	互用成 金融场时	
DEVELO	PMENT ASSESSMENT PANEL REPORT	
Application No.	DA/2023/0863	
Address	27 Moonbie Street SUMMER HILL	
Proposal	Alterations and additions to existing building to convert it into	
	a single dwelling house. Construction of a detached garage	
	and secondary dwelling structure.	
Date of Lodgement	19 October 2023	
Applicant	Ms Adele T Walsh	
Owner	Mr Joseph Rossi	
	Ms Adele T Walsh	
Number of Submissions	Initial: 1	
	After Renotification: 0	
Cost of works	\$835,000.00	
Reason for determination	n Section 4.6 variation exceeds 10%	
at Planning Panel		
Main Issues	Section 4.6 request to vary the minimum site area under the Housing SEPP	
Recommendation	Approved with Conditions	
Attachment A	Recommended conditions of consent	
Attachment B	Plans of proposed development	
Attachment C	Section 4.6 Exception to Development Standards	
13 10 15 12	15 17 17	
17 14 16 10 20	19 28 1 3 39 21 28 41 21 28 43 23 28 43 21 28 43 30 49 32 91 32 91	
11 13 15 17 25 27 22	9 21 23 25 27 29 29 31 38 53 40 59 40 59 4144A 63	

33	26 35 46	67 5
	LOCALITY MAP	
Subject Site	Objectors	↑ N
Notified Area	Supporter s	

1. Executive Summary

This report is an assessment of the application submitted to Council for alterations and additions to the existing building to convert it into a single dwelling house and construction of a detached garage and secondary dwelling structure at 27 Moonbie Street, Summer Hill.

The application was notified to surrounding properties and one submission opposing the proposed development was received in response to the initial notification.

Following the preliminary assessment, Council issued a Request for Further Information (RFI) letter and amended plans and additional information was provided. The application was renotified, and no submissions were received in response.

The main issues that have arisen from the application include:

- Section 4.6 request to vary the minimum site area under the Housing SEPP
- Built form and streetscape character of secondary dwelling and garage structure

Despite the items noted above, the proposal was amended during the assessment of the application, and it is considered the amendments result in a proposal that generally complies with the aims, objectives, and design parameters contained in the relevant State Environmental Planning Policies, *Inner West Local Environmental Plan 2022,* and *Comprehensive Inner West Development Control Plan 2016 (DCP 2016) for Ashbury, Ashfield, Croydon, Croydon Park, Haberfield, Hurlstone Park and Summer Hill.*

The potential impacts to the surrounding environment have been considered as part of the assessment process. Any potential impacts from the development are considered to be acceptable.

The application is suitable for consent subject to the imposition of appropriate terms and conditions.

2. Proposal

The application seeks development consent for alterations and additions to the existing building to convert it into a single dwelling house as well as construction of a detached garage and secondary dwelling structure.

Specifically, the proposal involves the following works:

- Demolition of external stair to north of existing building;
- Demolition of rear skillion roof and structure at rear of building and reinstatement with new external walls, incorporating doors and windows and new floors at both levels;
- External demolition of miscellanoeus paths, paving and fencing;
- Removal of existing upper verandah balustrade and reinstatement with wrought iron balustrade;
- Removal of existing aluminium windows and replacement with timber framed windows;
- Internal demolition of some walls and partial demolition of a wall to provide a new opening;
- Demolition of bathrooms and kitchens on both levels;
- Internal reconfiguration of the existing building to incorporate:
 - At ground floor level living/ dining area, kitchen, bedroom, study, laundry, bathroom and stairway to access first floor;

- At first floor level three bedrooms, walk in robe and ensuite, bathroom and storage;
- Construction of a new first floor balcony to rear of existing building;
- Construction of a new timber pergola to rear of existing building over a new terrace and hardstand car space;
- Use of the primary building as a single occupancy;
- Demolition of the existing detached garage;
- Construction of a new garage and secondary dwelling structure in the south west corner of the site, incorporating:
 - At ground level a single garage, kitchen/dining and living area with stair to access the upper floor;
 - At the upper level two bedrooms and a bathroom in an attic form;
- Construction of a covered verandah to rear of garage and secondary dwelling;
- Removal of seven trees from the subject site;
- Construction of a new front fence and gate to Moonbie Street and new fencing and driveway gate to Regent Street; and
- Miscelleneous external works including landscaping, and garden walls.

3. Site Description

The subject site is located on the south eastern corner of Moonbie Street and Regent Street, Summer Hill.

The site consists of one allotment and is generally rectangular shaped with a total area of 389.7sqm and is legally described as Lot B in DP 416309.

The site has a primary frontage to Moonbie Street of 15.24 metres and a secondary frontage to Regent Street of approximately 25.61 metres.

The site supports an original two storey, brick building which was formerly a neighbourhood corner shop, with a terrace style two storey dwelling attached to the north. The building has been altered at some time in the past to create a dwelling occupying the entire ground floor and a separate dwelling occupying the entire first floor. The ground floor dwelling is accessed through the original entry to the terrace and the first floor is accessed via an external stair to the north of the terrace. The site has two driveway crossovers on Regent Street. One driveway accesses the hardstand area to the west of the residential building and the other driveway accesses the timber framed garage located in the south west corner of the site.

The adjoining properties support a range of residential uses, including single and double storey dwellings and residential flat buildings. Summer Hill Pre School is located diagonally opposite the site on the corner of Moonbie Street and Lorne Street. There is an Ausgrid asset in the form of an electrical substation located adjacent to the site at 22 Regent Street.

The site is located in the vicinity of a number of locally listed heritage items and the Trafalgar Square, Summer Hill Heritage Conservation Area is located to the south of the site, on the opposite side of Regent Street.

The following trees are located within the rear yard or northern setback of the subject site:

- Tree 1 *Callistemon citrinus* (Bottlebrush)
- Tree 2 *Tibouchina spp*. (Tibouchina)
- Tree 3 Olea europaea (Olive)
- Tree 4 Syzigium spp. (Lilli Pilli)

- Tree 5 Acer negundo (Box Elder)
- Trees 6 and 7 Unidentified deciduous tree
- Tree 8 Ficus binnendijkii (Long-leafed Fig)
- Trees 9 and 10 Citrus species
- Tree 11 Schinus areira (Peppercorn)
- Tree 12 Murraya paniculata (Murraya)

The following street trees are located adjacent to the site:

- Tree 11 Schinus areira (Peppercorn) located in the road reserve on Moonbie Street
- Tree 13 *Backhousia citriodora* (Lemon Myrtle) located between the two driveways on Regent Street



Figure 1: Zoning Map of the subject site (R2 – Low Density Residential highlighted red).



Figure 2: Photo of the subject site (as viewed from the east on Moonbie Street).



Figure 3: Photo of the subject site (as viewed from the south east on Moonbie Street).

Figure 4: Photo of the subject site (as viewed from the west along Regent Street.

4. Background

Site history

The following application outlines the relevant development history of the subject site and any relevant applications on surrounding properties.

Subject Site

Application	Proposal	Decision & Date
PDA/2022/0073	Pre-Development Application - Proposed subdivision and development of the property to create two side by side semi-detached dwellings	Issued 11/05/2022
PDA/2022/0264	Pre-Development Application - Proposed subdivision and development of the existing property at 27 Moonbie	Issued 17/10/2022

Surrounding Properties

None of relevance

Application history

The following table outlines the relevant history of the subject application.

Street Summer Hill

Date	Discussion / Letter / Additional Information		
19/10/2023	Application Lodged.		
01/11/2023 to 15/11/2023	Application notified.		
22/01/2024	Request for information (RFI) letter issued to the applicant requiring amendments or additional information to address the following:		
	 Amendments to the design and form of the garage and secondary dwelling structure to address issues related to its size, scale and form. It was recommended that the following modifications be made to the garage and secondary dwelling structure: The overall height and scale be reduced; 		
	 The expanse of brick wall above the garage doors be reduced; The roof form be modified to be a traditional pitched roof form; 		
	 An attic style room (or rooms) be incorporated in any upper floor; and 		
	 Suggestions were provided as to various ways in which a secondary dwelling and carparking could be accommodated on the site, including the suggestion that consideration be given to proposing a single garage with the secondary dwelling split over two levels with an upper level in an attic form; 		
	 Section 4.6 request required to vary minimum lot size for secondary dwellings under the Housing SEPP; 		
	• The Statement of Environmental Effects to be updated to include assessment against the provisions for secondary dwellings in the <i>State Environmental Planning Policy (Housing)</i> 2021;		
	 Recommendation that the bar be deleted from the upper floor plans as (with the layout proposed) the upper floor could easily 		

	 be used as self-contained dwelling which is akin to a third dwelling on the site. Design revisions to address privacy concerns; Recommendation that consideration be given to Ausgrid requirements given the proposed works are located near the adjacent electrical substation; Location of street tree on Regent Street to be shown on plans; Advice that the Box Elder tree could be removed if required; and Separate Basix Certificate for Secondary dwelling to be provided.
27/02/2024	 Revised plans and additional information were submitted in response to Council's RFI request. The response incorporated the following: The design and form of the secondary dwelling and garage structure were revised to incorporate a single garage with the secondary dwelling split over two levels with living area at ground level and an upper level in an attic form; The proposed widening of the western driveway was deleted and the existing eastern driveway crossover was retained to access a hardstand car space; A Section 4.6 request to vary minimum lot size for secondary dwellings and an updated Statement of Environmental Effects was provided; Revisions were made to windows and a privacy screen added to the north of the proposed balcony on the principal dwelling to address privacy concerns. The balcony to the secondary dwelling was deleted, Ausgrid requirements were considered and incorporated into the plans; and The plans were updated to show removal of the Box Elder and the location of the street Tree on Regent Street.
06/03/2024 to 20/03/2024	In accordance with Council's Community Engagement Strategy, the amended plans were required to be renotified.

5. Assessment

The following is a summary of the assessment of the application in accordance with Section 4.15 of the *Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979* (*EPA Act 1979*).

A. Environmental Planning Instruments

The application has been assessed and the following provides a summary of the relevant Environmental Planning Instruments listed below:

The application has been assessed against the relevant Environmental Planning Instruments listed below:

- State Environmental Planning Policy (Resilience and Hazards) 2021
- State Environmental Planning Policy (Building Sustainability Index: BASIX) 2004

- State Environmental Planning Policy (Housing) 2021
- State Environmental Planning Policy (Biodiversity and Conservation) 2021
- State Environmental Planning Policy (Transport and Infrastructure) 2021

The following provides further discussion of the relevant issues:

State Environmental Planning Policies (SEPPs)

SEPP (Resilience and Hazards) 2021

Chapter 4 Remediation of land

Section 4.6(1) of the *Resilience and Hazards SEPP* requires the consent authority not consent to the carrying out of any development on land unless:

- (a) it has considered whether the land is contaminated, and
- (b) if the land is contaminated, it is satisfied that the land is suitable in its contaminated state (or will be suitable, after remediation) for the purpose for which the development is proposed to be carried out, and
- (c) if the land requires remediation to be made suitable for the purpose for which the development is proposed to be carried out, it is satisfied that the land will be remediated before the land is used for that purpose.

In considering the above, there is no evidence of contamination on the site.

There is also no indication of uses listed in Table 1 of the contaminated land planning guidelines within Council's records. The land will be suitable for the proposed use as there is no indication of contamination.

<u>SEPP (Housing) 2021</u>

Chapter 3 Diverse housing, Part 1 Secondary dwellings

The application seeks consent for a secondary dwelling under the *Housing SEPP* which provides controls relating to various matters including zone, subdivision, floor space ratio (FSR), lot size and parking requirements.

The main design parameters are addressed below:

Division 2 Secondary dwellings permitted with consent

Section	Proposal	Compliance
50 - This part applies to development for the purposes of a secondary dwelling on land in a residential zone if development for the purposes of a dwelling house is permissible on the land under another environmental planning instrument.	The site is zoned R2 – Low Density Residential under the <i>IWLEP 2022</i> . Dwelling houses are permitted with consent.	Yes
51 - Development consent must not be granted for the subdivision of a lot.	The proposal does not include subdivision of the existing site.	Yes

Section	Proposal	Compliance
52 (2)(a) - No dwellings, other than the principal dwelling and the secondary dwelling, will be located on the land.	The proposal seeks consent for a secondary dwelling. The proposed alterations and additions to the existing building involve converting it into one, principal dwelling. No further dwellings beyond the principal and secondary dwelling are proposed.	Yes
52 (2)(b) - The total floor area of the principal dwelling and the secondary dwelling is no more than the maximum floor area permitted for a dwelling house on the land under another environmental planning instrument.	A maximum FSR of 0.7:1 or 272.79sqm applies to the land. The proposal results in an FSR of 0.64:1 or 249.2sqm and complies with Section 4.4 of the <i>IWLEP</i> 2022.	Yes
52 (2)(c) the total floor area of the secondary dwelling is— (i) no more than 60sqm, or (ii) if a greater floor area is permitted for a secondary dwelling on the land under another environmental planning instrument—the greater floor area.	The total floor area of the proposed secondary dwelling is 58.3sqm.	Yes
53 (2)(a) for a detached secondary dwelling a minimum site area of 450sqm	The total site area is 389.7sqm.	No- see assessment below under Section 4.6 of <i>IWLEP</i> 2022.
53 (2)(b) the number of parking spaces provided on the site is the same as the number of parking spaces provided on the site immediately before the development is carried out.	There are two existing parking spaces on the site. The proposal does not alter this arrangement.	Yes

The proposed development is consistent with Chapter 3, Part 1 of the Housing SEPP except for the minimum site area requirements. The development proposes a variation to the minimum site area required by Clause 53(2)(a) of the Housing SEPP under Section 4.6 of *IWLEP 2022*. This matter is discussed in more detail below under Section 4.6 of *IWLEP 2022*.

SEPP (Sustainable Buildings) 2022

The applicant has included BASIX Certificates as part of the lodgment of the application in compliance with the *EPA Regulation 2021*.

SEPP (Transport and Infrastructure) 2021

Chapter 2 Infrastructure

Development likely to affect an electricity transmission or distribution network

The proposed development meets the criteria for referral to the electricity supply authority within Section 2.48 of the *Transport and Infrastructure SEPP* and has been referred for comment for 21 days.

Ausgrid provided comments with regard to the proximity of the proposed works to the existing electricity substation, located within the adjacent site at 22 Regent Street. The Ausgrid requirements have been included as a general condition in the recommendation.

Overall, subject to compliance with relevant Ausgrid Network Standards and SafeWork NSW Codes of Practice the proposal satisfies the relevant controls and objectives contained within the *Transport and Infrastructure SEPP*.

SEPP (Biodiversity and Conservation) 2021

Chapter 2 Vegetation in non-rural areas

The *Biodiversity and Conservation SEPP* requires consideration for the protection and/or removal of vegetation and gives effect to the local tree preservation provisions of Chapter C Part 4 of the CIWDCP 2016.

The application seeks the removal of the following trees from within the rear and side setback of the subject site.

- Tree 1 *Callistemon citrinus* (Bottlebrush)
- Tree 2 *Tibouchina spp*. (Tibouchina)
- Tree 3 Olea europaea (Olive)
- Tree 5 Acer negundo (Box Elder)
- Trees 9 and 10 Citrus species
- Tree 12 *Murraya paniculata* (Murraya)

Trees 1, 2, 3, 9, 10 and 12 are of small stature and insignificant within the landscape, therefore their removal is supported, subject to replacement planting. As such, a condition requiring a minimum of 1 x 200 litre size replacement tree has been included in the recommendation.

Tree 5 is listed as an exempt species, therefore, no objection is raised to its removal.

It is noted that there are several trees located within or on land adjacent to the subject site that may be impacted by the proposed works. Tree protection measures for works associated with the proposed development are required to ensure the long-term survival of the trees within the subject site and on the adjoining land.

As such, conditions have been included in the recommendation regarding the Tree Protection Plan (TPP) for the site. Furthermore, all tree protection measures as detailed in the TPP must be implemented and monitored during construction and certified in writing by a Project Arborist.

Overall, the proposal is considered acceptable with regard to the *Biodiversity and Conservation SEPP* and Chapter C Part 4 of the CIWDCP 2016 subject to the imposition of conditions, which have been included in the recommendation of this report.

Inner West Local Environmental Plan 2022

The application was assessed against the following relevant sections of the *Inner West Local Environmental Plan 2022 (IWLEP 2022)*.

Part 1 – Preliminary

Section	Proposed	Compliance
Section 1.2 Aims of Plan	The proposal satisfies the section as follows:	Yes
	• The proposal conserves and maintains the natural, built and cultural heritage of Inner West,	
	• The proposal encourages diversity in housing to meet the needs of, and enhance amenity for, Inner West residents,	
	• The design of the proposal is considered to be of a high standard and has a satisfactory impact on the private and public domain.	

Part 2 – Permitted or prohibited development

Section	Proposed	Compliance
Section 2.3 Zone objectives and Land Use Table R2 – Low Density Residential	 The proposal satisfies the section as follows: The application proposes alterations and additions to the existing building to convert it into one dwelling house. <i>Dwelling houses</i> are permissible with consent in the R2 zone; and The application proposes the construction of a <i>secondary dwelling</i>, which is is permissible with consent in the R2 Low Density Residential zone. 	Yes
	The proposal is consistent with the relevant objectives of the zone, as it will assist to provide for the housing needs of the community within a low density residential environment.	
Section 2.7 Demolition requires development consent	 The proposal satisfies the section as follows: Demolition works are proposed, which are permissible with consent; and Standard conditions are recommended to manage impacts which may arise during demolition. It is noted that the Ground Floor Demolition Plan shows the existing vehicular crossover being demolished. The amended plans propose retention of the driveway. As such, a condition has been included in the 	Yes, subject to conditions

Section	Proposed	Compliance
	recommendation that the demolition plan be updated to show the retention of the driveway.	

Part 4 – Principal development standards

Control	Proposed		Compliance
Section 4.3	Maximum	8.5m	Yes
Height of building	Proposed	7m	
Section 4.4	Maximum	0.7:1 or 272.79sqm	Yes
Floor space ratio	Proposed	0.64:1 or 249.2sqm	
Section 4.5	The site area and floor space ratio for the proposal		Yes
Calculation of floor	has been calculated in accordance with the		
space ratio and site	section.		
area			
Section 4.6	The applicant has submitted a variation request in		See
Exceptions to	accordance with Section 4.6 to vary Section 53		discussion
development	(2)(a) Non-discretionary development standards		below
standards	of State Environmental Planning Policy (Housing)		
	2021		

Section 4.6 – Exceptions to Development Standards

As outlined above, the proposal results in a breach of the Section 53(2)(a) – Non-discretionary development standards – the Act, s 4.15 of the Housing SEPP 2021.

The applicant seeks a variation to the non-discretionary development standards under Section 53(2)(a) for the minimum site area of 450sqm for a detached secondary dwelling by 13.4% (60.3sqm).

Section 4.6 allows Council to vary development standards in certain circumstances and provides an appropriate degree of flexibility to achieve better design outcomes.

In order to demonstrate whether strict numeric compliance is unreasonable and unnecessary in this instance, the proposed exception to the development standard has been assessed against the objectives and provisions of Section 4.6 of the *IWLEP 2022* below.

The objectives of the development standard are as follows:

• The object of this section is to identify development standards for particular matters relating to development for the purposes of a secondary dwelling that, if complied with, prevent the consent authority from requiring more onerous standards for the matters.

The objectives of the R2 Low Density Residential zone are as follows:

- To provide for the housing needs of the community within a low density residential environment.
- To enable other land uses that provide facilities or services to meet the day to day needs of residents.
- To provide residential development that maintains the character of built and natural features in the surrounding area.

A written request has been submitted to Council in accordance with Section 4.6(4)(a)(i) of the *IWLEP 2022* justifying the proposed contravention of the development standard which is summarised as follows:

- The revised design and supporting documentation demonstrate that the proposal, which has been designed in accordance with the objectives and controls of the LEP and the design guidelines for secondary dwellings as stated in the Comprehensive Inner West DCP 2016, would have no detrimental effect on the function and amenity the subject property, nor the surrounding properties. In this instance, strict compliance with the numerical control for minimum site area is considered unreasonable and unnecessary.
- Compliance with the objectives of the Housing SEPP and also the performance criteria and development standards of the DCP is achieved, and therefore a better outcome is achieved, by allowing flexibility with regard to the non-compliance with the numerical control.
- It is noted that both the applicant and Council are in concurrence that the site could reasonably support a secondary dwelling, subject to compliance with the LEP standards and DCP performance criteria.
- The standard is noted as being non discretionary in that the intention is to prevent the consent authority from requiring a more onerous standard. Clause 4.15(3) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 does not prevent development consent being granted if a non-discretionary development standard is not complied with.
- It is demonstrated that the proposal complies with the objectives of the Housing SEPP as well as the development standards of the LEP and the performance criteria of the DCP, with no material impacts upon adjoining properties and no adverse effect on the streetscape and the locality.
- The proposal meets the principles of the State Environmental Planning Policy (Housing) 2021 (SEPP).
 - The proposal complies with the requirements of the SEPP as follows:
 - The proposal is for only a principal dwelling and a secondary dwelling;
 - The proposed gross floor area is less than that permitted under the Inner West LEP;
 - The total floor area of the secondary dwelling is less than 60m2.
- The proposal has been designed with reference to the performance criteria and design solutions of the Development Control Plan for secondary dwellings, including:
 - The proposed setbacks are appropriate for a corner site in a residential zone where the secondary dwelling addresses the secondary frontage on Regent Street, achieving a transition from the original dwelling on the corner, which is built up to the side boundary, and the existing dwellings to the west which are setback from their primary street frontage.
 - Landscaped open space for the proposal is achieved in accordance with DCP standards.
 - The total gross floor area of the principal dwelling and the secondary dwelling is no more than the maximum FSR allowed under the Inner West LEP 2022. The bulk and scale of the proposed secondary dwelling has been reduced in accordance with Council's request and maintains the appearance of a typical dwelling house (on the corner of Moonbie Street) with ancillary outbuilding to the rear.
 - The design presents as a single storey dwelling with an attic and is of a scale and form which is compatible with its context and does not materially detract from the existing streetscape, nor the existing character of the area.
 - The proposed secondary dwelling is located upon a portion of the site currently occupied by an existing garage. The remaining landscaped open space is useable

and also sufficient in size to comply with the minimum requirement under the DCP for a dwelling house.

- The structure is set back over seven (7) metres from the north side boundary which provides sufficient separation between adjacent dwellings as well as access to readily usable north-facing private landscaped open space with deep soil planting.
- The proposed 450mm from the rear boundary to the west (with the existing substation beyond) is considered sufficient given the nature of an ancillary outbuilding to the secondary street frontage of the existing primary dwelling and would not cause material impacts upon the nearest adjacent dwelling on Regent Street which is eight (8) metres away.
- In conclusion, this request for an exception to a development standard is sought in accordance with the objectives of Clause 4.6 of the LEP, and on the basis that the proposal meets the performance criteria of the DCP as well as the objectives of the Housing SEPP. It has been demonstrated that the objectives of the relevant planning instruments are achieved by the revised proposal, despite the non-compliance with the minimum site area development standard.

The applicant's written rationale adequately demonstrates compliance with the development standard is unnecessary in the circumstances of the case, and that there are sufficient environmental planning grounds to justify contravening the development standard.

It is considered the development is in the public interest because it is consistent with the objectives of the R2 Low Density Residential zone and objective of the development standard, in accordance with Section 4.6(4)(a)(ii) of the *IWLEP 2022* for the following reasons:

Zone objectives

- The development includes a new secondary dwelling which will provide for the housing needs of the community within a low density residential environment. The objective is reasonably satisfied.
- The proposed secondary dwelling is incorporated into a structure which combines a single garage with the secondary dwelling split over two levels, the upper level being in an attic form. This provides an additional residential use whilst maintaining the character of built and natural features in the surrounding area. The objective is reasonably satisfied.

Development Standard objectives

• The proposal seeks to vary the minimum site area for a detached secondary dwelling of the Housing SEPP by 13.4% (60.3sqm). Varying this standard does not result in the consent authority requiring a more onerous standard to be met. The proposal does not offend the intent of the objective.

The contravention of the development standard does not raise any matter of significance for State and Regional Environmental Planning. Council may assume the concurrence of the Director-General in accordance with section 4.6(4)(b) of the *IWLEP 2022*.

The proposal thereby accords with the objective of section 4.6(1)(b) and requirements of section 4.6(3)(b) of the *IWLEP 2022*. For the reasons outlined above, there are sufficient planning grounds to justify the departure from Section 53(2)(a) of the Housing SEPP and it is recommended the section $4.6 \, \text{exception}$ be granted.

Section	Compliance	Compliance
Section 5.4 Controls relating to miscellaneous permissible uses	Section 5.4(9) states that secondary dwellings are limited to a maximum gross floor area of 60sqm, or 35% of the gross floor area of the principal dwelling, whichever is greater (35% being 87.22sqm). The proposed secondary dwelling is 58.3sqm in area and is therefore acceptable with regard to this Section.	Yes
Section 5.10 Heritage conservation	regard to this Section. The subject site at No. 27 Moonbie Street, Summer Hill is not listed as a local heritage item nor is the building located within a Heritage Conservation Area. However, as shown in the following map, the building is in the vicinity of several locally listed heritage items and the Trafalgar Square, Summer Hill Heritage Conservation Area is located to the south. Intervention of the trafalgar Square, Summer Hill Heritage Conservation Area is located to the south. Intervention of the trafalgar Square, Summer Hill Heritage Conservation Area is located to the south. Intervention of the trafalgar Square, Summer Hill Heritage Conservation Area is located to the south. Intervention of the trafalgar Square, Summer Hill Heritage Conservation Area is located to the south. Intervention of the trafalgar Square, Summer Hill Heritage Conservation Area on the opposite side of Regent Street. The following is noted: The overall scale of the proposal has been reduced and is generally more in keeping with the streetscape than the preceding proposals submitted in the two, separate Pre-Development Applications; As previously indicated, the further revisions to design of the secondary dwelling in response	Yes
	to the RFI, have resulted in a built form that is modest in scale and with design and detailing compatible with the existing streetscape;	

Part 5 – Miscellaneous provisions

Section	Compliance	Compliance
	 The proposed changes to the historic portion of the existing building are modest in character and the existing building will still be recognisable as a Victorian era shop with a residence over and terrace house beside; and The proposal includes works that will improve the presentation of the existing historic building on the site. 	
	Given the above the proposal respects and preserves the environmental heritage of the Inner West.	

Part 6 – Additional local provisions

Section	Proposed	Compliance
Section 6.2 Earthworks	The proposed earthworks are unlikely to have a detrimental impact on environmental functions and processes, existing drainage patterns, or soil stability.	Yes
Section 6.3 Stormwater Management	The development maximises the use of permeable surfaces, includes on site retention as an alternative supply and subject to standard conditions would not result in any significant runoff to adjoining properties or the environment.	Yes, subject to conditions

B. Development Control Plans

Summary

The application has been assessed and the following provides a summary of the relevant provisions of Comprehensive Inner West Development Control Plan 2016 (IWDCP 2016) for Ashbury, Ashfield, Croydon, Croydon Park, Haberfield, Hurlstone Park and Summer Hill.

IWCDCP 2016	Compliance
Section 2 – General Guidelines	
A – Miscellaneous	
2 - Good Design	Yes
5 - Landscaping	Yes
8 - Parking	Yes
11 - Fencing	No, see comments
15 - Stormwater Management	Yes
C – Sustainability	
1 – Building Sustainability	Yes
3 – Waste and Recycling Design & Management Standards	Yes
4 – Tree Management	Yes
E1 – Heritage items and Conservation Areas (excluding	
Haberfield)	
1 – General Controls	Yes
F – Development Category Guidelines	
1 – Dwelling Houses	Yes
2 – Secondary Dwellings	Yes

The following provides discussion of the relevant issues:

Comprehensive Inner West Development Control Plan 2016

The application was assessed against the following relevant parts of the Comprehensive Inner West Development Control Plan 2016 (CIWDCP 2016).

Chapter A – Miscellaneous

Control	Proposed	Compliance
Part 2 – Good Design	The proposal has been designed having regard to Part 2 as it appropriately considers context, scale, built form, density and resource, energy and water efficiency, landscape, amenity, safety and security, social dimensions and aesthetics. The following is noted with particular regard to context, scale and built form:	Yes
	 There is a unique pattern of development on the existing site with the compact form of the former corner shop and residence located on the southeast corner. The proposal maintains the compact form of the original, historic building as the alterations and additions are contained within the current building footprint. It is considered that this, in combination with the siting of the proposed garage and secondary dwelling structure (in the same general location as the existing garage) is an appropriate design response to the context on a corner site as follows: There is adequate separation between the original corner building and the new structure. This enables the proposed new building to be secondary in scale and form to the principal dwelling and for it to actively present to Regent Street. An alternate longer extension to the rear of the principal dwelling would likely result in a built form with reduced capacity to directly engage with the secondary street; Retention of the wide, side setback to the northern boundary allows for any adverse amenity impacts on the neighbouring property at 25 Moonbie Street to be to be minimised. The proposed configuration of the built form on the site allows for the provision of a large area of north facing landscaped open space which in turn creates ample private open space for both the principal and secondary dwelling. The proposal actively engages both streets with design elements sympathetic to the character of surrounding development. As such, the proposal enhances the streetscape appearance and character of the site. 	
	incorporate, a single garage with the secondary	

Control	Proposed	Compliance
	dwelling split over two levels with an upper level in an attic form, has resulted in a modest built form that is sympathetic to the form, scale and height of development in the streetscape.	
Part 5 – Landscaping	 The proposal is consistent with the relevant provisions of Part 5 as follows: The proposal maintains and enhances the landscape character of the subject site. The landscaping proposed will create visual interest, increase residential amenity and supports the intention of the DCP in retaining, protecting and integrating significant vegetation within development. 	Yes
Part 8 – Parking	 The proposal is consistent with the relevant provisions in Part 8 as follows: A minimum of one car parking space is required under this part. There are currently two driveways and associated car spaces on the site. There is no change to the quantity of car spaces provided on the site as one single garage and a hard stand space is proposed. 	Yes
Part 11 - Fencing	 The proposal is consistent with the relevant provisions in Part 11 as follows: The proposed fencing is consistent with the prevailing desirable fencing patterns in the neighbourhood. The existing front fence to Moonbie Street is approximately 1.5m high which exceeds the maximum height of 1.2m required under this part. The proposal includes demolition of the existing brick fence in this location and replacement with a timber fence on brick base matching the existing front fence height. Furthermore, the proposed front fence matches the height of the adjacent front fence height of 1.5m is considered acceptable. The height of the side boundary fence and gate to the southern boundary (between the two buildings) does not exceed 1.8m in height as required under this part. Furthermore, the proposed boundary fence and gates in this location are timber which is a more appropriate material in the streetscape than the existing metal fencing. The small portion of fence in front of the secondary dwelling, although technically on a side boundary, adopts the maximum height of 1.2m required for front fences which is a positive streetscape outcome and enables the dwelling to engage with the street. It is noted that while measurements confirm the heights of the proposed fencing, the dimensions for the proposed street boundary fence and gate heights are not shown on the plans. As such, a condition has been included in the recommendation to show the dimensions for fence and gate heights on the elevations. 	No, but acceptable
Part 15 – Stormwater Management	Standard conditions are recommended to ensure the appropriate management of stormwater.	Yes, subject to conditions

Chapter C – Sustainability

Control	Proposed	Complianc e
Part 1 – Building Sustainability	The proposal demonstrates good environmental design and performance and will achieve efficient use of energy for internal heating and cooling.	Yes
Part 3 – Waste and Recycling Design & Management Standards	 The proposal satisfies the relevant provisions of Part 3 as follows: Adequate waste storage areas and access to these areas have been provided. Waste management has been designed to minimise impacts on residential amenity. Standard conditions are recommended to ensure the appropriate ongoing management of waste and during the construction phase. 	Yes, subject to conditions
Part 4 – Tree Management	As previously discussed, the removal of some trees within the subject site is supported subject to replacement planting. Furthermore, there are a number of trees located within or on land adjacent to the subject site that may be impacted by the proposed works. As such, conditions are included in the recommendation to ensure tree protection measures are in place to ensure the long-term survival of the trees within the subject site and on the adjoining land and for a minimum of one x 200 litre size replacement tree to be planted within the subject site.	Yes, subject to conditions

Chapter E1 – Heritage Items and Conservation Areas (excluding Haberfield)

Control	Proposed	Complianc e
Part 1 – General	<u>1.9 Development in the vicinity of Heritage Items</u> The development is designed to respond positively to the heritage character of adjoining and nearby heritage buildings and heritage features in the public domain.	Yes

Chapter F – Development Category Guidelines

Control	Proposed	Complianc
		е
Part 1 - Dwelling houses	 <u>PC3 Context</u> The proposal is sympathetic with the context and development prevailing in the street as follows: The alterations and additions to the existing building respect the scale and aesthetics of its context and are complementary in architectural style to the existing building; The design of the proposed structure, incorporating the garage and secondary dwelling, has considered the existing style, form, scale and proportions of surrounding development. The dwellings to the west of the property on the northern side of Regent Street, generally present as single storey, single fronted dwellings. The proposed built form is modest in scale and presents as a garage and small dwelling in an attic form. Furthermore, the proposed height, bulk and scale of the structure provides a transition between the two-storey form of the existing building on the subject site and the single storey properties to the west; and 	Yes

Control	Proposed	Complianc e
	• The incorporation of elements including entry porch, a ground level window and dormer windows into the southern elevation provides a positive contribution to the streetscape as the secondary dwelling actively addresses Regent Street.	
	PC3 Scale The proposal is satisfactory having regard to the relevant provisions of this part as follows:	Yes, subject to condition
	 The proposal presents as a traditional domestic scale; and The site cover is compatible with that on adjoining lots, enables provision of sufficient landscaped open space and retains the on-site parking. As previously discussed, the proposed site coverage generally reflects the existing pattern of development on the subject site by maintaining the compact form of the original character building in the south east corner and locating the proposed garage and secondary dwelling in the south west corner of the site. It is noted that a large timber pergola is proposed to cover the terrace and hardstand area and is considered an appropriate element in its current form. Any future roof covering to this element would alter the currently proposed pattern of development and likely result in the development presenting as one continuous built form, given the western line of the pergola is located close to the secondary dwelling. As such, a condition has been included in the recommendation that a roof covering council. 	
	Further individual comments are provided specifically for the principal dwelling and the Secondary dwelling structure:	
	 Principal Dwelling Under this part, the maximum wall height is required to be 6m. It is noted that the side walls of this component currently exceed the 6m wall height. The alterations to the existing building include replacement of the existing skillion roof to the rear and replacement wall cladding. The replacement roof sits below the fascia (in contrast to the existing roof which sits higher, just below the gutter line) and has a slight increase in pitch meaning that the proposed side walls are slightly lower in height than the existing side walls; and The proposed roof pitch for the replacement rear skillion element is consistent with the roof pitch for similar skillion elements in the streetscape. 	
	 Secondary Dwelling As discussed, the proposed built form is modest in scale and is compatible with the scale of development prevailing in the street; The upper level is incorporated into and an attic form. As such, the proposal appears as no more than 2 storeys; The maximum wall height is less than 6m; and 	

Control	Proposed	Complianc e
	• The compact design and footprint, associated with the secondary dwelling being split over two levels, allows for the provision of a large area of north facing landscaped open space which creates ample opportunity for private open space for the principal and secondary dwelling.	
	<u>PC4 Building setback</u> The proposal is satisfactory having regard to the relevant provisions of this part. The proposed setbacks for new building work are generally consistent with that prevailing in the street and adequately reduce the appearance of building bulk and scale as follows:	Yes, subject to conditions
	 Principal Dwelling As the subject site is a corner lot, the existing two storey building on the site has a minimal setback to the front (eastern) boundary addressing Moonbie Street and the side (southern) boundary addressing Regent Street. As such the following is noted regarding setbacks associated with works to the principal dwelling: At ground level, the proposed alterations and additions to the west maintain the existing footprint and as such, the side setbacks to the north and south boundaries are not altered by the proposal; At first floor level, the proposed alterations are maintained within the existing first floor footprint. The existing balcony to the west is replaced by a balcony of similar depth which maintains the existing rear (west) setback. The proposed balcony is shorter in length but located further to the north in line with the existing northern (side) setback is maintained; and The proposal includes the removal of the existing external stairway and store under to the north. This results in an improved setback arrangement and reduces visual clutter to the Moonbie Street frontage. 	
	 Secondary Dwelling The proposed siting and resulting setbacks for the garage and secondary dwelling structure reflects the existing pattern of development within the subject site as follows: The existing garage to the southwest corner of the site is currently set back 360mm from the side (southern) boundary to Regent Street. The proposal results in an increased setback to the side (southern) boundary to Regent Street, as the front of the garage is setback 900mm and 1.2m respectively at its east and west front corners; 	
	 The existing setback of the garage to the rear (western) boundary is 400mm and the proposal maintains the existing setback with the side wall of the garage extending no further along the western boundary than the existing garage; The proposed structure is setback a further 1.4m approx. from the existing southern (side) building line 	

Control	Proposed	Complianc e
	 established by the existing principal building along the Regent Street boundary; and The Regent Street frontage for the proposed secondary dwelling replicates the Moonbie Street frontage of the subject site which consists of a verandah between the front building line and the footpath. As previously discussed, conditions regarding Ausgrid Requirements due to the proposal's proximity to the common boundary with its asset, have been included in the recommendation. <u>PC5 Facade treatment</u> The proposal is satisfactory having regard to the relevant provisions of the set of the satisfactory having regard to the relevant provisions of the set of the satisfactory having regard to the relevant provisions of the set of the satisfactory having regard to the relevant provisions of the satisfactory having regard to the relevant provisions of the satisfactory having regard to the relevant provisions of the satisfactory having regard to the relevant provisions of the satisfactory having regard to the relevant provisions of the satisfactory having regard to the relevant provisions of the satisfactory having regard to the relevant provisions of the satisfactory having regard to the relevant provisions of the satisfactory having regard to the relevant provisions of the satisfactory having regard to the relevant provisions of the satisfactory having regard to the relevant provisions of the satisfactory having regard to the relevant provisions of the satisfactory having regard to the relevant provisions of the satisfactory having regard to the relevant provisions of the satisfactory having regard to the relevant provisions of the satisfactory having regard to the relevant provisions of the satisfactory having regard to the satisfactory	e Yes, subject to conditions
	 provisions of this part as follows: The existing primary façade of the principal structure generally remains unaltered aside from reinstatement of the wrought iron balustrade, replacement of two aluminium windows with timber windows and external painting. These proposed treatments to the primary façade will enhance the streetscape appearance of the site. The proposed secondary dwelling incorporates a facade treatment that facilitates engagement with the street in accordance with this part as follows: The façade includes a transparent window to a habitable room; The façade includes a door to a habitable room; The façade includes entry articulation with provision of the front covered verandah which is reflective of the prevailing treatment of front facades within the streetscape and the subject site itself on Moonbie Street; and Dormer windows are located in the street facing roof plane. The front elevation of the secondary dwelling in DA05 D includes a minor drafting error. The eastern and western dormer windows do not match, as the western dormer window incorporates a vertical glazing bar. The applicant has confirmed that no glazing bar is intended. As such, a condition has been included in the recommendation to delete the vertical glazing bar to the western dormer window. 	
	 Given the proposed secondary dwelling has direct entry from the street, a condition has been included in the recommendation that a street numbering application be lodged and approved by Council to ensure that the occupancy is appropriately numbered. PC6 Garage, carports and driveways 	Yes
	 The proposal is satisfactory having regard to the relevant provisions of this part as follows: At least one carparking space is provided, as required under this part. The proposal includes one car space within the proposed single garage and an additional hardstand car space. Under this part, garages are required to be set back 1m from the front building line. Notwithstanding the proposed garage 	

Control	Proposed	Complianc e
	approximately 1.5m from the existing building line of the principal dwelling on the south boundary at Regent Street and complements the scale, form and style of the dwelling.	
	<u>PC7 Boundary fences and gates</u> The proposal is satisfactory having regard to the relevant provisions of this part as follows:	No, but acceptable subject to conditions
	 The existing front fence is approximately 1.5m high which exceeds the maximum height of 1.2m required under this part. As previously discussed, the proposal includes replacement of the existing fence with a new fence of matching height which is considered acceptable; The side boundary fence and gate to the south do not exceed 1.8m in height, as required under this part. The proposed boundary fence and gates are timber which is a more appropriate material in the streetscape than the existing metal fencing; The small portion of fence in front of the secondary dwelling measures at a maximum height of 1.2m for the secondary dwelling which enables it to engage with the street; and As previously discussed, a condition has been included in the recommendation for dimensions for the fence heights to be included on the plans to ensure the fences are built in accordance with the height requirements of this part. 	
	 <u>PC8 Landscaped area and site coverage</u> The proposal is satisfactory having regard to the relevant provisions of this part as follows: Minimum landscaped area required: 301-400sqm - 28% 113.6sqm (29%) landscaped area proposed. Maximum site coverage required: 	Yes
	 301-400sqm - 60% 171.4sqm (44%) site coverage proposed. 	Maa
	<u>PC9 Principal private open space</u> The proposal is satisfactory having regard to the relevant provisions of this part as follows:	Yes
	 The proposed private open spaces for the principal dwelling and the secondary dwelling are directly accessible from the ground floor living area, are at least 20sqm with a minimum dimension of at least 3.5m and have an appropriate level of solar access, natural ventilation and privacy; and It is noted that the amended plans, with the revised location of the living space to be at ground level, has resulted in improved amenity to the secondary dwelling with regard to its relationship with the private open space. 	
	<u>PC13 Solar access</u> The proposal is satisfactory having regard to the relevant provisions of this part as follows:	Yes
	• Given the corner location of the site at the southern end of the block, the additional shadows cast by the proposal primarily fall on the road and footpath on Regent Street and Moonbie Street. As such, the proposal does not alter the existing solar access to the private open space or glazing to	

Control	Proposed	Complianc e
	 north facing primary living area windows of adjoining properties. The siting of the proposed secondary dwelling and maintenance of the wide setback to the northern boundary, allow for solar access to be maximised to the landscaped open space of the subject site <u>PC14 Visual privacy</u> An adequate level of visual privacy for the proposed development and adjoining properties is maintained as follows: 	Yes
	 Principal Dwelling Windows located above the ground floor are positioned to minimise the likelihood of overlooking of adjoining properties. The new timber framed window to Bed 3 replaces an existing window in the same location. The sill of the window is located 1500mm above floor level and given the distance from the common boundary with No. 26 Moonbie Street, it is not likely that the proposal will result in any adverse privacy impacts; and The proposed balcony to Bed 3 includes a privacy screen to the northern side to limit the potential for lateral overlooking to 25 Moonbie Street which is considered acceptable to mitigate privacy impacts. 	
	 Secondary Dwelling Notwithstanding the distance to the common boundary with 25 Moonbie Street, the windows to the bathroom incorporate obscure glass to the lower pane, a condition has been included in the recommendation to provide a fixed lower pane to ensure mutual privacy is protected. The sill heights to the bedrooms are located 1.65m above floor level, as such, there are no likely adverse privacy impacts resulting from these windows to the property; and There is one high window on the proposed eastern wall of the secondary dwelling. As such the proposal will result in acceptable privacy impacts caused by windows on the existing dwelling within the subject site. 	
Part 2 – Secondary dwellings	 <u>PC1 Site Area</u> The site area is adequate to incorporate setbacks and landscaped open space in accordance with the DCP. 	Yes
	 <u>PC2 Floor Area</u> The floor area of the secondary dwelling is 58.3sqm which is less than the DCP requirement of 60sqm. The total gross floor area of the principal dwelling and the secondary dwelling is no more than the maximum FSR allowed under the <i>IWLEP 2022</i>. 	Yes
	 <u>PC3 Building Height</u> The building height reads as a second storey in an attic and is no greater than the height of the existing dwelling house. Furthermore, it does not detract from the existing low rise streetscape to the west on the northern side of Regent Street. 	Yes
	 <u>PC4 Subdivision</u> No subdivision is proposed. 	Yes
	 <u>PC5 Setbacks</u> As previously discussed, the proposed setbacks for the garage and secondary dwelling structure are considered 	Yes

Control	Proposed	Complianc
		е
	 acceptable in the context and are consistent with the existing pattern of development within the subject site. The setbacks to the south and west boundaries allow for the structure to be located in the south west corner of the site. This has the benefit of maximising landscaped area and private open space in the rear yard, and as such maintains a usable back garden for both the principal and secondary dwelling. 	
	PC6 Landscaped Area	Yes
	• The development does not reduce landscaped areas for the property to less than the minimum required for a dwelling house.	

C. The Likely Impacts

These matters have been considered as part of the assessment of the development application. It is considered that the proposed development will not have significant adverse environmental, social or economic impacts upon the locality.

D. The Suitability of the Site for the Development

The proposal is of a nature in keeping with the overall function of the site. The premises are in a residential surrounding and amongst similar uses to that proposed.

E. Submissions

The application was required to be notified in accordance with Council's Community Engagement Strategy between 01 November 2023 to 15 November 2023.

A total of one submission was received in response to the initial notification.

The application was renotified between 06 March 2024 to 20 March 2024 due to amended plans being submitted and no submissions were received.

Concern	Comment
Privacy and visual bulk concerns related to the secondary dwelling balcony	Concern was raised with the proposed balcony to the secondary dwelling and impacts on the adjacent property at 25 Moonbie Street in terms of overlooking and associated visual bulk.
	The amended plans addressed initial concerns as the balcony to the secondary dwelling was deleted from the proposal.
Privacy concerns related to the windows of the raised kitchen and living room of the secondary dwelling	Concern was raised that the windows to living spaces would overlook the neighbouring property at 25 Moonbie Street. A suggestion was made that the sill height to these windows be raised to 1.6m above floor height.
	The amended plans addressed initial concerns as the living spaces of the secondary dwelling were relocated to ground floor level. Furthermore, as previously discussed, the dormer

Issues raised in the initial submission are discussed below:

	windows to the attic bathroom incorporate obscure glass to the lower pane and the sill heights to the bedroom windows are located 1.65m above floor level. As such, there are no likely adverse privacy impacts resulting from these windows on the property at 25 Moonbie Street.
Invasive Tree Species located in rear yard of subject site	e
	The applicant has agreed that the tree can be removed, and its removal was supported by Council's Urban Forest team. The amended plans show the proposed tree removal, and a condition has been included in the recommendation for replacement tree planting.

F. The Public Interest

The public interest is best served by the consistent application of the requirements of the relevant Environmental Planning Instruments, and by Council ensuring that any adverse effects on the surrounding area and the environment are appropriately managed.

This has been achieved in this instance.

6. Section 7.11 Contributions

Section 7.11 contributions are payable for the proposal.

The carrying out of the development would result in an increased demand for public amenities and public services within the area. A contribution of **\$12,592.00** would be required for the development under the Inner West Local Infrastructure Contributions Plan 2023.

A condition requiring that contribution to be paid is included in the recommendation.

7. Referrals

The following internal referrals were made, and their comments have been considered as part of the above assessment:

- Heritage Specialist;
- Development Engineer; and
- Urban Forest.

The following external referrals were made, and their comments have been considered as part of the above assessment:

Ausgrid

8.Conclusion

The proposal generally complies with the aims, objectives and design parameters contained in *Inner West Local Environmental Plan 2022* and Inner West Comprehensive Development Control Plan (DCP) 2016 for Ashbury, Ashfield, Croydon, Croydon Park, Haberfield, Hurlstone Park and Summer Hill.

The development will not result in any significant impacts on the amenity of the adjoining properties and the streetscape and is considered to be in the public interest.

The application is considered suitable for approval subject to the imposition of appropriate conditions.

9. Recommendation

- A. The applicant has made a written request pursuant to Section 4.6 *Marrickville Local Environmental Plan 2011* to vary Section 53(2)(a) of the *Housing SEPP 2021*. After considering the request, and assuming the concurrence of the Secretary has been given, the Panel is satisfied that compliance with the minimum site area standard is unnecessary in the circumstance of the case and that there are sufficient environmental grounds to support the variation. The proposed development will be in the public interest because the exceedance is not inconsistent with the objectives of the standard and of the zone in which the development is to be carried out.
- B. That the Inner West Local Planning Panel exercising the functions of the Council as the consent authority, pursuant to s4.16 of the *Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979*, grant consent to Development Application No. DA/2023/0863 for Alterations and additions to convert the building into a single dwelling house and construction of a detached garage and secondary dwelling structure. at 27 Moonbie Street, Summer Hill subject to the conditions listed in Attachment A below.

Attachment A – Recommended conditions of consent

CONDITIONS OF CONSENT

GENERAL CONDITIONS

		Co	ondition			
1.	Boundary Alignment Levels					
	Alignment levels for the site at all pedestrian and vehicular access locations must					
	match the existing t	back of footpath level	s at the boundary.			
	Reason: To allow fo	or pedestrian and veh	nicular access.			
2.	Documents related					
	l he development n below:	nust be carried out in	accordance with pla	ns and documents list	ted	
	Plan, Revision	Plan Name	Date	Prepared by	_	
	and Issue No.	Flatt Name	Issued/Received			
	DA01 D	Site Analysis Plan	22 February 2024	Gordon + Stor	ne	
		Roof Plan		Design		
	DA02 D	Ground Floor Plan	22 February 2024	Gordon + Stor	ne	
				Design		
	DA03 D	First Floor Plan	22 February 2024	Gordon + Ston	ie	
				Design		
	DA04 D	East Elevation	22 February 2024	Gordon + Ston	ie	
				Design		
	DA05 D	South Elevation	22 February 2024	Gordon + Ston	e	
				Design		
	DA06 D	North Elevation	22 February 2024	Gordon + Ston	e	
				Design		
	DA07 D	West Elevation	22 February 2024	Gordon + Ston	e	
			00 5 1 000 1	Design		
	DA08 D	Garage Details	22 February 2024	Gordon + Ston	e	
	DA13 D	Section A - A	22 February 2024	Design Gordon + Ston	_	
		Section A - A	22 February 2024	Design		
	DA14 B	Demolition Plan	22 February 2024	Gordon + Ston		
		Ground Floor		Design		
	DA15 B	Demolition Plan	22 February 2024	Gordon + Ston	ie.	
		First Floor		Design	-	
	_02	Basix Certificate	25 February	Fudge Investment	ts	
				PTY Limited	_	
	17372915_02	Basic Certificate	25 February 2024	Fudge Investment	ts	
				PTY Limited		

	- - As amended by the Reason: To ensure			Arbor Express Gordon + Stone nce with the approved
	documents.			
3.	on adjoining lands.	onsent does not aut		the property boundaries
4.	Bin Storage All bins are to be sto	ored within the prope	erty.	
	Reason: To ensur protected.	e resource recovel	ry is promoted and	residential amenity is
5.	transported in accord Authority (EPA) and	ustrial waste arising dance with the requ the New South Wal	•	
6.	Roof sheeting cann without the prior cor	sent of Council.	gola Structure pergola structure to th ance with the consent	
7.	undertaken in accor Australia Code of P <i>Work</i> . Any works i service lines—pole Network Service Pro	be undertaken by dance with AS4373- actice— <i>Guide to Ma</i> the vicinity of the to house connectiovider contractor for the	<i>—Pruning of amenity t</i> anaging Risks of Tree Low Voltage Overh ons) must be under the management of ve	st. The work must be frees and the Safe Work <i>Trimming and Removal</i> ead Network (including taken by an approved sgetation conflicting with for further advice in this

Reason: To protect and maintain trees.

BUILDING WORK

BEFORE ISSUE OF A CONSTRUCTION CERTIFICATE

		Condition		
8.	Dilapidation Report – Pre-Development – Minor			
	Prior to the issue of a Construction Certificate or any demolition, the Certifying			
	Authority must be provided with a dilapidation report including colour photos showing			
	the existing condition of the footpath and roadway adjacent to the site.			
			of the existing footpath and roadway	
	for comparison	when work is completed.		
	Deutsin er De silli	ing Dama dia		
9.		ties - Domestic		
	venicle access	must be obtained from the existin	g vehicle crossing without alteration.	
	Roason' To ons	ure works are in accordance with	the consent	
		are works are in accordance with		
10.	Paving/Deckin	g Within the Vicinity of Trees		
	_		e, the Certifying Authority must be	
			the pavement works/decking within	
	1.		g tree/s are constructed in a way so	
	-		gaseous exchange are maintained	
		-		
	or improved. When preparing an area for paving with the specified radius, the soil surface must not be skimmed or excavated. The new surface and subgrade must be			
	established at g		new surface and subgrade must be	
	colabilorica al g			
	Tree No.	Botanical/Common Name	Radius in Metres	
	4	Syzigium spp. (Lilli Pilli)	As per the approved TPP	
	6	Unidentified deciduous tree	As per the approved TPP	
	8	Ficus binnendijkii (Long-leafed	As per the approved TPP	
		Fig)		
			<u> </u>	
	Reason ⁻ To prot	tect and maintain trees.		
11.	Stormwater D	rainage System – Minor Develo	pments (OSD is required)	
			, the Certifying Authority must be	
			is incorporating on site stormwater	
	1.		s (OSR/OSD), certified by a suitably	
	qualified Civil E	ngineer that the design of the site	e drainage system complies with the	
	following specifi			
		•	in the property being collected in a	
			e discharged, together with overflow	
L		• · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	J,	

	pipelines from any rainwater tank(s), by gravity to the kerb and gutter of a public road/directly to Council's piped drainage system via the OSD/OSR
	tanks as necessary;
b.	Comply with Council's Stormwater Drainage Code, Australian Rainfall and Runoff (A.R.R.), Australian Standard AS3500.3-2018 'Stormwater Drainage' and Council's DCP;
c.	Charged or pump-out stormwater drainage systems are not permitted
	including for roof drainage other than to drain downpipes to the rainwater tank(s);
Ь	The design plans must detail the existing and proposed site drainage layout,
	size, class and grade of pipelines, pit types, roof gutter and downpipe sizes; OSD may be reduced or replaced by on site retention (OSR) for rainwater
	reuse in accordance with the relevant DCP that applies to the land. Where
	this is pursued, the proposed on-site retention (OSR) tanks must be
	connected to a pump system for internal reuse for laundry purposes, the
	flushing of all toilets and for outdoor usage such as irrigation. Surface water
	must not be drained to rainwater tanks where the collected water is to be
	used to supply water inside the dwelling, such as for toilet flushing or laundry
	use:
f.	Pipe and channel drainage systems including gutters must be designed to
	convey the one hundred (100) year Average Recurrence Interval (ARI) flows
	from the contributing catchment to the OSD/OSR tanks;
g.	Only roof water is permitted to be connected to the OSD/OSR. The overflow
-	from the storage tank must be connected by gravity to the kerb and gutter of
	a public road;
h.	Details of the 100-year ARI overflow route in case of failure\blockage of the
	drainage system must be provided;
i.	A minimum 150mm step up shall be provided between all external finished
	surfaces and adjacent internal floor areas except where a reduced step is
	permitted under Section 3.1.2.3 (b) of the Building Code of Australia for Class
	1 buildings;
j.	The design must make provision for the natural flow of stormwater runoff from uphill/upstream properties/lands;
k.	Details of external catchments currently draining to the site must be included
	on the plans. Existing natural overland flows from external catchments may
	not be blocked or diverted, but must be captured and catered for within the
	proposed site drainage system. Where necessary an inter-allotment drainage
	system must be incorporated into the design;
Ι.	No nuisance or concentration of flows to other properties;
m.	The stormwater system must not be influenced by backwater effects or
	hydraulically controlled by the receiving system;
n.	Plans must specify that any components of the existing system to be retained
	must be certified during construction to be in good condition and of adequate
	capacity to convey the additional runoff generated by the development and
	be replaced or upgraded if required;

		opening or stormwater pit must be installed inside the property,
		e boundary, for all stormwater outlets; point of discharge is permitted to the kerb and gutter, per
	frontage of the	
	-	within the footpath area that are to discharge to the kerb and
		e hot dipped galvanised steel hollow section with a minimum
	wall thickness	of 4.0mm and a maximum section height and width of 100mm
	or sewer grad	e uPVC pipe with a maximum diameter of 100mm;
		r outlets through sandstone kerbs must be carefully core drilled
		with Council standard drawings;
		pipelines within footpath area must be removed and
	footpath/kerb	-
	t. No impact to s	street tree(s).
	Reason: To ensure a	dequate disposal of stormwater.
12.	Security Deposit - S	
		ement of demolition works or issue of a Construction Certificate,
	, ,	ty must be provided with written evidence that a security deposit
		as been paid to Council to cover the cost of making good any any Council property or the physical environment as a
		ring out the works and as surety for the proper completion of any
		ainage works required by this consent.
	Security Deposit:	\$8,350.00
	Inspection Fee:	\$374.50
	Devreent will be ease	nted in the form of each hank charue EETBOS/aradit card /ta a
	-	pted in the form of cash, bank cheque, EFTPOS/credit card (to a) or bank guarantee. Bank Guarantees must not have an expiry
		required for the Council to determine the condition of the adjacent
		path prior to and on completion of the works being carried out.
		cil's property and/or the physical environment sustain damage
	during the course of t	he demolition or construction works, or if the works put Council's
	assets or the environ	ment at risk, or if any road, footpath or drainage works required
	•	not completed satisfactorily, Council may carry out any works
		ne damage, remove the risk or complete the works. Council may
		he security deposit to restore any damages, and Council may
	recover, in any cou restorations.	rt of competent jurisdiction, any costs to Council for such
	restorations.	
	A request for release	of the security may be made to the Council after all construction
		of the security may be made to the Council after all construction leted and a final Occupation Certificate issued.
	work has been compl	
	work has been compl The amount nominate	eted and a final Occupation Certificate issued.

13.	Structural Certificate for retained elements	Structural Certificate for retained elements of the building			
	Prior to the issue of a Construction Certificate be provided with a Structural Certificate prepa certifying the structural adequacy of the pro- proposed additional, or altered structural loads certificate must also include all details of construction phases to achieve the above requ elements marked on the approved plans for re	red by a practising structural engineer operty and its ability to withstand the s during all stages of construction. The the methodology to be employed uirements without result in demolition			
	Reason: To ensure the structural adequacy of	the works.			
14.	Sydney Water – Tap In				
	Prior to the issue of a Construction Certificate				
	ensure approval has been granted through Syd	, , , ,			
	determine whether the development will affe				
	mains, stormwater drains and/or easements, a met.	and it further requirements need to r			
		vdpeywater.com.au/tanin/index.htm.fr			
	Note: Please refer to the web site <u>http://www.sydneywater.com.au/tapin/index.htm</u> for details on the process or telephone 13 20 92.				
	Reason: To ensure relevant utility and service providers' requirements are provided to the certifier.				
15.	Section 7.11 Contribution				
	In accordance with section 7.11 of the Environ	Ū.			
	1979 and the Inner West Local Infrastructure				
	following monetary contributions shall be paid				
	demand for local infrastructure resulting from t	ne development.			
	Contribution Category	Amount			
	eentribation eategory	\$9,003.00			
	Open Space & Recreation	\$9,005.00			
	Open Space & Recreation Community Facilities	\$1,669.00			
	Open Space & Recreation Community Facilities Transport	\$1,669.00 \$1,184.00			
	Open Space & Recreation Community Facilities Transport Plan Administration	\$1,669.00 \$1,184.00 \$116.00			
	Open Space & Recreation Community Facilities Transport Plan Administration Drainage	\$1,669.00 \$1,184.00 \$116.00 \$621.00			
	Open Space & Recreation Community Facilities Transport Plan Administration	\$1,669.00 \$1,184.00 \$116.00			
	Open Space & Recreation Community Facilities Transport Plan Administration Drainage	\$1,669.00 \$1,184.00 \$116.00 \$621.00 \$12,592.00			

Cpayment = is the contribution at time of payment
Cconsent = is the contribution at the time of consent, as shown above
CPIconsent = is the Consumer Price Index (All Groups Index) for Sydney at the date
the contribution amount above was calculated being 136.4 for the December 2023.
CPIpayment = is the Consumer Price Index (All Groups Index) for Sydney published
by the Australian Bureau of Statistics that applies at the time of payment
Note: The contribution payable will not be less than the contribution specified in this
condition.
The monetary contributions must be paid to Council (i) if the development is for
subdivision - prior to the issue of the subdivision certificate, or (ii) if the development
is for building work - prior to the issue of the first construction certificate, or (iii) if the
development involves both subdivision and building work - prior to issue of the
subdivision certificate or first construction certificate, whichever occurs first, or (iv) if
the development does not require a construction certificate or subdivision certificate -
prior to the works commencing.
It is the professional responsibility of the principal certifying authority to ensure that the
monetary contributions have been paid to Council in accordance with the above
timeframes.
Council's Plan may be viewed at www.innerwest.nsw.gov.au or during normal
business hours at any of Council's customer service centres.
Please contact any of Council's customer service centres at
council@innerwest.nsw.gov.au or 9392 5000 to request an invoice confirming the
indexed contribution amount payable. Please allow a minimum of 2 business days for
the invoice to be issued.
Once the invoice is obtained, payment may be made via (i) BPAY (preferred), (ii) credit
card / debit card (AMEX, Mastercard and Visa only; log on to
www.innerwest.nsw.gov.au/invoice; please note that a fee of 0.75 per cent applies to
credit cards), (iii) in person (at any of Council's customer service centres), or (iv) by
mail (make cheque payable to 'Inner West Council' with a copy of your remittance to
PO Box 14 Petersham NSW 2049).
The invoice will be valid for 3 months. If the contribution is not paid by this time, please
contact Council's customer service centres to obtain an updated invoice. The
contribution amount will be adjusted to reflect the latest value of the Consumer Price
Index (All Groups Index) for Sydney.
Reason: To ensure payment of the required development contribution.
Fence Height Dimensions to be Shown on Plans
Prior to the issue of a Construction Certificate, the Certifying Authority is required to
be provided with amended plans with annotations showing the heights for the fencing
on the street boundaries as follows:

	T			
	a. The height to the fence and gate on Moonbie Street to be shown as a			
	maximum height of 1.5m.			
	b. The height of the fence and gates associated with the driveway and to the			
	portion of fence to the west of the garage to be shown as a maximum height			
	of 1.8m.			
	c. The height of the fencing in front of the secondary dwelling to be shown as a maximum height of 1.2m.			
	Reason: To ensure works are in accordance with the consent.			
17.	Long Service Levy			
	Prior to the issue of a Construction Certificate, written evidence must be provided to			
	the Certifying Authority that the long service levy in accordance with Section 34 of the			
	Building and Construction Industry Long Service Payments Act 1986 has been paid at			
	the prescribed rate of 0.25% of the total cost of the work to either the Long Service			
	Payments Corporation or Council for any work costing \$250,000 or more.			
	Reason: To ensure the long service levy is paid.			
18.	Existing Driveway Crossover to be Retained			
	Before the issue of the Construction Certificate, the Ground Floor Demolition Plan			
	- DA14 Issue B dated 17 October 2023 must be amended to show that the existing			
	driveway crossover is being retained.			
	Reason: To ensure the works are in accordance with the consent.			
19.	Ausgrid Requirements			
	Prior to the issue of a Construction Certificate, the Certifying Authority must be			
	provided with documentation to the Certifying Authority's satisfaction that the following			
	Ausgrid requirements have been addressed:			
	a. Subsidence and vibration must be minimised at the substation site.			
	b. The use of ground anchors under a substation is generally not permitted due			
	to the presence of underground cabling and earthing conductors which may be			
	more than 10m deep. A further area of exclusion may be required in some			
	circumstances.			
	c. The substation ventilation openings, including substation duct openings and			
	louvered panels, must be separated from building air intake and exhaust			
	openings, natural ventilation openings and boundaries of adjacent allotments,			
	by separation distances which meet the requirements of all relevant authorities,			
	building regulations, BCA and Australian Standards including AS 1668.2: The			
	use of ventilation and air-conditioning in buildings - Mechanical ventilation in			
	buildings.			
	d. In addition to above, Ausgrid requires the substation ventilation openings,			
	including duct openings and louvered panels, to be separated from building			

	ventilation system air intake and exhaust openings, including those on					
	buildings on adjacent allotments, by not less than 6 metres.					
	e. Any portion of a building other than a BCA class 10a structure constructed from					
	non combustible materials, which is not sheltered by a non-ignitable blast-					
	resisting barrier and is within 3 metres in any direction from the housing of					
	kiosk substation, is required to have a Fire Resistance Level (FRL) of not less					
	than 120/120/120.					
	f. Openable or fixed windows or glass blockwork or similar, irrespective of their					
	fire rating, are not permitted within 3 metres in any direction from the housing					
	of a kiosk substation, unless they are sheltered by a non ignitable blast resisting					
	barrier.					
	g. The development must comply with both the Reference Levels and the					
	precautionary requirements of the ICNIRP Guidelines for Limiting Exposure to Time-varying Electric and Magnetic Fields (1 HZ– 100 kHZ) (ICNIRP 2010).					
	h. For further details on fire segregation requirements refer to Ausgrid's Network					
	Standard 141. Existing Ausgrid easements, leases and/or right of ways must					
	be maintained at all times to ensure 24 hour access.					
	i. No temporary or permanent alterations to this property tenure can occur					
	without written approval from Ausgrid.					
	j. For further details refer to Ausgrid's Network Standard 143.					
	Reason: To ensure the relevant Ausgrid requirements are implemented.					
20.	Design Change					
	Prior to the issue of a Construction Certificate, the Certifying Authority must be					
	provided with amended plans demonstrating the following:					
	i) The vertical glazing bar to the western dormer window of the secondary					
	dwelling must be deleted.					
	The bathroom windows in the secondary dwelling must have fixed, lower panes.					
	Reason: To ensure that the design changes protect the amenity of the neighbourhood.					

BEFORE BUILDING WORK COMMENCES

Project Arborist
Prior to the commencement of any demolition or construction works within close proximity to protected trees a Project Arborist must be engaged for the duration of the site preparation, demolition, construction and landscaping to supervise works. Details of the Project Arborist must be submitted to the Certifying Authority before work commences.
Reason: To protect and maintain trees.
Tree Protection Zone

	- · · · · ·					
	To protect the following tree/s, no work must commence until its/their Protection Zone					
	is fenced off at the specified radius from the trunk/s to prevent any activities, storage					
		or the disposal of materials within the fenced area in accordance with the Tree				
	Protection Plan. The fence/s (including existing boundary fencing) must be maintained intact until the completion of all demolition/building work on site.					
	Tree No.	Botanical/Common Name	Radius in metres			
	4	Syzigium spp. (Lilli Pilli)	As per the approved TPP			
	6	Unidentified deciduous tree	As per the approved TPP			
	7	Unidentified deciduous tree	As per the approved TPP			
	8	Ficus binnendijkii (Long-leafed Fig)	As per the approved TPP			
	13	Backhousia citriodora (Lemon Myrtle)	Around the perimeter of t planting cut out			
	Reason: To protect and maintain trees.					
23.	Waste Management Plan					
	Prior to the commencement of any works (including any demolition works), the					
	Certifying Authority is required to be provided with a Recycling and Waste					
	Management Plan (RWMP) in accordance with the relevant Development Control					
	Plan.					
	Reason: To ensure resource recovery is promoted and local amenity is maintained.					
24.	Erosion and Sediment Control					
	Prior to the issue of a commencement of any works (including any demolition works),					
	the Certifying Authority must be provided with an erosion and sediment control plan					
	and specification. Sediment control devices must be installed and maintained in proper					
	working order to prevent sediment discharge from the construction site.					
	Reason: To ensure resource recovery is promoted and local amenity is maintained.					
25.	Dilapidation Report					
	Prior to any works commencing (including demolition), the Certifying Authority and					
	owners of identified properties, must be provided with a colour copy of a dilapidation					
	report prepared by a suitably qualified person. The report is required to include colour					
	photographs of all the identified properties - 25 Moonbie Street and 22 Regent Street					
	Summer Hill to the Certifying Authority's satisfaction. In the event that the consent of					
	the adjoining property owner cannot be obtained to undertake the report, copies of the					
	letter/s that have been sent via registered mail and any responses received must be					
	forwarded to the Certifying Authority before work commences.					
	Reason: To establish and document the structural condition of adjoining properties					
1	I and r	oublic land for comparison as site work pro	arecess and is completed l			
		ensure neighbours and council are provided				
26.	Construction Fencing					
-----	---					
	Prior to the commencement of any works (including demolition), the site must be enclosed with suitable fencing to prohibit unauthorised access. The fencing must be erected as a barrier between the public place and any neighbouring property. Reason: To protect the built environment from construction works.					

DURING BUILDING WORK

		Condition				
27.	Tree Protectior	1				
	No trees on public property (footpaths, roads, reserves etc.) are to be removed or					
	damaged during works unless specifically approved in this consent or marked on the					
	approved plans f					
		protected by Council's Management Con				
		tation on surrounding properties must n	-			
	-	ess specific approval has been provided within five (5) metres of the developr				
		Council's Development Fact Sheet—Tre	-			
		rage or disposal of materials taking place	· · ·			
		trees on neighbouring sites) protect				
		ntrols at any time.				
	The trees identif	ied below are to be retained and protec	cted in accordance with the			
		nsent or approved Tree Protection Plan t				
	-	ers and locations correspond with Arbori				
	l' '	ert de Jong of Arbor Express - undated)				
	Tree No.	Botanical/Common Name	Location			
	4	Syzigium spp. (Lilli Pilli)	As per site plan in TPP			
	6	Unidentified deciduous tree	As per site plan in TPP			
	7	Unidentified deciduous tree	As per site plan in TPP			
	8	Ficus binnendijkii (Long-leafed Fig)	As per site plan in TPP			
	11	Schinus areira (Peppercorn)	As per site plan in TPP			
	13	Backhousia citriodora (Lemon Myrtle)	Street Tree (Regent Street)			
	Details of the trees must be included on all Construction Certificate plans and shall be					
	annotated in the following way: a. Green for trees to be retained;					
		rees to be removed:				
		rees to be pruned; and				
		r trees to be transplanted.				
		·				
	Reason: To prote	ect and maintain trees.				

28.		y Project Arborist				
	The trees to b	e retained must be inspected	, monitored and treate	d by the Project		
	Arborist during and after completion of development works to ensure their long-term					
		ar inspections and documen				
	Certifying Auth	ority are required at the following	ng times or phases of v	vork:		
	Tree No./ E Location	Botanical/ Common Name/	Time of Inspection	Key stage/ Hold point		
	4, 6, 7, 8, 1	1 and 13	In accordance with th			
			Protection Plan prepa Jong of <i>Arbor Expres</i>	red by Robert de		
	immediately up	ons to ensure the tree/s lor on receipt of the report. otect and maintain trees.	ng term survival mus	t be carried out		
29.	Limited Root	Pruning				
	No tree roots of	f 30mm or greater in diameter	located within the spec	ified radius of the		
	trunk/s of the fo	ollowing tree/s must be severe	d or injured in the proc	ess of any works		
	during the cons	truction period:				
	Tree No.	Botanical/Common Name		Radius in met		
	4	Syzigium spp. (Lilli Pilli)		As per the a TPP		
	6-7	Unidentified deciduous trees	5	As per the a TPP		
	8	Ficus binnendijkii (Long-leat	-	As per the a TPP		
	13	Backhousia citriodora (Lemo	on Myrtle)	Perimeter of cut out		
- 22	All excavation within the specified radius of the trunks of the above trees being hand dug to a depth of one (1) metre under direct supervision of the Project Arborist and then by mechanical means as agreed by the Project Arborist. If tree roots less than 30mm diameter are required to be severed for the purposes of constructing the approved works, they must be cut cleanly using a sharp and <i>fit for purpose tool</i> . The pruning must be undertaken by a practicing Arborist. Reason: To protect and maintain trees.					
30.	Works to Tree	25				

	Tree/location	Approved works			
	1. <i>Callistemon citrinus</i> (Bottlebrush) located as per the AIA	Removal			
	2. <i>Tibouchina spp</i> . (Tibouchina) located as per the AIA	Removal			
	3. Olea europaea (Olive) located as per the AIA	Removal			
	5. <i>Acer negundo</i> (Box Elder) located as per the AIA	Removal			
	9-10 Citrus spp x2 located as per the AIA	Removal			
	12. <i>Murraya paniculata</i> (Murraya)	Removal			
31.	Reason: To protect and maintain trees. Advising Neighbours Prior to Excavation				
31.	Advising Neighbours Prior to Excavation At least 7 days before excavating below the level of the base of the footings of a building on an adjoining allotment of land, reasonable notice must be provided to the owner of the adjoining allotment of land including particulars of the excavation.				
	Reason: To ensure surrounding properties are adequately notified of the proposed works.				
32.	Construction Hours – Class 1 and 10				
	Unless otherwise approved by Council, excaval subdivision work are only permitted between the hou to Saturdays (inclusive) with no works permitted on,	urs of 7:00am to 5.00pi	n, Mondays		
	Descent Terrestent the second to shake a single such as	od.			
	Reason: To protect the amenity of the neighbourhoo				
33.	Survey Prior to Footings	ving of the concrete th	o Contifucion		
33.		ey from a registered la	nd surveyo		

13

	Condition
34.	No Encroachments
	Prior to the issue of an Occupation Certificate, the Principal Certifier must ensure that
	any encroachments on to Council road or footpath resulting from the building works
	have been removed, including opening doors, gates and garage doors with the
	exception of any awnings or balconies approved by Council.
	Reason: To protect the built environment from construction works and development.
35.	Protect Sandstone Kerb
	Prior to the issue of an Occupation Certificate, the Principal Certifier must ensure that
	any stone kerb, damaged as a consequence of the work that is the subject of this
	development consent, has been replaced.
	Reason: To protect the built environment from construction works.
36.	Certification of Tree Planting
	Prior to the issue of any Occupation Certificate, the Principal Certifier is to be provided
	with evidence certified by a person holding a minimum qualification of AQF3
	Certificate of Horticulture or Arboriculture that:
	A minimum of 1 x 200 litre size replacement tree, which will attain a minimum mature height of seven metres, must be planted in a more suitable location within the property at least 1.5 metres from any boundary or structure and allowing for future tree growth. The tree is to conform to AS2303— <i>Tree stock for landscape use.</i> Trees listed as exempt species from Council's Tree Management Controls, Palms, fruit trees and species recognised to have a short life span will not be accepted as suitable replacements. If the replacement trees are found to be faulty, damaged, dying or dead within twelve
	(12) months of planting then they must be replaced with the same species (up to 3 occurrences). If the trees are found dead before they reach a height where they are protected by Council's Tree Management Controls, they must be replaced with the same species.
	Reason: To ensure appropriate tree planting is undertaken.
37.	Project Arborist Certification
	Prior to the issue of any Occupation Certificate, the Principal Certifier is to be provided
	with certification from the project arborist the requirements of the conditions of consent
	related to the landscape plan and the role of the project arborist have been complied
	with.
	Reason: To protect and maintain trees.

BEFORE ISSUE OF AN OCCUPATION CERTIFICATE

Г

38.	Works as Executed – Site Stormwater Drainage System		
	Prior to the issue of an Occupation Certificate, the Principal Certifier must be provided		
	with Certification by a suitably qualified Civil Engineer who holds current Chartered		
	Engineer qualifications with the Institution of Engineers Australia (CPEng) or current		
	Registered Professional Engineer qualifications with Professionals Australia (RPEng)		
	that:		
	a. The stormwater drainage system has been constructed in accordance with the		
	approved design and relevant Australian Standards; and		
	b. Works-as-executed plans of the stormwater drainage system certified by a Registered Surveyor, to verify that the drainage system has been constructed, OSD/OSR system commissioned and stormwater quality improvement device(s) and any pump(s) installed in accordance with the approved design and relevant Australian Standards have been submitted to Council. The works- as-executed plan(s) must show the as built details in comparison to those shown on the drainage plans approved with the Construction Certificate. All relevant levels and details indicated must be marked in red on a copy of the Principal Certifier stamped Construction Certificate plans.		
	Reason: To ensure adequate disposal of stormwater.		
39.	Operation and Management Plan		
	Prior to the issue of an Occupation Certificate, the Principal Certifier must be provided with an Operation and Management Plan has been prepared and implemented for the on-site detention and/or on-site retention/re-use facilities and stormwater quality improvement device(s) and pump(s). The Plan must set out the following at a minimum:		
	a. The proposed maintenance regime, specifying that the system is to be		
	regularly inspected and checked by qualified practitioners; and b. The proposed method of management of the facility, including procedures, safety protection systems, emergency response plan in the event of mechanical failure, etc.		
	Reason: To ensure the approved works are undertaken in accordance with the consent.		
40.	Dilapidation Report		
	Prior to the issue of an Occupation Certificate, the Certifying Authority and owners of identified properties must be provided with a second colour copy of a dilapidation report prepared by a suitably qualified person. The report is required to include colour photographs of all the identified properties - 25 Moonbie Street and 22 Regent Street, Summer Hill to the Certifying Authority's satisfaction. In the event that the consent of		

	letter/s that have been sent via registered mail and any responses received must be forwarded to the Certifying Authority before work commences.
	Reason: To determine potential construction impacts.
41.	Street Numbering
	Given an additional occupancy is created in a secondary dwelling that has direct entry
	from the street, a street numbering application must be lodged and approved by Council's GIS team before any street number is displayed.
	Reason: To ensure occupancies are appropriately numbered

OCCUPATION AND ONGOING USE

	Condition		
42.	Operation and Management Plan		
	The Operation and Management Plan for the on-site detention and/or on-site retention/re-use, approved with the Occupation Certificate, must be implemented and kept in a suitable location on site at all times. Reason: To ensure the approved works are undertaken in accordance with the		
	consent.		

DEMOLITION WORK

BEFORE DEMOLITION WORK COMMENCES

	Condition
43.	Hoardings
	The person acting on this consent must ensure the site is secured with temporary
	fencing prior to any works commencing.
	If the work involves the erection or demolition of a building and is likely to cause pedestrian or vehicular traffic on public roads or Council controlled lands to be obstructed or rendered inconvenient, or building involves the enclosure of public property, a hoarding or fence must be erected between the work site and the public property. An awning is to be erected, sufficient to prevent any substance from, or in connection with, the work falling onto public property. Separate approval is required from the Council under the <i>Roads Act 1993</i> to erect a hoarding or temporary fence or awning on public property.
	Reason: To protect the built environment from construction works.
44.	Tree Protection
	To protect the following tree/s, ground, trunk and branch protection must be installed prior to any works commencing in accordance with the approved <i>Tree Protection Plan</i> and/or with Council's <i>Development Fact Sheet</i> — <i>Trees on Development Sites</i> :

	Tree No.	Botanical/Common Name/Location
	11	Schinus areira (Peppercorn) located on Moonbie Street frontage
R	eason: To pro	otect and maintain trees.

Attachment B – Plans of proposed development

Inner West Local Planning Panel

D0 WIT SOLE OF THIS DRUMB, Use figured dimensions only Werly all dimensions on site. Any discrepancies shall be branght to the attention of the elexpert. This drowing is cospripted and may not be not used without within cosmert. ALCM 202 894 825.

SCHEDULES AND NOTES

105 RESERVOIR STREET SURRY HILLS NSW 2010 PH9212 3344 FX9212 3455

hstone@gordonstone.com.au

20/08/23 NTS DAOO

۷

2302 BAE VI CAUPAN HS H









- <u>YAAONUOA</u>	RIDGE LEVEL EXISTING TILE ROOF EXISTING TILE ROOF RL 3533 CELUNG LEVEL RL 3533 CELUNG LEVEL RL 3006 RL 3533 CELUNG LEVEL RL 3006 RL 3533 CELUNG LEVEL	The second secon
	ESSTING ALUMANY WIDON ALTERD FROM STO PREMIG ALTERD FROM STO PREMIC ALTERD FROM STO PREMIC	A WA MA ANDER AND ADDITIONS TO 27 MODUBLE STREET SUMMER HILL NAME WA SOUTH ELEVATION
	EN WW THEER FAMED FOR WHY THEER FAMED FOR SECTION TERNAND FOR THE SECTION TERNAND FOR SECTION TERNAND FOR THE SECTION TERNAND FOR TH	Gordon + Stone DESIGN DESIGN 105 RESERVOIR STREET SURRY HILLS WAY 2010 PHY211 2314 FY211 2455 RETORNETONBLONBLOND AU
<u>тулдица</u> .	NEW BRICK WALLS REPORTE OF STRUCTURE IN REPORTE OF STRUCTURE IN REPO	DATE 28-08/23 20-08/23 16/19/23 22/02/24
	PROPILE OF DUELLING No. 20 REGNI STREET No. 20 REGNI STREET RELECTIONAL RELECTIONAL RELECTIONAL RELECTIONAL RELECTIONAL RELECTIONAL	 A PRLIMMAPY SSUE FOR DISLUSSION PURPOSES B MINI APEQUENTS MINI APEQUENTS MINI APEQUAL C ISSUE FOR D. SUBMISSION D GARAGE / SECRODARY DWELLING AMENGED D GARAGE / SECRODARY DWELLING AMENGED

















GORDON+STONE design

105 RESERVOIR STREET SURRY HILLS NSW 2010 PH 92123344 E-MAIL hstone@gordonstone.com.au

Alterations and Additions to

27 MOONBIE STREET SUMMER HILL EXTERNAL FINISHES SCHEDULE

10/10/23

Walls (Weatherboard and existing painted brick) Paint finish Dulux 'Dieskau' or equivalent

Timber window and doors Pergola and building details. Dulux 'Whisper White' or equivalent

Roof sheet, Colorbond 'Shale Grey' or equal

Gutters and downpipes Colorbond 'Monument' or equal



GORDON+STONE DESIGN ACN 003 849 625

Document Set ID: 38976848 Version: 1, Version Date: 18/06/2028

REQUEST FOR EXCEPTION TO A DEVELOPMENT STANDARD

made under

CLAUSE 4.6 OF INNER WEST LEP 2022

In relation to

SEPP (Housing) 2021

To accompany:

DA/2023/0863 Development Application for Alterations and Additions to 27 Moonbie Street Summer Hill

19/04/24

PREPARED BY Gordon and Stone Design

APPLICANT Ms. Adele Walsh

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This request for an exception to a development standard made under the provisions of clause 4.6 of the Inner West Local Environmental Plan 2022 (LEP). The proposal includes a non-compliance with Section 53 (2)(a) Nondiscretionary development standards – the Act, s 4.15 in Chapter 3, Part 1 – Secondary dwellings, in the State Environmental Planning Policy (Housing) 2021. This clause requires a minimum site area of 450m2 for a detached secondary dwelling.

In this case the site area of the subject property at 27 Moonbie Street Summer Hill is 389.7m2 by survey, which represents a 13.4% or 60.3m2 variation to this development standard.

This request seeks to demonstrate that in the instance of the proposed alterations and additions to 27 Moonbie Street Summer Hill, the statutory planning control contained in the State Environmental Planning Policy (Housing) 2021 for minimum site area is, in this specific instance, unreasonable and unnecessary, and that approval of the proposal would not be counter to the objectives of the relevant planning standards and guidelines on the basis of there being sufficient environmental planning grounds to justify the contravention of the development standard. The applicant seeks Council's approval for a departure from this numerical control on the basis of there being no material impacts resulting from the non- compliance with the site area control for development of a secondary dwelling.

This application to vary a development standard accompanies a revised Development Application submission, including architectural drawings which describe the location and extent of works, to be read in conjunction with the revised Statement of Environmental Effects which discusses the proposal and its likely impact on the immediate context.

The revised design and supporting documentation demonstrate that the proposal, which has been designed in accordance with the objectives and controls of the LEP and the design guidelines for secondary dwellings as stated in the Comprehensive Inner West DCP 2016, would have no detrimental effect on the

Page 1|6

function and amenity the subject property, nor the surrounding properties. In this instance, strict compliance with the numerical control for minimum site area is considered unreasonable and unnecessary.

This request seeks to demonstrate that the objectives of the relevant planning instruments are achieved by the revised proposal, despite the non-compliance with the minimum site area development standard.

RELEVANT ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING INSTRUMENTS

The proposal includes a non-compliance with Section 53 (2)(a) Non-discretionary development standards – *the Act, s 4.15* in Chapter 3, Part 1 – *Secondary dwellings, in the State Environmental Planning Policy (Housing) 2021.*

The following planning instruments are relevant to the assessment of the proposal:

Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979

Section 4.15 Evaluation states:

(3) If an environmental planning instrument or a regulation contains non-discretionary development standards and development the subject of a development application does not comply with those standards--

(a) subsection (2) does not apply and the discretion of the consent authority under this section and section 4.16 is not limited as referred to in that subsection, and

(b) a provision of an environmental planning instrument that allows flexibility in the application of a development standard may be applied to the non-discretionary development standard.

Section 4.15 EPA Act 1979 is referenced in the Housing SEPP 2021 for Secondary Dwellings with the note that: s4.15(3) does not prevent development consent being granted if a non-discretionary development standard is not complied with.

Inner West Local Environment Plan 2022

The objectives of Clause 4.6 of the LEP are stated as

4.6 Exceptions to development standards:

(1) The objectives of this clause are as follows:

 (a) to provide an appropriate degree of flexibility in applying certain development standards to particular development,

(b) to achieve better outcomes for and from development by allowing flexibility in particular circumstances.

The basis for this request, as detailed below, is that compliance with the objectives of the Housing SEPP and also the performance criteria and development standards of the DCP is achieved, and therefore a better outcome is achieved, by allowing flexibility with regard to the non-compliance with the numerical control. The strict application of the minimum site area control in relation to the secondary dwelling proposed for the subject site is considered unreasonable and unnecessary in this instance as strict compliance is not physically possible. It is noted that both the applicant and Council are in concurrence that the site could reasonably support a secondary dwelling, subject to compliance with the LEP standards and DCP performance criteria.

(2) Development consent may, subject to this clause, be granted for development even though the development would contravene a development standard imposed by this or any other environmental planning instrument. However, this clause does not apply to a development standard that is expressly excluded from the operation of this clause.

The development standard for minimum site area in the Housing SEPP for Secondary Dwellings is not expressly excluded from the operation of this clause. Rather, the standard is noted as being nondiscretionary in that the intention is to prevent the consent authority from requiring a more onerous standard. Clause 4.15(3) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 does not prevent development consent being granted if a non-discretionary development standard is not complied with.

Page 2|6

(3) Development consent must not be granted to development that contravenes a development standard unless the consent authority is satisfied the applicant has demonstrated that:

(a) compliance with the development standard is unreasonable or unnecessary in the circumstances, and
 (b) there are sufficient environmental planning grounds to justify the contravention of the development standard.

Compliance with the minimum site area standard is unreasonable and unnecessary in this circumstance as it is demonstrated that the proposal complies with the objectives of the Housing SEPP as well as the development standards of the LEP and the performance criteria of the DCP, with no material impacts upon adjoining properties, no adverse effect on the streetscape and the locality. Combined with the intention of the minimum site area standard to be a non-discretionary standard, i.e. that non-compliance does not prevent development consent being granted, it is considered that there are sufficient environmental planning grounds (compliance with the LEP and DCP) for the proposal to be supported on merit, despite the contravention of the minimum site area standard in the Housing SEPP. This consideration is demonstrated in detail below.

Note: The Environmental Planning and Assessment Regulation 2021 requires a development application for development that proposes to contravene a development standard to be accompanied by a document setting out the grounds on which the applicant seeks to demonstrate the matters in paragraphs (a) and (b).

The grounds on which the matters are demonstrated are detailed in this document.

(4) The consent authority must keep a record of its assessment carried out under subclause (3).

The above requirement would be met by Inner West Council. The remaining subclauses 5 to 8 are nonapplicable or repealed.

State Environmental Planning Policy (Housing) 2021

Part 1 – Secondary dwellings, Section 53 (2)(a) Non-discretionary development standards – the Act, s 4.15 states:

(2) The following are non-discretionary development standards in relation to the carrying out of development to which this Part applies— (a) for a detached secondary dwelling—a minimum site area of 450m2

The objective of this clause is to identify a development standard for site area that, if complied with, would prevent the consent authority from requiring a more onerous standard. Clause 4.15(3) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 does not prevent development consent being granted if a nondiscretionary development standard is not complied with.

Non-discretionary development standards are standards that if complied with, prevent consent authorities from:

taking the non-discretionary development standard into further consideration in determining the DA;

refusing the DA on the grounds that the development does not comply with those standards;
imposing a condition of consent that has the same, or substantially the same, effect as the standard but is more onerous than the standard.

With respect to the proposal, whilst the total floor area of the secondary dwellings is not more than 60m2; Council's request for further information, dated 22 January 2024, highlights a numerical non-compliance with the provisions of the Housing SEPP for Secondary Dwellings. Specifically, the requirement for minimum lot size of 450m2 for detached secondary dwelling. The existing site area is 389.7m2 which is 60.3m2 less than the minimum required non-discretionary development standard for site area. Council's request for further information also provides the relevant criteria for assessment of the proposal in terms of the non-compliance, specifically that the proposal demonstrate the following:

- (the secondary dwelling) can be adequately accommodated within the available site area; (and that)
- the proposal must satisfy the provisions of the DCP in regard to Secondary Dwellings

Page 3|6

THE PROPOSAL CONSIDERED IN RELATION TO THE PRINCIPLES OF THE STATE ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING POLICY (HOUSING) 2021

The principles of this Policy are as follows:

(a) enabling the development of diverse housing types, including purpose-built rental housing,

- (b) encouraging the development of housing that will meet the needs of more vulnerable members of the community, including very low to moderate income households, seniors and people with a disability,
- (c) ensuring new housing development provides residents with a reasonable level of amenity,

(d) promoting the planning and delivery of housing in locations where it will make good use of existing and planned infrastructure and services,

(e) minimising adverse climate and environmental impacts of new housing development,

(f) reinforcing the importance of designing housing in a way that reflects and enhances its locality, (g) supporting short-term rental accommodation as a home-sharing activity and contributor to local

economies, while managing the social and environmental impacts from this use,

(h) mitigating the loss of existing affordable rental housing.

The proposal meets the above principles in that it would:

- Provide for an increased diversity of housing within the locality, specifically smaller dwellings with access to private open space at ground level and off-street parking;
 - Provide an opportunity for accommodation for low to moderate income households;
- Support a reasonable level of amenity in terms of accommodation with landscaped open space, offstreet carparking in an established suburb;
- Deliver additional housing in a locality which is well serviced with existing infrastructure and services;
- Provide additional accommodation with a relatively small increase in built-upon area with minimal
- adverse climate and environmental impacts;
- Contribute positively to its locality in terms of scale and form;
- Provide an opportunity for short-term rental accommodation.
- Not result in a loss of existing affordable rental housing within the community.

The particular requirements for secondary dwellings permitted with consent are as follows: (a) no dwellings, other than the principal dwelling and the secondary dwelling, will be located on the land, and

(b) the total floor area of the principal dwelling and the secondary dwelling is no more than the maximum for area permitted for a dwelling house on the land under another environmental planning instrument, and (c) the total floor area of the secondary dwelling is-

(i) no more than 60m², or

(ii) if a greater floor area is permitted for a secondary dwelling on the land under another environmental planning instrument-the greater floor area.

The proposal complies with the above requirements:

- The proposal is for only a principal dwelling and the secondary dwelling;
- The proposed gross floor area is less than that permitted under the Inner West LEP;
- The total floor area of the secondary dwelling is no more than 60m2.

The following are non-discretionary development standards in relation to the carrying out of development to which this Part applies:

(a) for a detached secondary dwelling-a minimum site area of 450m²

(b) the number of parking spaces provided on the site is the same as the number of parking spaces provided on the site immediately before the development is carried out.

The subject site, having a site area of 389.7m2, does not meet this non-discretionary development standard, however the objective of this clause is to identify a development standard for site area that, if complied with, would prevent the consent authority from requiring a more onerous standard. Clause 4.15(3) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 does not prevent development consent being granted if a non-discretionary development standard is not complied with. Council has indicated general support provided the proposal complies with the provisions of the DCP.

Page 4|6

THE PROPOSAL CONSIDERED IN RELATION TO THE PROVISIONS OF THE COMPREHENSIVE INNER WEST DEVELOPMENT CONTROL PLAN 2016 FOR SECONDARY DWELLINGS

Given s4.15(3) does not prevent development consent being granted if a non-discretionary development standard is not complied with and Council's acknowledgment that Council is supportive of a secondary dwelling on the site in this instance, if it can be shown that it can be adequately accommodated within the available site area. This means that the proposal must satisfy the provisions of the DCP in regard to Secondary Dwellings which have been previously discussed; the revised proposal has been designed to comply with the relevant provisions of the DCP, as detailed below, and as such, compliance with the DCP demonstrates that the proposal is worthy of consideration under Clause 4.6 of the LEP.

The Proposal

The proposal is for conversion of the existing building at the corner of Moonbie and Regent Streets from two dwellings into a single dwelling, with the erection of a new secondary dwelling with single-car garage, fronting Regent Street. The site-specific planning decisions which informed the location, orientation and scale of the proposed secondary dwelling are the result of consultation with Inner West Council planning officers by way of a thorough pre-DA process which considered the opportunities and constraints of the site, including the existing site area and the proposal to locate a new dwelling to the rear of the site, currently occupied by an existing garage with footpath crossing to Regent Street.

Development Control Plan Performance Criteria and Design Solutions

The proposal has been designed with reference to the performance criteria and design solutions of the Development Control Plan for secondary dwellings, specifically the following (*in italics*):

Site area is adequate to enable development that incorporates adequate setbacks and landscaped open space in accordance with this DCP.

The prescribed design solution for this criterion is that the proposal be assessed on merits. The proposed setbacks are appropriate for a corner site in a residential zone where the secondary dwelling addresses the secondary frontage on Regent Street, achieving a transition from the original dwelling on the corner which is built up to the side boundary, and the existing dwellings to the west which are setback from their primary street frontage.

Landscaped open space for the proposal is achieved in accordance with DCP standards.

To ensure that the size of the secondary dwelling is less than the dwelling house.

The size of the proposed secondary dwelling is less than the primary dwelling and not greater than 60m2 in floor area.

To ensure that the combined size of the dwelling house and secondary dwelling has a bulk and scale that maintains the appearance of a typical house and ancillary outbuilding.

The total gross floor area of the principal dwelling and the secondary dwelling is no more than the maximum FSR allowed under the Inner West LEP 2022. The bulk and scale of the proposed secondary dwelling has been reduced in accordance with Council's request and maintains the appearance of a typical dwelling house (on the corner of Moonbie Street) with ancillary outbuilding to the rear.

Building height:

- is no greater than the height of the dwelling house
- is compatible with the existing or desired future character of the area
- does not detract from existing low rise streetscapes

The height of the proposed secondary dwelling has been reduced and is significantly lower than the primary dwelling house. The design presents as a single storey dwelling with an attic and is of a scale and form which is compatible with its context and does not materially detract from the existing streetscape, nor the existing character of the area which is likely to be maintained.

Page 5|6

Setbacks:

- are consistent with that prevailing in the street
- reduce the appearance of building bulk
- enable adequate solar access to main living areas and principal private open space
- ensure no significant adverse amenity impacts on adjoining properties
- achieve adequate visual privacy
- minimise noise transmission

• create deep soil areas that are sufficient to conserve existing trees or to accommodate intensive new landscaping.

The proposed secondary dwelling is located to address Regent Street which is, in effect, the secondary frontage of the property, thus achieving a transition from the original dwelling on the corner, which is built up to the Regent Street boundary, and the existing dwellings to the west which are setback from their primary street frontage. This is considered characteristic for corner sites in the locality and would result in no material adverse amenity impacts in terms of privacy, solar access and noise transmission. The structure is set back over 7 metres from the north side boundary which provides sufficient separation between adjacent dwellings as well as access to readily usable north-facing private landscaped open space with deep soil planting. The proposed 450mm from the rear boundary to the west (with the existing substation beyond) is considered sufficient given the nature of an ancillary outbuilding to the secondary street frontage of the existing primary dwelling and would not cause material impacts upon the nearest adjacent dwelling on Regent Street which is 8 metres distant. The proposal complies with the performance criteria of this standard.

Development does not unreasonably reduce the area or useability of landscaped open space.

The proposed secondary dwelling is located upon a portion of the site currently occupied by an existing garage. Whilst the proposal does reduce the area of landscaped open space, the resulting space is useable and also sufficient in size to comply with the minimum requirement under the DCP for a dwelling house. The proposal complies with this standard.

Based on the above items of compliance with the criteria and standards of the DCP, the proposal achieves the objectives of the planning instruments notwithstanding the non-compliance with numerical control for site area and is considered a reasonable and appropriate use of the site.

CONCLUSION

This request for an exception to a development standard is sought in accordance with the objectives of Clause 4.6 of the LEP, as detailed above and on the basis that the proposal meets the performance criteria of the DCP as well as the objectives of the Housing SEPP as listed above. It has been demonstrated in detail above that the objectives of the relevant planning instruments are achieved by the revised proposal, despite the non-compliance with the minimum site area development standard.

We trust that the above is in order and that Council has sufficient information to consider this request, in conjunction with the revised design proposal as illustrated in the amended plans submitted herewith.

Gordon and Stone Design

Page 6|6