 | 6 | 8 | 9 | 17 | 22 | 9 | 12 |
| 1:00 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 5 | 9 | 16 | 5 | 7 |
| 2:00 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 12 | 9 | 3 | 5 |
| 3:00 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 8 | 2 | 3 |
| 4:00 | 2 | 6 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| 5:00 | 8 | 17 | 18 | 15 | 14 | 9 | 7 | 14 | 13 |
| 6:00 | 56 | 51 | 61 | 52 | 55 | 26 | 11 | 55 | 45 |
| 7:00 | 185 | 171 | 174 | 168 | 140 | 46 | 29 | 168 | 130 |
| 8:00 | 272 | 280 | 253 | 273 | 279 | 85 | 42 | 271 | 212 |
| 9:00 | 106 | 117 | 94 | 107 | 118 | 115 | 78 | 108 | 105 |
| 10:00 | 77 | 83 | 81 | 82 | 63 | 122 | 94 | 77 | 86 |
| 11:00 | 75 | 69 | 73 | 81 | 78 | 171 | 97 | 75 | 92 |
| 12:00 | 99 | 65 | 83 | 87 | 88 | 142 | 94 | 84 | 94 |
| 13:00 | 67 | 66 | 72 | 91 | 83 | 137 | 113 | 76 | 90 |
| 14:00 | 120 | 110 | 106 | 139 | 132 | 135 | 120 | 121 | 123 |
| 15:00 | 156 | 138 | 137 | 140 | 165 | 145 | 109 | 147 | 141 |
| 16:00 | 120 | 131 | 137 | 136 | 149 | 94 | 104 | 135 | 124 |
| 17:00 | 171 | 143 | 159 | 152 | 146 | 121 | 115 | 154 | 144 |
| 18:00 | 121 | 146 | 152 | 148 | 165 | 138 | 109 | 146 | 140 |
| 19:00 | 106 | 122 | 128 | 115 | 127 | 86 | 76 | 120 | 109 |
| 20:00 | 60 | 76 | 84 | 77 | 66 | 112 | 65 | 73 | 77 |
| 21:00 | 51 | 47 | 69 | 67 | 60 | 52 | 51 | 59 | 57 |
| 22:00 | 40 | 34 | 47 | 36 | 56 | 48 | 36 | 43 | 42 |
| 23:00 | 10 | 22 | 27 | 21 | 33 | 39 | 16 | 23 | 24 |
| Total | 1920 | 1911 | 1979 | 2008 | 2040 | 1869 | 1425 | 1972 | 1879 |


| $7-19$ | 1569 | 1519 | 1521 | 1604 | 1606 | 1451 | 1104 | 1564 | 1482 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $6-22$ | 1842 | 1815 | 1863 | 1915 | 1914 | 1727 | 1307 | 1870 | 1769 |
| $6-24$ | 1892 | 1871 | 1937 | 1972 | 2003 | 1814 | 1359 | 1935 | 1835 |
| $0-24$ | 1920 | 1911 | 1979 | 2008 | 2040 | 1869 | 1425 | 1972 | 1879 |

Feasbility traffic assessment of on-road sections and atgrade crossings

JACOBS

## E.3.2 Southbound

| Job No | N3857 - Marion Street |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Client | Inner West Council |  |
| Site | Terrace Road-north of Ewart St |  |
| Location | Dulwich Hill |  |
| Site No | 3B |  |
| Start Date | 6-Feb-18 |  |
| Description | Volume Summary |  |
| Direction | SB |  |


| Hour Starting | Day of Week |  |  |  |  |  |  | W'Day Ave 2121 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mon | Tue | Wed | Thu | Fri | Sat | Sun |  |  |
|  | 12-Feb | 6-Feb | 7-Feb | 8-Feb | 9-Feb | 10-Feb | 11-Feb |  |  |
| AM Peak | 195 | 156 | 184 | 189 | 194 | 178 | 127 |  |  |
| PM Peak | 244 | 217 | 235 | 222 | 282 | 184 | 128 |  |  |
| 0:00 | 7 | 13 | 8 | 8 | 5 | 20 | 31 | 8 | 13 |
| 1:00 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 8 | 18 | 4 | 6 |
| 2:00 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 8 | 10 | 2 | 4 |
| 3:00 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 4 |
| 4:00 | 5 | 7 | 8 | 6 | 6 | 3 | 5 | 6 | 6 |
| 5:00 | 36 | 34 | 33 | 28 | 24 | 22 | 19 | 31 | 28 |
| 6:00 | 57 | 66 | 65 | 59 | 58 | 43 | 19 | 61 | 52 |
| 7:00 | 127 | 130 | 133 | 124 | 113 | 35 | 25 | 125 | 98 |
| 8:00 | 195 | 156 | 184 | 189 | 194 | 99 | 48 | 184 | 152 |
| 9:00 | 114 | 138 | 136 | 138 | 127 | 106 | 87 | 131 | 121 |
| 10:00 | 70 | 92 | 87 | 92 | 90 | 142 | 104 | 86 | 97 |
| 11:00 | 83 | 82 | 96 | 89 | 97 | 178 | 127 | 89 | 107 |
| 12:00 | 85 | 82 | 84 | 86 | 105 | 171 | 128 | 88 | 106 |
| 13:00 | 69 | 86 | 79 | 82 | 104 | 184 | 98 | 84 | 100 |
| 14:00 | 103 | 98 | 112 | 113 | 103 | 146 | 94 | 106 | 110 |
| 15:00 | 244 | 217 | 235 | 222 | 282 | 137 | 108 | 240 | 206 |
| 16:00 | 193 | 174 | 182 | 211 | 202 | 110 | 117 | 192 | 170 |
| 17:00 | 173 | 184 | 195 | 198 | 191 | 120 | 112 | 188 | 168 |
| 18:00 | 145 | 166 | 187 | 158 | 182 | 134 | 98 | 168 | 153 |
| 19:00 | 101 | 120 | 124 | 116 | 126 | 92 | 81 | 117 | 109 |
| 20:00 | 73 | 89 | 87 | 79 | 74 | 77 | 68 | 80 | 78 |
| 21:00 | 49 | 58 | 63 | 80 | 64 | 65 | 48 | 63 | 61 |
| 22:00 | 40 | 36 | 36 | 44 | 47 | 56 | 34 | 41 | 42 |
| 23:00 | 15 | 18 | 20 | 24 | 35 | 46 | 20 | 22 | 25 |
| Total | 1993 | 2056 | 2164 | 2152 | 2239 | 2006 | 1503 | 2121 | 2016 |


| $7-19$ | 1601 | 1605 | 1710 | 1702 | 1790 | 1562 | 1146 | 1682 | 1588 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $6-22$ | 1881 | 1938 | 2049 | 2036 | 2112 | 1839 | 1362 | 2003 | 1888 |
| $6-24$ | 1936 | 1992 | 2105 | 2104 | 2194 | 1941 | 1416 | 2066 | 1955 |
| $0-24$ | 1993 | 2056 | 2164 | 2152 | 2239 | 2006 | 1503 | 2121 | 2016 |

Feasbility traffic assessment of on-road sections and atgrade crossings

JACOBS

## E. 4 Ewart Street / Terrace Road intersection counts

## E.4.1 Peak hour volume



：Peak Hour Summary

|  | Approach | Ewart St |  |  |  | Terrace Rd |  |  |  | Ewart St |  |  |  | $\overline{5}$ <br> $\stackrel{5}{6}$ <br> $\stackrel{0}{0}$ <br> $\stackrel{0}{0}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Time Period | 吕 | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{y}{\dot{L}} \\ & \stackrel{y}{z} \\ & \text { In } \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{\frac{n}{\frac{2}{3}}}{\bar{b}}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 总 } \\ & \hline \underline{Z} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\stackrel{0}{0}}{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\ddot{c}}}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \frac{n}{\frac{n}{3}} \\ \stackrel{y}{3} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\stackrel{\overline{\mathrm{g}}}{\stackrel{\mathrm{I}}{6}}$ | 䉕 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{n}{\frac{2}{2}} \\ & \frac{0}{b} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| AM | 7：45 to 8：45 | 428 | 3 | 4 | 435 | 155 | 4 | 1 | 160 | 381 | 9 | 1 | 391 | 986 |
|  | 17：00 to 18：00 | 383 | 4 | 5 | 392 | 177 | 1 | 5 | 183 | 224 | 3 | 1 | 228 | 803 |



```
lob No.
Suburb :Greenway
May/Date :Tue, 6th February 2018
weather
:FRine 
:Classified Intersectio
：Classified Intersect
```

|  | pproach |
| :---: | :---: |
| Direction |  |
| Time Period |  |
| 7：00 | to 800 |
| 215 | to 8.15 |
| ${ }_{730}$ | to 830 |
| 7.45 | to 8.45 |
| 880 | to 9.0 |
| 8.15 | to 9．15 |
| 830 | to 930 |
| 845 | to 9．45 |
| 9 | to 10，00 |
| 9.15 | to 10.5 |
| 930 | to 1030 |
| 945 | to 1005 |
| 100 | to niteo |
|  | to 11.15 |
| 1030 | to 1130 |
| 10.45 | 10 11.5 |
| 110 | to 1200 |
| 11.15 | to 12.5 |
| 1130 | to 1230 |
| 11.45 | to 12.5 |
| 12.20 | to 13.00 |
|  | 10 13.5 |
|  | to 13.30 |
|  | to 13，5 |
|  | to 14．00 |
|  | to 14.5 |
|  | to 14.30 |
|  | to $124 / 5$ |
|  | 10．1500 |
|  | to 1535 |
|  | ＋15350 |
|  | to 1545 |
|  | ＋18．160 |
|  | 10 16.5 |
|  | to 16.30 |
| 15.5 | to 16as |
| 1600 | to 17．00 |
| 1615 | to 1275 |
|  | to 17.30 |
|  | to 17.5 |
| 1720 | 10 1880 |
|  | to 18815 |
|  | to 1830 |
| 17.75 | 10 1885 |
|  |  |
|  |  |



| Ewartst |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\underbrace{\substack{\text {（Throus）}}}_{\text {Ditection }}$ |  |  |  | Direction 6 |  |  |  | Direction 6U <br> （U Turn） |  |  |  |
|  | 尊 | 亮 | $\frac{2}{3}$ | 䂞 | 晨 |  | $\frac{\stackrel{y}{3}}{\frac{2}{3}}$ | 䂞 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \frac{0}{2} \\ & \text { 豆 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \frac{2}{3} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 厓 |
|  | 132 | 3 | 1 | 136 | ${ }^{\text {s50 }}$ | 2 | 1 | ${ }^{153}$ | 3 | － | － | 3 |
|  | 143 | 3 | 1 | 177 | ${ }^{186}$ | 2 | 3 | 198 | 3 | － | － | 3 |
|  | 16 | 2 | － | 171 | 26 | 1 | 3 | 220 | 5 | － | － | 5 |
|  | 118 | 2 | 1 | 171 | 24 | 1 | 3 | 258 | 6 | 0 | － | 6 |
|  | 164 | 3 | 1 | 168 | 29 | 3 | 3 | 255 | 4 | 0 | － | 4 |
|  | 164 | 4 | 1 | 169 | 24 | 4 | 1 | 29 | － | 0 | 0 | 5 |
|  | 14 | 5 | 1 | ${ }^{150}$ | 12 | 3 | 1 | ${ }^{176}$ | 3 | 0 | － | 3 |
|  | ${ }^{128}$ | 5 | 0 | ${ }^{133}$ | 116 | 3 | 0 | ${ }^{19}$ | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
|  | ${ }^{128}$ | 5 | 0 | ${ }^{133}$ | ${ }^{45}$ | 3 | － | ${ }^{\circ}$ | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
|  | 120 | 3 | － | ${ }^{123}$ | 82 | 2 | － | ${ }_{4}$ | 4 | 0 | － | 4 |
|  | ${ }^{22}$ | 2 | － | 126 | ${ }^{84}$ | 2 | 0 | ${ }^{8}$ | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
|  | 18 | 1 | 0 | 119 | 19 |  | 0 | ${ }^{2}$ | 4 | 0 | － | 4 |
|  | 12 | 0 | 0 | 112 | 70 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
|  | 12 | 1 | 0 | ${ }^{113}$ | 58 | 2 | 1 | ${ }_{6}$ | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
|  | ${ }^{111}$ | 1 | 0 | 112 | 55 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
|  | 124 | 1 | － | 125 | 55 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 5 | 0 | － | 5 |
|  | 118 | 1 | － | 119 | 56 | 1 | － | 5 | 2 | 0 | － | 2 |
|  | 12 | 1 | － | ${ }^{13}$ | 57 | 1 | － | 58 | 3 | 0 | － | 3 |
|  | 115 | 2 | － | 117 | 6 | 1 | － | ${ }^{63}$ | 3 | 0 | － | 3 |
|  | ${ }_{18}$ | 3 | － | 12 | 61 | － | － | ${ }_{6}$ | 4 | 0 | － | 4 |
|  | 120 | 3 | － | 123 | 58 | 1 | － | 59 | 4 | － | － | 4 |
|  | 119 | 2 | － | 12 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 4 | 0 | － | 4 |
|  | 14 | 4 | 1 | 119 | 51 | 1 | 1 | ${ }_{5}$ | 5 | － | － | 5 |
|  | ${ }^{18}$ | 3 | 1 | 12 | 18 | 1 | 1 | 50 | 3 | － | － | 3 |
|  | 121 | 3 | 1 | 125 | 57 | － | 1 | 5 | 3 | 0 | － | 3 |
|  | 127 | 3 | 1 | ${ }^{131}$ | ${ }^{63}$ | 1 | － | ${ }_{6}$ | 2 | － | － | 2 |
|  | 13. | － | － | 134 | 6 | 1 | － | 2 | 1 | － | － | 1 |
|  | ${ }_{13}$ | － | － | 133 | ${ }_{81}$ | 2 | － | ${ }_{3}$ | 1 | 1 | － | 2 |
|  | 140 | － | － | 120 | ${ }_{9}$ | 2 | － | ${ }_{5}$ | 0 | 1 | － | 1 |
|  | 158 | － | － | 158 | 113 | 1 | － | 104 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
|  | 133 | 0 | － | 193 | 12 | 1 | 0 | ${ }^{123}$ | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
|  | 20 | － | － | 20 | 128 | 0 | 1 | 129 | － | 0 | － | $\bigcirc$ |
|  | 226 | 1 | － | ${ }^{27}$ | ${ }^{133}$ | 1 | 1 | ${ }^{125}$ | 1 | 0 | － | － |
|  | 25 | 2 | － | 27 | 12 | 2 | 1 | ${ }^{22}$ | 3 | － | － | 3 |
|  | 20 |  | － | 215 | ${ }^{19}$ | 2 | 2 | ${ }^{13}$ | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
|  | 28 | 4 | 1 | ${ }^{23}$ | ${ }^{11}$ | 2 | 1 | ${ }^{14}$ | － | 0 | 0 | 4 |
|  | 2. | 3 | 1 | 22 | 111 | 1 | 1 | ${ }^{13}$ | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
|  | 20 | 2 | 2 | 22 | 117 | 0 | － | 19 | － | 0 | － | 3 |
|  | 246 | 2 | 1 | 249 | 112 | － | 1 | ${ }^{13}$ | 4 | － | 0 | 4 |
|  | 28 | 4 | 1 | 233 | 115 | － | 3 | ${ }^{18}$ | 4 | 0 | － | 4 |
|  | ${ }^{24}$ | － | 1 | 259 | 12 | － | 4 | 125 | － | － | 0 | 8 |
|  | 25 | 6 | 0 | 257 | 12 | － | 6 | ${ }^{28}$ | － | 0 | － | ， |
|  | 23 | 4 | － | 237 | 131 | $\bigcirc$ | 6 | ${ }^{13}$ | 9 | 0 | － | ， |
|  | 20 | 2 | － | 232 | ${ }^{19}$ | 0 | 4 | ${ }^{14}$ | 8 | － | － | 8 |
|  | 206 | 2 | 0 | 208 | 13 | 0 | 3 | ${ }^{14}$ | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
|  | 2，921 | 28 | 5 | 1.97 | 1336 | 16 | 15 | 1,387 | 4 | 1 | 0 | ${ }_{4}$ |



Feasibility traffic assessment of on-road sections and atgrade crossings

JACOBS
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## F. 1 Existing model layouts

## F.1.1 Morning
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## F. 2 Existing model outputs

## F.2.1 Morning

## MOVEMENT SUMMARY

## Site: ExAM [Ewart Street / Terrace Road AM existing]

| Ewart Street / Terrace Road Roundabout |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement Performance - Vehicles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Mov } \\ & \text { ID } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OD } \\ & \text { Mov } \end{aligned}$ | Demand Total veh/h | lows <br> HV <br> \% | Deg. <br> Satn <br> v/c | Average Delay sec | Level of Service | 95\% Back <br> Vehicles veh | Queue <br> Distance m | Prop. Queued | Effective Stop Rate per veh | Average Speed km/h |
| East: Ewart Street 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | T1 | 170 | 1.2 | 0.270 | 3.6 | LOS A | 1.9 | 13.3 | 0.09 | 0.55 | 44.2 |
| 6 | R2 | 255 | 0.4 | 0.270 | 6.8 | LOS A | 1.9 | 13.3 | 0.09 | 0.55 | 45.7 |
| Appro |  | 425 | 0.7 | 0.270 | 5.5 | LOS A | 1.9 | 13.3 | 0.09 | 0.55 | 45.2 |
| North: Terrace Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | L2 | 147 | 2.7 | 0.178 | 6.0 | LOS A | 1.0 | 7.2 | 0.56 | 0.65 | 44.8 |
| 9 | R2 | 10 | 0.0 | 0.178 | 9.0 | LOS A | 1.0 | 7.2 | 0.56 | 0.65 | 45.2 |
| Appro |  | 157 | 2.5 | 0.178 | 6.2 | LOS A | 1.0 | 7.2 | 0.56 | 0.65 | 44.8 |
| West: Ewart Street |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | L2 | 32 | 3.1 | 0.383 | 5.7 | LOS A | 2.4 | 17.1 | 0.52 | 0.59 | 44.7 |
| 11 | T1 | 358 | 2.2 | 0.383 | 5.5 | LOS A | 2.4 | 17.1 | 0.52 | 0.59 | 43.9 |
| Appro |  | 390 | 2.3 | 0.383 | 5.5 | LOS A | 2.4 | 17.1 | 0.52 | 0.59 | 44.0 |
| All Ve | les | 972 | 1.6 | 0.383 | 5.6 | LOS A | 2.4 | 17.1 | 0.34 | 0.58 | 44.7 |

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.
Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.
Roundabout Capacity Model: SIDRA Standard.
SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.
Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).
HV (\%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

## F.2.2 Evening

## MOVEMENT SUMMARY

## Site: ExPM [Ewart Street / Terrace Road PM existing]

| Ewart Street / Terrace Road Roundabout |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement Performance - Vehicles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mov OD  <br> ID Mov | Demand Total veh/h | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Flows } \\ \text { HV } \\ \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Deg. Satn v/c | Average Delay sec | Level of Service | 95\% Back <br> Vehicles veh | Queue Distance m | Prop. Queued | Effective Stop Rate per veh | Average Speed km/h |
| East: Ewart Street |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $5 \quad$ T1 | 258 | 1.6 | 0.255 | 3.7 | LOS A | 1.7 | 11.7 | 0.12 | 0.49 | 44.8 |
| 6 R2 | 121 | 0.0 | 0.255 | 6.8 | LOS A | 1.7 | 11.7 | 0.12 | 0.49 | 46.2 |
| Approach | 379 | 1.1 | 0.255 | 4.7 | LOS A | 1.7 | 11.7 | 0.12 | 0.49 | 45.4 |
| North: Terrace Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 L2 | 157 | 0.6 | 0.171 | 5.1 | LOS A | 0.9 | 6.5 | 0.42 | 0.57 | 45.2 |
| 9 R2 | 20 | 0.0 | 0.171 | 8.0 | LOS A | 0.9 | 6.5 | 0.42 | 0.57 | 45.6 |
| Approach | 177 | 0.6 | 0.171 | 5.4 | LOS A | 0.9 | 6.5 | 0.42 | 0.57 | 45.2 |
| West: Ewart Street |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 L2 | 14 | 0.0 | 0.194 | 4.5 | LOS A | 1.0 | 7.4 | 0.31 | 0.46 | 45.5 |
| 11 T1 | 212 | 1.4 | 0.194 | 4.3 | LOS A | 1.0 | 7.4 | 0.31 | 0.46 | 44.9 |
| Approach | 226 | 1.3 | 0.194 | 4.3 | LOS A | 1.0 | 7.4 | 0.31 | 0.46 | 44.9 |
| All Vehicles | 782 | 1.0 | 0.255 | 4.7 | LOS A | 1.7 | 11.7 | 0.24 | 0.50 | 45.2 |

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.
Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.
Roundabout Capacity Model: SIDRA Standard.
SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.
Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).
HV (\%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

Feasbility traffic assessment of on-road sections and atgrade crossings

## F. 3 Option 1 model layouts

## F.3.1 Morning



Feasbility traffic assessment of on-road sections and atgrade crossings

## F.3.2 Evening



## F. 4 Option 1 model outputs

## F.4.1 Morning

## MOVEMENT SUMMARY

## Site: 1AM [Ewart Street / Terrace Road AM option 1]

## Ewart Street / Terrace Road

Signals - Fixed Time Isolated Cycle Time $=120$ seconds (User-Given Cycle Time)

## Movement Performance - Vehicles

| Mov ID | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OD } \\ & \text { Mov } \end{aligned}$ | Demand Flows |  | Deg. Satn | Average Delay | Level of Service | 95\% Back of Queue |  | Prop. Queued | Effective Stop Rate per veh | Average Speed km/h |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | HV |  |  |  | Vehicles | Distance |  |  |  |
|  |  | veh/h | \% | v/c | sec |  | veh | m |  |  |  |
| East: Ewart Street |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | T1 | 170 | 1.2 | 0.121 | 6.2 | LOS A | 3.2 | 22.4 | 0.35 | 0.29 | 43.7 |
| 6 | R2 | 255 | 0.4 | 0.440 | 16.4 | LOS B | 7.4 | 52.2 | 0.53 | 0.72 | 39.4 |
| Appro |  | 425 | 0.7 | 0.440 | 12.3 | LOS A | 7.4 | 52.2 | 0.46 | 0.55 | 40.6 |
| North: Terrace Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | L2 | 147 | 2.7 | 0.444 | 51.9 | LOS D | 7.7 | 55.4 | 0.93 | 0.79 | 27.2 |
| 9 | R2 | 10 | 0.0 | 0.026 | 45.7 | LOS D | 0.5 | 3.3 | 0.83 | 0.67 | 28.1 |
| Appro |  | 157 | 2.5 | 0.444 | 51.5 | LOS D | 7.7 | 55.4 | 0.93 | 0.78 | 27.3 |
| West: Ewart Street |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | L2 | 32 | 3.1 | 0.281 | 11.7 | LOS A | 8.3 | 59.4 | 0.40 | 0.38 | 44.0 |
| 11 | T1 | 358 | 2.2 | 0.281 | 7.1 | LOS A | 8.3 | 59.4 | 0.40 | 0.38 | 42.6 |
| Approach |  | 390 | 2.3 | 0.281 | 7.4 | LOS A | 8.3 | 59.4 | 0.40 | 0.38 | 42.8 |
| All Ve |  | 972 | 1.6 | 0.444 | 16.7 | LOS B | 8.3 | 59.4 | 0.51 | 0.52 | 37.7 |

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.
Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.
SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.
Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).
HV (\%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

Feasbility traffic assessment of on-road sections and atgrade crossings

JACOBS

## F.4.2 Evening

## MOVEMENT SUMMARY

## Site: 1PM [Ewart Street / Terrace Road PM option 1]

## Ewart Street / Terrace Road

Signals - Fixed Time Isolated Cycle Time $=120$ seconds (User-Given Cycle Time)

## Movement Performance - Vehicles

| Mov | OD | Demano | lows | Deg. | Avera | Level | 95\% Back | Queue |  | Effective | Average |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ID | Mov | Total veh/h | IHV | Satn <br> v/c | Delay sec | Service | Vehicles veh | Distance | Queued | Stop Rate per veh | Speed km/h |
| East: Ewart Street |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | T1 | 258 | 1.6 | 0.271 | 14.2 | LOS A | 7.5 | 53.2 | 0.54 | 0.46 | 37.6 |
| 6 | R2 | 121 | 0.0 | 0.203 | 21.9 | LOS B | 3.9 | 27.1 | 0.58 | 0.71 | 36.8 |
| Appro |  | 379 | 1.1 | 0.271 | 16.6 | LOS B | 7.5 | 53.2 | 0.55 | 0.54 | 37.3 |
| North: Terrace Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | L2 | 157 | 0.6 | 0.265 | 35.6 | LOS C | 6.6 | 46.6 | 0.77 | 0.75 | 31.7 |
| 9 | R2 | 20 | 0.0 | 0.030 | 32.3 | LOS C | 0.8 | 5.3 | 0.69 | 0.67 | 32.1 |
| Appro |  | 177 | 0.6 | 0.265 | 35.2 | LOS C | 6.6 | 46.6 | 0.76 | 0.74 | 31.8 |
| West: Ewart Street |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | L2 | 14 | 0.0 | 0.203 | 18.5 | LOS B | 6.4 | 45.6 | 0.53 | 0.47 | 40.1 |
| 11 | T1 | 212 | 1.4 | 0.203 | 13.9 | LOS A | 6.4 | 45.6 | 0.53 | 0.47 | 37.6 |
| Appro |  | 226 | 1.3 | 0.203 | 14.2 | LOS A | 6.4 | 45.6 | 0.53 | 0.47 | 37.8 |
| All Ve |  | 782 | 1.0 | 0.271 | 20.1 | LOS B | 7.5 | 53.2 | 0.59 | 0.56 | 35.7 |

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.
Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.
SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.
Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).
HV (\%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.
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